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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new approach to solve nonlinear programming problems also when the objective function (functions) or constraint function (functions) are non-smooth ones. In this approach the nonlinear functions of the original problem is approximated by a piecewise linear functions. Then we find the global extremum of this approximated problem by solving a linear programming problem. Also, we will prove convergence of our approach. One of the main advantages of our approach is that the approach may be extended for problems where objective function or constraint functions or both are non-smooth functions by introducing a novel definition of global weak differentiation in the sense of $\ell_{1}$ space. Also, other advantage of our approach is that we may obtain approximate solution by solving a corresponding a linear programming problem. Finally, numerical example are given to show the efficiency of the proposed approach to solve constraints nonlinear programming problems, especially when the objective function and constraint functions are non-smooth function.
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## Introduction

Kelley's cutting plane method [7] was introduced 1960 to solve nonlinear programming (NLP) problems by solving a sequence of linear programming (LP) problems. Although some other methods based on linear programming exist, such as the method of approximate programming [3, 6], LP techniques were quickly abandoned in favor of sequential quadratic programming (SQP) techniques. After Han proved local and global convergence of SQP methods in [4, 5], a large amount of research papers have been produced on SQP-based techniques. Indeed, many of the NLP solvers today use SQP techniques in one form or the other. There are some interesting recent papers on successive linear programming (SLP) techniques. In [1], a procedure is presented where linear programming and quadratic programming subproblems are successively solved to find the optimal solution. The linear programming problem provides an estimate of the active constraints within a trust region and a quadratic programming problem is constructed and solved using the active constraints at the optimal solution of the linear problem. However, the method in [1] utilizes linear programming problems mainly to estimate the active constraints in each iteration, and solves a quadratic, equality constrained, problem as well in each iteration. In [9] it is shown that LP techniques can be applied quite successfully to solve NLP problems efficiently, even without having to solve quadratic subproblems. But They supposed functions are continuously differentiable over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. contrary to [8], the objective or constraint are not assumed to be convex and non-smooth. In [8] it is assumed that constraints include linear constraints
defining a bounded region $X$. In this paper, we introduce to definition of weak differentiation for non-smooth functions $([10,11])$. we may approximate our approach by AVK method[2]. Then we may solve nonlinear non-smooth programming by linearization of nonlinear non-smooth objective function and constraint functions.

Our approach solves problems of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max f(x) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { s.t. } \\
\qquad \quad g(x) \leq b \\
\quad x \in \Omega=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are continuous functions (may be non-smooth) defined on $\Omega$.
In this paper, without losing the generality, we assume that $a=0$ and $b=1$. Since, the function $F$ defined below is a bijective function. So instead of $[a, b]$ we may use $[0,1]$. That is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
F:[a, b] \rightarrow[0,1] \\
x \rightarrow \frac{x-a}{b-a}
\end{gathered}
$$

Our approach is based on parametric linearization which is a generalization of Taylor linear expansion of smooth function. The paper is outlined as follows:

First, we consider problem in one dimension and we assume that functions $f$ and $g$ are smooth functions. Second, we assume the problem is n dimension also $f$ and $g$ are smooth. Third, we assume the problem is n dimension and $f$ and $g$ are non-smooth functions and solve the problem approximately by defining weak differentiation on an interval in n-dimension space.

## 1. proposed approach for one dimensional problems

First, consider the following nonlinear optimization problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max f(x)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad x \in[0,1]
\end{align*}
$$

Where $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear smooth function. Here, we approximate the nonlinear function $f(x)$ by a piecewise linear function.

Now, we state the following definition for linearization of nonlinear function $f(x)$.
Definition 1.1. We consider a partition of an interval $[0,1]$ on $\mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
p_{n}[0,1]=\left\{0=x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=1\right\}
$$

Where $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n}=1$.
We define the norm of partition as follows:

$$
\left\|p_{n}\right\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\left|x_{i}-x_{i-}\right|\right\}
$$

Note 1.2. In this paper, we assume that $x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}$ for all $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. Therefore, $\left\|p_{n}\right\|=\frac{1}{n}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $f(x)$ be a nonlinear function on $[0,1]$. We define function $f_{i}(x)$ on $I_{i}=\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(x) \cong f^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right) x+f\left(a_{i}\right)-a_{i} f^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right) \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, x \in I_{i} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a_{i} \in I_{i}=\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]$ is a mid point i.e. $a_{i}=\frac{2 i-1}{n}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{i}=f^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right), \\
& b_{i}=f\left(a_{i}\right)-a_{i} f^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)=f\left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If length of interval $I_{i}$ be a small number, then $f_{i}(x) \cong m_{i} x+b_{i}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Now, we define $G_{n}(x)$ as a piecewise linear approximation of $f(x)$ on $[0,1]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) \times \chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x)$ is the characteristic function and defined as follows:

$$
\chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & x \in\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right] \\ 0 & x \notin\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Now, we prove the uniformly convergence theorem of our approach. this means where we choose $n$ a big number closeness $G_{n}$ to $f$ is independent of $x \in[0,1]$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $f$ be a non-smooth function and $G_{n}$ defined in (1.3). Now, we claim where if $n$ tends to infinity, then $G_{n}$ tends to $f$ uniformly on $[0,1]$.

Proof. Let $E_{n}(x)=G_{n}(x)-f(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. There is $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\eta_{i} \in\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right)$ such that

$$
f(x)-f_{i}(x)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)}{2}\left(x-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)^{2}
$$

Where $x \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$. We know $\left\|p_{n}\right\|=\frac{1}{n}$. Thus, let $M_{i}=\sup _{x \in I_{i}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|$. Therefore,

$$
\left|f(x)-f_{i}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M_{i}}{2}\left(x_{i}-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)^{2}=\frac{M_{i}}{8 n^{2}}
$$

We set $M=\max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2} \ldots, M_{n}\right\}$. Consequently,

$$
\left|E_{n}(x)\right|=\left|G_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f(x)-f_{i}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M}{8 n^{2}}=\frac{M}{8 n}
$$

Now, If $n$ tends to infinity, then $E_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ or equivalently $G_{n}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$, for all $x \in[0,1]$. It is clear, that interval $[0,1]$ is compacted and $G_{n}(x)$ is continuous on $[0,1]$. Therefore, It is uniform continuous on $[0,1]$. According to definition of uniform continuous, given $\varepsilon>0$, there is $\delta>0$ such that for all $x, y \in[0,1]$, we have:

$$
|x-y|<\delta \Rightarrow\left|G_{n}(x)-G_{n}(y)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}
$$

Also, there is $N(y)>0$ such that for all $n, m>N(y)$ we have $\left|G_{n}(y)-G_{m}(y)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Therefore, $\sup _{y \in[0,1]}\left|G_{n}(y)-G_{m}(y)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. It is sufficient, we show that $\left\{G_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a cauchy uniformly sequence. There is $N>0$ such that for all $n, m \geq N$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|G_{n}(x)-G_{m}(x)\right| & =\left|G_{m}(x)-G_{n}(y)+G_{n}(y)-G_{m}(y)+G_{m}(y)-G_{m}(x)\right| \\
& \leq\left|G_{m}(x)-G_{n}(y)\right|+\left|G_{n}(y)-G_{m}(y)\right|+\left|G_{m}(y)-G_{m}(x)\right| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $N^{\prime}=\max \{N, N(y)\}$. Thus for all $n, m \geq N^{\prime}, G_{n}(x)$ is a cauchy uniformly sequence and uniformly continuous on $[0,1]$. Then $G_{n}(x)$ is equicontinuity on $[0,1]$. Consequently, it is uniformly convergent.

Now, if $f(x)$ in the problem (1.1) is replaced with piecewise linear function $G_{n}(x)$, we arrive to the following optimization problem.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max & \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) \times \chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x)  \tag{1.4}\\
\text { s.t. } \\
\quad x \in[0,1]
\end{array}
$$

We may solve the following problems equivalent to (1.4) for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max f_{i}(x)  \tag{1.5}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{i}{n}
\end{align*}
$$

There exits an index as $i_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that optimal solution is in $I_{i_{0}}$. Because, nonlinear smooth function $f(x)$ is continuous and interval of $[0,1]$ is compacted. Also, obtained the optimal solution by solving $n$ linear programming problems on $I_{i}=\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ are distinct. Therefore, we may solve the following problem equivalent to (1.5):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \left\{m_{1} x_{1}+b_{1}, m_{2} x_{2}+b_{2}, \ldots, m_{n} x_{n}+b_{n}\right\}  \tag{1.6}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

We may obtain the optimal solution of the problem (1.6) by solving $n$ linear programming problems. Or, we must solve the following $n$ linear programming problem for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{i}=\max \left\{m_{i} x_{i}+b_{i}\right\}  \tag{1.7}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n}
\end{align*}
$$

We may say $z_{k}=\max \left\{m_{k} x_{k}+b_{k}\right\}$ or $x_{k}$ is the optimal solution of our problem and $z_{k}$ is

$$
z_{k}=\max \left\{z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, \ldots, z_{n}^{*}\right\}
$$

It is clear that when $n$ tends to infinity our solution $x_{k}$ tends to the globally optimal solution of problem (1.1), Therefore, when $n$ is very big natural number
for obtaining a solution very near to global optimal solution of problem (1.1) we should solve $n$ linear programming as (1.14). So in practice it taken a long time. So we introduce a single linear programming whose solution is the same as the solution of solving the above mentioned linear programming problems.
1.1. Solving $n$ linear programming by a unique linear programming prob-
lem. Consider the problem (1.5). For linearization this problem, first we may solve min - max problem instead of problem (1.5) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{m_{i} x_{i}+b_{i}\right\} \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n} \quad, i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

For solving this problem, let

$$
z=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{m_{i} x+b_{i}\right\}
$$

Therefore, the problem transformed equivalently to the following linear programming problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min z  \tag{1.8}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad m_{i} x_{i}+b_{i} \leq z \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \quad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

By solving problem above, we obtain an approximation solution of nonlinear programming problem (1.1). In the theorem (1.4), we showed that if $n \rightarrow \infty$, then approximation optimal solution is convergent to main optimal solution.
1.2. Piecewise linearization of constrained nonlinear programming problem in $\mathbb{R}$.
Consider constraint nonlinear programming problem as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max  \tag{1.9}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\\
\quad g(x) \leq b \\
\\
0 \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nonlinear smooth functions. We may obtain an optimal solution of problem (1.8) by transforming it to linearly form. For this propose, from (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}(x) \cong f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right) x+f\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right)-\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right) & i=1,2, \ldots, n  \tag{1.10}\\
g_{i}(x) \cong g^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right) x+g\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right)-\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right) & i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{array}
$$

Now, we define $G_{n}(x)$ and $H_{n}(x)$ as a piecewise linear approximation of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ an $[0,1]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) \times \chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x)  \tag{1.11}\\
& H_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x) \times \chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where $\chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x)$ is the characteristic function. According to theorem (1.4), we know that if $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $G_{n}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ and $H_{n}(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. Now, if $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in problem (2.4) is replaced with piecewise linear function $G_{n}(x)$ and $H_{n}(x)$, respectively. we arrive to the following optimization problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max & \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) \times \chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x)  \tag{1.12}\\
\text { s.t. } \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x) \times \chi_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(x) \leq b \\
\quad x \in[0,1]
\end{array}
$$

We may solve the following $n$ linear programming problem equivalent to (1.12) for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max f_{i}(x)  \tag{1.13}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
g_{i}(x) \leq b \\
\quad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{i}{n}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear, obtained the optimal solution by solving $n$ linear programming problems on $I_{i}=\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ are distinct. Therefore, we solve the following problem instead of (1.13)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max  \tag{1.14}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
g_{i}\left(f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\} \\
\\
\frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
\end{array} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

We may obtain optimal solution of the problem (1.14) by solving $n$ linear programming problems. For this propose, First, we solve the following problem for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{i}=\max f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)  \tag{1.15}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq b \\
\\
\quad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we choose optimal solution corresponding to $z^{*}$ where

$$
z^{*}=\max \left\{z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, \ldots, z_{n}^{*}\right\}
$$

We state our approach for linearization the problem (1.9), first we may solve $\min$ - max problem instead of problem (1.14) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \quad \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq b \quad, i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \quad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n} \quad, i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

For solving this problem, let

$$
z=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

Therefore, the problem transformed to the following linear programming problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min z  \tag{1.16}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq z \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq b \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
\frac{i-1}{n}
\end{array} \quad \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

By solving problem above, we obtain an approximation solution of nonlinear programming problem (1.1).

Corollary 1.5. Let $f$ and $g$ be non-smooth functions in general and $G_{n}$ and $H_{n}$ defined in (1.11). Now, we claim where if $n$ tends to infinity, then $G_{n}$ and $H_{n}$ tends to $f$ and $g$, respectively. So our approximate solution optimal to our original nonlinear programming.

Proof. By applying of the theorem (1.4), we get that if $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $G_{n} \rightarrow f$ and $H_{n} \rightarrow g$. Now, let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a set contain the optimal solution by solving $n$ linear programming problems as (1.15) is in $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore, the optimal solution problem (1.16) tends to the optimal solution problem (1.9). Also, the optimal value $z^{*}$ tends to the optimal value of problem (1.9).

## 2. Extension of the proposed approach for $n$ dimensional problems

Consider the following nonlinear optimization problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max f(x)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& x \in \mathcal{A}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\mathcal{A}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}[0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonlinear smooth function. Here, we introduce a piecewise linear parametric approximation for $f(x)$ which is the extension of definition (1.1).

Definition 2.1. Consider the nonlinear smooth function $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{A}=$ $[0,1]^{n}$. Also, consider $p_{n_{i}}[0,1]$ as a partition of $[0,1]$ as follows:

$$
p_{n_{i}}([0,1])=\left\{0=x_{i}^{0}, \ldots, x_{i}^{k_{i}}, \ldots, x_{i}^{n_{i}}=1\right\}
$$

Where $x_{i}^{0}<\ldots<x_{i}^{k_{i}}<\ldots<x_{i}^{n_{i}}, k_{i}=0,1, \ldots, n_{i}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. So $\mathcal{A}$ is partitioned to $N$ cells where $N=n_{1} \times n_{2} \times \ldots \times n_{n}$. Let shown the $k^{\text {th }}$ cell by $S_{k}$ for all $k=1,2, \ldots, N$. let $s_{k}=\left(s_{k}^{1}, s_{k}^{2}, \ldots, s_{k}^{n}\right)$ is used to shown mid point of $S_{k}$. Now, $f_{k}(x)$ is defined as a linear parametric approximate of $f(x)$ for $x \in S_{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{k}(x) \cong \nabla f(x)\right|_{x=s_{k}} \cdot\left(x-s_{k}\right)+f\left(s_{k}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in S_{k}$ for all $k=1,2, \ldots, N$.
We define

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m_{k}=\left.\nabla f(x)\right|_{x=s_{k}} & k=1,2, \ldots, N \\
b_{k}=f\left(s_{k}\right)-\left.\nabla f(x)\right|_{x=s_{k}} \times s_{k} & k=1,2, \ldots, N
\end{array}
$$

Now, $G_{N}(x)$ is defined as a piecewise linear approximate of $f(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{N}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}(x) \times \chi_{S_{k}}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{S_{k}}(x)$ is the characteristic function and defined as follows:

$$
\chi_{S_{k}}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & x \in S_{k} \\ 0 & x \notin S_{k}\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $f$ be a non-smooth function and $G_{N}$ defined in (1.3). Now, we claim where if $N$ tends to infinity, then $G_{N}$ tends to $f$ uniformly.

Proof. Let $E_{k}(x)=f(x)-f_{k}(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1]^{n}$. There is $1 \leq k \leq N$ and $\eta_{k} \in S_{k}$ such that

$$
f(x)-f_{k}(x)=\frac{\nabla^{2} f\left(\eta_{k}\right)}{2} \dot{\left(x-s_{k}\right)^{2}}
$$

Where $x \in S_{k}$. We know $\left\|S_{k}\right\|=\frac{1}{n_{1}} \times \frac{1}{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \frac{1}{n_{n}}=\frac{1}{N}$. Thus, let $M_{k}=$ $\sup _{x \in S_{k}}\left|\nabla^{2} f(x)\right|$. Therefore,

$$
\left|f(x)-f_{k}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M_{k}}{2}\left|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{M_{k}}{2}\left\|S_{k}\right\| \leq \frac{M_{k}}{2} \frac{1}{N^{2}}
$$

Suppose $M=\max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2} \ldots, M_{N}\right\}$. Consequently,

$$
\left|G_{N}(x)-f(x)\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|f(x)-f_{k}(x)\right| \leq N \cdot \frac{M}{2} \frac{1}{N^{2}}=\frac{M}{2 N}
$$

Now, If $N$ tends to infinity. Then for all $x \in[0,1]^{n}$ :

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} G_{N}(x)=f(x)
$$

As same case of one dimensional, we may show that $G_{N}(x)$ is uniformly convergent to $f(x)$ on $[0,1]^{n}$.

Now, if $f(x)$ in the problem (2.1) is replaced with piecewise linear function $G_{N}(x)$, we arrive to the following optimization problem.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}(x) \times \chi_{s_{k}}(x)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad x \in[0,1]^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that optimal solution is situated in a cell. Therefore, we solve a parametric programming problem as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right) \times \chi_{S_{k}}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& x_{k}^{\prime} \in S_{k} \quad k=1,2, \ldots N
\end{align*}
$$

where $N=n_{1} \times n_{2} \times \ldots n_{n}$. We may obtain optimal solution of the problem (2.5) by solving $N$ linear programming problems. For this propose, First, we solve the following problem for all $k=1,2, \ldots, N$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{k}=\max \left\{m_{k} x_{k}^{\prime}+b_{k}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
\text { s.t. } \\
x_{k} \in S_{k}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, we choose optimal solution corresponding to $z^{*}$ where

$$
z^{*}=\max \left\{z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, \ldots, z_{N}^{*}\right\}
$$

In continue, we will show a new approach for obtaining solution of problem.

### 2.1. Solving $N$ linear programming by a unique linear programming prob-

 lem. Consider the problem (2.5). For linearization this problem, first we may solve $\min$ - max problem instead of problem (2.5) as follows:$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \max _{1 \leq k \leq N}\left\{m_{k} x_{k}^{\prime}+b_{k}\right\} \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad x_{k}^{\prime} \in S_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

For solving this problem, let

$$
z=\max _{1 \leq k \leq N}\left\{m_{k} x_{k}^{\prime}+b_{k}\right\}
$$

Therefore, the problem transformed to the following linear programming problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min z  \tag{2.7}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad m_{k} x_{k}^{\prime}+b_{k} \leq z \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N \\
& \quad x_{k}^{\prime} \in S_{k} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N
\end{align*}
$$

By solving the problem above, we obtain a approximate solution of nonlinear programming problem (2.1).
2.2. Piecewise linearization general constrained nonlinear programming problem.
Consider constraint nonlinear programming problem as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max  \tag{2.8}\\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \quad g(x) \leq b \\
& \quad x \in[0,1]^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nonlinear smooth functions. We may obtain an optimal solution of problem (2.8) by transforming it to linearly form. For this propose, from (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.f_{k}(x) \cong \nabla f(x)\right|_{x=s_{k}} \cdot\left(x-s_{k}\right)+f\left(s_{k}\right) & k=1,2, \ldots, N  \tag{2.9}\\
\left.g_{k}(x) \cong \nabla g(x)\right|_{x=s_{k}} \cdot\left(x-s_{k}\right)+g\left(s_{k}\right) & k=1,2, \ldots, N
\end{array}
$$

As same case of one dimensional, in this case, we may transformed the problem (2.8) to linear programming problem as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min z  \tag{2.10}\\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { s.t. } \\
\qquad \begin{array}{l}
f_{k}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq z \\
g_{k}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq b
\end{array} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N \\
x_{k}^{\prime} \in S_{k} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N \\
\end{array} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Extension to non-smooth nonlinear programming problems

In general it is reasonable to assume that the objective function is a non-smooth ones. Therefore, we define type of generalized differentiation for non-smooth functions in coincide with usual differentiation for smooth function.

For linearization, we use from relation bellows:

$$
f(x) \cong f(s)+(x-s) f^{\prime}(s)
$$

Suppose $f^{\prime}$ is not defined in $s$. we introduce weak differentiation for calculating differentiation of $f$ in $s$ we have:

$$
f^{\prime}(s)=\lim _{x \rightarrow s} \frac{f(x)-f(s)}{x-s}
$$

Given $\varepsilon>0$, for all $x \in(s-\varepsilon, s+\varepsilon)$, we define:

$$
f^{\prime}(s) \cong \frac{f(x)-f(s)}{x-s}, \quad x \in(s-\varepsilon, s+\varepsilon)
$$

For this propose, we refer to the following approach for calculating $f^{\prime}(s)$ :

$$
\min \int_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon}\left|f(x)-f(s)-(x-s) f^{\prime}(s)\right| d x\right] d s
$$

We can extended above approach to $n$ dimensional.

Theorem 3.1. Consider the nonlinear smooth function $f:[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the optimal solution of the following optimization problem is the function $f^{\prime}(x)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{p(.)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \ldots \int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-[f(s)+p(s) \cdot(x-s)]| d x_{1} d x_{2} \ldots d x_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2} \ldots, s_{n}\right) \in[0,1]^{n}$ is an arbitrary point and $p($.$) is a vector of the$ form ( $\left.p_{1}(),. p_{2}(),. \ldots, p_{n}().\right)$.

Proof. See [10].
Now based on theorem (3.1) the following definition may be stated for nonsmooth functions.

Definition 3.2. Let $f:[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-smooth function. The global weak differentiation with respect to $x$ in the sense of $\ell_{1}$ space is defined as the $p($.$) the$ optimal solution of the minimization problem which is shown in (3.1).

In the case that $n=1$, we may obtain differentiation of $f(x)$ on $[0,1]$ by partitioning interval $[0,1]$ to $n$ subinterval of $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ such that $x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $s_{i}$ be a point in $\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]$. we show differentiation of $f(x)$ at $x=s$ by $p(s)$. We may obtain values of $p(s)$, by solving the following problem:

$$
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}\left|f(x)-f\left(s_{i}\right)-(x-s) \cdot p\left(s_{i}\right)\right| d x \times \frac{1}{n}
$$

where $x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}$ and $s_{i} \in\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$ is an arbitrary point for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. (note: we may define $s_{i}=\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ )

## 4. numerical examples

In this section, we solve some constraint nonlinear smooth and non-smooth programming problems by transforming to a linear programming problem.
Example 4.1. Consider nonlinear smooth programming problem as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max e^{x} \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad \sin (x)-x \leq 1 \\
& \quad 1 \leq x \leq 3
\end{aligned}
$$

We may convert interval $[1,3]$ to $[0,1]$. For this propose, we may define bijective function $F(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(x):[1,3] \rightarrow[0,1] \\
x \rightarrow \frac{x-1}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max e^{(2 x+1)} \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad \sin (2 x+1)-2 x \leq 2 \\
& \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

the optimal solution and the optimal value are $x^{*}=3$ and $z^{*}=20.0855$,respectively.
We may partitioned interval of $[0,1]$ to subinterval $I_{i}=\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ where $x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. So, we may transform the above problem to the following equivalent linear programming problem:
$\min z$
s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 e^{\left(1+\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right)}\left(x-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)+e^{\left(1+\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right)} \leq z \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& {\left[2 \cos \left(1+\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right)-2\right]\left(x-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)+\sin \left(1+\frac{2 i-1}{n}\right) \frac{2 i-1}{n} \leq 2 \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n} \\
& \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. In the following table showed optimal solutions for values of distinct $n$.

| $n$ | optimal solution | optimal value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 2.9000 | 16.3567 |
| 50 | 2.9800 | 19.2941 |
| 100 | 2.9900 | 19.6868 |
| 200 | 2.9950 | 19.8854 |
| 300 | 2.9967 | 19.9520 |
| 400 | 2.9975 | 19.9853 |
| 500 | 2.9980 | 20.0053 |
| 600 | 2.9983 | 20.0187 |
| 1000 | 2.9990 | 20.0454 |

Example 4.2. Consider nonlinear smooth programming problem as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \arctan (x+2 y) \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \quad x-\sin (y) \leq 1 \\
& \quad 0 \leq x, y \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

the Optimal solution and the optimal value are $x^{*}=y^{*}=1$ and $z^{*}=1.249046$,respectively. We may partitioned interval of $[0,1] \times[0,1]$ to subinterval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right] \times\left[y_{j-1}, y_{j}\right]$ where $x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}$ and $y_{j}=\frac{j}{n}$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. So, we may transform the above problem
to the following equivalent linear programming problem:
$\min z$
s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}+\frac{2 j-1}{n}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(x-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)+2\left(y-\frac{2 j-1}{2 n}\right)\right]+\arctan \left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}+\frac{2 j-1}{n}\right) \leq z \\
& \left(x-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)-\cos \left(\frac{2 j-1}{2 n}\right)\left(y-\frac{2 j-1}{2 n}\right)+\left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}-\sin \left(\frac{2 j-1}{2 n}\right)\right) \leq 1 \\
& \frac{i-1}{n} \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{i}{n} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \frac{j-1}{n} \leq y_{j} \leq \frac{j}{n} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}$ and $y_{j}=\frac{j}{n}$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. In the following table showed optimal solutions for values of distinct $n$.

| $n$ | optimal solution | optimal value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $(0.9500,0.9500)$ | 1.2169 |
| 20 | $(0.975,0.975)$ | 1.2335 |
| 30 | $(0.9833,0.9833)$ | 1.2388 |
| 40 | $(0.9875,0.9875)$ | 1.2414 |

Example 4.3. Consider nonlinear non-smooth function as $f(x)=e^{|2 x-1|}$ on $[0,1]$. For obtaining weak differentiation function of $f(x)$ i.e. $f^{\prime}(x)$ on $[0,1]$, first, we partitioned the interval of $[0,1]$ to $n$ subinterval. That is $I_{i}=\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]=\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. We may solve the following problem:

$$
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}\left|f(x)-f\left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)-\left(x-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right) \cdot p\left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n}\right)\right| d x \times \frac{1}{n}
$$

Differentiation $f(x)$ for $n=10,20,30$ showed in the following figure, respectively:


1. Obtained differentiations for $n=10,20,30$ from left to right, respectively

Example 4.4. Consider nonlinear non-smooth programming problem as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max e^{|2 x-1|} \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \qquad \quad \sin (x) \leq 1 \\
& \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

We may solve our problem as previous examples. Therefore, obtained the optimal solutions as follows:

| $n$ | optimal solution | optimal value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0.9373 | 2.3979 |
| 20 | 0.9760 | 2.5909 |
| 30 | 0.9855 | 2.6406 |

The optimal solution is $x^{*}=1, z^{*}=2.7183$, exactly.

## 5. conclusion

In this paper we introduce a new approach to solve constrained nonlinear nonsmooth programming problems. The main advantage of this approach is that an approximate of global solution is obtained. Also the approach can be extended for problem with non-smooth nonlinear programming problem by introducing a novel definition of global weak differentiation in the sense of $\ell_{1}$ space. Also, we may obtain an approximate solution of a nonlinear non-smooth programming problems by solving a linear programming problem where the norm of problem is very small.
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