

## Experimental and Modeling Technique for Liquid Holdup between Spherical Particles

J. Sargolzaei <sup>\*,1</sup>, M.T. Hamed Mosavian<sup>1</sup>, and B. Stanmore<sup>2</sup> <sup>\*,1</sup> Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Faculty of Engineering, Chemical Engineering Department, P.O. Box 9177948944, Mashhad, Iran <sup>2</sup> University of Queensland, Department of Chemical Engineering, St Lucia, Queensland, Australia

## Abstract

The experimental model is presented to investigate the liquid bridge formed in liquid between two spherical particles. Also, the prediction of the maximum volume of liquid holdup between two spherical particles with respect to particle size, liquid characteristics, and body force is one of the objectives of this paper. In order to calculate the volume of the liquid bridge, the previous methods used a combination of the variables such as filling angle, interface curvature, and the liquid bridge neck diameter for measurement. However, the filling angle and interface curvature are difficult to measure in practice. In this paper, some equations from previous publications are transformed into functions of a single variable, which is the ratio between liquid bridge neck diameter and particle size. Then a comparison is made between the result based on these equations and some experimental results. It is also assumed that the liquid contact angle is zero and the Maple computer software was adopted to modeling. The main difference between the current research and the previous ones is that the gravity effect is not neglected and hence it might be applicable to centrifugal processes.

Keywords: Liquid holdup, Liquid bridge, Contact angle, Maple software, Gravity effect

## **1** Introduction

The investigation of maximum liquid holdup between particles is closely related to the liquid drainage in a packed bed or porous media. It has diverse applications in different fields such as centrifugal separation [1,2], sintering [3], landfill saturation [4], oil recovery from reservoirs [5, 6, 7], rheological behavior of aerated palm kernel oil-water emulsions [8], and in the removal of heavy metals from wastewater [9].

There had been many attempts to study the liquid bridge formed between two particles. However, the main theme was the force required to separate two particles joined by a liquid bridge [10-17]. The main assumption in all those papers was neglecting the body force (gravitational/centrifugal) due to small particle size. Moreover their consideration of the liquid bridge volume concerned only the volume and not the maximum liquid holdup at equilibrium. Therefore they are not applicable in a separation process such as centrifugation where the body force plays an important role. Three forms of liquid holdup involved in a draining bed can be identified as dynamic liquid holdup, static liquid holdup, and free surface liquid holdup. It is believed that the static liquid holdup between the particles makes the major contribution toward the carryover of impurities in the sugar crystal centrifugal separation process. Thus, this study is focused on static liquid holdup.

## 2 Materials and methods

In these experiments, molasses of four different dilutions, oil, and water have been used as the liquid media. The pairs of spheres of sizes 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, and 0.04 meters in diameter were used.

## 2.1 Experimental setup

The experimental setup to measure the static liquid holdup between two spheres is shown in Figure 1.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: sargolzaei@um.ac.ir Tel: (+98)9155124591; Fax: (+98)5118816840





Figure 1: Setup for measuring static liquid holdup

It consists of two equal size spherical glass particles mounted vertically one above the other. The experiments are carried out by lifting the upper particle about half a centimeter. Then, while placing it back, a syringe is used to fill the gap between the particles with a liquid. A traveling microscope is then used to measure the liquid bridge neck diameter at different times till it reaches as a steady value.

## 2.2 Experimental error

Experimental errors consist of three parts in the following:

- ° In accuracy in traveling microscope.
- <sup>°</sup> Variation in media temperature which affects the surface tension by about 3% to 5%.

° The volume of the liquid bridge holdup between spheres of 0.01 to 0.04 m diameter varies from 0.04 to 0.25 cm<sup>3</sup> respectively. This shows that even minute variation in total liquid holdup can affect the result. Therefore, if the experiment has not been conducted in a well-sealed container, the variation of air temperature and humidity can cause evaporation of the liquid holdup. This is more obvious in the first set of experiments (Figure 2 at the dimensionless liquid bridge neck diameter ( $\xi$  is 0.6), where part of the experiment has not been conducted in a completely sealed container.



Figure 2: Experimental results for static liquid holdup

## 2.3 Phenomenological aspect

The technique of feeding the liquid between the particles and also initial draining flow rate also may affect the final result.

## **3 Modeling**

Modeling the system, consider two spherical particles vertically positioned and kept in contact (zero gaps). In this research for modeling, it is assumed that the air-liquid interface has a circular shape and is symmetrically balanced around both X and Y axes (Figure 3). It is assumed that the liquid contact angle is zero. In the present paper, a force balance considered for the liquid bridge, and in turn a semi-empirical model predicts the dimensionless liquid bridge neck diameter ( $\xi$ ) as a function of capillary number (N<sub>cap</sub>).



The 6th International Chemical Engineering Congress & Exhibition

16 - 20 November, 2009 Kish Island, I.R. Iran





Figure 3: Liquid holdup between two vertically attached spheres

The model is presented in Figure 3. Consider two identical spheres of radius R in contact vertically with each other. At the equilibrium, there will be maximum liquid held up between the particles.

Consider the triangle ABC where  $r_2 = b/2$  is the liquid bridge neck radius along the X -axis which passes through the sphere's contact point and  $r_1$  is the liquid bridge air-liquid interface radius in XY plane. The angle EAC ( $\theta$ ) is called the filling angle and is the angle formed between the Y-axis and the line passing through the center point of the particle and the air-liquid-solid interface point. Then the volume of the liquid bridge is calculated as a function of ( $\xi$ ). For the calculation of the volume of the liquid bridge, three of the previously reported models [16, 18, and 19] were used. These models were functions of  $\theta$ ,  $r_1$ , and  $r_2$  (as shown in Figure 3). However,  $\theta$  and  $r_1$  are difficult to measure practically. Therefore, they were transformed to be only the function of  $\xi$  which is in practice easily measurable.

## **4 Results and Discussion**

## 4.1 Prediction of $\xi$ as a function of N<sub>cap</sub>

In this research, as it is assumed that the air-liquid interface is of a circular cross section and thus is symmetrical about the BC axis, the forces acting on the liquid bridge are  $F_s$  (surface tension),  $F_c$  (hydrostatic) and  $F_g$  (gravity). Therefore, the force balance can be written as:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{s}} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{c}} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{g}} \tag{1}$$

Where

$$F_{s} = 2\pi\sigma R \sin^{2}\theta \tag{2}$$

$$F_{c} = \pi \sigma \left(\frac{1}{r_{1}} - \frac{1}{r_{2}}\right) R^{2} \sin^{2} \theta$$
(3)

$$F_{g} = 2\pi\rho g \overline{DE} H^{2}$$
(4)

The liquid bridge neck diameter (b) and particle size (d) are the practically measurable variables. Therefore, it is important to express all other variables in terms of the dimensionless number  $\xi$  which is the function of  $r_2$  and R.

$$\xi = \frac{b}{d} = \frac{r_2}{R} \tag{5}$$

Where b = liquid bridge neck diameter and d = particle diameter.

Considering equations (2-4), the values of  $r_1$ ,  $\sin\theta$ , H and DE are to be expressed in dimensionless form as a function of  $\xi$ .

$$\varsigma = \frac{r_1}{R} = \frac{\xi^2}{2(1-\xi)} \tag{6}$$

And

$$\frac{H}{R} = \frac{\xi^2}{(2 - 2\xi + \xi^2)}$$
(7)

Now to define DE as a function of



$$\frac{\overline{DE}}{R} = \left(\frac{\xi(2-\xi)}{2-2\xi+\xi^2}\right)$$
(8)  
The value of sin  $\theta$  is:  
 $\sin \theta = \left(\frac{\xi(2-\xi)}{2-2\xi+\xi^2}\right)$ 
(9)

After substituting the equations 2-9 in equation 1 and simplification, we will arrive at the following:

$$N_{cap} = \frac{2(2-\xi)(2\xi^3 - 3\xi + 2)}{\xi^4}$$
(10)

Where

$$N_{cap} = \frac{\rho g d^2}{\sigma}$$
(11)

Where  $N_{cap}$  is called the Capillary Number or alternatively the Bond Number and consists of known liquid physical characteristics (density  $\rho$  and surface tension  $\sigma$ ), body force (gravitational/centrifugal g) and particle size (diameter d). For a given  $N_{cap}$ ,  $\xi$  can be predicted and from that, the liquid bridge volume. Figure 4 illustrates the value of  $N_{cap}$  calculated when  $\xi$  varies from 0.29 to 2.00.



Figure 4: Dimensionless liquid bridge neck diameter as a function of  $N_{cap}$ 

For a particular system within the value of  $N_{cap}$ , the maximum liquid bridge diameter ( $\xi$ ) can be found from Figure 5 [20, 21].



Figure 5: Dimensionless liquid bridge neck diameter as a function of  $N_{cap}$ 

## 4.2 Prediction of Volume as a Function of x

It is important to be able to calculate the liquid bridge volume as a function of  $\xi$ . For this study, equations presented by Fisher [16] and Simons et al. [18] for horizontally arranged particles and Smith et al. [19] for vertically arranged particles have been considered. The results show that the values of  $\xi$  predicted conformity very well with experimental



results (Figure 6). Then regarding the volume (V), there is an agreement between the model and the experimental results of this study and Gray. However, the model underestimates the Turner's results.



Figure 6: Dimensionless liquid bridge neck diameter as a function of  $N_{cap}$ 

## 4.2.1 Fisher's Equation.

The basic equation to calculate the liquid bridge volume with a symmetrical interface given by Fisher [14] is:

$$\mathbf{V} = 2\pi \mathbf{R}^{3} \left(\sec \theta - 1\right)^{2} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \tan \theta \right\}$$
(12)

This is an approximate model and it is assumed that the total curvature to be constant and hence the meridian curve to be circular. With this assumption, the volume is calculated by merely geometrical consideration in terms of particle size (R) and filling angle ( $\theta$ ). The variables sec $\theta$ ,  $\theta$  and tan $\theta$  in equation 12 can be transformed to be functions of  $\xi$  only.

## 4.2.2 Simons et al.'s Equation.

Simons et al. [18] followed the toroidal analysis of Jacques et al. [22] for equal size particle and zero contact angle liquid. Toroidal approximation involves treating the meridional profile of the air-liquid interface as an arc of a circle. Simons et al.'s [18] equation can be used to calculate the volume of the liquid bridge with a gap between the particles. However, equation 19 is the non-dimensional form of Simons et al.'s [18] equation for the calculation of the volume of the liquid bridge when there is no gap between the particles.

$$V = \frac{v}{\pi R^{3}} = 2 \begin{cases} (1 - \cos \theta) \times [\sin \theta + (1 - \cos \theta) \tan \theta]^{2} \\ -(1 - \cos \theta)^{2} \times [\sin \theta + (1 - \cos \theta) \tan \theta] \tan \theta \\ -\frac{[\sin \theta + (1 - \cos \theta) \tan \theta] (1 - \cos \theta)^{2} (\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta)}{\cos \theta^{2}} \\ +\frac{(1 - \cos \theta)^{3}}{\cos \theta^{2}} - (1 - \cos \theta)^{2} \end{cases}$$
(19)

## 4.2.3 Smith et al.'s Equation

For calculating the volume of the liquid bridge formed between equal size vertically contacted spheres and with the assumptions of zero gravity and for a liquid with zero contact angle, Smith et al. [19] has given the following equations:

$$V = 2 \left(\frac{\zeta}{1+\zeta}\right) f(\xi, \zeta)$$
Where
(21)

$$\varsigma = \frac{r_1}{R} \tag{22}$$



$$f(\xi,\varsigma) = (\xi+\varsigma)^{2} - \varsigma(\xi+\varsigma) \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{(1+\varsigma)^{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varsigma(\xi+\varsigma)(1+\varsigma) \times \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(1+\varsigma)}\right) + \varsigma^{2} - \left(\frac{\varsigma}{(1+\varsigma)}\right)$$
(23)

## **5** Conclusion

The results simplified by Maple for Smith et al. looks different from the Fisher and Simons et al.'s simplified result. However, if  $\xi$  is plotted against V for the above models, all the three models will show complete agreement with one another, irrespective of their orientation. This shows that Smith et al.'s model could be an extension to Fisher's model which avoided using the filling angle for calculating the volume of the liquid bridge. Nonetheless, since Fisher, Smith et al., and Simons et al. all assumed zero body force, it was predictable that they could achieve the same results, irrespective of the particle orientation. Using equation 10, N<sub>cap</sub> can be calculated for a range of values of  $\xi$  and plotted against each other. Then, while knowing the value for N<sub>cap</sub> for a particular system, the maximum liquid bridge diameter ( $\xi$ ) can be found from Figure 5. If  $\xi$  is known, it is possible to calculate the volume of the liquid bridge from any of the equations 18, 20, and 24. Now, the liquid bridge neck diameters and its volume (V) can be compared with the experimental values of this study, Gray, Turner and the model.

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his appreciation to the department of chemical engineering of Queensland university in allowing them to access the laboratory facilities.

#### Abbreviations

- **b** liquid bridge neck diameter
- **d** diameter of the particle
- **F**<sub>c</sub> hydrostatic force
- **F**<sub>g</sub> body force
- $\mathbf{F}_{s}$  surface tension force
- g gravity
- **H** as shown in Figure (3)
- $N_{cap} \rho g d^2 / \sigma$
- **R** radius of the particle
- **r**<sub>1</sub> Vapor-liquid interface curvature
- **r**<sub>2</sub> liquid bridge neck radius
- v volume of the liquid holdup
- $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{v}/\mathbf{\pi}\mathbf{R}^3$
- r density
- s surface tension
- **x** filling angle  $r_2/R$  or b/d
- $z r_1/R$
- *q* filling angle

## References

- 1. Swindells, R.J., A mathematical model of a continuous sugar centrifuge, Ph.D. thesis, Chemical Engineering Department, The University of Queensland, Qld. 4072, Australia (1982).
- 2. Stanmore, B.R., Hosking, A., White, E.T., Firth, B.A., Pore, surface and filet moisture in centrifuged beds of coarse coal, *Journal of Fuel*, 8 (2), p. 41-47 (2003).
- 3. Xu, K., Mehrabadi, M.M., On the liquid bridge profile and capillary forces in the initial stage of sintering, *ASME MD*, 1(37), p. 115-131 (1992).
- 4. Mcenroe, B.M., Maximum saturated depth over landfill liner, *Journal of Environment Engineering*, 1 (19), p. 262-270 (1993).
- 5. Owens, B.K., Ziegler, V.M. An oil production model for a well producing by both gravity drainage and viscous flow from a mature steam flood, *Society of Petroleum Engineers 29656*, p. 409-416 (1995).
- 6. Luan, Z., Ying, D., Some theoretical aspect of gravity drainage in naturally fractured reservoirs, *Society of Petroleum Engineers* 28641, p. 357-366 (1994).



- 7. Espie, A.A., Brown, C.E., Narayanan, K.R., Wilcox, T.C., A laboratory investigation of gravity drainage/water flood interaction in Prudhoe Bay, *Society of Petroleum Engineers* 28614, p. 47-53 (1994).
- 8. Juan-Carlos, A., Michael, J.R., Peter, J.W., Rheological behavior of aerated palm kernel oil-water emulsions", *J. of Food Hydrocolloids*, 23, p. 1358-1365 (2009).
- 9. U. Broeckel, Proc. 7th Int. Symp. Agglom., Albi, France, (2), p. 647-653 (2001).
- 10. Adams, M. J., Perchard, V., The cohesive forces between particles with interstitial liquid, *In Inst. Chemistry Engineering Symp. Series*, 91, p. 147-160 (1985).
- 11. Nijhuis, T.A., Dautzenberg, F.M., Moulijn, J.A., Modeling of monolithic and trickled-bed reactors for the hydrogenation of styrene, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 58, p. 1113 (2003).
- 12. Coughlin, R. W., Elbirli, B., Vergara-Edwards, L., Inter particle force conferred by capillary-condensed liquid at contact points, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 1(87), p. 18-30 (1982).
- 13. Werner, V.M., Chandra, M., Willie, N., Nature of residual liquid holdup in packed beds of spherical particle, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, (43), p. 8363-8368 (2004).
- 14. Cross, N.L., Picknett, R.G., The effect of capillary liquid on the force of adhesion between spherical solid particles, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 1 (26), p. 247-249 (1968).
- 15. Erle, M.A., Dyson, D.C., Morrow, N.R., Liquid bridges between cylinders, in a torus, and between spheres, *Journal of AICHE*, 1 (17), p. 115-121 (1971).
- 16. Fisher, R.A., On the capillary forces in an ideal soil; correction of formulae given by W. B. Haines, *Journal of Agriculture Science*, 1 (16), p. 492-505 (1926).
- 17. Damiano Rossetti, Xavier Pepin, Stefaan Simons, J.R., Rupture energy and wetting behavior of pendular liqid bridges in relation to the spherical agglomeration process, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 1 (261), p. 161-169 (2003).
- 18. Simons, S.J.R., Seville, J.P.K., Adams, M.J., An analysis of the rupture energy of pendular liquid bridges, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 1(49), p. 2331-2339 (1994).
- 19. Smith, W.O., Foote, P.D., Busang, P.F., Capillary retention of liquids in assemblages of homogeneous spheres, *Phys. Rev.*, 1 (36), p. 524-530 (1930).
- 20. Jacques, M.T., Horarongkura, A.D., Henry, J.D., Feasibility of separation processes in liquid-solid systems: free energy and stability analysis, *Journal of AICHE*, 1 (25), p. 160 (1979).
- 21 Gray, A., Capillary holdup between two spheres, B.E. thesis, The University of Queensland, Qld. 4072, Australia, (1997).
- 22. Turner, G.A., Hewitt, G.F., The amount of liquid held at the point of contact of spheres and the static liquid holdup in packed beds, *Trans. Instn. Chem. Engrs.*, 1 (37), p. 329-334 (1959).