

WEAK-KADEC RENORMABLE BANACH SPACES

A. Kamel Mirmostafaei

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
mirmostafaei@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. We will use a game theoretic proof to show that if a Banach space X admits an equivalent weak-Kadec norm, then (X, weak) is σ -fragmented by the norm.

1. Introduction.

Let X be a Banach space. A norm $\| \cdot \|$ on X is said to be *Kadec* if weak and norm topologies coincide on the unit sphere of X .

Following [1], a topological space (X, τ) is said to be σ -fragmented by a metric ρ if for each $\epsilon > 0$, X can be written as $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n,\epsilon}$ such that for each $n \geq 1$ and nonempty set $A \subset X_{n,\epsilon}$, there is a relatively τ -open nonempty subset $B \subset A$ of ρ -diameter less than ϵ . Kenderov and Moors in [4] used the following topological game to characterize σ -fragmentability of a topological space X :

Two players Σ and Ω alternatively select non-empty subsets of X . Σ usually starts the game by choosing some non-empty subset A_1 of X . Then Ω selects some non-empty relatively open subset B_1 of A_1 . On the n -th stage of the game, Σ takes a non-empty subset A_n of the last move B_{n-1} of Ω and the latter answers by taking again a relatively open subset B_n of A_n . By continuing this procedure, the two players generate a sequence of sets $p = (A_i, B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, which is called a play. A *strategy for the Ω -player*, is a rule which determines Ω 's move at each stage based on the game played so far following the strategy.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20.

keywords and phrases: Kadec norm, sigma-fragmentability.

Theorem 1.1. ([4], **Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 2.1**) *For a Banach space X the following are equivalent:*

(i) (X, weak) is σ -fragmented by a metric which is stronger than the weak topology.

(ii) There exists a strategy s for the player Ω such that, for every s -play $p = (A_i, B_i)_{i \geq 1}$ either $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} B_i = \emptyset$ or $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{norm-diam}(B_i) = 0$.

Moreover, we have the following:

Theorem 1.2. ([2], **Theorem 2**) *Let (X, τ) be a topological space and d be a metric on it which is stronger than the τ -topology. If X is σ -fragmented by d , then it is σ -fragmented by d using d -closed sets.*

2. Results

We begin with the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a weak open subset of a Banach space X ; $\delta, \epsilon > 0$; $x \in G \cap \{z : \|z\| = \delta\}$ and the norm diameter of $G \cap \{z : \|z\| = \delta\}$ is less than $\epsilon/2$. Then there exists a neighborhood W of x and a positive real number $\alpha_{\epsilon, x}$, such that $y \in W$ and $\|y\| - \delta < \alpha_{\epsilon, x}$ implies that $\|x - y\| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Let U be a neighborhood of 0 such that $U + U \subset G \setminus \{x\}$. Take $\epsilon/2 > \alpha_{\epsilon, x} > 0$ such that $\alpha_{\epsilon, x}B \subset U$. Define $W = (U + \{x\}) \setminus (\delta - \alpha_{\epsilon, x})B$. If $y \in W$ and $\|y\| < \delta + \alpha_{\epsilon, x}$, then $|\|y\| - \delta| < \alpha_{\epsilon, x}$, since $y \notin (\delta - \alpha_{\epsilon, x})B$. It follows that

$$\|(\delta/\|y\|)y - y\| = |\delta - \|y\|| < \alpha_{\epsilon, x}.$$

Hence $(\delta/\|y\|)y = (\delta/\|y\|)y - y + y \in U + U + \{x\} \subset G$. Thus $(\delta/\|y\|)y \in G \cap \{z : \|z\| = \delta\}$. Therefore $\|(\delta/\|y\|)y - x\| < \epsilon/2$. It follows that

$$\|y - x\| < \|y - (\delta/\|y\|)y\| + \|(\delta/\|y\|)y - x\| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \square$$

We also need the following result:

Lemma 2.2. ([4], **Proposition 2.1**) *If the unit ball B of a Banach space X admits a strategy s with the equivalent properties of Theorem 1.1, then the whole space also admits such a strategy.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a Kadec renormable Banach space. Then (X, weak) is σ -fragmentable.*

Proof. According to Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.2, it is enough to show that in $(B, weak)$, where B denotes the unit ball of X , the player Ω has a winning strategy which satisfies one of the equivalent properties of Theorem 1.1. We use the terminologies of Lemma 2.1

Let $\| \cdot \|$ denote an equivalent Kadec norm on X and $A_1 \subset B$ be the first choice of Σ -player. Put

$$\rho_1 = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in A_1\} \text{ and } \epsilon_1 = 1.$$

Two cases may happen.

(1) There is an element $x_1 \in A_1$ such that $\alpha_{\epsilon_1, x_1} + \|x_1\| > \rho_1$. Then we take such a point x_1 and we define $s_1(A_1) = B_1 = W_{\epsilon_1, x_1} \cap A_1$ and $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_1/2$. Then for each $y \in B_1$, $\|y\| \leq \rho_1 < \alpha_{\epsilon_1, x_1} + \|x_1\|$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, $\|y - x_1\| < \epsilon_1$. Hence $\| \cdot \| - \text{diam}(B_1) < 2\epsilon_1$.

(2) For every $x \in A_1$, $\alpha_{\epsilon_1, x} \leq \rho_1$.

In this case, take some point $x_1 \in A_1$ such that its norm is bigger than $(1/2)\rho_1$. Then define

$$s_1(A_1) = B_1 = W_{\epsilon_1, x_1} \cap A_1 \setminus (1/2)\rho_1 B \text{ and } \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_1.$$

Let $(A_i, B_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$; $\{\epsilon_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, and x_1, \dots, x_n have already been selected. If A_{n+1} is the next move of Σ -player and

$$\rho_{n+1} = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in A_{n+1}\},$$

then we consider the following two possible cases.

(1) There exists an element $x_{n+1} \in A_{n+1}$, such that $\alpha_{\epsilon_{n+1}, x_{n+1}} + \|x_{n+1}\| > \rho_{n+1}$. In this case, we take such a point x_{n+1} and we define

$$s_{n+1}(A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}) = B_{n+1} = W_{\epsilon_{n+1}, x_{n+1}} \cap A_{n+1} \text{ and } \epsilon_{n+2} = \epsilon_{n+1}/2$$

(2) For every point $x \in A_{n+1}$, $\alpha_{\epsilon_{n+1}, x} + \|x\| \leq \rho_{n+1}$.

In this case, we take some $x_{n+1} \in A_{n+1}$ with $\|x_{n+1}\| > (1 - 1/(n+1))\rho_{n+1}$, then we define

$$s_{n+1}(A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}) = B_{n+1} = W_{\epsilon_{n+1}, x_{n+1}} \cap A_{n+1} \setminus (1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)})\rho_{n+1} B$$

and $\epsilon_{n+2} = \epsilon_{n+1}$. Therefore, the strategy $s = \{s_n\}$ for the Ω -player inductively is defined.

If $x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \cdot \| - \text{diam}(B_n) \neq 0$, then there exists some $\epsilon > 0$, such that $\| \cdot \| - \text{diam}(B_n) > \epsilon$ for each $n \in N$. This means that for all but finitely many n , the case (2) happens and thus

$\{\epsilon_n\}$ is eventually constant. We may suppose that for all n , $\epsilon < 2\epsilon_n$. Since $x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$,

$$(1 - \frac{1}{n})\rho_n < \|x\| < \rho_n, \quad \forall n.$$

Let $\rho_n \searrow \rho$. Then the above inequality shows that $\|x\| = \rho$. Take $W_{\epsilon/2, x}$, then $\alpha_{\epsilon/2, x} + \|x\| > \|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n$. Therefore, there is some $n_0 \in N$, such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0, \quad \rho_n < \alpha_{\epsilon/2, x} + \|x\| \leq \alpha_{\epsilon_n, x} + \|x\|.$$

Since $x \in A_n$ for each n , this is a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. E. Jayne, I. Namioka and C. A. Rogers, *Topological properties of Banach spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 66(1993), 651-672.
- [2] J. E. Jayne, I. Namioka and C. A. Rogers, *σ -Fragmentable Banach spaces I*, Mathematika, 39(1992), 161-188
- [3] P. S. Kenderov and W. B. Moors, *Game characterization of fragmentability of topological spaces*, Mathematics and Education in Mathematics, (1996) 8-18 (*Proceedings of the 25-th Spring conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, April 1996, Kazanlak, Bulgaria*).
- [4] P. S. Kenderov and W. B. Moors, *Fragmentability and sigma-fragmentability of Banach spaces* J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60 (1999) 203-223.