

Predictive Validity of the English Language Teacher Competency Test

Reza Pishghadam (Corresponding author)

English Department, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad

PO box 9177948974, Park Square, Ferdowsi University, Mashhad, Iran

Tel: 98-9153073063 E-mail: rpishghadam@yahoo.com

Farnoosh Khosropanah

English Department, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad

PO box 9177948974, Park Square, Ferdowsi University, Mashhad, Iran

E-mail: farnooshkhosropanah@yahoo.com

Received: March 23, 2011 Accepted: April 20, 2011 doi:10.5296/ije.v3i1.715

Abstract

This study intends to examine the predictive validity of the English Language Teacher Competency Test (ELT-CT) designed by Pishghadam (2010). To achieve this end, 100 teachers were asked to take ELT-CT and 700 students were asked to determine their teacher success. Moreover, students' final exam scores were used to analyze the data. The results have shown that the test has predictive validity with respect to both of the dependent variables. Finally, statistical results were discussed, and implications were provided in the context of English language teaching and learning.

Keywords: Teacher cognition, Teacher competency tests, Teacher success, Predictive validity

1. Introduction

Different factors are necessary for an educational system to be considered successful; one of the most important factors that can make a successful educational system is to have competent teachers and that can guarantee success of any educational system. Lowman (1996) believes that there are two very distinctive characteristics in successful university professors, and these two characteristics are: logical intelligence, and good and friendly social relations. Rice (2003) believes that teaching is a complicated action which is influenced by teacher's characteristics, in which students' success can be predicted based on the teacher's capabilities.

Swandee (1995) considers teaching as a two-way relationship between students and the teacher, believing that what students learn, depends mostly on the teacher and on the teacher's commitment towards their job. Brown (2001), believes that teachers can be agents of change, change of weakness to strength, competition to cooperation, leading students to more success. Ahmadvand (2004), describes the successful teacher as someone who is very thoughtful and intelligent who uses a very special method in his or her teaching and that can distinguish him/her from other teachers. Baydala, Rasmussen and Sherman (2008) also consider teacher factors as a factor which has profound impacts on various outcome measures. Teachers who demonstrate patience and knowledge of intervention techniques can have a positive impact on students' success. In the same vein, Dilmac (2009) claims that for teachers to be successful, the possession of certain characteristics are necessary and Hamdan, Ghafar, and Li (2010), state that some of these characteristics can be standards that might go quite beyond the standards and principles which are accepted worldwide.

As mentioned, teachers have a very important role in any educational system and for the sake of this important role, we should be very cautious in selecting the most influential and competent teachers. One of the best ways to select competent teachers is through using Teachers Competency Tests (TCTs). Mehrens (1987) states that TCTs are the tests that can help us to select and employ the most competent teachers and to separate competent teachers from incompetent ones. TCTs are used to control the entry of students into teacher training programs, certify successful completion of a teacher training program, control initial certification or licensure of teachers and informing decisions regarding re-certification or promotion of experienced teachers. The motivating factor behind TCTs is that we do not want incompetent teachers. Therefore, several different teacher competency tests have been designed around the world. Countries like United States, England and Canada and also India are using TCTs. To name a few of TCTs which are currently in use, we can mention: National Teachers Examinations (NTE), Pre-Professional Skills Test (PPST) and also tests which were developed by Educational Testing Service for each state in the US (Mehrens, 1987). Moyer-Pockenham, Bolyard, Kitsantas, and Oh (2008) also examined TCTs being used to document mathematics and science teacher quality characteristics. However, to our knowledge, only one test has been developed by Pishghadam, (2010) in the context of foreign language learning in Iran to measure the competency knowledge of English language teachers. Therefore, our purpose in this study is to examine the predictive validity of the test.

2. Theoretical Framework

TCTs can be used to measure teacher's cognition. Borg (2003) believes that teacher's cognition refers to what teachers may know and reflect how this can influence their behavior and actions as they occur in the classroom, and that there is an interaction between teacher thinking and practice and the context in which teaching happens and the teacher's own previous learning experiences also have a very important role. Strom and Tymofyeyev (2004) believe that the need for competency testing arises every time when a recruiter interviews a new candidate for a job position, and in general it can be used to identify the most appropriate people for different jobs.

Ayers (1979) also studied the predictive validity of TCTs with calculating the correlation between teachers' scores on NTE, which as previously mentioned is a type of TCT, and the teachers' scores on ACT (American College Test) and the results showed the predictive validity of NTE. Ayers (1983) reported a correlation of 0.88 between the NTE scores and the SAT scores which shows the predictive validity of NTE which is a kind of TCT. Ayers (1988) also studied the predictive validity of NTE through correlations with test scores, success as an undergraduate, and certain personal characteristics, and the predictive validity on NTE in relation to principal ratings, pupil ratings and classroom observations made by independent observers for a group of teachers. He found that NTE is significantly correlated with ACT and mean grade point average.

According to Strauss & Sawyer (1986), who examined the relationship between teacher performance on NTE and students' test scores, claimed that there was a strong relationship between average teacher scores on NTE and average students test scores at the district level in North Carolina. According to Ferguson (1991), the teachers' performance on the licensure exam accounted for 20-25 percent of the variation across districts in student average test scores (as cited in Buddin & Le, 2005).

Hamdan, Ghafar, and Li (2010), did a study to find out the level of teaching competency of school teachers of the district of Johor Bahru Malaysia. The authors tried to find out the teachers competency on their teaching skills, effectiveness, professional characteristics and classroom management. The results of this study showed that the teachers had high level of competency in teaching. The results showed that teachers had high competency in the four mentioned scales. The study also showed that there was no relationship between teaching competency and teachers' academic achievements. There was a relationship between teaching competency and the teachers' gender and the female teachers were found to be more competent than the male teachers and there was also a relationship between teachers' experience and teaching competency and the more experienced teachers were also the ones who found to be more competent by the test. There was also no relationship between teaching competency and ethnicity of the teachers. So, in their study the authors were to find out if the teachers of Malaysia had the necessary competency needed to be good teachers, and they found out that they were actually quite competent according to the factors listed in the questionnaire.

In another study Pishghadam (2010) designed a special teacher competency test just for English teachers which can mostly be used for the employment as well as for the licensure and certification purposes. The author tried to validate the English Language Teacher Competency Test (ELT-CT) against language teachers in private language institutes in Iran. His method of analysis was Rasch measurement and the results showed that all items contribute to the definition of a unified construct of teacher competency. In another study on the construct validity of the same test, Pishghadam and Khosropanah (in press) tried to study the construct validity of ELT-CT with the use of Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), and the results showed that the underlying factors of the test are what the test-designer claims to be. The results have revealed that ten factors represent the underlying structure of ELT-CT. This test has never been validated against any other criterion to see if it enjoys predictive validity. Therefore, in this study we aim to examine the predictive validity of ELT-CT.

3. Purpose of the Present Study

Since it is important for any test to be validated from different angles, in this study we like to verify the predictive validity of ELT-CT. In fact, we aim to find out if there is any relationship between English language teachers' scores on ELT-CT and the scores they obtain from teacher success questionnaire, which is taken by language learners of each teacher and the final exam scores of the learners. Therefore, the study investigates these research questions:

- 1- Is there any significant relationship between the English teachers' scores on ELT-CT and their scores on teacher success?
- 2- Is there any significant relationship between the scores that English teachers obtain on ELT-CT and their students' final exam scores?
- 3- Is there any significant difference between the means of high, mid and low ELT-CT groups, regarding performance on teachers' success and their students' final exam scores?

4. Method

4.1 Participants

A sample of 100 people participated in this study, comprised of 75 females and 25 males between the ages of 20 and 50 ($M = 27.2$). All of the participants were English language teachers who were university students or university graduates. Most of them were majoring in three main majors English Literature (25), English Teaching (35), and English Translation (25) and a few of them were majoring in other university majors (15). The participants were both students or holders of BA (88), and also students or holders of MA (12) in their own majors. All our participants were instructing English in 4 different language institutes in Mashhad, Iran and 2 language institutes in Bojnourd, Iran.

The other group of participants who participated in this study, consisted of 700 English language learners from the above-mentioned institutes, the participants were students of the same teachers who also participated in our study. Upper-intermediate and advanced students were chosen as they can have a good understanding of the characteristics of a good teacher.

Out of the 700 participants, 442 were female and 258 were male. They were aged from 18 to 52, majoring in different fields of study at the university.

4.2 Instrumentation

ELT-CT which has been designed by Pishghadam (2010) has 61 items with the reliability of 0.84, which has been calculated with Cronbach alpha.(see appendix). ELT-CT has been validated by using Rasch analysis. It has also been validated by Pishghadam, and Khosropanah (in press) by the use of EFA. It takes one hour to take the test. In this study, using Cronbach alpha the reliability was found to be $r=.77$.

The other questionnaire that we used in our study was "The Characteristics of Successful English Language Teachers Test" which has been designed by Mo'afian and Pishghadam(2008). This Likert-type questionnaire has been designed based on the opinions and suggestions of university professors, English language teachers and language learners, and also Swandee's questionnaire (1995) about successful teacher. This questionnaire consists of 49 questions about the teacher. The reliability of this test was calculated by Cronbach alpha, which is about 0.94. In order to find the construct validity of this questionnaire Principal Axis Factoring has been used. Principal Axis factoring investigated the 12 principal factors of this questionnaire. It takes half an hour to answer this questionnaire. In this study, using Cronbach alpha the reliability was found to be $r=.87$.

4.3 Procedures

The researchers distributed the ELT-CT among English language teachers. At the end of the term, we distributed the teacher success questionnaire among the language learners of those teachers. And then, we received the final exam scores of the language learners at the end of the term.

To calculate the correlation between the variables Pearson-Product moment correlation was used. To be more certain of the results of correlation, we employed Anova to analyze the data. According to the results of the participants' performance on the ELT-CT, three groups (high (N=33), mid (N=33) and low (N=50) were formed, and the one-way Anova was run to see whether the difference between the means of the high, mid and low ELT-CT groups were significant. Then, we used post hoc Scheffe to locate the areas of difference.

5. Results

As e.g. Table 1 represents, there is a high significant relationship between ELT-CT and teacher success ($r=.57$, $p<.05$), and also between ELT-CT and students' final exam ($r=.709$, $p<.05$). The results exhibit that the test enjoys acceptable predictive validity.

Table 1. Correlations between teachers' scores on ELT-CT, the teacher success, and their students' final exam scores

Variables	Teacher Success	Students' Scores
ELT-CT	.578*	.709*

*= $p<.05$

To further analyze the data, one-way Anova was used. As the results of e.g. Table 2 and 3 show, there is a significant difference among the three groups ($F=17.39$, $p. \leq .05$), and as the results of post hoc Scheffe test show, teachers in the high group ($M= 4.30$) and the mid group ($M= 3.58$) outscored the low group ($M= 3.12$) with respect to teacher success.

The results are summarized in the box below:

High/ Mid > Low

Table 2. One way Anova for ELT-CT and teacher success

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	23.455	2	11.727	17.393	.000
Within Groups	64.727	96	.674		
Total	88.182	98			

Table 3. Post hoc Scheffe

Groups	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
low	33	3.12	
mid	33		3.85
high	33		4.30
Sig.		1.000	.085

As the results of e.g. Table 4 and 5 show, there is a significant difference among the three groups ($F=17.39$, $p. \leq .05$), and as the results of post hoc Scheffe test show, students' final scores in the high group ($M= 86.96$) were higher than the mid group ($M= 83.95$), and the mid group outscored the low group ($M= 78.80$). These results corroborate the findings of the correlational analysis.

The results are summarized in the box below:

High > Mid > Low

Table 4. One way Anova for ELT-CT and students' final exam scores

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	1123.326	2	561.663	32.629	.000
Within Groups	1652.490	96	17.213		
Total	2775.816	98			

Table 5. Post hoc Scheffe

Groups	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05		
		1	2	3
low	33	78.803		
mid	33		83.955	
high	33			86.961
Sig.		1.000	1.000	1.000

6. Discussion

A good test as Cronbach (1949) stated should be validated from different aspects; and for the test to be considered valid, it should show all possible types of validity. Kane (2001) believed that the criterion validity tends to be empirical but the construct model is inclined to be theoretical. The author also stated that for a good test, it is better to be validated both empirically and theoretically. ELT-CT as mentioned, had been validated by using Rasch analysis by Pishghadam (2010), then it had been also validated by Pishghadam and Khosropanha (in press) by using EFA, and regarding these two methods, the test showed good construct validity. In this study, the authors were to examine the predictive validity of ELT-CT. The results of the study showed very good predictive validity.

The value of this study lies in examining the fact that whether the ELT-CT is valid according to other factors, or it is just valid in theory. In other words, if an English teacher who obtains a high score on the ELT-CT, is also successful in practice or he/she is just successful in theory. The results of this study revealed that if an English teacher gets a high score on the ELT-CT, he/she is also successful in practice.

Since this test has been validated in theory and practice, we believe that English language institutes can use it to recruit more qualified teachers, who can guarantee more success in language education. Moreover, ELT-CT can act like a map of language teaching for instructors to follow it to be more successful in their jobs. In fact, this instrument can help the teachers to get conscious of their own abilities and potentialities in teaching. By taking the test, teachers can be cognizant of their teaching knowledge.

It is our hope that future research will lead to further evaluation and improvement of this instrument. Researchers should continue to carry out thorough assessment of the psychometric properties of the instrument designed to measure language teacher competency. Only after the true factor structure of the ELT-CT instrument has been examined, can researchers confidently assert conclusions about the role of these variables in language learning.

In the end, researchers are recommended to examine objectively the relationship between ELT-CT and other related variables such as: teachers' characteristics, teacher burnout, students' performance and success in class. These variables seem to be highly related to

teacher cognition. Finding any association between these variables and ELT-CT helps us have a better understanding of the importance of this test.

References

- Ahmadvand, M. (2004). How to become a reflective teacher. *Foreign Language Teaching Journal*, 83(21), 20-24.
- Ayers, J. B. (1979). Concurrent and predictive validity of the national teacher examinations. *Journal of Educational Research*, 73, 25-69.
- Ayers, J. B. (1988). Another look at concurrent and predictive validity of the national teacher examinations. *Journal of Educational Research*, 81, 13-45.
- Baydala, L., Rasmussen, C., & Sherman, J. (2004). The impact of teacher factors on achievement and behavioural outcomes of children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A review of literature. *Educational Research*, 50(4).347-360.
- Borg, S. (2003). Teacher cognition in language teaching: A review of research on what language teachers think, know, believe and do. *Language Teaching*, 36, 81-109.
- Brockman, G. (2010). Assessing teacher quality. *CERA Newsletter*, 4(1).23-45.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy. In Pishghadam, R. & Mo'afian, F. (2009). Validation of the characteristics of successful English language teachers' questionnaire. *Foreign language research*, 54.127-142.
- Cronbach, L.J. (1949). *Essentials of psychological testing*. New York: Harper.
- Dilmac, B. (2009). An analysis of teachers' general tendency to procrastinate, perception of professional efficacy/ self efficacy and altruism. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 7(3),1323-1338.
- Ferguson, R.F. (1991). Paying for public education: New evidence on how and why money matters. In Buddin, R. & Le, V. (2005). *Rand Education*.
- Hamdan, A. R., Ghafar, M. N., & Hwe Li, L. T. (2010). Teacher competency testing among Malaysian school teachers. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 12 (4), 610-617.
- Kagan, D. A. (1990). Ways of evaluating teacher cognition: Inferences concerning the Goldilocks principle. *Review of Educational Research*, 60(3), 419-469.
- Kane, M. (2001). Current concerns in validity theory. *Journal of educational Measurement*, 38, 319-342.
- Lowman, J. (1996). Characteristics of exemplary teachers. *New Direction for Teaching and Learning*, 65, 33-40.
- Mehrens, W. A. (1987). Validity issues in teacher licensure tests. *Journal of Personnel Evaluation in Education*, 1(2), 195-229.

Moyer-Packenham, P. S., Bolyard, J., Kitsantas, A., & Oh, H. (2008). The assessment of mathematics and science teacher quality. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 83, 562-591.

Pettis, J. (2002). Developing our professional competence: Some reflections. *Foreign Language Research*, 54, 127-142.

Pishghadam, R. (2010). *Validation of an English Language Teacher Competency Test*. Unpublished manuscript. Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

Pishghadam, R., & Khosropanah, F. (in press). The construct validation of the English Language Teachers Competency Test. *Journal of International education studies*.

Rice, K. J. (2003). Teacher quality: understanding the effectiveness of teacher attributes. [Online] Available: http://www.epinet.org/content.cfm/books_teacher_quality_execsum_intro (June 21, 2007)

Strauss, R. P., and Sawyer, E. A. (1986). Some new evidence on teacher and student competencies. *Economics of Education Review*, 51, 51-48.

Strom, B., & Tymofyeyev, R. (2004). Competency testing: general overview. White paper.

Swandee, A. (1995). Students' perceptions of university instructors' effective teaching characteristics. *SLLT Journal*, 5, 6-22.

Appendix

The English Language Teacher Competency Test (ELT-CT)

Full name: Age:

Gender: Degree:

Years of teaching experience: University major:

Directions: For each of the items below, choose the best option by circling the letters a, b, c or d. The option you choose should be based on what you practice in the classroom, or believe to be the correct course of action. Please note that the teaching context in mind is a typical class held at a language institute.

1. Regarding the Persian language, I

- a. never use it in my class.
- b. I will use it if necessary.
- c. try to teach through it.
- d. force my learners not to use it in the class.

2. On the first session of the term,...

- a. I introduce myself by giving my name and start the lesson immediately.
- b. I introduce myself by giving my name and ask the students for theirs.
- c. I talk about myself and then ask my students to tell me their names.

-
- d. I talk about myself and then ask my students to talk about themselves too.
3. The score I prefer to give my students is usually
- out of 20.
 - out of 100.
 - ranging from A+ to F.
 - in the form of a remark, such as 'good' or 'excellent'.
4. During movie sessions, I
- leave my students alone to enjoy the film.
 - stop each line of dialog and ask students to repeat what was said.
 - write down new words on the board as the movie is playing.
 - discuss the movie with my students in class and ask them to write a report on it.
5. If there are too many students in my class, I
- ask my students for their names and try to address them by name as they speak.
 - avoid using names altogether.
 - address only those students whom I can remember by name.
 - address my students using humorous nicknames which I have given them.
6. Imagine it is the beginning of a term and you go to a class for the first time. Which of the following statements are you most likely to use?
- "I'm allergic to using cell phones in my class."
 - "Those who don't study will receive low scores at the end of the term."
 - "To be successful in the course, I'd like you to conform fully."
 - "What are your expectations of the course?"
7. I begin each session of my class by
- wasting no time and going directly to the new lesson.
 - telling my students what we are going to be doing on that session.
 - reviewing all that has been taught in the previous sessions.
 - checking to see whether the students have completed their homework.
8. Considering my personal relationship with the learners, I
- try to maintain a formal relationship both inside and outside the class.
 - sometimes disclose personal information about myself in the class.
 - try to foster an informal relationship all the time.
 - think there is no need to reveal any personal information about myself in the class
9. In my opinion, one of the responsibilities of an English language teacher is to
- convey information to the learner's mind.

- b. relate language to thinking.
 - c. focus on the book being taught.
 - d. expose learners to the language being taught.
10. When speaking with students, which of the following statements is NOT appropriate to use?
- a. "Give your reasons."
 - b. "What would you do if you were."
 - c. "What is your choice?"
 - d. "Do you understand my question?"
11. Imagine while you are teaching, one of your students suddenly laughs in the class. How would you react?
- a. I would stop teaching for a while.
 - b. I would ignore it.
 - c. I might ask him/her to leave the class to make an example for other students.
 - d. I might frown at the learner in order to show how serious I am.
12. If a learner asks me a question, which I feel is intended to waste time, I will
- a. first make sure if this is truly the learner's intention, then decide on what to do depending on my conditions and that of the class.
 - b. try to act strictly so as to set an example for any other student who may want to disrupt the order in my class.
 - c. avoid psychological tension in the class by simply reporting the student to the office to be properly dealt with.
 - d. ignore the problem and suppress any feeling of anger or resentment which I may have at the time.
13. With respect to my learners, I
- a. think having eye contact with all students is not a must.
 - b. prefer to address my learners by name.
 - c. don't think knowing their names can help to control classroom activities.
 - d. prefer to have eye contact with more receptive and welcoming faces.
14. To heighten my learners' motivation, I
- a. praise them all the time.
 - b. let them have some choices.
 - c. use scores as a kind of reward.
 - d. never correct their mistakes.
15. During a test, if all my students ask me for help on an item

-
- a. I will give them the answer for encouragement.
- b. I will not respond at all.
- c. I will give them a clue to answering the question.
- d. I will advise them to skip the item.
16. If a student does poorly on the final exam, I determine his/her score by
- a. other tests and/or quizzes which I have taken during the term.
- b. his/her attendance during the course.
- c. his/her performance on class activities and overall participation.
- d. only his/her performance on the final.
17. When announcing the results of a test,
- a. I will not mention the results at all.
- b. I will give them their exam papers so that they can make sure they've been fairly assessed.
- c. I will give them their exam papers and review the correct answers with the whole class.
- d. I will not give them their exam papers until after the end of the term.
18. If a student of mine has problems in understanding a listening passage,...
- a. I repeat the passage as many times as necessary.
- b. I explain what is being said, and repeat the passage once more.
- c. I repeat the passage sentence by sentence myself until everything is made clear.
- d. I explain pronunciation points heard in the passage, such as syllable reduction.
19. In my elementary classes, I improve my students' listening skill by...
- a. asking them to listen to dialogs and then act them out.
- b. giving them instructions and asking them to follow.
- c. reading and speaking at a rate which is slower than natural speech.
- d. asking them to listen and then discuss what was mentioned together.
20. Whenever I wish to present a reading passage to my class, I begin by...
- a. reading the passage out loud for all the students to hear.
- b. asking one of the students to read out the passage for all to hear.
- c. providing definitions for unfamiliar words and expressions.
- d. asking the students questions about the topic of the passage.
21. If a particular student in my class disagrees with the ideas expressed in a reading passage, I
- a. try to persuade him that the author is right.
- b. explain that he should probably read further and gain more knowledge on the topic.
- c. put the writer's ideas up for discussion and allow the class to decide who's right.

- d. explain that our job is to only understand what the passage says and not to deal with the ideas expressed.
22. If a student complains about a text having too many unfamiliar words, I
- advise him to use a dictionary to look them up.
 - advise him not to use a dictionary and rely on guesswork.
 - advise him to choose another text altogether.
 - advise him to first guess, and then consult with a dictionary if necessary.
23. When an elementary-level student gives me a piece of writing, I
- correct and comment on both the grammar errors and the ideas.
 - only comment on the ideas and how they are put into paragraphs.
 - only concern myself with the grammatical errors, misused words, punctuation, etc.
 - tell my student that he/she should wait a few terms before attempting to write.
24. When assigning homework for writing,
- I give my students a different topic to write about each session.
 - I ask my students to write different types of passages each week (essays, letters, resumes, etc.).
 - I ask my students to complete a single writing assignment over the course of a few sessions, each time adding to its length.
 - I give my students a single writing assignment which they will write and revise based on my notes and comments each week.
25. If my student does not use verbs in the past tense, but indicates that he is referring to the past by using other means such as adverbs of time,
- I do not interfere so long as his message is understood.
 - I interfere every time he makes this mistake and correct him.
 - I remind him of his mistakes at the end of his turn.
 - I do not ask him to talk about the past until I'm ensured that he's able to do so.
26. With lower intermediate students, when choosing a topic for discussion in class,
- I choose topics which are more likely to create a heated debate.
 - I allow my students to choose from the topics proposed by the textbook..
 - I stick to one of the topics proposed by the textbook.
 - I do not allow discussions in such classes at all.
27. If I have prepared myself to teach a given number of pages for a particular session, but I sense that the lesson is too tough for the students to handle, I
- stick to my lesson plan despite the problems, because covering all materials is my first priority.

- b. stick to my lesson plan despite the problems, and assign more homework to cover any weaknesses.
- c. I slow down my pace and teach fewer pages of the lesson in more detail and practice.
- d. I try to add more exercises and practice into the session without deviating from the plan.
28. If my students are experiencing problems learning new vocabulary items, I
- a. will tell them all to use a single strategy like flashcards.
- b. teach them the different ways of learning vocabulary and let them choose which one they prefer.
- c. try to see which way is more common among the more successful students of the class and ask others to follow suit.
- d. leave them alone to discover the right way to learn on their own.
29. If I teach the present perfect tense in class, I expect my students to
- a. be able to talk about a variety of topics using the tense appropriately.
- b. be able to make correctly formed sentences in response to questions I ask them.
- c. be able to tell me the exact rule for making a sentence in the present perfect tense.
- d. recognize any sentence which is in the present perfect tense.
30. When looking at my students in class, I
- a. try to share my eye contact equally among all my students.
- b. try to keep my eye contact with students who are listening carefully in order to maintain their attention.
- c. try to keep my eye contact with students who are not listening carefully, so as to draw their attention to the class.
- d. I do not pay conscious attention to my eye-contact.
31. If a student is showing problems completing a task in class, I try to place him
- a. alone, so that I can observe him/her more carefully.
- b. next to a more capable student, so that he/she can improve through interacting with him/her.
- c. next to other students with a low achievement, so that he/she is not discouraged.
- d. wherever he was situated before, without disrupting class order.
32. Whenever a students of mine asks me a question in Farsi, I
- a. punish them by requiring them to put money into a jar.
- b. allow it as long as they repeat their question in English with my help.
- c. allow it as long as they were truly unable to say what they meant in proper English.
- d. ignore their question, and ask them to wait until the end of the class to ask.
33. When selecting authentic materials, such as a newspaper article, to present in class, I only choose articles which

- a. contain information that is new and interesting for the students.
 - b. contain grammar and vocabulary studied in class.
 - c. have familiar topics and are easy to understand.
 - d. are randomly chosen from one of the most famous English newspapers.
34. During a classroom conversation, if a student manages to convey his/her messages through English words with a Farsi word order, I
- a. allow him/her to continue so long as he/she is understood.
 - b. try to prevent him/her from further participation in the discussion.
 - c. stop him/her every time a mistake is made.
 - d. repeat what that student is saying with the correct word order.
35. When determining deadlines for handing in assignments, I
- a. negotiate the deadline and often postpone it upon my students' request.
 - b. negotiate the deadline, but never postpone it once it has been set.
 - c. assign the deadline myself, but postpone it upon request.
 - d. assign the deadline myself and never postpone it once it has been set.
36. If a student of mine does not show interest in learning English, I
- a. will tell him/her all about the British and American culture and way of life
 - b. will encourage him/her to watch movies and listen to music in English.
 - c. will tell him/her how English could be useful in one's future life and career.
 - d. will try to discover his/her interests and relate how English could be of use.
37. If I happen to make a mistake in a children's class, I
- a. admit to it and use it to show that making mistakes is a normal part of learning.
 - b. ignore it, because I want to keep my credibility and respect among my learners.
 - c. pretend that I had made the mistake on purpose in order to teach my students a lesson.
 - d. ignore the mistake, but later in the lesson, teach the correct form.
38. To prevent students from being frequently absent in my classes, I tend to
- a. reward students who are not absent at all with additional marks.
 - b. take away marks from those students who exceed a certain number of absences.
 - c. take matters to the management of the institute or school at which I work.
 - d. ignore those students who are frequently absent in my classes.
39. If I criticize a student in class and he/she feels disheartened by what I have said,
- a. I try to praise the student publicly, in order to help him/her regain self-esteem.
 - b. I offer my formal apologies privately after the session is over.
 - c. I offer my formal apologies publicly in front of the whole class.

- d. I try not to pay too much attention until the feelings go away.
40. In my classes, spontaneous bursts of laughter
- are welcome since they are signs of how energetic my students are.
 - are welcome since they can be used to show other teachers how much fun we are experiencing in our class.
 - are unwelcome since they often lead to disorderly behavior in class.
 - are unwelcome since they suddenly alter the dominant mood of the class.
41. With advanced level students, when choosing a topic for discussion in class, I
- sometimes choose topics which are somewhat controversial, in order to encourage interaction and authentic debates.
 - always choose topics which have been proposed by the textbook because they are more appropriate and less likely to be offensive.
 - always allow my students to choose whatever topic they desire.
 - try to avoid controversial topics, because these may lead to heated debates which may not be possible to handle.
42. If students are making a lot of noise in my class and are not listening to me, I
- speak in a louder volume to get their attention.
 - bang my fist on the desk to get their attention.
 - leave the class as a sign of protest.
 - remain silent until they quiet down and start paying attention.
43. When a student asks me about the meaning of a word, I explain it through
- providing the dictionary definition
 - using the word in an example
 - miming the word or using pictures
 - a different technique each time
44. In a crowded classroom, when conducting a discussion, I
- divide the class into groups and ask each group to report their ideas to the class.
 - divide the students into pairs and ask them to discuss the topic at hand.
 - ask each student to express his/her ideas very briefly.
 - allow the students to choose whether to speak or remain silent.
45. Having assigned group work, if a particular group finishes sooner than others, I
- ask them to complete the task for a second time.
 - ask them to complete a follow-up to the task.
 - ask them to wait until other groups also finish.
 - ask them to go around helping members from the other groups.

46. During a classroom discussion, if a student has nothing to say regarding the topic, I
- stop the discussion until he/she finds something to say.
 - force him/her to say something by taking away class points or marks.
 - leave the student alone until he/she is ready to say something.
 - try to ask the question in different ways to help him/her come up with ideas.
47. 1. When a student does poorly on an examination, I am more likely to say .
- better luck next time.
 - I know the exam was so difficult.
 - you should have tried harder.
 - you may not be fit for the course.
48. If a student has a kind of Persian accent while speaking, I .
- make him/her achieve a kind of British accent.
 - ask him/her to mimic the American accent.
 - won't push him/her to mimic any native-like accent.
 - ask him/her to work more on his/her accent.
49. If a student drops the third person's' from a verb, I
- correct his/her mistake immediately.
 - correct his/her mistake at the end of the session.
 - ignore it.
 - notice closely to see whether s/he makes the same mistake again.
50. If a learner has a problem with his/her learning, I
- help him/her directly by giving prompts to solve the problem.
 - just facilitate the process of learning.
 - make him/her discover the solution by him/herself.
 - ask him/her to cooperate with his/her friends to solve it.
51. To enhance critical thinking in my learners, I prefer to start my questions with terms like
- rate, defend
 - define, tell
 - locate, match
 - arrange, separate
52. Regarding teaching methodology, I
- try to find the best methods for teaching.
 - prefer to use one method of teaching for all of my classes to ensure consistency.
 - will be flexible in using different methods.

- d. try to model the methods which I think are the best.
53. When I pose a question in the class, I
- give the learners some time to think before answering the question.
 - ask them to answer it quickly for the task to be more 'real life'.
 - try to change it into a yes/no question to make it easier for the learners to answer.
 - add more questions to make the learners have more interaction.
54. If a learner receives a good score on an examination, I would probably say
- You must be really smart.
 - Surly, you have studied hard.
 - You are so lucky.
 - The exam was easy for you.
55. If I give a test of language aptitude to one of my learners and s/he receives low score on it, I may say to her/him
- It's better to drop studying the English language.
 - Don't worry. You should practice harder.
 - It is not your fault. Aptitude is something that nobody can change.
 - You can learn English, but slowly.
56. Imagine you are teaching kids. One of them asks you the Persian equivalent of a word that you don't know. What would you say?
- Sorry. I don't know.
 - It is not a good time to ask questions.
 - Very good. This is exactly what I wanted you to look up for the next session.
 - I should have a look at a dictionary.
57. If one of your learners says I think you are wrong. What is the most probable feedback which you may provide?
- That's interesting. In what way?
 - I think you will find that all of the studies show this to be true.
 - I think you need more time to understand that you are wrong.
 - No problem. This is your opinion.
58. Regarding activities in the classroom, which of the following is NOT appropriate to do in class?
- Instead of distributing handouts, place them in three or four piles around the room and ask everyone to collect one.
 - Asking learners to walk and talk while carrying out any brief activity in pairs.

c. When learners are forming groups, asking them to find one or two others who are not sitting next to them.

d. To maintain discipline, learners should not be allowed to move in class.

59. Considering teaching grammar, I

a. never teach it to be natural.

b. try to teach English through grammar.

c. try to make the learners conscious of some forms.

d. think it must be at the forefront of the class.

60. Considering teaching skills, I believe that

a. teaching each skill in isolation is practical and useful.

b. teaching different skills must be done in combination.

c. students must mimic sample models of the English language.

d. focus must be on the forms of language.

61. With respect to teaching culture, I

a. give more priority to the English culture in the class.

b. highlight the Persian culture more than the English one.

c. do not teach the English culture directly.

d. focus on the English culture to make learners become native-like.

This is the end of the test

Copyright Disclaimer

Copyright reserved by the author(s).

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).