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#### Abstract

Two new phosphoramidates with formula $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHP}(\mathrm{O})\left[\mathrm{NH}\left(\text { tert }-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, (1) and $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$, (2) were synthesized and characterized by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-,{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, mass spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The structures confirmed by X-ray single crystal determination. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ appears as two conformers ( $\mathbf{1 a}$ and 1b) in solid state as well as solution. Both compounds ( $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ ) exist in the polymeric chains in crystalline lattice


produced by hydrogen bonding that for compound $\mathbf{1}$, there are two independent infinite chains; each of them composed of one of the two conformers.
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# Zwei Conformere eines neuen Phosphoramidats in Lösung und im festen Zustand. Synthese, Charakterisierung und Kristallstrukturen von $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHP}(\mathrm{O})\left[\mathrm{NH}\left(\text { tert }-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2}$ und $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHP}(\mathrm{O})\left[\mathrm{NH}\left(\text { tert }-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2}$ 


#### Abstract

Inhaltsübersicht. Zwei neue Phosphoramidate der Formel $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHP}(\mathrm{O})\left[\mathrm{NH}\left(\text { tert }-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2}$ mit $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ (1) und $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ (2) wurden synthetisiert und durch IR-, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ - und Massenspektroskopie sowie durch Elementaranalysen charakterisiert. Ihre Strukturen wurden durch Einkristall-Röntgen-Diffrakto-


metrie ermittelt. Verbindung $\mathbf{1}$ bildet zwei Conformere (1a und 1b) sowohl im festen Zustand wie in Lösung. Beide Verbindung ( $\mathbf{1}$ und 2) bilden als Folge von Wasserstoffbrückenbindungen polymere Ketten. $\mathbf{1}$ bildet zwei unabhängige unendliche Ketten der beiden Conformere.

## Introduction

This work is a continuation of previous studies about the reaction of halogen-phosphorus compounds with amines to form P-N bonds. Perhaps, they are the most extensively studied inorganic series [1-5].

Despite this, relatively little is known about N -carbonylphosphoramidates with $-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})$ - skeleton. A few crystal structures of these types of molecules and their complexes were reported $[6-10]$. In previous synthesized N -car-bonyl-phosphoramidates, IR spectroscopy showed two stretching frequencies for PO bonds which were assigned on the presence of two rotamers in solid state, but no report has done on solution, so far [11].

Some examples of rotational barrier about the P-N bond have been reported [12]. Most of these studies refer to compounds with three coordinate phosphorus atoms; considerably less attention has been devoted to tetra and penta co-

[^0]ordinate phosphorus derivatives [13]. To extend this matter and study the restricted rotation around the P-N bond, we employed the bulking amine group, tert-butyl amine to reaction with N -benzoyl and trichloroacetyl phosphoramidic dichloride which lead to corresponding phosphoric triamides, $\quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHP}(\mathrm{O})\left[\mathrm{NH}\left(\text { tert }-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2}$, (1) and $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHP}(\mathrm{O})\left[\mathrm{NH}\left(\text { tert }-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2}$, (2). NMR study shows that compound $\mathbf{1}$ appears as two conformers in solution with the ratio depending on temperature and concentration. X-ray crystallography confirms the existence of two conformers in crystalline lattice which we believe that it is the first example of a conformeric pair examined by X-ray single crystal structure determination techniques so far for a phosphoramidate. Substitution of phenyl for $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ leads to various results. In compound 2, NMR study and X-ray crystallography show just one compound in solution and solid state.

## Results and Discussion

The reaction of tert-butyl amine with N -benzoyl and trichloroacetyl, phosphoramidic dichloride leads to corresponding phosphoric triamide, Scheme $1 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-,{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, IR, mass spectroscopy, elemental analysis and X-ray crystallography confirmed the synthesized molecules.


Scheme 1 Preparation of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

## NMR Study

The prepared molecules contain two amino and one amidic protons. Usually, it is expected to one signal for amino and one signal for amidic protons. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 1 shows three signals at $3.92,4.00$, and 4.43 ppm with integration ratio $1: 2: 1$ in saturated solution, which belongs to amino protons. Also two different signals are revealed for amidic protons at 9.47 and 9.64 ppm with various coupling constants, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{PNH})$, in addition to, the corresponding peaks for methyl and phenyl groups.

Unexpectedly, In [D6]DMSO, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra show two peaks at 4.10 and 4.70 ppm with integration ratio $54: 46 \%$, Figure 1 (top) and $41: 59$ \% Figure 1 (below) in saturated solution and the same solution that diluted four times, respectively.

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra indicate the signals ratio are depend on temperature and concentration.

At $298,313,323$, and 338 K the ratio of them, in diluted solution are $41: 59 \%, 52: 48 \%, 44: 56 \%$, and $38: 62 \%$ and in saturated solution at the same temperatures are $54: 46 \%$, $54: 46 \%, 50: 50 \%$, and $50: 50 \%$, respectively.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR study confirmed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR results, so that three signals appeared at $16.55,31.60$, and 31.74 ppm for methyl groups and three signals at 50.86, 50.90, and 61.20 ppm for tert-carbon atoms whereas two signals are revealed for carbonyl at 168.70 and 168.57 ppm .

These NMR data proposed that two convertible species (conformers) in solution exist. The presence of three signals for amino protons means that one of them has equivalent amino protons (in chemical shift 4.00 ppm with relative intensity 2) and alkyl groups whereas the other one contains two nonequivalent amino protons (in 3.92 and 4.43 ppm with integration ratio 1:1) and alkyl groups, too. It must


Figure $1{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 a}+\mathbf{1 b}$ in saturated solution (top), ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 a}+\mathbf{1 b}$ in the same solution that diluted four times (below) in [D6]DMSO (298 K).
be mentioned that in saturated solution the conversion of conformers is negligibly small.

Observation in molecule 2 is different from molecule 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows one peak at 9.8 ppm for amidic proton and a doublet peak at 4.19 ppm which owing to amine protons and one peak at 1.20 ppm for methyl protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR confirms ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR results, also ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum shows just one peak at 1.00 ppm . Contrast compound $\mathbf{1}$, there is only one molecule in solution. The coupling constant ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{PNH})_{\text {amide }}$ in compound $\mathbf{1}$ is observed but not in 2.

In compound 2, probably, because of $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ group's steric effect tert-butyl groups can not rotate.

To check and confirmation of NMR observation, we used the X-ray crystallography technique.

## $X$-ray crystal structure

Single crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were obtained from a solution of 1-heptane and chloroform (with ratio 1:4), at room temperature. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ ．

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ |
| Formula weight | 311.36 | 352.62 |
| Temperature | 120（2）K | 295（2）K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=10.009(2) \AA$ ， | $\mathrm{a}=10.167(3) \AA$ ， |
|  | $\alpha=99.64(3)^{\circ}$ 。 | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.065(2) \AA$ ， | $\mathrm{b}=9.958(3) \AA$ ， |
|  | $\beta=98.62(3)^{\circ}$ 。 | $\beta=91.32(2)^{\circ}$ 。 |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=17.507(4) \AA$ ， | $\mathrm{c}=17.105(7) \mathrm{A}$ ， |
|  | $\gamma=93.94(3)^{\circ}$ | $\gamma=90^{\circ}$ ． |
| V | 1711．2（6）$\AA^{3}$ | 1731．3（10）$\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ | Mo－K ${ }_{\alpha}$ |
| Calculated density | $1.209 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $1.353 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.169 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | $0.623 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F（000） | 672 | 736 |
| Crystal size | $0.60 \times 0.55 \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | $2.06-23.26^{\circ}$ | $2.31-26.97^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-11 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11$ | $0 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12$ |
|  | $-11 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11$ | $0 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12$ |
|  | $-19 \leq 1 \leq 19$ | $-21 \leq 1 \leq 21$ |
| Reflections collected | 11434 | 3932 |
| Number of Indep．Rflns（ $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}$ ） | 4722 （0．0225） | 3722 （0．0366） |
| Absorption correction | Semi－empirical from equivalents | None |
| Refinement method | Full matrix | Full matrix |
| Data／restraints／parameters | 4722 ／ 0 ／ 379 | 3722 ／ 0 ／ 172 |
| Goodness－of－fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.011 | 1.023 |
| Final R indices $\left[\mathrm{F}_{0}>4 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}\right)\right]$ ， n | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0637, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1724,4034$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0593, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1592,2176$ |
| R indices（all data） | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0705, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1805$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1202, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1907$ |
| Largest diff．peak and hole | 0.840 and -0.285 e．$\AA^{-3}$ | 0.484 and $-0.370 \mathrm{e}^{\text {．}}{ }^{-3}$ |
| Measurement： | Bruker SMART 1000 CCD | Enraf Nonius CAD4 |
| Structure determination： | SHELXL－97［14］ | SHELXL－97［14］ |

Table 2 Selected bond lengths／Å and angles／degr．for compound 1.

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.711(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.704(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.629(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.619(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.629(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.629(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.225(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.232(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.354(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.348(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.473(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.476(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $106.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $105.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $113.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $115.3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $114.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $114.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $106.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $101.3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $105.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $111.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $109.4(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $108.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $122.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $123.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $125.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $127.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $126.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $127.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $120.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.8(2)$ |

Table 3 Selected bond lengths $/ \AA$ and angles／degr．for compound $\mathbf{2}$ ．

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.466(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.206(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.718(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.346(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.608(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.474(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.611(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.751(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $104.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $123.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $116.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $129.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $117.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $129.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $109.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $109.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $108.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $108.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $124.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $110.1(4)$ |

Crystallographic data for the structures in this paper have been deposited with Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication nos．CCDC 218879 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ and $230775\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ ．Copies of the data can be obtained，free of charge，on application to CCDC， 12 Union Road，Cambridge CB2 1EZ，UK，（fax： ＋441223336033 or e－mail：deposit＠ccdc．cam．ac．uk）．

Selected bond lengths and angles are shown in Tables 2 and 3 for compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ ，respectively．

Compound 1 exists as two conformers in crystalline lat－ tice．They are due to different spatial orientation of tert－ butyl amine groups．One of them has two amino hydrogen atoms which are syn，（Figure 2，top，1a），but not in the other（Figure 2，below，1b）．
The difference is described by comparison of correspond－ ing torsion angles in two conformers．Torsion angles $\mathrm{N}(3)$－ $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ are $106.6(2)^{\circ}$ and $172.5(2)^{\circ}$ ，whereas $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\left(\mathrm{C} 8^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-$ $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ are $171.1(2)^{\circ}$ and $-178.3(2)^{\circ}$ ，respectively．

Various orientations of amine groups cause for existence of different inter－and intramolecular hydrogen bonds． There are two independent infinite chains in this structure． Each is composed of one of the two independent molecules， Figure 3.
Figure 4 shows a view of the unit cell packing that the intermolecular hydrogen bonds in 1a are seen．Two syn am－ ino hydrogen atoms in 1a form intermolecular hydrogen


Figure 2 Molecular structure and atom-labeling scheme for $[\mathrm{NH}(t-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{NHCOPh})$, 1a (top), and $\mathbf{1 b}$ (below) ( $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids).


Figure 3 Two independent infinite chains, each of them composed of one of the two independent molecules (conformers).
bonds only with a carbonyl oxygen atom, $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\right)$. Figure 5 indicates hydrogen bonds in other chain. The carbonyl oxygen atom $\mathrm{O}(1)$ makes intramolecular hydrogen bond with the proton which is connected to $\mathrm{N}(2)$ and makes intermolecular with $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{BB})$. In both chains, the amidic hydrogen atoms produced hydrogen bonds with oxygen atoms of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})$.

Torsion angles $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ and $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ in conformer $\mathbf{1 b}$ are 4.6(4), 3.9(4)


Figure 4 A view of the unit cell packing for compound $\mathbf{1}$ which in the intermolecular hydrogen bonds 1a are seen.


Figure 5 Inter and intramolecular hydrogen bonds in 1b.
and $-178.6(2)^{\circ}$, respectively. It shows phenyl ring approximately placed on the plane of $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$, i.e. phenyl ring is nearly the symmetry plane of this conformer, Figure 6 (top). For other conformer, torsion angles $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ - $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ -$\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-$ $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ are $9.6(4), 8.9(4)$ and $-169.9(2)^{\circ}$, respectively, that show more deviation than 1a, Figure 6 (below). Also see the tert-butyl amine group's orientation in Figure 6, up and down. In both cases, the phenyl ring position allows the various orientations of tert-butyl groups in two conformers to be done.

Both $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ bond lengths are $1.629(2) \AA$, whereas $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ are not identical, $1.619(3) \AA$ and $1.629(2) \AA$, respectively. These data certainly demonstrated two different amino protons, P-N bonds and tert-butyl groups in compound 1a. In 1b tert-butyl groups are almost always equivalent but not in 1a. It seems that due to the restricted rotation of tert-butyl amine groups, the presence of two conformers is observable in solution, too.

The $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3), \mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ bond lengths are significantly shorter than the typical P-N single bond length $(1.77 \AA)$ [15] but longer than the PN


Figure 6 A view of conformer 1a (top) and conformer 1b (below).
double bond length ( $1.57 \AA$, in $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N}-$ ). The shortening of PN bond lengths is likely seems related to an electrostatic effect (polar bond) which overlaps with P-N $\sigma$ bond [16].

The angles $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1), \quad \mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1), \quad \mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-$ $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ are $126.9(2)^{\circ}, 125.9(2)^{\circ}$, $127.2(2)^{\circ}$ and $127.4(2)^{\circ}$ that show hybridization is nearly $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ for amino nitrogen atoms. The same observation for amidic nitrogen atoms is done due to the resonance interaction of non-bonding electrons of $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore the existence of two conformers, which we suggested by NMR spectroscopy are confirmed by Xray crystallography.

Finally, replacing phenyl ring by electronegative $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ group suggests notable results. The molecular structure and atomic numbering scheme are shown in Figure 7. Compound 2 forms a one-dimensional chain in which two syn amino protons are hydrogen-bonded to carbonyl oxygen atom of an adjacent molecule and amidic proton with oxygen atom of phosphoryl group, Figure 8. Similar to 1a and $\mathbf{1 b}$, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ (amine) distances are shorter than the typical PN single bond length and the nitrogen atoms are surrounded relatively planar. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond length in compound $\mathbf{2}$ is shortened about 0.02 and $0.03 \AA$ in comparison with two conformers ( $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ ), respectively. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}-$ (amine) bond lengths are shorter than similar P-N bonds in $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$. Despite this a longer bond length revealed for amidic P-N bond in compound 2. Perhaps this increasing of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ amidic bond length in compound $\mathbf{2}$ is the reason for vanishing of the coupling between phosphorus and amidic proton $\left({ }^{2} \mathbf{J}_{\text {PNH }}=0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. There are anti orientation of the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ relative to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group in both compounds $\mathbf{1}$ (1a and $\mathbf{1 b}$ ) and 2. Drastically difference is obtained between amidic and amino P-N bond lengths in compound $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. The


Figure 7 Molecular structure and atom-labeling scheme for $[\mathrm{NH}(t-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})\left(\mathrm{NHCOCCl}_{3}\right)$, compound 2 ( $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids).


Figure 8 A view of the unit cell packing showing the one-dimentional hydrogen- bonding array in compound 2.
amino P-N bond lengths in both molecules are considerably shorter than amidic P-N bonds. It means that the restricted rotation can be performed around the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ amine bond and the rotation around $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ amide at normal temperature can not restricted.

## Experimental Section

Tert-butyl amine(>99 \%), benzamide(>98 \%), 2, 2, 2-trichloroacetamide ( $>98 \%$ (Merck), phosphorus pentachloride( $\geq 98 \%$ ) (Fluka), were used as supplied. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker (Avance DRS) 500 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ chemical shifts were determined relative to TMS and $85 \%$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as external standards, respectively. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu model IR-60 spectrometer. Elemental analysis was performed using a Heraeus CHN-O-RAPID apparatus. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained with a Shimadzu model QP-1100EX spectrometer (EI, 20ev).

## Syntheses

$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathbf{N H P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was prepared similar to the precedure by Kirsanov [17] from the reaction of phosphorus pentachloride and benzamide in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ and then the treatment of formic acid.
$\mathbf{C C l}_{3} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{O}) \mathbf{N H P}(\mathbf{O}) \mathbf{C l}_{2}$ was prepared in the same way as $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHP}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ by using 2, 2, 2- trichloroacetamide instead of benzamide [18].
$\mathbf{N}$-benzoyl, $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}, \mathbf{N}{ }^{\prime \prime}$-bis(tert-butyl)phosphoric triamide (two conformers, $\mathbf{1 a}+\mathbf{1 b}$ ): Tert-butyl amine ( $0.298 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of N -benzoyl phosphoramidic dichloride $(0.238 \mathrm{~g}$, $1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 h the solvent removed and the residue that formed was stirred in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Product was filtered off and then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and recrystallized from chloroform and n-heptane. Elemental analysis (\%) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ : C 57.86, H 8.42, N 13.50; found: C 57.86, H 8.41, N 13.52 .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}\right), \delta=1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, t-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 3.92\left(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {amine }}\right), 4.00\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{PNH})=\right.$ $\left.6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {amine }}\right), 4.43\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{PNH})=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {amine }}\right), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {meta }}\right), 7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }}\right), 7.92$ (pseudo-t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {or }}$, tho $), 9.47\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{PNH})=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {amide }}\right), 9.64\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{PNH})=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {amide }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125.77 MHz , [D6]DMSO, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS), $\delta=$ $168.70(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 168.57(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 134.62\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C})=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right)$, 133.95 (d., ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C})=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 132.72 (s), 132.34 (s), $128.84(\mathrm{~s}), 128.72$ $(\mathrm{s}), 128.56(\mathrm{~s}), 128.47(\mathrm{~s}), 61.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ter }}\right), 50.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {tert }}\right), 50.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {terr }}\right)$, $31.74\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C})=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.60\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C})=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.55$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C})=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $202.46 \mathrm{MHz},[\mathrm{D} 6] \mathrm{DMSO}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ external), $\delta=4.10(\mathrm{~m})$ and $4.70(\mathrm{~m})$. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\delta}=3290(\mathrm{NH}), 3115$ ( NH ), 2940, 1634 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1496, 1446, 1418, 1387, 1279, 1234 ( $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1211 $(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}), 1009,957(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}), 705(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}), 534$. MS $(20 \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{EI}): m / z=312$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 5 \%\right), 311\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 1 \%\right), 296\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 16 \%\right), 105\left([\mathrm{PhCO}]^{+}\right.$, $15 \%), 77\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{+}, 15 \%\right), 58\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 57\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{+}, 14 \%\right)$.
$\mathbf{N}$-trichloroacetyl, $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}, \mathbf{N}^{\prime \prime}-$ bis(tert-butyl)phosphoric triamide: Tert-butyl amine ( $0.298 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of trichloroacetyl phosphoramidic dichloride ( $0.279 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 h the solvent removed and the residue that formed was stirred in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Product was filtered off and then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and recrystallized from chloroform and n-heptane. Elemental analysis (\%) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{C} 34.06, \mathrm{H} 6.00$, N 11.92; found: C $34.08, \mathrm{H}$ 6.01, N 11.89.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}\right), \delta=1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 4.19\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{PNH})=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {amine }}\right), 9.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {amide }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125.77 \mathrm{MHz},[\mathrm{D} 6] \mathrm{DMSO}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ), $\delta=162.28$ (s, C, C=O), 51.95 $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ter }}\right), 32.65\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C})=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}(202.46 \mathrm{MHz}$,
[D6]DMSO, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ external), $\delta=1.00$. IR (KBr): $\tilde{v}=3345(\mathrm{NH})$, 3045 (NH), 2860, 1683 (C=O), 1437, 1385, 1262, 1212, 1018, 837, 844, 795, 677. MS (20 eV, EI): $m / z=357\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{37} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}, 1 \%\right), 355$ $\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}, \quad 2 \%\right), \quad 353 \quad\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}\right.$, $2 \%), 280\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}, 45 \%\right), 191\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OP}\right]^{+}, \quad 11 \%\right), \quad 119$ $\left(\left[\mathrm{C}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 65 \%\right)$, $57\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{+}, 21 \%\right)$.

## References

[1] M. L.Helm, S. A. Katz, T. W. Imioczyk, R. M. Hands, A. O. Norman, Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 3167-3172.
[2] S. E. Denmark, K. A. Swiss, S. R. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3826-3827.
[3] K. V. Katti, A. A. Pinkerton, R. G. Cavell, Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 2631-2633.
[4] A. Sundermann, W. W. Schoeller, Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 6261-6270.
[5] E. E. Nifantiev, M. K. Grachev, S. Y. Burmistrov, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3755-3799.
[6] K. E. Gubina, J. A. Shatrava, V. A. Ovchynnikov, V. M. Amirkhanov, Polyhedron 2000, 19, 2203-2209.
[7] L. J. Caudle, E. N. Duesler, R. T. Paine, Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 4441.
[8] V. M. Amirkhanov, V. A. Ochynnikov, T. Z. Galowiak, Naturforsch. 1997, 52b, 1331-1336.
[9] V. Mizrahi, T. A. Modro, Crystallogr. Struct. Commun. 1982, 11, 627.
[10] V. N. Soloviev, A. N. Chekhlov, N. G. Zabirov, R. A. Cherkasov, I. V. Martynov, Zhurn. Strukt. Khimii (Russia) 1990, 31, 117.
[11] M. Habmann, "Analytical Chemistry of Phosphorus Compounds", Wiley. Interscience, 1972.
[12] K. Gholivand, S. Dehghanpour, G. Gerivani and H. R. Bijanzadeh, Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon. 2000, 157,11.
[13] J. Martin, J. B. Robert, Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, $28,2475$.
[14] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELEX v. 5.10, Structure Determination Software Suit, Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, USA, 1998.
[15] D. E. C. Corbridge, Phosphorus, an outline of it's Chemistry, Biochemistry and Technology, Fifth Edition, Elsevier, The Netherlands, 1995.
[16] D. G. Gilheany, Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1339.
[17] A. V. Kirsanov, R. Makitra, J. Gen. Chem. 1956, 26, 907.
[18] A. V. Kirsanov, G. I. Derkach, Zhur. Obshch. Khim. 1956, 26, 2082.


[^0]:    * Dr. Khodayar Gholivand

    Department of Chemistry-Tarbiat Modarres University
    P. O. Box: 14115-175

    Tehran / Iran
    Tel.: (+98) 21-8011001-Int.: 3443
    Fax: (+98) 21-8006544
    E-mail: gholi_kh@modares.ac.ir

