

Effect of Level and Duration of Application of a Commercial Enzyme Mixture on *In Vitro* Ruminal Fermentation Responses of a Mid-Forage Total Mixed Ration

E. Parand, M. Danesh Mesgaran*, A. Faramarzi Garmroodi and A. Vakili

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad-91975-1763, Iran

(Received January 08, 2013)

ABSTRACT

Parand, E., Danesh Mesgaran, M., Faramarzi Garmroodi, A. and Vakili, A. 2014. Effect of level and duration of application of a commercial enzyme mixture on in vitro ruminal fermentation responses of a mid-forage total mixed ration. Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology, 13: 109-119.

In vitro gas production technique was used to evaluate ruminal fermentation characteristics of a mid-forage total mixed ration (TMR; containing 39.4% corn silage, 6.2% wheat straw and 54.4% concentrate) which was pre-treated with a commercial enzyme mixture (Natuzyme[®]) applied at the rate of 0.0, 0.84, 1.68 and 2.52 g/kg DM (E0.0, E0.84, E1.68 and E2.52, respectively) and at different times (0, 12 and 24 hr before to the start of the *in vitro* incubation, namely hr0, hr12 and hr24, respectively). Increasing levels of enzyme from 0.84 to 2.52 g/kg substrate DM linearly (P<0.001) increased gas production volume (GP₉₆). *In vitro* dry matter disappearance (IVDMD) increased quadratically (P<0.05) with increasing level of enzyme and was highest at E1.68. Fermentation efficiency (FE) increased quadratically (P<0.001) with increasing level of enzyme and the highest (P<0.05) FE was at E0.84. Methane production at (t_{1/2}) increased (P<0.05) linearly with increasing level of enzyme. Gas production volume (GP₂₄) responded quadratically (P<0.001) as the time of enzyme administration increased from hr 0 to hr12 and hr24 and was highest at hr12. IVDMD and FE decreased linearly (P<0.001) as time of pre-incubation increased from 0h to 24 h. Results suggest that the enzyme used and the times of pre-treatment are advantageous to improve *in vitro* fermentation of a mid-forage ration.

Key words: Enzyme, Gas production, In vitro fermentation.

INTRODUCTION

Forages are low cost and important energy sources for ruminants but their quality and availability is not always constant throughout the year. One of the most

^{*}Corresponding author: danesh@um.ac.ir

common methods for preserving forages for whole-year feeding is ensiling. Furthermore, the digestion of forages in the rumen is relatively slow and incomplete, limiting animal performance and increasing feed cost of livestock production (Bassiouni *et al.*, 2010). The availability of new methods of enzyme production such as biotechnological approaches has led to the hypothesis that enzymes (e.g. cellulases and xylanases) which degrade plant cell walls can be used to hydrolyse forage fibre and increase their digestibility. Beauchemin *et al.* (2003) reported that application of fibrolytic enzymes improved forage utilization and productive efficiency of ruminants. They also found that the improvement in animal performance due to addition of enzyme additives could be attributed mainly to improvements in ruminal fibre digestion resulting in an increase of digestible energy intake.

However, responses to enzyme supplementation differ for dry forage, fresh forage, and silage (Beauchemin *et al.*, 1995; Feng *et al.*, 1996). A number of studies have shown that the addition of fibrolytic enzymes to grass or alfalfa hay before ensiling reduced the concentration of plant structural carbohydrates compared with untreated silages (Henderson and McDonald, 1977; van Vuuren *et al.*, 1989; Jacobs and McAllan, 1991; Kung *et al.*, 1992; Stokes, 1992). Such enzymatic action on silage may enhance *in vivo* digestion. However, the animal responses to some commercial enzyme products have been variable as reviewed by Beauchemin *et al.* (2001). This inconsistency of responses to enzyme supplementation may be due to a number of factors, including diet composition, type of enzyme used, level of enzyme provided, enzyme stability in the digestive tract, and method of application (Yang *et al.*, 2000).

Furthermore, results from various studies indicated that responses to the level of enzyme addition are non-linear both *in vitro* (Colombatto *et al.*, 2003a,b) and *in vivo* (Lewis *et al.*, 1999; Kung *et al.*, 2000). The objective of the present study was to determine the effect of enzyme dose and pre-treatment duration of a commercial enzyme mixture on *in vitro* ruminal fermentation responses of a mid-forage total mixed ration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental diet, enzymes mixture and enzymes administration

The experimental TMR contained (g/kg DM) corn silage, 394; wheat straw, 62; corn grain, 96; barley grain, 84; wheat grain, 22; soybean meal, 79; wheat barn, 62; cotton seed meal, 59; wheat residue, 50; sugar beet pulp, 56; fish meal, 17; fat powder, 5; calcium bicarbonate, 4; magnesium oxide, 4 and mineral additive, 6. Triplicated dried and ground (1 mm) samples of the test feed (300 mg) was weighed and placed in 125 ml serum bottles. To avoid undesirable variances, the needed amounts of enzyme equivalent 0, 0.84, 1.68 and 2.52 g/kg (referred as E0.0, E0.84, E1.68 and E2.52) was mixed with double distilled water in such a manner to maintain the moisture content of test feed in the serum bottles equal to approximately 40% on weight basis and pipetted directly on to feed samples in the serum bottles at 0,

12 and 24h before incubation (referred as hr0, hr12 and hr24). The bottles were closed with rubber stoppers and aluminium caps and kept at room temperature until half an hour before incubation time when the bottles were placed in a water bath at 39°C until incubation to avoid heat shock to micro-organisms. The enzyme was a powdered multi-enzyme commercially available feed additive product named Natuzyme[®] (Bioproton, Queensland, Australia) containing (per gram of enzyme preparation) cellulase (4200 units), xylanase (2500 units), ß-glucanase (500 units), protease (3000 units) and amylase (750 units) activities, as indicated by the manufacturer. Natuzyme[®] also contains hemicellulase, amyloglycosidase, pentosanase, pectinaseandphytase activities.

Determination of in vitro gas production parameters

Rumen fluid was obtained from three ruminally fistulated steers $(580\pm4.5 \text{ kg}, \text{BW})$ before the morning feeding and immediately strained through four layers of cheesecloth to eliminate large feed particles and transferred to the laboratory in a pre-warmed thermos. The fluid were diluted (1:2 v/v) with a culture medium containing macro and micro mineral solutions, resazurin and a bicarbonate buffer solution prepared as described by Menke and Steingass (1988). The medium was kept at 39°C in a water bath and saturated with CO₂. Under an anaerobic condition, 30 ml of buffered rumen fluid was dispensed with a pipette pump into each of the pre-warmed 125 ml serum bottle containing the test feed and enzyme mixture. Each bottle was then sealed with rubber stopper and aluminium cap as above and placed in a shaking water bath set at 39°C for 96 h. The experiment was repeated in three runs, and in each run bottles containing only buffered rumen fluid were included as blank.

Gas production was measured at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96h of the incubation by inserting a 23 gauge (0.6 mm) needle attached to a pressure transducer (model PX4200-015GI, Omega Engineering Inc., Laval, Que., Canada) connected to a visual display (Data Track, Christchurch, UK) into the head space of the serum bottles. The transducer was then removed leaving the needle in place to permit venting. Pressure values and gas released from negative controls were used to generate volume estimates as reported by Mauricio *et al.* (1999) and to prevent accumulation of gas produced; head space gas of each bottle was released. Gas production data were modelled as described by Ørskov and McDonald (1979) using non-linear regression (NLIN procedure of SAS (1999, V. 8.2)) to obtain estimates for potential gas production (A, ml/g DM), time to reach half the asymptote ($t_{1/2}$, h) and fractional rate of gas production (μ , %/h) at specific times.

Determination of in vitro dry mater disappearance, fermentation efficiency and methane production

Experimental procedures were similar to those described above with the following exceptions. At $t_{1/2}$, total gas production (as described earlier) and percent of methane was measured in each bottle using Biogas Detector Device (SR2-BIO Sewerin, UK)

and bottles were immediately transferred on to an ice bath to stop fermentation. The contents of each bottle was filtered through 46 μ m pore size filters and residuals were oven dried at 60°C for 72h and used to calculate IVDMD and FE as DM disappeared in terms of miligrams per milliliter methane produced at $t_{1/2}$.

Calculations and statistical analyses

Data were statistically analysed separately as a 4×3 factorial arrangement of completely randomized design with four concentrations of enzyme (0.0, 0.84, 1.68 and 2.52 g/kg substrate DM) and three times of administration (0, 12 and 24h prior to incubation) using the following statistical model:

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + E_i + A_j + (EA)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

where y=depended variable, μ =overall mean, E_i =effect of enzyme level, A_j =effect of administration time, $(EA)_{ij}$ =effects of the interaction between enzyme level and administration time and e_{ijk} =residual error. All the statistical analyses were performed using the general linear models procedures of SAS (1999, Version 8.2). Differences between means were assessed by Tukey test and treatment effects were declared significant if P<0.05. Additionally, orthogonal polynomial contrast was used to examine responses to increasing level of enzyme (linear, quadratic, and cubic) or administration time (linear and quadratic).

RESULTS

Parameters describing in vitro gas production of test diet treated with different levels of the enzyme and used at different administration times are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Time to reach half the asymptote $(t_{1/2}, h)$ and fractional rate of gas production $(\mu, \%/h)$ were not affected (P>0.05) by enzyme levels (Table 1). Potential gas production (A, ml/g DM) and gas production volume (GP_{96}) was higher (P<0.05) for E0.0 compared to E0.84, but potential gas production for other levels of enzyme did not differ. Increasing levels of enzyme from 0.84 to 2.52 g/kg substrate DM linearly (P < 0.001) increased gas production volume (GP_{96}) . Responses to increasing time of administration was both linear (P<0.001) and quadratic (P<0.001) for in vitro gas production volume (GP₉₆), time to reach half the asymptote $(t_{1/2})$ (P<0.05 and P<0.01) and fractional rate of gas production (μ , %/h) after 96h incubation (P<0.05 and P < 0.001), but potential gas production (A), decreased linearly (P < 0.001) as the time of enzyme administration increased from hr 0 to hr12 and hr 24, respectively (Table 2). Time to reach half the asymptote gas production increased (P < 0.01) quadratically and was highest (P < 0.05) at hr12 prior administration time compared to hr 0 and hr 24. Time hr 0 was not different from other times of administration. Also, hr 24 had higher (P<0.05). Fractional rate of gas production decreased (P<0.001) quadratically and was lowest (P<0.05) at hr12 administration time.

Table 1. *In vitro* gas production volume (GP₉₀), potential gas production (A), time to reach half the asymptote $(t_{1/2})$ and fractional rate of gas production $(\mu, \%/h)$ after 96h incubation for test diet treated with different levels of enzyme

Parameters		Enzyme level (g/kg substrate DM)					Contrast ¹		
	0.0	0.84	1.68	2.52	SEM ²	L	Q	С	
GP ₉₆ (mL)	82.2ª	75.2 ^b	79.8 ^{ab}	80.3 ^{ab}	1.49	***	NS	*	
A (mL)	84.2ª	76.7 ^b	82.1 ^{ab}	78.6 ^{ab}	1.71	NS	NS	*	
t _{1/2} (h)	15.0	14.9	14.8	15.4	0.42	NS	NS	NS	
μ (h ⁻¹)	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.046	0.001	NS	NS	NS	

 $^{a,b,c}\mbox{different}$ superscripts following means within the same row indicate differences at $P\!<\!0.05;$

¹Contrasts: L, Q and C=linear, quadratic and cubic effects of enzyme level;

²SEM - standard error of the mean; ²FE=mg DM disappeared/ml methane produced at t₁₀;

*, ** and ***=P<0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively. NS=not significant (P>0.05).

Table 2. *In vitro* gas production volume (GP₉₀), potential gas production (A), time to reach half the asymptote $(t_{1/2})$ and fractional rate of gas production $(\mu, \%/h)$ after 96h incubation for test diet treated with powdered multi-enzyme at different times of administration

Parameters		Administra	Cont	Contrasts ¹		
	0	12	24	SEM ²	L	Q
GP ₉₆ (mL)	88.3ª	80.0 ^b	69.8°	1.29	***	***
A (mL)	89.8ª	80.9 ^b	70.5 ^c	1.48	***	NS
t _{1/2} (h)	15.2 ^{ab}	16.0 ^a	13.9 ^b	0.37	*	**
μ (h ⁻¹)	0.046 ^b	0.044 ^b	0.050^{a}	0.001	*	***

 a,b,c different superscripts following means within the same row indicate differences at P<0.05;

¹Contrasts: L and Q=linear and quadratic effects of administration time;

²SEM - standard error of the mean;

*, ** and ***=P<0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively. NS=not significant (P>0.05).

Fermentation characteristics of test diet treated with different levels of enzyme at different administration times are shown in Tables 3 and 4. *In vitro gas* production volume responded linearly (P<0.001) and cubic (P<0.05) to increasing levels of enzyme. Enzyme level E1.68 had the highest *in vitro* gas production volume compared to others. IVDMD increased quadratically (P<0.05) with increasing level of enzyme and was highest at E1.68. Fermentation efficiency increased quadratically (P<0.001) with increasing level of enzyme and the highest fermentation efficiency was at E0.84 compared to other enzyme levels (P<0.05). Methane production at ($t_{1/2}$) increased (P<0.05) linearly with increasing level of enzyme.

Gas production volume (GP₂₄) responded quadratically (P<0.001) as the time of enzyme administration increased from hr 0 to hr 12 and hr 24 and was highest at hr 12 (Table 4). IVDMD and FE decreased linearly (P<0.001) as time of preincubation increased from hr 0 to hr 12 and hr 24 and percent of methane production increased linearly (P<0.001) and quadratic (P<0.001).

Table 3. *In vitro* gas production volume (GP), IVDMD, fermentation efficiency (FE) and percent of methane at (t_{1/2}) for test diet treated with different levels of powdered multi-enzyme

Parameters		Enzyme level (g/kg substrate DM)					Contrasts ¹		
	0	0.84	1.68	2.52	SEM ²	L	Q	С	
GP (mL)	36.3°	36.2°	48.3ª	44.6 ^b	0.23	***	NS	*	
IVDMD (%)	31.9°	35.1 ^{ab}	35.4ª	34.1 ^{ab}	0.26	NS	*	NS	
FE ³ (mg/mL)	13.5 ^b	15.3ª	9.6°	8.8°	0.40	*	***	NS	
Methane (%)	12.3°	15.7 ^b	17.6 ^a	18.9 ^a	0.36	*	NS	NS	

a,b,cdifferent superscripts following means within the same row indicate differences at P<0.05;

¹Contrasts: L, Q and C=linear, quadratic and cubic effects of enzyme level;

²SEM - standard error of the mean; ³FE=mg DM disappeared/ml methane produced at t₁₀;

*, ** and ***=P<0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively. NS=not significant (P>0.05).

Table 4. *In vitro* gas production volume (GP_{24}) , IVDMD and fermentation efficiency (FE) after 24h incubation for test diet treated with powdered multi-enzyme at different times of administration

Parameters		Administrat	Contrast ¹			
	0	12	24	SEM ²	L	Q
GP (mL)	45.5 ^b	47.5ª	31.6 ^c	0.20	***	***
IVDMD (%)	38.4 ^a	34.3 ^b	29.7 °	0.22	***	NS
FE ³ (mg/mL)	15.3ª	12.9 ^b	7.2°	0.35	***	NS
Methane (%)	11.6 ^b	12.2 ^ь	27.1ª	0.31	***	***

a.b.cdifferent superscripts following means within the same row indicate differences at P < 0.05;

¹Contrasts: L and Q=linear and quadratic effects of administration time;

²SEM - standard error of the mean; ³FE=mg DM disappeared/ml methane produced at t₁₀;

*, ** and ***=P<0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively. NS=not significant (P>0.05).

DISCUSSION

In the present experiment, *in vitro* gas production volume at $t_{1/2}$ and after 96h of incubation responded to increasing levels of enzyme in the same manner. Dawson and Tricario (1999) and Giraldo *et al.* (2007b) also reported that effects of fibrolytic enzyme *in vitro* were generally larger during the initial stages of degradation. Excluding E0.84, incremental levels of enzyme did not affect potential gas production or *in vitro* gas production volume at 96 hr of incubation. This is in agreement with the results reported by Jalilvand *et al.* (2008) who using several straws treated with three levels (3, 6, 9 g per kg DM) of the same enzyme as in the current experiment also reported that asymptotic gas production using exogenous fibrolytic enzyme was also reported by others (Mould *et al.*, 1999; Colombatto *et al.*, 2003a, b; Jalilvand *et al.*, 2008).

Since the rate at which enzymes show optimal effects depends on forage type (Beauchemin *et al.*, 1995), and that responses to incremental levels of enzymes are typically non-linear (Beauchemin *et al.*, 1995; Kung *et al.*, 2000), the decrease in gas production volume (GP_{96}) and potential gas production (A) after 96 hr incubation using E0.84 and increase in *in vitro* gas production volume (GP) and fermentation efficiency (FE) at $t_{1/2}$ using E1.68 in this experiment may be related to the nature of test diet and the observed non-linear effects.

In the present experiment, fractional rate of gas production and time at which half of the gas production was achieved was not affected by enzyme levels in agreement with the findings of Yang *et al.* (2000) and Kung *et al.* (2002) who reported that the gas production rate was not affected by fibrolytic enzyme supplementation. In contrast, other studies (Wallace *et al.*, 2000) have shown that cellulase and other commercial fibrolytic enzymes can increase the cumulative gas production and rates of *in vitro* fermentation of grass and corn silage. Results from other Studies have also shown that the effects of using fibrolytic enzyme supplements in ruminant diets are not always consistent (Rode *et al.*, 1999; Yang *et al.*, 1999), presumably due to diet composition, type of enzyme used, level of enzyme provided, enzyme stability and method of application (Yang *et al.*, 2000).

In the present study, effect of enzyme level on IVDMD was non-linear and E0.84 showed lower IVDMD compared to E0.0 and E2.25. Similarly, Almaraz et al. (2010) reported a quadratic response of *in vitro* dry matter disappearance as enzyme level in the diet was increased. Previous studies (Lewis et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2001; Giraldo et al., 2004) have shown that a pre-treatment of feed with enzymes before incubation with ruminal fluid enhanced the beneficial effects of enzymes on ruminal fermentation. As pointed out by Colombatto et al. (2003a), the mode of action is not clear. Some authors have suggested that this could be due to the creation of a stable enzyme-feed complex (Kung et al., 2000), but others have indicated the possibility of alteration in the fibre structure, which would stimulate microbial colonization (Newbold, 1997; Nsereko et al., 2000; Giraldo et al., 2007b). Forwood et al. (1990) reported that IVDMD was increased when forage were pre-incubated with enzymes at room temperature for various periods of time. These findings highlighted the importance of adsorption and binding of the enzyme to substrate before exposing feed-enzyme complex to rumen microbial community to allow proper attachment and protection against degradation by rumen proteases (Forwood et al., 1990; Beauchemin et al., 2003). However, the effects of duration of pre-treatment with exogenous enzymes on fermentation characteristics of feeds have not been investigated in depth, and in the current study, increasing the time of administration generally had negative effect on the potential gas production, in vitro gas production volume at 96 hr of incubation and IVDMD both at 96 hr and $t_{1/2}$.

Only a few studies have investigated the effects of exogenous enzymes on methane production, and the results are conflicting. Treating forage-based substrates

with fibrolytic enzymes has been reported to increase methane production (Giraldo et al., 2007a). Dong et al. (1999) found that the treatment of grass hay with cellulase and xylanase enzymes in a Rusitec system increased cellulose digestibility and methane production by 15 and 43%, respectively. In present study, methane production was increased by 27.1, 42.4 and 53.2% for E0.84, E1.68 and E2.52, respectively compared with E0.0. Increasing the pre-treatment duration also increased percent of methane production but the negative effect of incremental levels of enzyme and effects of increasing time of enzyme administration on percent of methane production may be misleading without considering the basics of *in vitro* gas production technique. The in vitro gas production technique intends to measure the potential conversion of different nutrient fractions (monosaccharaides, polysaccharides, pectin, starch, cellulose and hemicellulose) to CO₂, VFA and CH₄ (Getachew et al., 2004). In fact, when a feedstuff is incubated with buffered rumen fluid, it is first degraded and the degraded fraction may either be fermented to produce gas and fermentation acids, or incorporated into microbial biomass (Rymer et al., 2005). Consequently, mg DM disappeared/ml methane produced (advocated as FE) can be a better index for investigating the effect of treatments on methane production. In the present experiment, although E0.84 had higher percentage of methane compared to E0.0 and lower in vitro gas production and IVDMD than E1.68, it had the highest FE. In other word, using E0.84, less portion of the DM that disappeared was converted to methane and overall fermentation was improved slightly. Additionally, hr12 had less FE than hr0 although percent of methane production did not show significant difference.

CONCLUSION

Application of Natuzyme[®] at 0.84 g/kg DM increased the fermentation efficiency via reduced methane production per unit of disappeared DM. Additionally, gas production volume (GP₂₄) increased quadratically as the time of enzyme administration increased from hr 0 to hr 24 and was highest at hr 12 and *in vitro* dry matter disappearance and fermentation efficiency at $t_{1/2}$ decreased linearly as time of pre-incubation increased from hr 0 to hr 24. The present results suggest that Natuzyme[®] applied at the rate of 0.0, 0.84, 1.68 or 2.52 g/kg DM, has the potential to modify *in vitro* gas production parameters and dry matter disappearance, fermentation efficiency and percentage of methane production at $t_{1/2}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors gratefully acknowledge the technical and financial support from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad and Dr. Mali (TFARIAPty Ltd. Company, Iran).

REFERENCES

Almaraz, I., González, S., Pinos-rodríguez, J. and Miranda L. 2010. Effects of exogenous fibrolytic enzymes on in sacco and *in vitro* degradation of diets and on growth performance of lambs. Italian *Journal of Animal Science*, North America. Available at: http://www.aspajournal.it/ index.php/ ijas/article/view/ijas.2010.e2/1242>.

- Bassiouni, M.I., Gaafar, H.M.A, MohiEl-Din, A.M.A., Metwally, A.M. and Elshora, M.A.H. 2010. Evaluation of rations supplemented with fibrolytic enzyme on dairy cows performance 3. Productive performance of lactating Friesian cows. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, Volume 22, Article #117.
- Beauchemin, K.A., Morgavi, D.P., McAllister, T.A., Yang, W.Z. and Rode L.M. 2001. The use of enzymes in ruminant diets. In: *Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition* (Eds. P.C. Garnsworthy and J. Wiseman), Nottingham University Press. Nottingham, pp. 298-322.
- Beauchemin, K.A., Rode, L.M. and Sewalt, V.J.H. 1995. Fibrolytic enzymes increase fiber digestibility and growth rate of steers fed dry forages. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 75: 641-644.
- Beauchemin, K.A., Colombatto, D., Morgavi, D.P. and Yang W.Z. 2003. Use of exogenous fibrolytic enzymes to improve feed utilization by ruminants. *Journal of Animal Science*, 81: 37-47.
- Colombatto, D., Mould, F.L., Bhat, M.K., Morgavi, D.P., Beauchemin, K.A. and Owen, E. 2003a. Influence of fibrolytic enzymes on the hydrolysis and fermentation of pure cellulose and xylan by mixed ruminal micro-organisms *in vitro*. *Journal of Animal Science*, 81: 1040-1050.
- Colombatto, D., Mould, F.L., Bhat, M.K. and Owen, E., 2003b. Use of fibrolytic enzymes to improve the nutritive value of ruminant diets. A biochemical and *in vitro* rumen degradation assessment. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 107: 201-209.
- Dawson, K.A. and Tricario, J.M. 1999. The use of exogenous fibrolytic enzymes in ruminants. In: Proceedings of the 15th Annual Symposium on Biotechnology in the Feed Industry, Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, pp. 303-312
- Dong, Y., Bae, H.D., McAllister, T.A., Mathison, G.W. and Cheng K.J. 1999. Effects of exogenous fibrolytic enzymes, a-bromoethanesulfonate and monensin on fermentation in a rumen simulation (Rusitec) system. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 79: 491-498.
- Feng, P., Hunt, C.W., Pritchard, G.T. and Julien, W.E. 1996. Effect of enzyme preparations on *in situ* and *in vitro* degradation and *in vivo* digestive characteristics of mature cool-season grass forage in beef steers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 74: 1349-1357.
- Forwood, J.R., Sleper, D.A. and Henning J.A. 1990. Topical cellulase application effects of tall fescue digestibility. *Agronomy Journal*, 82: 900-913.
- Getachew, G., Robinson, P.H., De Peters, E.J. and Taylor S.J. 2004. Relationships between chemical composition, dry matter degradation and *in vivo* gas production of several ruminant feeds. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 111: 57-71.
- Giraldo, L.A., Tejido, M.L., Ranilla, M.J. and Carro M.D. 2007a. Effects of exogenous fibrolytic enzymes on *in vitro* rumen fermentation of substrates with different forage: concentrate ratios. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 85: 1962-1970.
- Giraldo, L.A., Ranilla, M.J., Tejido, M.L. and Carro M.D. 2004. Effects of cellulase application form on the *in vitro* rumen fermentation of tropical forages. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences*, 13 (Suppl.1): 63-66.
- Giraldo, L.A., Ranilla, M.J., Tejido, M.L. and Carro M.D. 2007b. Influence of exogenous fibrolytic enzyme and fumarate on methane production, microbial growth and fermentation in Rusitec fermenters. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 98: 753-761.
- Henderson, A.R. and McDonald, P. 1977. The effect of cellulase preparations on the chemical changes during the ensilage of grass in laboratory silos. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 28: 486.
- Jacobs, J.L. and McAllan, A.B. 1991. Enzymes as silage additives. 1. Silage quality, digestion, digestibility and performance in growing cattle. *Grass and Forage Science*, 46: 63.

- Jalilvand, G., Odongo, N.E., López, S., Naserian, A., Valizadeh, R., EftekharShahrodi, F., Kebreab, E. and France, J. 2008. Effects of different levels of an enzyme mixture on *in vitro* gas production parameters of contrasting forages. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 146: 289-301.
- Kung, L.Jr., Treacher, R.J., Nauman, G.A., Smagala, A.M., Endres, K.M. and Cohen M.A. 2000. The effect of treating forages with fibrolytic enzymes on its nutritive value and lactation performance of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83: 115-122.
- Kung, L.Jr., Cohen, M.A., Rode, L.M. and Treacher R.J. 2002. The effect of fibrolytic enzymes sprayed onto forages and fed in a total mixed ratio to lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85: 2396-2402.
- Kung, L.Jr., Maslanka, M., Hession, A.O., Garcia-Lopez, P., Quinn, D. and Kreck, E.M. 1992. Microbial and enzyme based additives for alfalfa silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 75 (Suppl.1): 108.
- Kung, L.Jr., Treacher, R.J., Nauman, G.A., Smagala, A.M., Endres, K.M. and Cohen, M.A., 2000. The effect of treating forages with fibrolytic enzymes on its nutritive value and lactation performance of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83: 115-122.
- Lewis, G.E., Sanchez, W.K., Hunt, C.W., Guy, M.A., Pritchard, G.T., Swanson, B.I. and Treacher, R.J. 1999. Effect of direct-fed fibrolytic enzymes on the lactational performance of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82: 611-617.
- Mauricio, R.M., Mould, F.L., Dhanoa, M.S., Owen, E., Channa, K.S. and Theodorou M.K. 1999. A semi-automated *in vitro* gas production technique for ruminant feedstuff evaluation. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 79: 321-330.
- Menke, K.H. and Steingass, H. 1988. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal Research and Development*, 28: 7-55.
- Mould, F., Smith, T., Owen, E., Phipps, R.H., Beever, D.E. and Hartnell, G.F. 1999. The influence of short-term enzyme application on corn silage degradation assessed *in vitro* using the Reading pressure technique. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82: 123 (Abstract).
- Newbold, J. 1997. Proposed mechanisms for enzymes as modifiers of ruminal fermentation. In: Proceedings of the 8th Annual Florida Ruminant Nutrition Symposium, Gainesville, FL, USA, pp. 146-159.
- Nsereko, V.L., Morgavi, D.P., Rode, L.M., Beauchemin, K.A. and McAllister, T.A. 2000. Effects of fungal enzyme preparations on hydrolysis and subsequent degradation of alfalfa hay fiber by mixed rumen micro-organisms *in vitro*. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 88: 153-170.
- Orskov, E.R. and McDonald, Y. 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from determining the digestibility of feeds in the rumen. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, (Camb) 92: 499-503.
- Rode, L.M., Yang, W.Z. and Beauchemin K.A. 1999. Fibrolytic enzyme supplements for dairy cows in early lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82: 2121-2126.
- Rymer, C., Huntington, J.A., Williams, B.A. and Givens, D.I. 2005. *In vitro* cumulative gas production techniques: History, methodological considerations and challenges. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 123 124, 9 30.
- SAS. 1999. Statistical Analysis Systems user's guide. Version 8.2. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Stokes, M.R. 1992. Effects of an enzyme mixture, an inoculant, and their interaction on silage fermentation and dairy production. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 75: 764.
- Tang, S.X., Tayo, G.O., Tan, Z.L., Sun, Z.H. and Shen, L.X. 2008. Effects of yeast culture and fibrolytic enzyme supplementation on *in vitro* fermentation characteristics of low-quality cereal straws. *Journal of Animal Science*, 86: 1164-1172.

- vanVuuren, A.M., Bergsma, K.M., Krol-Kramer, F. and van Beers, J.A.C. 1989. Effects of addition of cell wall degrading enzymes on the chemical composition and the *in sacco* degradation of grass silages. *Grass and Forage Science*, 44: 223.
- Wallace, A.J., Wallace, S.J.A., McKain, N., Nsereko, V.L. and Hartnell, G. F. 2000. Influence of supplementary fibrolytic enzymes on the fermentation of corn and grass by mixed ruminal microorganisms in vitro. Journal of Animal Science, 79: 1905-1916.
- Wallace, R.J., Wallace, S.J.A., McKain, N., Nsereko, V.L. and Hartnell, G.F. 2001. Influence of supplementary fibrolytic enzymes on the fermentation of corn and grass silages by mixed ruminal micro-organisms *in vitro. Journal of Animal Science*, 79: 1905-916.
- Wang, Y., McAllister, T.A., Rode, L.M., Beauchemin, K.A., Morgavi, D.P., Nsereko, V.L., Iwaasa, A.D. and Yang, W. 2001. Effects of an exogenous enzyme preparation on microbial protein synthesis, enzyme activity and attachment to feed in the Rumen Simulation Technique (Rusitec). *British Journal of Nutrition*, 85: 325-332.
- Yang, W.Z., Beauchemin, K.A. and Rode, L.M. 2000. A comparison of methods of adding fibrolytic enzymes to lactating cow diets. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83: 2512-2520.
- Yang, W. Z., Beauchemin, K.A. and Rode, L.M. 1999. Effects of an enzyme feed additive on extent of digestion and milk production of lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82: 391-403.