

INFLUENCE OF STRUCTURAL DEFECTS ON THE BENEFICIARY EFFECT OF AUTOFRETTAGE

S. Hadidi-Moud^{1,2,*} and H. Makari¹

ABSTRACT

A comprehensive numerical study has been carried out to investigate and to explore the impact of the presence of part through surface cracks of various size and orientation in a thick welded ferritic steel cylindrical vessel, on the beneficiary effect of autofrettage (AF) loading. It is well known that autofrettage loading enhances the load carrying capacity of defect free pressure vessels. The results of this study suggest that in presence of cracks, the localised near crack tip residual stress fields interact with in service stresses and influence the enhancement in load carrying capacity of autofrettaged vessel. Furthermore it is concluded that depending on the crack/loading configuration the impact of crack presence on post-autofrettage performance of the vessel varies from noticeable in some cases to marginal in others to negligible for cases where the crack tip stress field is not significantly disturbed by the service loads.

Keywords: Autofrettage, surface part through cracks, pressure vessel, residual stress, FEM

INTRODUCTION

The beneficiary effect of AF loading i.e. enhancement in the load carrying capacity of pressurised defect free vessels is well established and widely addressed in literature. The majority of research conducted on autofrettage in recent years has focused on issues related to the effects of material behaviour including reverse loading response for the hardening rule (Bauschinger effect). For example Parker [1], Perry and Aboudi [2] and Perl and Perry [3] investigated the role of Bauschinger effect and provided generalised three dimensional elastic-plastic stress and strain field solutions for autofrettaged vessel. Hojjati [4] provided analytical and FE models for autofrettaged strain hardening thick walled tubes. Geometry related studies were carried out by Anon [5], Adibi-Asl [6] and Parker [7] who investigated autofrettage of spherical vessel and Mohammadi [8] who explored residual stress fields induced by autofrettage in compound tubes for a range of geometries. An interesting study by Jahed [9] considered the issue of "re-autofrettage".

The benefit of AF is mainly due to the residual stresses arising from plastic deformation induced in the vessel that oppose the service load induced stresses and result in the critical stress levels being reached at a higher level of the applied service pressure. Typical residual stress distribution fields in a cylindrical vessel following autofrettage are shown in Figure 1.

The significance of influence of part through defects arising from manufacturing processes, mounting arrangements, installation procedures and maintenance that vary in shape, orientation,

1 Mechanical Engineering Department, Ferdowsi University, Mashhad, Iran, Hadidi@Ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

2. Solid Mechanics Research Group, University of Bristol, UK, Saeid.Hadidi-Moud@Bristol.ac.uk

* The work was carried out at Ferdowsi University and reported after the first author moved to Bristol University.

location and depth on the beneficiary effect of AF is less understood and needs to be systematically explored. The initial impact of presence of defects is that the residual stress fields in and around the defect plane will be affected and redistributed and hence would be different from the uncracked RS fields in the autofrettaged pipe. Treatment of residual stresses due to forming and welding in structural integrity assessment codes requires careful consideration to avoid misleading predictions of fracture. There exists very limited research work aimed to address redistribution of stresses due to autofrettage loading in presence of cracks. One such example is the work of Kapp and Crofton [10]. They provided an analytical solution for stress field in an autofrettaged thick walled cylinder subjected to pressure load that included cracks. Recently a numerical study reported the effect of initial RS fields on cleavage fracture in a cylindrical vessel containing a surface hoop crack of finite size (Hadidi-Moud et al [11]). The systematic numerical study reported here is aimed to provide an insight to the problem by considering various crack configurations.




Figure 1. Typical residual stress distributions in autofrettaged uncracked cylinder

In the following sections, the geometry, material and details of cracks are first described together with the finite element models that were created for the analysis of AF. The results for normal to crack plane stresses are then presented and the impact of AF is discussed. Finally important aspects of the impact of crack presence on the potential benefit of AF are highlighted for all crack configurations

DESCRIPTION OF MODELS

Using ABAQUS/CAE (V6.7) [12], two thick walled cylinders of ferritic steel A533B were modeled and used in this study. The vessel geometry and crack details are shown in TABLE 1. Eight configurations of part through cracks (5 mm through the pipe thickness at the deepest point) were introduced to the 25 mm thick pipe. These include finite (50 mm edge to edge on pipe surface) and fully extended cracks either in hoop or in the axial direction and in each case on the outer or the inner surface of the pipe. A 12 mm deep extended external axial crack was introduced into the 50 mm thick pipe. All crack configurations are shown in Figure 2 whereas Figure 3 shows the details of finite element model and mesh refinement in regions where various cracks were introduced in axial or hoop direction.

TABLE 1: Model details

Model	OD, mm	Thickness; mm	ID; mm	Length; mm	$K = \frac{R_o}{R_i}$	a/t
Short pipe*	200	25	150	100	1.33	0.2
Long pipe**	200	50	100	1000	2.00	0.24

*) all (eight) crack geometries

***) extended axial crack on outer surface only




Figure 2. All crack configurations considered in the analyses




Figure 3. Details of finite element models and cracks: a) pipe model with locally refined mesh for introduction of cracks; b) finite part through hoop crack; c) finite part through axial crack

Sufficient mesh refinement to provide reliable stress strain data was considered in the analyses based on verification of FE analysis results with analytical solutions for benchmark uncracked models. Furthermore, crack tip mesh refinement to sufficient degree was achieved by use of sub-modelling option in ABAQUS/CAE.

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

All results are discussed in the context of stress, residual stress and stress re-distributions at the crack front as well as the inner surface of the vessel. Normal to crack plane stresses have been plotted against through thickness distance from crack tip in the crack extension plane and compared to explore the impact of each crack configuration. Sub-modelling facility of ABAQUS/CAE was deployed to ensure that reliable stress evaluation at crack tip region was achieved in a time efficient

fashion. In this section FE analyses are described and the results are discussed. Analytical solutions of AF for elastic-perfectly plastic material available in literature were used for verification of FE simulations to ensure that appropriate mesh refinement and boundary conditions have been considered. However, details of analytical verification are not included in this paper.

Analysis results for 25 mm thick pipe

For the pipe with 25 mm wall thickness all crack configurations were simulated to understand how residual stress fields that develop in AF process may be affected by presence of various part-through cracks in the pipe. AF pressure was 165 MPa and service pressure of 125 MPa was used. Data for A533B steel used in these analyses was available from previous research (Smith et al [13]). Through thickness stresses from the deepest point of crack front were examined. Results for all axial cracks are shown in Figures 4a for inner surface finite and extended part through cracks and in Figure 4b for outer surface finite and extended part through cracks. Similarly, Figures 5a and 5b show the stress distributions for all hoop cracks for inner surface finite and extended part through cracks and for outer surface finite and extended part through cracks respectively.

a) Axial cracks; hoop stress distributions

Comparison of distributions shown in Figure 4a for finite and fully extended axial cracks on the inner surface of the cylindrical vessel indicates that autofrettage loading leaves a compressive stress field normal to the crack plane at the crack tip region for both cases. The level of compressive stress for the case of fully extended crack (right) is higher than that of the finite axial crack (left). However following reloading the normal to crack plane stress level ahead of the crack tip shows higher reduction for the finite crack i.e. extended crack represents a more critical situation. This finding is consistent with the general design practice in integrity assessment codes that replace the finite part through surface cracks of various depths with one fully extended crack of a depth equal to the deepest detected finite crack and consider this assumption to be conservative.




Figure 4a - Influence of AF loading on crack plane stress distributions for inner surface axial cracks on 25 mm thick pipe

The above discussion is also valid for the case of outer surface axial cracks in figure 4b. as seen for the finite outer surface axial crack (left) crack tip compressive stress field is lower than that of fully

extended crack but the result is more reduction in crack front stress compared with the case of fully extended crack. This again supports the design practice assumption as described above.

It is also noticeable from the comparison of Figures 4a and 4b that the compressive crack tip hoop stress field for the inner cracks (4a) is generally stronger than that of the outer surface cracks (4b). These figures also suggest that the subsequent impact of RS on reloading stresses is consistently more significant for the inner crack cases shown in Figure 4a.




Figure 4b - Influence of AF loading on crack plane stress distributions for outer surface axial cracks on 25 mm thick pipe

b) Hoop cracks; axial stress distributions

Similar stress distributions in normal to crack plane direction are plotted in figures 5a and 5b. These figures summarise all hoop crack configurations, finite or fully extended on the inner surface (Figure 5a) or the outer surface (Figure 5b) and their corresponding normal to crack plane stresses (axial stresses for these cases). The results indicate that axial stress distributions show less sensitivity to stress redistributions for finite hoop cracks compared with the extended hoop cracks and this is the case for both inner and outer surface cracks. It should be noted that although the results are less affected in these cases it may be concluded that the fully extended cracks represent the higher stress fields consistent with the integrity assessment code recommendations.




Figure 5a - Influence of AF loading on crack plane stress distributions for inner surface hoop cracks on 25 mm thick pipe




Figure 5b - Influence of AF loading on crack plane stress distributions for outer surface hoop cracks on 25 mm thick pipe

Similar to the case of axial cracks the argument regarding the comparison of crack tip compressive RS regions corresponding to the inner and outer surface cracks and their subsequent impact on reloading stresses is also valid for the cases of hoop cracks. Comparing figures 5a and 5b it is seen that axial compressive crack tip residual stresses in Figure 5a are stronger than those in Figure 5b, so is their subsequent impact on reloading axial stress distributions.

Analysis results for 50 mm thick pipe

For 50 mm thick pipe AF load of 400 MPa was used and the applied service load was 320 MPa. Figure 5 shows that the extended axial crack on the outer surface disturbs the compressive hoop stress field on the inner surface of the pipe and as a result the benefit of AF is almost wiped out across a region around the crack plane. Through thickness normal stress distribution is also shown in Figure 6. Interestingly in this case of a rather deeper crack into the exterior surface of the pipe, AF has introduced tensile RS field in normal to crack plane at the tip region. As a result as Figure 6 (right) indicates the level of hoop stress on reloading at the tip region is higher than the stress at the same load level for the solution without considering AF. This implies that for the case of fully extended external axial crack in the thick pipe with deep crack Af is not only not beneficial to the vessel but it reduces the load carrying capacity on subsequent loading.




Figure 6 - Influence of AF on inner surface (left), and through thickness in crack plane (right) Hoop stress for 12 mm deep extended external axial crack on 50 mm thick pipe

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The following conclusions are based on incremental development of stress distributions studied for various analyses. Details of these distributions are not presented in the body of this paper.

- For cracks on the outer surface regions of plasticity emerge from both the inner surface and the crack tip region whereas for internal cracks these plastic regions are submerged. In case of extended axial crack plastic regions eventually merge and plasticity extends from inner surface to the crack tip region.
- For finite cracks RS distribution varies along the crack front. For hoop finite cracks on the outer surface crack edges (on the surface) experience tensile normal stresses.

The following conclusions are based on the results of analyses presented in figures 4, 5 and 6.

- Residual stresses arising from AF in both the inner surface of the pipe and at the crack tip zone are compressive, thus reduce the stress level on reloading.
- For axial cracks tip region normal (hoop) stresses are higher and increase with increasing the size of crack front resulting in higher residual stresses.
- Care should be taken to avoid failure if AF loading is to be used in presence of surface cracks. The crack tip stress field may limit the allowable AF load, thus reducing the compressive RS field on the inner surface that in turn reduces, if not vanishing, the expected benefit of AF. This is especially the case for external axial cracks
- Although in most cases autofrettage loading results in lowering the crack front stresses on reloading, it should be noted that the level of stress generally remains higher compared with the case of uncracked pipe.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thank Ferdowsi University of Mashhad for funding this research programme. The first author is also thankful to Professor DJ Smith Director of Systems Performance Centre at the University of Bristol for his advice and comments during the course of work.

REFERENCE LIST

- [1] Parker, A. P., J. Press. Ves. Tech., V123, 2001, pp. 271-281.
- [2] Perry, J. and Aboudi, J., ASME Transactions, 2003, V125, pp. 248-252.
- [3] Perl, M. and Perry, J., J. Press. Ves. Tech., 2006, V128, pp. 173-178.
- [4] Hojjati M H and Hassani A, Int. J. of Press. Ves. & Piping, 2007, 310-319 84
- [5] Anon, Proceedings of ASME PVP 2007, Vol 5 : 69-78 2008
- [5] Adibi-Asl R and Livieri P, J Press. Ves. Tech.-Trans. ASME 129 (3): 411-419 2007
- [7] Parker AP and Huang XP, Proceedings of ASME PVP 2007, Vol 5 : 37-45 2008
- [8] Mohammadi M, Farrahi GH and Hoseini SH, Proceedings of ASME PVP 2007, Vol 5: 53-61 2008

- [9] Jahed H, Moghadam BA and Shambooli M, J Press. Ves. Tech.-Trans. ASME 128 (2): 223-226 2006
- [10] Kapp J A and Crofton P S J, ASME PVP 1987, 23-33, 125
- [11] Hadidi-Moud, S., Truman, C. E. and DJ Smith,, *Proceedings of ECF16*, Alexandroupolis, Greece, 2006.
- [12] Hibbit, Karlsson and Sorenson Inc., ABAQUS Users Manuals, ABAQUS/CAE, version 6.7.2, 2006
- [13] Smith D. J., Hadidi-Moud S. and Fowler H., Engng. Fract Mech., V 71, No 13-14, 2004, pp 2015-2032