

# **Book of Abstracts of the 60th Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production**



**Book of abstracts No. 15 (2009)  
Barcelona, Spain  
24-27 August 2009**

Comella, M.	166	Dall'olio, S.	164
Commun, L.	118	Dalmau, A.	112, 254, 287
Conde-Aguilera, J.A.	567, 584	Dammann, M.	70, 71
Cone, J.W.	312, 563, 563	Dämmgen, U.	402
Cong, T.V.C.	606	Danchin-Burge, C.	68, 71, 121
Conte, G.	189	D'Andrea, M.	17, 133, 149
Conti, R.M.C.	367	D'Andrea, S.	117, 118
Contiero, B.	306	Danesh Mesgaran, M.	313, 371, 373, 379, 383, 520, 572, 575, 581, 587, 593
Contò, G.	365	Daniel, J.	93
Cornou, C.	622	Danieli, P.P.	577
Cornu, A.	313	Danvy, S.	222
Correa, J.A.	254	Darabi, S.	274
Correia, M.J.	429, 432	Dardenne, P.	202, 203
Corte, R.R.P.S.	408	Daridan, D.	130
Cortés, O.	153	Darnhofer, I.	289
Coster, A.	157, 296, 300, 301	Das, A.	246, 560
Cothran, E.G.	175	Dashab, G.H.	360, 360
Coueron, E.	336	Daskalopoulou, E.	527
Coughlan, F.	350	Dastar, B.	57, 353
Cournut, S.	290	David, I.	260, 599
Couvreux, S.	324	David, V.	250
Cozzi, G.	332	Davis, S.R.	185
Crenshaw, J.	449	Davis, T.A.	94
Crepaldi, P.	186, 317	Davoli, R.	133, 164, 166
Crepon, K.	230	Davy, J.	327
Crespo, D.G.	516	Dawson, K.	244
Crespo, I.	124	Daza, A.	246
Crespo, J.P.	516	Daza, J.	315
Crews Jr., D.H.	148	Daß, G.	342
Croiseau, P.	2, 294	De Argüello Díaz, S.	22
Cromie, A.R.	141, 183	De Boer, I.J.M.	111
Crompton, L.A.	25	De Boever, J.L.	354, 514
Cronin, G.M.	437	De Brabander, D.L.	96, 354, 569
Crooijmans, R.P.	140	De Campeneere, S.	354, 569
Crook, B.J.	150	De Freitas, M.A.R.	152
Crowley, J.J.	148	De Greef, K.H.	1, 125, 480, 497, 623, 623, 624
Cruz, V.	115	De Haas, Y.	6
Csapó, J.	472	De Klerk, B.	605
Cucco, D.C.	189	De Koning, D.J.	135, 138, 169, 178, 601, 611, 612
Cue, R.I.	180, 195	De La Chevrotière, C.	256, 460
Curran, J.	310	De La Fuente, J.	11
Cutullic, E.	104	De La Fuente, L.F.	185, 258
Cyrino, J.E.P.	356	De Marchi, M.	148
Cziszter, L.T.	620	De Montera, B.	181
<b>D</b>		De Ondiz, A.	154, 405
D'Abbadie, F.	169	De Pedro, E.	213
Dabiri, N.	45	De Renobales, M.	49, 53
Daetwyler, H.D.	293	De Roest, K.	622
Daftarian, P.M.	177	De Roos, A.P.W.	29
Daga, C.	146	De Smet, K.	514
Dal Maso, M.	350	De Vries, M.	111
D'Alessandro, A.G.	84, 84, 90	De Wit, A.A.C.	181
Dalin, G.	215	De Witt, F.H.	534
Daliri, M.	397, 398	D'Eath, R.B.	281, 282
Dallan, E.M.	494	Debus, N.	485

### Effect of folic acid and pantothenic acid supplementation on ruminal metabolism and nutrient flow at the duodenum

Ragaller, V., Lebzien, P., Huether, L. and Flachowsky, G., Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Institute of Animal Nutrition, Bundesallee 50, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany; peter.lebzien@fli.bund.de

Folic acid (FA) and pantothenic acid (PA) are both B-complex vitamins. The active form of FA plays an essential role in methionine and DNA metabolism. As a part of Coenzyme A and acyl carrier protein, PA is required in nearly every cell. It seems that FA and PA are the only B-vitamins for which microbial synthesis does not meet the estimated requirements. The objectives of the presented experiments were to study the influence of supplements of FA and PA on ruminal metabolism, with two diets differing in concentrate (C) to forage (F) ratio in cows fistulated at the rumen and the proximal duodenum. In the first experiment, a supplementation of 1 g FA per cow and day, and in the second experiment, a supplementation of 1 g PA per cow and day, were added to diets consisting either of  $\frac{2}{3}$  C and  $\frac{1}{3}$  F, or  $\frac{1}{3}$  C and  $\frac{2}{3}$  F. It seems that both vitamins have no influence on ruminal pH and  $\text{NH}_3$  concentration and, only minor influences on some short chain fatty acids at both rations. FA at  $\frac{1}{3}$  C ration had no influence on fermented organic matter (FOM), apparent NDF digestibility, microbial protein synthesis, protein degradation and uCP. However, at  $\frac{2}{3}$  C-ration, FA tended to decrease the proportion of FOM to organic matter intake. Additionally, the amount of microbial protein arriving at the duodenum as well as the efficiency of the microbial protein synthesis significantly decreased due to FA at  $\frac{2}{3}$  C-ration. Thus, FA at  $\frac{2}{3}$  C-ration may result in less available energy for microbial protein synthesis. Apart from an increased FOM at  $\frac{1}{3}$  C-ration and a decreased microbial protein synthesis at  $\frac{2}{3}$  C-ration, no parameters were significantly influenced due to PA supplementation. Therefore, it seems that both vitamins had varying effects on ruminal metabolism at the different rations.

### The effect of various mechanical processing on *in situ* degradation of sugar beet pulp

Mojtahedi, M., **Danesh Mesgaran, M.**, Heravi Moussavi, A. and Tahmasbi, A., Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Department of Animal Science, Excellence center for Animal Science, P.O. Box: 91775-1163, Mashhad, Iran; danesh@um.ac.ir

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the mechanical processing on *in situ* rumen dry matter (DM) degradation of sugar beet pulp (SBP). Samples were provided as unmolasses wet shred (UWS), molasses dried shred (MDS), molasses pelleted (MPL) and molasses blocked (MBL). Four rumen fistulated Holstein steers (400±12 kg, body weight) were used. Samples were milled (2-mm screen) and weighed (5 g, DM) into bags (12×19 cm) made of polyester cloth with 52 µm pore size (n=4). Bags were incubated in the rumen for 2, 4, 8, 16, 24, 48 and 72 h. A part of bags was washed with cold tap water to estimate the wash-out at zero time. After each rumen incubation, bags were hand-washed, then, dried in a forced-air oven (60 °C, 48 h). The degradable parameters of DM were determined using the equation of  $P = a + b(1 - e^{-ct})$ ; P: potential degradability, a: rapidly degradable fraction, b: slowly degradable fraction, c: fractional degradation rate constant ( $\text{h}^{-1}$ ). Results showed that the *in situ* degradation parameters of DM of SBP were influenced by the processing ( $P < 0.05$ ). The fraction of (a) was the highest for MBL sample (UWS = 0.03±0.02, MPL = 0.41±0.02, MDS = 0.42±0.02 and MBL = 0.58±0.01). While, slowly degradable fraction of UWS was higher than the others (UWS = 0.97±0.03, MPL = 0.54±0.02, MDS = 0.54±0.03 and MBL = 0.39±0.02). Molasses blocked and MPL had the highest fraction of (c) of DM ( $P < 0.05$ ) compared with MDS and UWS (0.080±0.011, 0.071±0.008, 0.055±0.009 and 0.052±0.009, respectively).