



Some properties on the Schur multiplier of a pair of groups

Mohammad Reza R. Moghaddam^a, Ali Reza Salemkar^{b,*}, Kazem Chiti^a

^a Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran

^b Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran

Received 18 February 2003

Available online 9 February 2007

Communicated by Richard Lyons

Abstract

J.L. Loday (1978) introduced the concept of relative central extension and G. Ellis (1998) gave the notion of covering pair of a pair of groups (G, N) . In this paper under some condition we show the existence of covering pair for the pair of groups (G, N) and also show that every relative central extension is a homomorphic image of a covering pair of (G, N) . Finally, we present some inequalities for the Schur multiplier of a pair of finite groups (G, N) .

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Schur-multiplier; Relative central extension; Covering pair; Pair of groups

1. Introduction

Let G be a group with a normal subgroup N , then (G, N) is said to be a *pair of groups*. In 1978, J.L. Loday [5] introduced the concept of *relative central extension* of the pair (G, N) to be a group homomorphism $\delta : M \rightarrow G$, together with an action

$$\begin{aligned}M \times G &\rightarrow M, \\(m, g) &\mapsto m^g\end{aligned}$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mrm5@yahoo.ca, moghadam@math.um.ac.ir (M.R.R. Moghaddam), salemkar@sbu.ac.ir (A.R. Salemkar).

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\delta(M) = N$;
- (ii) $\delta(m^g) = g^{-1}\delta(m)g$, for all $g \in G, m \in M$;
- (iii) $m^{\delta(m_1)} = m_1^{-1}mm_1$, for all $m, m_1 \in M$;
- (iv) G acts trivially on $\ker \delta$.

Clearly, the inclusion map $i : N \rightarrow G$ is a simple example of a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) .

If $\delta : M \rightarrow G$ is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) , we define the *G-commutator subgroup* of M to be the subgroup $[M, G]$ generated by the *G-commutators*

$$[m, g] = m^{-1}m^g,$$

for all $g \in G, m \in M$. Also we define the *G-central* of M to be the central subgroup

$$Z_G(M) = \{m \in M \mid m^g = m, \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

In particular, if N is equal to G then the central extension $\delta : M \rightarrow G$ together with the action

$$\begin{aligned} M \times G &\rightarrow M, \\ (m, g) &\mapsto m^g = m^{\delta(m_1)} \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

where $g = \delta(m_1)$, for some $m_1 \in M$, gives the following central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \ker \delta \rightarrow M \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

In this case, $[M, G] = M'$ and $Z_G(M) = Z(M)$ are the commutator and the central subgroups of M , respectively.

Let G be a group with a free presentation $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$, then by I. Schur [9] the *Schur multiplier* of G , denoted by $M(G)$, is isomorphic with

$$\frac{R \cap F'}{[R, F]}.$$

It is a routine exercise to check that $M(G)$ is an abelian group and independent of the choice of the free presentation of G .

Now, consider the pair of groups (G, N) such that N has a complement in G , then G. Ellis in [1] defined the *Schur multiplier* of the pair (G, N) to be

$$M(G, N) = \ker(\mu : M(G) \rightarrow M(G/N)),$$

where μ is the natural epimorphism. Hence if S is a normal subgroup of F such that $N \cong S/R$, then

$$M(G, N) \cong \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]}.$$

So in the rest of the paper, we always assume that all the pairs (G, N) under the consideration, N has a complement in G .

Let N^* be any other group, then a relative central extension $\delta : N^* \rightarrow G$ of the pair (G, N) is called a *covering pair* if there exists a subgroup A of N^* such that

- (i) $A \subseteq Z_G(N^*) \cap [N^*, G]$;
- (ii) $A \cong M(G, N)$;
- (iii) $N \cong N^*/A$.

One observes that the covering pair $\delta : M \rightarrow G$ of the pair (G, G) together with the action defined as in $(*)$ is the usual covering group M of G introduced by I. Schur [8]. He also showed that every finite group G admits a covering group. G. Ellis in [1] generalized this result to a pair of finite groups (G, N) , and proved that any pair of finite groups (G, N) admits at least one covering pair $\delta : N^* \rightarrow G$. In the next section, under some condition, we prove a similar result for the pair (G, N) , where G is an arbitrary group and N is a finite normal subgroup of G (Theorem 2.3). We also show that if $\delta : M \rightarrow G$ is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) such that $\ker \delta \subseteq [M, N]$, then there exists a covering pair $N^* \rightarrow G$ such that M is a homomorphic image of N^* (Theorem 2.6), which is a wide generalization of Yamazaki [10], when $N = G$.

In final section, we extend the works of M.R. Jones [3,4] to the pair of groups (G, N) (see Theorem 3.2).

2. Covering pair of groups

In the following lemma we present a relative central extension of a pair of groups (G, N) , which is useful in our further investigations.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (G, N) be a pair of groups, with N being finite. Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of G and S a normal subgroup of F such that $N \cong S/R$. Then the mapping $\delta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow F/R$ which is given by $\delta(s[R, F]) = sR$, is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) .*

Proof. We define the action of $G = F/R$ on $S/[R, F]$ as follows:

$$\frac{S}{[R, F]} \times \frac{F}{R} \rightarrow \frac{S}{[R, F]},$$

$$(s[R, F], fR) \mapsto (s[R, F])^{fR} = s^f[R, F].$$

Then for each $s_1, s_2 \in S, f \in F, r \in R$, we have

$$\delta((s_1[R, F])^{fR}) = \delta(s_1^f[R, F]) = s_1^f R = \delta(s_1[R, F])^{fR},$$

$$(s_1[R, F])^{\delta(s_2[R, F])} = \delta(s_1[R, F])^{s_2 R} = s_1^{s_2} [R, F] = (s_1[R, F])^{s_2 [R, F]}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(r[R, F])^{fR} = r^f [R, F] = r[R, F].$$

Also $\delta(S/[R, F]) = S/R \cong N$, which proves the assertion. \square

In the following we give a sufficient condition for the existence of covering pair of (G, N) . In particular, if $N = G$ then the result of I. Schur [9] is obtained.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (G, N) be a pair of groups, $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ a free presentation of G and S a normal subgroup of F such that $N \cong S/R$. If T is a normal subgroup of F such that*

$$\frac{R}{[R, F]} = \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \times \frac{T}{[R, F]},$$

then the mapping $\delta : S/T \rightarrow F/R$ is given by $\delta(sT) = sR$ is a covering pair of (G, N) .

Proof. Clearly δ together with the action

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S}{T} \times \frac{F}{R} &\rightarrow \frac{S}{T}, \\ (sT, fR) &\mapsto (sT)^{fR} = s^f T \end{aligned}$$

is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) . We set $N^* = S/T$ and $A = R/T$, then $N \cong N^*/A$, $A \cong M(G, N)$ and $A \subseteq Z_G(N^*)$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{R}{T} \subseteq \frac{[S, F]T}{T} = \langle (sT)^{-1} s^f T \mid s \in S, f \in F \rangle \\ &= \langle [sT, fR] \mid s \in S, f \in F \rangle \\ &= [N^*, G]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\delta : N^* = S/T \rightarrow F/R$ is a covering pair of (G, N) . \square

The following main result gives the existence of covering pair of a given pair (G, N) , in which N is a finite normal subgroup of G . In special cases, when G is a finite group or $N = G$ then the results of G. Ellis [1] and I. Schur [8] are obtained, respectively.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (G, N) be a pair of groups, with N being finite. Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of G and S a normal subgroup of F with $N \cong R/S$ such that for all $\bar{s} \in S/[R, F]$ and a positive integer n , $\bar{s}^n \in [S, F]/[R, F]$ implies that $\bar{s} \in [S, F]/[R, F]$. Then (G, N) admits a covering pair.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $\delta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow F/R$ given by $\delta(\bar{s}) = sR$ is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) . Now using the assumptions, $R/(R \cap [S, F])$ is isomorphic with a subgroup of the free abelian group

$$\frac{\frac{S}{[R, F]}}{[\frac{S}{[R, F]}, \frac{F}{R}]}$$

Then the following exact sequence splits

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \rightarrow \frac{R}{[R, F]} \rightarrow \frac{R}{R \cap [S, F]} \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence

$$\frac{R}{[R, F]} \cong \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \times \frac{T}{[R, F]},$$

where $T/[R, F] \cong R/(R \cap [S, F])$. Therefore by Proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \frac{S}{T} &\rightarrow \frac{F}{R}, \\ sT &\mapsto sR \end{aligned}$$

is a covering pair of (G, N) . \square

The above theorem has the following corollary, which is of interest in its own.

Corollary 2.4. *By the assumptions of the above theorem, if the Schur multiplier of G/N is trivial. Then the pair (G, N) admits at least one covering pair.*

Proof. Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of G and S a normal subgroup of F such that $N \cong R/S$. Now the triviality of $M(G/N)$ and the fact that

$$\frac{S}{S \cap F'} \leq \frac{F}{F'},$$

imply that $S/[S, F] \cong S/(S \cap F')$ is a free abelian group. Therefore by Theorem 2.3, the pair (G, N) admits a covering pair. \square

The following lemma is needed for the next result and its proof is straightforward.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ a free presentation of G and S a normal subgroup of F such that $S/R \cong N$. If $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) , then there exists an epimorphism $\beta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R}{[R, F]} & \longrightarrow & \frac{S}{[R, F]} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker \sigma & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & N & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where δ is the relative central extension defined as in Lemma 2.1 and β_1 is the restriction of β .

As a final result in this section we show that, under some conditions, a relative central extension of a pair (G, N) is a homomorphic image of a covering pair of (G, N) .

Theorem 2.6. *Let (G, N) satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.3. If $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ is a relative central extension of (G, N) then M is a homomorphic image of the domain of a covering pair.*

Proof. Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of the group G , and S be a normal subgroup of F such that $N \cong S/R$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists an epimorphism $\beta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R}{[R, F]} & \longrightarrow & \frac{S}{[R, F]} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker \sigma & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & N \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

where δ is the relative central extension defined as in Lemma 2.1 and β_1 is the restriction of β . Put $\ker \beta_1 = \ker \beta = T/[R, F]$, where T is a normal subgroup of R . For any $r \in R$, $\beta(r[R, F]) \in \ker \sigma \subseteq [M, N]$. But $[M, N] = \langle m^{-1}m^{\delta(m_1)} \mid m, m_1 \in M \rangle = \beta([\frac{S}{[R, F]}, \frac{S}{[R, F]}])$, hence

$$\beta(r[R, F]) = \beta([s_1, s'_1] \dots [s_l, s'_l][R, F]),$$

for some $s_i, s'_i \in S$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. So $r^{-1}[s_1, s'_1] \dots [s_l, s'_l]t \in [R, F] \subseteq R \cap [S, F]$, for some $t \in T$. Therefore $r \in (R \cap [S, F])T$ and then $R = (R \cap [S, F])T$. Since $T/(T \cap [S, F])$ is isomorphic with a subgroup of the free abelian group

$$\frac{\frac{S}{[R, F]}}{[\frac{S}{[R, F]}, \frac{F}{R}]},$$

then the following exact sequence splits.

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{T \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \rightarrow \frac{T}{[R, F]} \rightarrow \frac{T}{T \cap [S, F]} \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence

$$\frac{T}{[R, F]} \cong \frac{T \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \times \frac{K}{[R, F]},$$

where $K/[R, F] \cong T/(T \cap [S, F])$. Now we have $K \cap (R \cap [S, F]) = [R, F]$ and $K(R \cap [S, F]) = R$, which implies that

$$\frac{R}{[R, F]} \cong \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \times \frac{K}{[R, F]}.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.2, $\eta : S/K \rightarrow G$ is a covering pair of (G, N) . Moreover $(S/K)/(T/K) \cong M$, which completes the proof. \square

3. Some inequalities for the Schur-multiplier of a pair (G, N)

In this final section we give some inequalities for a pair of finite groups (G, N) . The following lemma plays a fundamental role in proving our main theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of the group G , S and T are normal subgroups of the free group F such that $T \subseteq S$, $S/R \cong N$ and $T/R \cong K$, then the following sequence is exact.*

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{R \cap [T, F]}{[R, F]} \rightarrow M(G, N) \xrightarrow{\alpha} M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right) \rightarrow \frac{K \cap [N, G]}{[K, G]} \rightarrow 1. \tag{1}$$

Proof. By the definition

$$M(G, N) = \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]}, \quad M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right) = \frac{T \cap [S, F]}{[T, F]}$$

and

$$\frac{K \cap [N, G]}{[K, G]} \cong \frac{(T \cap [S, F])R}{[T, F]R}.$$

Clearly the following sequence, with obvious natural homomorphisms, is exact

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{R \cap [T, F]}{[R, F]} \rightarrow \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \rightarrow \frac{T \cap [S, F]}{[T, F]} \rightarrow \frac{(T \cap [S, F])R}{[T, F]R} \rightarrow 1,$$

which gives the result. \square

In the above lemma, one notes that if $M(G, N)$ is trivial then $M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right) \cong \frac{K \cap [N, G]}{[K, G]}$. Also, if $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ is a central extension of H such that $A \subseteq [N, G]$ and $M\left(\frac{G}{A}, \frac{N}{A}\right)$ is triviality, then the exactness of (1) yields that G and H are isomorphic.

Now using the above lemma, we are able to prove our main theorem of this section, which is a wide generalization of M.R. Jones [3], when $N = G$.

Theorem 3.2. *Using the assumptions and notations of the above lemma we have*

- (i) $|K \cap [N, G]| |M(G, N)| = |M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right)| \left| \frac{[T, F]}{[R, F]} \right|$;
- (ii) $d(M(G, N)) \leq d\left(M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right)\right) + d\left(\frac{[T, F]}{[R, F]}\right)$;
- (iii) $e(M(G, N))$ divides $e\left(M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right)\right) e\left(\frac{[T, F]}{[R, F]}\right)$,

where $e(X)$ and $d(X)$ are the exponent and the minimal number of generators of the group X , respectively.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1,

$$|M(G, N)| = |L| \left| \frac{R \cap [T, F]}{[R, F]} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right)}{L} \cong \frac{K \cap [N, G]}{[K, G]},$$

where L is $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ in Lemma 3.1. Hence

$$|K \cap [N, G]| |M(G, N)| = \left| M\left(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}\right) \right| \left| [K, G] \right| \left| \frac{R \cap [T, F]}{[R, F]} \right|.$$

But $[K, G] \cong [T, F]/(R \cap [T, F])$, and

$$\frac{\frac{[T, F]}{[R, F]}}{\frac{R \cap [T, F]}{[R, F]}} \cong \frac{[T, F]}{R \cap [T, F]}.$$

This implies part (i). The proofs of parts (ii) and (iii) are obtained similarly. \square

The following lemma of M.R. Jones [2] is needed, in order to state an interesting corollary to the above theorem.

Lemma 3.3. (See M.R. Jones [2].) *Let G be a finite group with a free presentation $G \cong F/R$. Let K be a central subgroup of G with $K \cong T/R$. Then $[T, F]/[R, F]T'$ is a homomorphic image of $(G/K) \otimes K$.*

Finally, we obtain the following corollary which is a generalization of M.R. Jones [4].

Corollary 3.4. *Let (G, N) be a pair of finite groups and K a normal subgroup of G such that $K \subseteq Z(G) \cap N$. Then*

- (i) $|M(G, N)| | [N, G] \cap K |$ divides $|M(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K})| |M(K)| | \frac{G}{K} \otimes K |$;
- (ii) $d(M(G, N)) \leq d(M(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K})) + d(M(K)) + d(\frac{G}{K} \otimes K)$;
- (iii) $e(M(G, N))$ divides $e(M(\frac{G}{K}, \frac{N}{K}))e(M(K))e(\frac{G}{K} \otimes K)$.

Proof. Using the notations of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\frac{([T, F]/[R, F])}{([R, F]T'/[R, F])} \cong \frac{[T, F]}{[R, F]T'} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{[T, F]T'}{[R, F]} \cong \frac{M(K)}{(T' \cap [R, F])/[R, T]}.$$

Now, the results follow by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. \square

Finally, we remark that if $N = G$ the first author proves the above results in [6] for arbitrary variety of groups and in [7] we have shown these with respect to two varieties of groups.

References

[1] G. Ellis, The Schur multiplier of a pair of groups, Appl. Categ. Structures 6 (3) (1997) 355–371.
 [2] M.R. Jones, Multipliers of p -groups, Math. Z. 127 (1972) 165–166.
 [3] M.R. Jones, Some inequalities for the multiplier of a finite group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973) 450–456.
 [4] M.R. Jones, Some inequalities for the multiplier of a finite group II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974) 167–172.
 [5] J.L. Loday, Cohomologie et groupe de Steinberg relatif, J. Algebra 54 (1978) 178–202.
 [6] M.R.R. Moghaddam, Some inequalities for the Baer-invariant of a finite group, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 9(1) (Serial No. 16) (1979) 5–10.
 [7] M.R.R. Moghaddam, A.R. Salemkar, M. Taheri, The Baer-invariants with respect to two varieties of groups, Algebra Colloq. 8 (2) (2001) 145–151.
 [8] I. Schur, Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 127 (1904) 20–50.
 [9] I. Schur, Untersuchungen über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 132 (1907) 85–137.
 [10] K. Yamazaki, Projective representations and ring extensions, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I 10 (1964) 147–195.