

SECOND SERIES

Bulletin
Bulletin
Bulletin
Bulletin

of the

**MALAYSIAN
MATHEMATICAL
SCIENCES
SOCIETY**

BULETIN PERSATUAN SAINS MATEMATIK MALAYSIA



ISSN 0126-6705

REPRINT

Approximately Midconvex Set-Valued Functions

¹ALIREZA KAMEL MIRMOSTAFAEI AND ²MOSTAFA MAHDAVI

^{1,2}Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures, Department of Pure Mathematics,
School of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad 91775, Iran

¹mirmostafaei@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir, ²m_mahdavi1387@yahoo.com

Abstract. We will show that if F is a set-valued mapping which satisfies

$$F(x) + F(y) \subseteq 2F((x+y)/2) + K$$

for some convex compact set K , then under some restrictions, there are maximal superadditive and midconvex mappings which are K -subclose to F .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B62, 39B52, 39B82, 26B51

Keywords and phrases: Set-valued mappings, superadditive map, midconvex map.

1. Introduction

The notion of stability of functional equations has its origins with Ulam [25], who posed the fundamental problem in 1940 and with Hyers [6], who gave the first significant partial solution in 1941. A generalized version of Hyers theorem for approximately linear mappings was given by Rassias [19]. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (e.g. [1, 7–12, 18, 22, 26]).

Functional inclusion is a tool for defining many notions of set-valued analysis, e.g. linear, affine, convex, midconvex, concave, superadditive and subadditive maps.

In set-valued analysis, a functional inclusion is called stable if any function which satisfies this inclusion approximately is near to a true solution of the functional inclusion. The Hyers-Ulam stability is discussed for set-valued functional equations and inclusions by some mathematicians [3, 15–17, 24].

Let X and Y be semigroups and $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$. If F satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) + F(y) \subseteq F(x+y) \quad (x \in X),$$

then F is called superadditive. A function $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is called midconvex if

$$(1.2) \quad F(x) + F(y) \subseteq 2F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Note that these notions are different. For example, if $F, G : [0, \infty) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ are defined by $F(x) = [0, \sqrt{x}]$ and $G(x) = [0, x^2]$ for each $x \in [0, \infty)$, then F is midconvex but it is not superadditive, while the converse holds for G .

Some authors studied different properties of midconvex and additive set-valued functions (e.g. [2, 5, 14, 23]). In this paper, we will show that, under certain circumstances, every approximately midconvex function F from an abelian semigroup to compact convex subsets of a topological vector space can be approximated by a set-valued additive mapping. We also prove that there exists a maximal midconvex set-valued mapping which approximates F .

2. Results

Throughout the paper, unless otherwise state, we will assume that X is an abelian semigroup divisible by two and Y is a topological vector space. If $A, B \subset Y$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad \lambda A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\}.$$

One can easily see that for each $A, B \subset Y$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$,

$$\lambda(A + B) = \lambda A + \lambda B, \quad (\lambda + \mu)A \subseteq \lambda A + \mu A.$$

Moreover, if A is convex, then $(\lambda + \mu)A = \lambda A + \mu A$. We denote by $C(Y)$ and $CC(Y)$ the collection of all non-empty compact subsets and all non-empty compact convex subsets of Y respectively.

Definition 2.1. *If K is a subset of Y and $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$, we say that F is K -midconvex if*

$$(2.1) \quad F(x) + F(y) \subseteq 2F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + K \quad (x, y \in X).$$

The above definition is known in the case where K is a convex cone. Many properties of such set-valued functions can be found, for instance in [13].

We need some axillary results. The first one is due to Rådström [20].

Lemma 2.1. *Let A, B and C be nonempty subsets of a topological vector space Y . Suppose that B is closed and convex and C is bounded. If $A + C \subseteq B + C$, then $A \subseteq B$. If moreover, A is closed and convex and $A + C = B + C$, then $A = B$.*

The following result may be found in [4, Lemma 29.2].

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ are decreasing sequences of closed subsets of topological vector space and A_1 is compact. Then*

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n + B_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n + \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n.$$

Definition 2.2. *Let $F, G : X \rightarrow C(Y)$ be two set valued functions, for subset K of Y we say that F is K -subclose to G if $F(x) \subseteq G(x) + K$ ($x \in X$).*

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F : X \rightarrow CC(Y)$ be a K -midconvex set-valued function, $K \in CC(Y)$ and $0 \in F(0)$. Then there exists a superadditive set-valued function $A : X \rightarrow CC(Y)$ which is maximal K -subclose to F and $A(2x) = 2A(x)$ for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. *There is a superadditive function $A : X \rightarrow CC(Y)$ such that $A(x) \subseteq F(x) + K$ for each $x \in X$.*

Put $y = 0$ in (2.1) to obtain

$$F(x) + F(0) \subseteq 2F\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + K \quad (x \in X).$$

Since $0 \in F(0)$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad F(x) \subseteq 2F\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + K \quad (x \in X).$$

Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (2.2), we see that

$$(2.3) \quad F(2^n x) \subseteq 2F(2^{n-1}x) + K \quad (x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By multiplying both sides of (2.3) by 2^{-n} , we get

$$(2.4) \quad 2^{-n}F(2^n x) \subseteq 2^{-(n-1)}F(2^{(n-1)}x) + \frac{K}{2^n} \quad (x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It follows from (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad 2^{-n}F(2^n x) + \frac{K}{2^n} \subseteq 2^{-(n-1)}F(2^{(n-1)}x) + \frac{K}{2^{n-1}} \quad (x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $A_n(x) = 2^{-n}F(2^n x) + K/2^n$ ($x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$). It follows from (2.5) that $\{A_n(x)\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of compact sets in Y for each $x \in X$. Hence

$$A(x) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x) \quad (x \in X)$$

defines a non-empty compact convex valued function on X . In view of (2.5), $A_n(x) \subset A_0(x) = F(x) + K$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. Therefore $A(x) \subset F(x) + K$ for each $x \in X$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) + A(y) &= \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x) + \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(y) \\ &\subseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_n(x) + A_n(y)) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-n}F(2^n x) + \frac{K}{2^n} + 2^{-n}F(2^n y) + \frac{K}{2^n} \right) \\ &\subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-n} \left(2F\left(\frac{2^n x + 2^n y}{2}\right) + K \right) + \frac{K}{2^{n-1}} \right) \\ &\subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-(n-1)}F(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1}y) + \frac{K}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{K}{2^n} \right) \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-(n-1)}F(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1}y) + \frac{K}{2^{n-1}} \right) + \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K}{2^n} \quad \text{by Lemma 2.2} \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n-1}(x+y) = A(x+y) \end{aligned}$$

for each $x, y \in X$. Hence A is superadditive.

Step 2. $A(2x) = 2A(x)$.

For each $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(2x) &= \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(2x) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[2^{-n}F(2^{n+1}x) + \frac{K}{2^n} \right] = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[2^{-n}F(2^{n+1}x) + \frac{2K}{2^{n+1}} \right] \\ &= 2 \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[2^{-(n+1)}F(2^{n+1}x) + \frac{K}{2^{n+1}} \right] = 2 \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n+1}(x) = 2 \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x) = 2A(x). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. A is maximal superadditive K -subclose to F .

Let $B : X \rightarrow CC(Y)$ be a superadditive K -subclose to F . Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$

$$2^n B(x) \subseteq B(2^n x) \subseteq F(2^n x) + K.$$

It follows that

$$B(x) \subseteq A_n(x) \quad (x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Therefore $B(x) \subseteq A(x)$ for each $x \in X$. ■

Definition 2.3. By a selection f of a mapping $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ we mean a single-valued mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) \in F(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $(X, +)$ be an additive group divisible by two and $F : X \rightarrow C(Y)$ be a midconvex function such that $0 \in F(0)$. Then F admits an additive selection.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, there is a superadditive function $A : X \rightarrow C(Y)$ such that $A(x) \subseteq F(x)$ for each $x \in X$ and $A(2x) = 2A(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Therefore $A(0) + A(0) \subseteq A(0) + \{0\}$. On account of Lemma 2.1, $A(0) = \{0\}$. It follows that for each $x \in X$, $A(x) + A(-x) \subseteq A(x - x) = \{0\}$. Hence A is single-valued. Let $A(x) = \{f(x)\}$ for each $x \in X$. Then f is a selection of F . Moreover for each $x, y \in X$,

$$f(x) + f(y) \in A(x) + A(y) \subseteq A(x + y) = \{f(x + y)\}.$$

This proves additivity of f . ■

We need the following well-known result (see e.g. [21, Theorem 1.13(b)]).

Lemma 2.3. Let X be a topological vector space and $A, B \subseteq X$, then $\overline{A + B} \subseteq \overline{A} + \overline{B}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $F : X \rightarrow C(Y)$ be an K -midconvex set-valued function, $K \in CC(Y)$ and $0 \in F(0)$. Then there exists a maximal midconvex set-valued function $M : X \rightarrow C(Y)$ which is K -subclose to F .

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{G : X \rightarrow C(Y) : G \text{ is midconvex and } G(x) \subseteq F(x) + K \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 ensures that $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$. Define a binary relation " \preceq " on \mathcal{P} as follows.

$$G_1 \preceq G_2 \text{ if and only if } G_1(x) \subseteq G_2(x) \text{ for each } x \in X.$$

Then (\mathcal{P}, \preceq) is a partially ordered set. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a chain in \mathcal{P} , define

$$H(x) = \overline{\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(x)} \quad (x \in X).$$

Since for each $x \in X$ and $G \in \mathcal{P}_0$, $G(x) \subseteq F(x) + K$ and $F(x) + K$ is compact, H is compact-valued. We will show that for each $x, y \in X$,

$$(2.6) \quad \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(x) + \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(y) \subseteq 2H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

To prove (2.6), take some $x, y \in X$, $z_1 \in \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(x)$ and $z_2 \in \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(y)$. Then for some $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{P}_0$, $z_1 \in G_1(x)$ and $z_2 \in G_2(y)$. Let $G_1 \preceq G_2$, then

$$z_1 + z_2 \in G_1(x) + G_2(y) \subseteq G_2(x) + G_2(y) \subseteq 2G_2\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \subseteq 2H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

This proves (2.6). It follows from (2.6) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$H(x) + H(y) = \overline{\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(x)} + \overline{\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(y)} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(x) + \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(y)} \subseteq 2H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

Therefore H is midconvex. By Zorn's Lemma, \mathcal{P} has a maximal element M . This completes our proof. \blacksquare

Example 2.1. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, $Y = \mathbb{R}$ and $F : X \rightarrow CC(Y)$ be defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} [0, \sqrt{x}] & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ [0, 2\sqrt{x}] & x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $g(t) = \sqrt{t}$ is concave, $F|_{[0,1]}$ and $F|_{[1,\infty)}$ satisfy (2.1). Since $F(0) + F(1) = [0, 2]$ is not subset of $2F((0+1)/2) = [0, \sqrt{2}/4]$, F is not midconvex. However,

$$F(x) + F(y) \subseteq [0, 1] + [0, 2\sqrt{y}] \subseteq 2F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + [0, 1],$$

whenever $0 \leq x < 1$ and $y \geq 0$. Hence for $K = [0, 1]$, F satisfies (2.1). According to Theorem 2.2, there is a maximal midconvex set-valued map $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow C(Y)$ such that $M(x) \subseteq F(x) + [0, 1]$.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referees very much for their valuable comments and suggestions. This research was supported by a grant from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad No. MP91280MIM

References

- [1] J. M. Almira and A. J. López-Moreno, On solutions of the Fréchet functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **332** (2007), no. 2, 1119–1133.
- [2] J. Brzdęk, D. Popa and B. Xu, Selections of set-valued maps satisfying a linear inclusion in a single variable, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), no. 1, 324–330.
- [3] T. Cardinali, K. Nikodem and F. Papalini, Some results on stability and on characterization of K -convexity of set-valued functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **58** (1993), no. 2, 185–192.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [5] Z. Gajda and R. Ger, Subadditive multifunctions and Hyers-Ulam stability, in *General Inequalities*, 5, 281–291, Internat. Schriftenreihe Numer. Math., 80 Birkhäuser, Basel, Oberwolfach, 1986.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [7] S.-M. Jung, A fixed point approach to the stability of differential equations $y' = F(x, y)$, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) **33** (2010), no. 1, 47–56.

- [8] A. K. Mirmostafae, Approximately additive mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **46** (2009), no. 2, 387–400.
- [9] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, Stability of additive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **160** (2009), no. 11, 1643–1652.
- [10] M. S. Moslehian and T. M. Rassias, Stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **1** (2007), no. 2, 325–334.
- [11] Z. Moszner, On the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.* **77** (2009), no. 1-2, 33–88.
- [12] A. Najati, J.-R. Lee and C. Park, On a Cauchy-Jensen functional inequality, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **33** (2010), no. 2, 253–263.
- [13] K. Nikodem, K -convex and K -concave set-valued functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Łódz. Mat.* **559** (Rozprawy Nauk. 114), (1989).
- [14] K. Nikodem and D. Popa, On single-valuedness of set-valued maps satisfying linear inclusions, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **3** (2009), no. 1, 44–51.
- [15] C. Park, D. O'Regan and R. Saadati, Stability of some set-valued functional equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24** (2011), no. 11, 1910–1914.
- [16] M. Piszczek, The properties of functional inclusions and Hyers-Ulam stability, *Aequationes Math.* **85** (2013), no. 1-2, 111–118.
- [17] D. Popa, A property of a functional inclusion connected with Hyers-Ulam stability, *J. Math. Inequal.* **3** (2009), no. 4, 591–598.
- [18] J. M. Rassias, The Ulam stability problem in approximation of approximately quadratic mappings by quadratic mappings, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **5** (2004), no. 3, Article 52, 9 pp.
- [19] T. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [20] H. Rådström, An embedding theorem for spaces of convex sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1952), 165–169.
- [21] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [22] F. Skof, Local properties and approximation of operators, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* **53** (1983), 113–129 (1986).
- [23] A. Smajdor, Additive selections of superadditive set-valued functions, *Aequationes Math.* **39** (1990), no. 2-3, 121–128.
- [24] W. Smajdor, Subadditive set-valued functions, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **21(41)** (1986), no. 2, 343–348.
- [25] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.
- [26] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias and W. X. Xu, A generalized mixed quadratic-quartic functional equation, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **35** (2012), no. 3, 633–649.