

A Characterization of Gorenstein Rings and Grothendieck's Conjecture*

Kazem Khashyarmanesh

Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Department of Mathematics

P.O. Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran

E-mail: Khashyar@ipm.ir

Received 24 December 2006

Revised 5 March 2008

Communicated by K.P. Shum

Abstract. Given a commutative Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , it is shown that R is Gorenstein if and only if there exists a system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d of R which generates an irreducible ideal and

$$\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^t R :_R \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^{t+s} R \right) :_R x_1^s \cdots x_d^s \right) + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_d^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j R :_R \mathfrak{m} \right)$$

for all $t > 0$. Let n be an arbitrary non-negative integer. It is also shown that for an arbitrary ideal \mathfrak{a} of a commutative Noetherian (not necessarily local) ring R and a finitely generated R -module M , $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$ is finitely generated if and only if there exists an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^t M :_M \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M \right) :_M x_1^s \cdots x_n^s \right) + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j M :_M \mathfrak{a} \right)$$

for all $t > 0$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13H10, 13D45

Keywords: Gorenstein rings, system of parameters, Grothendieck's conjecture, local cohomology modules, filter regular sequences

1 Introduction

Let R be a commutative Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . It is well known that a Cohen–Macaulay ring R is Gorenstein if and only if some ideal generated by a system of parameters (called a parameter ideal) is irreducible. Also, it

*The author was partially supported by a grant from the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

follows from a result of Northcott and Rees [13, Theorem 1] that if every parameter ideal is irreducible, then R is Cohen–Macaulay. Therefore, R is Gorenstein if and only if every parameter ideal is irreducible. Recently, in [11], Marley, Rogers and Sakurai proved that there exists an integer ℓ such that R is Gorenstein if and only if some parameter ideal contained in \mathfrak{m}^ℓ is irreducible. They also showed that the integer ℓ identified in their result may be taken to be the least integer $\delta = \delta(R)$ such that the canonical map

$$\text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}^\delta, R) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_\alpha \text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}^\alpha, R) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$$

is surjective after applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, -)$, where $d = \dim R$. Moreover, they proved that in the present situation, the map

$$\text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{m}, \frac{R}{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))$$

which is induced by the canonical map

$$\frac{R}{(x_1, \dots, x_d)} \longrightarrow \varinjlim_\alpha \frac{R}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_d^\alpha)}$$

is surjective, where x_1, \dots, x_d is a system of parameters of R such that (x_1, \dots, x_d) is an irreducible ideal contained in \mathfrak{m}^ℓ (see also [4, Lemma 3.12]). In this paper, for a commutative Noetherian (not necessarily local) ring R , a finitely generated R -module M and an arbitrary sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of elements of R , we show that the map

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}, x} : \text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M))$$

which is induced by the canonical map

$$\frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M} \longrightarrow \varinjlim_\alpha \frac{M}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M} \cong H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M)$$

is surjective if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^t M :_M \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M \right) :_M x_1^s \cdots x_n^s \right) + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j M :_M \mathfrak{a} \right)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ (we use \mathbb{N} to denote the set of positive integers). In the light of the ideas of Marley, Rogers and Sakurai’s proof of [11, Theorem 2.9], by using our above mentioned result, we can obtain a characterization of Gorenstein rings (see Theorem 2.2).

On the other hand, if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring and M is a finitely generated R -module, it is well known that for all i and n , $\text{Supp}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{m})$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M))$ is finitely generated (see, e.g., Huneke and Koh [8]). In this regard, Grothendieck made the following:

Conjecture. [5] If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R and M is a finitely generated R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$ is finitely generated for all n .

Hartshorne [6] has produced a counterexample which shows that this conjecture is false even when R is regular (see also [7]). Hartshorne asked the following:

Question. If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R and M is a finitely generated R -module, when are $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$ finitely generated for all n and j ?

There are several papers devoted to obtain partial answer to Hartshorne's question. We refer the reader to Huneke and Koh [8], Delfino [2], Delfino and Marley [3], Yoshida [16] and the present author [9].

In Theorem 2.4 of this paper, by using a natural generalization of the concept of regular sequence, we show that for a fixed n , Grothendieck's conjecture is true if and only if there exists a certain sequence of elements in \mathfrak{a} . In fact, we present a new version of Grothendieck's conjecture in commutative algebra.

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . Also, M will denote a finitely generated R -module. Our terminology follows the textbook [1] on local cohomology. Whenever we can do without ambiguity, for a sequence $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ of elements of R and $u \in \mathbb{N}$, we will denote x_1^u, \dots, x_n^u by x^u .

2 Certain Sequence of Elements of R

Let $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of elements of R . It follows from [5, Theorem 2.8] that the n -th local cohomology module $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M)$ can be interpreted as a direct limit of Koszul homology modules, and in the present situation we have

$$H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M) \cong \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{M}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M}$$

with the map

$$\frac{M}{(x_1^u, \dots, x_n^u)M} \longrightarrow \frac{M}{(x_1^v, \dots, x_n^v)M}$$

being induced by multiplication by $x_1^{v-u} \cdots x_n^{v-u}$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq u \leq v$. Therefore,

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M)) \cong \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M}\right).$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}, x^\alpha}$ the map

$$\text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M))$$

which is induced by the canonical map

$$\frac{M}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M} \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{\alpha} \frac{M}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M}.$$

In the following lemma, we show that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a},x}$ is surjective if and only if x_1, \dots, x_n satisfy certain conditions.

Lemma 2.1. *For a sequence $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ of elements of R , the map $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a},x}$ is surjective if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^t M :_M \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M \right) :_M x_1^s \cdots x_n^s \right) + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j M :_M \mathfrak{a} \right) \quad (1)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. First of all, let $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sequence of elements of R . Since

$$\text{Hom}_R \left(R/\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M} \right) \cong \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M},$$

we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R \left(R/\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M} \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{a},x}} & \text{Hom}_R \left(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M) \right) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathfrak{a},x}} & \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M} \end{array}$$

where the direct system $\left\{ \frac{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M} \right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ is given by the map induced by multiplication

$$\frac{(x_1^u, \dots, x_n^u)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1^u, \dots, x_n^u)M} \xrightarrow{x_1^{v-u} \cdots x_n^{v-u}} \frac{(x_1^v, \dots, x_n^v)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1^v, \dots, x_n^v)M}$$

for $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq u \leq v$, and $\psi_{\mathfrak{a},x}$ is the canonical map.

Now suppose that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a},x}$ is surjective and $m \in \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^t M :_M \mathfrak{a}$, where $t \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of the above commutative diagram, $\psi_{\mathfrak{a},x}$ is also surjective. Hence, there exists $m' \in \sum_{j=1}^n x_j M :_M \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{a},x}(m' + (x_1, \dots, x_n)M) = \psi_{\mathfrak{a},x^t}(m + (x_1^t, \dots, x_n^t)M).$$

This implies that the element

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{a},x^t}(m - x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} m' + (x_1^t, \dots, x_n^t)M)$$

is zero in $\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M}$. Thus, there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_1^s \cdots x_n^s (m - x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} m') \in \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M$$

and so

$$m \in \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M \right) :_M x_1^s \cdots x_n^s \right) + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j M :_M \mathfrak{a} \right).$$

Conversely, suppose that $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a sequence of elements of R such that the inclusion (1) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We must show that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a},x}$ is surjective. By using the above commutative diagram, it is enough to show that $\psi_{\mathfrak{a},x}$ is surjective. To this end, let ξ be an arbitrary element of $\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M :_M \mathfrak{a}}{(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M}$. Then there exists

a positive integer t such that

$$\xi = \psi_{\mathfrak{a},x^t}(m + (x_1^t, \dots, x_n^t)M)$$

for some $m \in \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^t M :_M \mathfrak{a}$. By our assumption, $m = m_1 + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} m_2$ for some

$$m_1 \in \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M \right) :_M x_1^s \cdots x_n^s \right)$$

and $m_2 \in \sum_{j=1}^n x_j M :_M \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, $m_1 \in \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M :_M x_1^s \cdots x_n^s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that

$$\xi = \psi_{\mathfrak{a},x}(m_2 + (x_1, \dots, x_n)M).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that $\psi_{\mathfrak{a},x^t}(m - x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} m_2 + (x_1^t, \dots, x_n^t)M) = 0$. This is clear because

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_{\mathfrak{a},x^t}(m - x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} m_2 + (x_1^t, \dots, x_n^t)M) \\ &= \psi_{\mathfrak{a},x^t}(m_1 + (x_1^t, \dots, x_n^t)M) \\ &= \psi_{\mathfrak{a},x^{t+s}}(x_1^s \cdots x_n^s m_1 + (x_1^{t+s}, \dots, x_n^{t+s})M) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

The following theorem is one of the main results in this paper. Its proof relies heavily on ideas of Marley, Rogers and Sakurai's proof of [11, Theorem 2.9].

Theorem 2.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) R is Gorenstein.
- (ii) There exists an integer ℓ such that some parameter ideal contained in \mathfrak{m}^ℓ is irreducible.
- (iii) There exists a system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d of R such that (x_1, \dots, x_d) is an irreducible parameter ideal and for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^t R :_R \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^{t+s} R \right) :_R x_1^s \cdots x_d^s \right) + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_d^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j R :_R \mathfrak{m} \right). \quad (2)$$

Proof. The equivalence (i)⇔(ii) is proved in [11, Theorem 2.9].

(ii)⇒(iii) Let $x = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters in \mathfrak{m}^ℓ which generates an irreducible ideal. By [11, Proposition 2.8], the map $\varphi_{\mathfrak{m},x}$ is surjective. The result now follows from Lemma 2.1.

(iii)⇒(i) It suffices to show that if there exists a system of parameters $x = x_1, \dots, x_d$ which generates an irreducible ideal and satisfies the inclusion (2) for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, then R is Cohen–Macaulay (and hence Gorenstein). In view of Lemma 2.1, by our assumption, the map

$$\text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{m}, \frac{R}{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{m},x}} \text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{m}, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}^d(R)\right) \cong \text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)\right)$$

is surjective. By employing a method of proof which is similar to that used in [11, Theorem 2.9], it is easy to see that x_1, \dots, x_d is a regular sequence and so R is Cohen–Macaulay. □

Now we mention a generalization of the concept of regular sequences which is needed in the rest of the paper. We say that a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of elements of \mathfrak{a} is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M if

$$\text{Supp}_R\left(\frac{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M :_M x_i}{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M}\right) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $V(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the set of prime ideals of R containing \mathfrak{a} . The concept of an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M is a generalization of the concept of a filter regular sequence which has been studied in [14, 15] and has led to some interesting results. Both concepts coincide if \mathfrak{a} is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Note that x_1, \dots, x_n is a weak M -sequence if and only if it is an R -filter regular sequence on M . It is easy to see that the analogue of [15, Appendix 2(ii)] holds true whenever R is Noetherian, M is finitely generated and \mathfrak{m} is replaced by \mathfrak{a} , so if x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M , then there is an element $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that x_1, \dots, x_n, y is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M . Thus, for any positive integer n , there exists an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M of length n .

The following proposition comes from [10, Proposition 1.2] and [12, Lemma 3.4].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $n > 0$, and x_1, \dots, x_n be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M . Then there are the following isomorphisms:*

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \cong \begin{cases} H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^i(M) & \text{for } 0 \leq i < n, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-n}(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M)) & \text{for } n \leq i. \end{cases}$$

In the following, we show that for a fixed n , the existence of a certain \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M characterizes the finiteness properties of $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$.

Theorem 2.4. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$ is finitely generated*

if and only if there exists an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence x_1, \dots, x_n on M such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^t M :_M \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{t+s} M \right) :_M x_1^s \cdots x_n^s \right) + x_1^{t-1} \cdots x_n^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j M :_M \mathfrak{a} \right)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Suppose that $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{a}$ is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M . Then in view of [1, Remark 2.2.17] and Proposition 2.3, there exists the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M) \longrightarrow H_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}^n(M) \longrightarrow (H_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}^n(M))_{y_{n+1}}.$$

Since the multiplication by y_{n+1} provides an automorphism on $(H_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}^n(M))_{y_{n+1}}$ and $y_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{a}$, by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, -)$ on the above exact sequence, we obtain the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}^n(M)).$$

Let $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$ be finitely generated. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}^n(M))$ is also finitely generated. Thus, there exists a positive integer u such that the map

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}, y^u} : \text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{(y_1^u, \dots, y_n^u)M}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}^n(M))$$

is surjective, where $y^u := y_1^u, \dots, y_n^u$. Set $x_i := y_i^u$ for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and note that $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ is again an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M . Moreover, by [1, Remark 1.2.3], the map

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}, x} : \text{Hom}_R\left(R/\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M))$$

is surjective. The result now follows from Lemma 2.1.

Now by employing a method which is similar to that we used in the second paragraph in the present proof, in conjunction with Lemma 2.1, one can complete the proof. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is deeply grateful to Dr. J. Asadollahi for helpful discussions about Lemma 2.1.

References

[1] M. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology — An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics No. 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
 [2] D. Delfino, On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **115** (1) (1994) 79–84.
 [3] D. Delfino, T. Marley, Cofinite modules and local cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **121** (1) (1997) 45–52.

- [4] S. Goto, H. Sakurai, The equality $I^2 = QI$ in Buchsbaum rings, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **110** (2003) 25–56.
- [5] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux* (SGA 2), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [6] R. Hartshorne, Affine duality and cofiniteness, *Invent. Math.* **9** (1969/1970) 145–164.
- [7] M. Hellus, J. Stückrad, Generalization of an example of Hartshorne concerning local cohomology (preprint, arXiv: math.AC/0703147 v1).
- [8] C. Huneke, J. Koh, Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **110** (3) (1991) 421–429.
- [9] K. Khashyarmanesh, On the finiteness properties of extension and torsion functors of local cohomology modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (5) (2007) 1319–1327.
- [10] K. Khashyarmanesh, Sh. Salarian, Filter regular sequences and the finiteness of local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (8) (1998) 2483–2490.
- [11] T. Marley, M.W. Rogers, H. Sakurai, Gorenstein rings and irreducible parameter ideals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (1) (2008) 49–53.
- [12] U. Nagel, P. Schenzel, Cohomological annihilators and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, in: *Commutative Algebra: Syzygies, Multiplicities, and Birational Algebra* (South Hadley, MA, 1992), Contemp. Math., 159, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 307–328.
- [13] D.G. Northcott, D. Rees, Principal systems, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (Ser. 2)* **8** (1957) 119–127.
- [14] P. Schenzel, N.V. Trung, N.T. Cuong, Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln, *Math. Nachr.* **85** (1978) 57–73.
- [15] J. Stückrad, W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum Rings and Applications*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1986.
- [16] K.-I. Yoshida, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one, *Nagoya Math. J.* **147** (1997) 179–191.