

On Monday, 2 February 2015 2:20 PM, Editor_AJS <editor_aj@rediffmail.com> wrote:

Dear Prof. Uixit,

I am glad to inform you that your paper entitled, "Characterizations of the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers" has been accepted for publication in "The Aligarh Journal of Statistics". To expedite its publication and also make it error free, the Editorial board of the AJS has decided that the accepted paper be submitted on a CD in the format given below*.

It is, therefore, requested to please send the paper as early as possible on a CD using MS-Word alongwith a hard copy of it. Your co-operation is solicited.

With regards,

Sincerely yours,

(Prof. Qazi M. Ali)
Editor

*Paper size : A4
Line spacing : Single
Font size : 11
Font style : Times New Roman
Equations : MS Equation 3.0
Typing area : First page : 5.0" x 7.0"
Other page: 5.0" x 8.0"
Odd numbered pages : Running title of the paper
Even numbered pages : Authors name

Editor
Aligarh Journal of Statistics
Dept. of Statistics & O.R.
Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh - 202 002, U.P.
INDIA.
Phone: +91 571 2701251
E-mail: editor_aj@rediffmail.com
Web site: <http://www.amu.ac.in/ajs>



Characterizations of the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers

U. J. Dixit ¹

Department of Statistics, University of Mumbai, Mumbai-India

M. Jabbari Nooghabi ²

Department of Statistics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad-Iran

Abstract

Here we have given some characterizations for the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers. It is proved that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f(x)$ to be a Pareto density function in the presence of outliers is that the statistics $X_{(r)}$ and $\frac{X_{(s)}}{X_{(r)}}$ ($1 \leq r < s \leq n$) are independent. Further, we have derived some another characterizations of the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers.

Key Words: Pareto distribution, Power distribution, Characterization, Order statistics, Outliers.

1 Introduction

Ahsanullah and Kabir [2] proved that necessary and sufficient condition for $f(x)$ to be a Pareto distribution is that the statistics $X_{(r)}$ and $\frac{X_{(s)}}{X_{(r)}}$ ($1 \leq r < s \leq n$) are independent. Dallas [3] proved that for a cumulative distribution function (CDF) $G(y)$ ($y \geq \beta$), if $E(Y^r | Y > c) = E\left(\frac{Yc}{\beta}\right)^r$ holds then Y has a Pareto distribution.

In this paper, we assume that the random variables (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) are such that k of them are distributed with probability density function (pdf)

$$f_2(x; \alpha, \beta, \theta) = \frac{\alpha(\beta\theta)^\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}}, \quad 0 < \beta\theta \leq x, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 1, \quad \theta > 0, \quad (1)$$

and remaining $(n - k)$ random variables are distributed as

$$f_1(x; \alpha, \theta) = \frac{\alpha\theta^\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}}, \quad 0 < \theta \leq x, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (2)$$

One should note that two sets of the observation (i.e. k and $n - k$) are independent. But X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are not independent because of the model of outliers (for more details see [5, 7, 8]). Also, we may note that our assumptions are based of Dixit's model for

¹E-mail: ulhasdixit@yahoo.co.in

²E-mail: jabbarinm@yahoo.com, jabbarinm@um.ac.ir

the outliers problem and it is totally different than the mixture models which considers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent.

Here, we have extended the approaches of Ahsanullah and Kabir [2] and Dallas [3] for the homogenous case of the Pareto distribution and derived some characterizations of the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers.

2 Prerequisite Results

Assume that $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size n such that k out of n are coming from pdf f_2 (or CDF F_2) and the remaining $(n - k)$ follow the pdf f_1 (or CDF F_1). The CDF and pdf of r^{th} ($1 \leq r \leq n$) order statistic are

$$H_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \sum_{i=r}^n \sum_{j=m_5}^{m_6} C(k, j)[F_2(x)]^j [1 - F_2(x)]^{k-j} C(n - k, i - j)[F_1(x)]^{i-j} [1 - F_1(x)]^{n-k-i+j}, \quad (3)$$

where $m_5 = \max(0, i - n + k)$ and $m_6 = \min(k, i)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{X_{(r)}}(x) &= kf_2(x) \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \{C(k - 1, j)[F_2(x)]^j [1 - F_2(x)]^{k-j-1} C(n - k, r - 1 - j) \\ &\times [F_1(x)]^{r-j-1} [1 - F_1(x)]^{n-k-r+j+1}\} + (n - k)f_1(x) \sum_{j=m_3}^{m_4} \{C(k, j)[F_2(x)]^j \\ &\times [1 - F_2(x)]^{k-j} C(n - k - 1, r - 1 - j)[F_1(x)]^{r-j-1} [1 - F_1(x)]^{n-k-r+j}\}, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where $m_1 = \max(0, k + r - n - 1)$, $m_2 = \min(k - 1, r - 1)$, $m_3 = \max(0, k + r - n)$, $m_4 = \min(k, r - 1)$, respectively (for more details see [4, 5, 6, 8]).

Further, the joint CDF and pdf of $(X_{(r)}, X_{(s)})$ ($1 \leq r < s \leq n$) are

$$\begin{aligned} H_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y) &= \sum_{j=s}^n \sum_{i=r}^j \sum_{m=w_9}^{w_{10}} \sum_{l=t_9}^{t_{10}} \{C(k, m)C(k - m, l)[F_2(x)]^m [F_2(y) - F_2(x)]^l [1 - F_2(y)]^{k-m-l} \\ &\times C(n - k, i - m)C(n - k - i + m, j - i - l)[F_1(x)]^{i-m} [F_1(y) - F_1(x)]^{j-i-l} \\ &\times [1 - F_1(y)]^{n-k-j+m+l}\}, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where $w_9 = \max(0, i - n + k)$, $w_{10} = \min(k, i)$, $t_9 = \max(0, j - n + k - m)$ and $t_{10} = \min(k - m, j - i)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y) &= k(k - 1)f_2(x)f_2(y) \sum_{j=w_1}^{w_2} \sum_{i=t_1}^{t_2} \{C(k - 2, j)C(n - k, r - 1 - j)[F_2(x)]^j \\ &\times [F_1(x)]^{r-1-j} C(k - 2 - j, i)C(n - k - r + j + 1, n - s - i)[1 - F_2(y)]^i \\ &\times [1 - F_1(y)]^{n-s-i} [F_2(y) - F_2(x)]^{k-j-i-2} [F_1(y) - F_1(x)]^{s-r-k+i+j+1}\} \\ &+ (n - k)(n - k - 1)f_1(x)f_1(y) \sum_{j=w_3}^{w_4} \sum_{i=t_3}^{t_4} \{C(n - k - 2, j)C(k, r - 1 - j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times [F_1(x)]^j [F_2(x)]^{r-1-j} C(n-k-2-j, i) C(k-r+j+1, n-s-i) [1-F_1(y)]^i \\
& \times [1-F_2(y)]^{n-s-i} [F_1(y)-F_1(x)]^{n-k-2-i-j} [F_2(y)-F_2(x)]^{s-r+k-n+i+j+1} \\
& + k(n-k) f_1(x) f_2(y) \sum_{j=w_5}^{w_6} \sum_{i=t_5}^{t_6} \{C(n-k-1, j) C(k-1, r-1-j) \\
& \times [F_1(x)]^j [F_2(x)]^{r-1-j} C(n-k-j-1, i) C(k-r+j, n-s-i) [1-F_1(y)]^i \\
& \times [1-F_2(y)]^{n-s-i} [F_1(y)-F_1(x)]^{n-k-i-j-1} [F_2(y)-F_2(x)]^{s-r-n+k+i+j}\} \\
& + k(n-k) f_2(x) f_1(y) \sum_{j=w_7}^{w_8} \sum_{i=t_7}^{t_8} \{C(k-1, j) C(n-k-1, r-1-j) \\
& \times [F_2(x)]^j [F_1(x)]^{r-1-j} C(k-j-1, i) C(n-k-r+j, n-s-i) [1-F_2(y)]^i \\
& \times [1-F_1(y)]^{n-s-i} [F_2(y)-F_2(x)]^{k-i-j-1} [F_1(y)-F_1(x)]^{s-r-k+i+j}\}, \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

where $w_1 = \max(0, r-n+k-1)$, $w_2 = \min(k-2, r-1)$, $t_1 = \max(0, k-s+r-j-1)$ and $t_2 = \min(k-j-2, n-s)$, $w_3 = \max(0, r-k-1)$, $w_4 = \min(n-k-2, r-1)$, $t_3 = \max(0, n-s-k+r-j-1)$ and $t_4 = \min(n-k-j-2, n-s)$, $w_5 = \max(0, r-k)$, $w_6 = \min(n-k-1, r-1)$, $t_5 = \max(0, n-s-k+r-j-1)$, $t_6 = \min(n-k-j-1, n-s)$, $w_7 = \max(0, r-n+k)$, $w_8 = \min(k-1, r-1)$, $t_7 = \max(0, k-s+r-j-1)$, $t_8 = \min(k-j-1, n-s)$, respectively.

One should note that if $k=1$ the joint pdf of $(X_{(r)}, X_{(s)})$ is given in Sinha [10]. Also, if we put $f_1 = f_2$ and $F_1 = F_2$ then all pdfs and CDFs are reduced to homogeneous cases.

The following equations are named as Pexider's equations.

$$f(xy) = g(x) + h(y), \tag{7}$$

and

$$f(xy) = g(x)h(y). \tag{8}$$

For solving these equations, the following Theorem has taken from Aczel [1] (Theorem 4. in p. 144) and Kuczma [9] (Theorem 13.3.4. in p. 358).

Theorem 2.1. The general solutions, with f continuous in a point of (7) and (8), respectively, both supposed for positive x and y , are

$$f(t) = c \ln(\alpha\beta t), \quad g(t) = c \ln(\alpha t), \quad h(t) = c \ln(\beta t), \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, t > 0), \tag{9}$$

and

$$f(t) = abt^c, \quad g(t) = at^c, \quad h(t) = bt^c, \quad (t > 0), \tag{10}$$

respectively, supplemented with the following trivial solutions in case of (8).

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(t) = 0, \\ g(t) = 0, \\ h(t) \text{ arbitrary,} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(t) = 0, \\ g(t) \text{ arbitrary,} \\ h(t) = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{11}$$

3 Characterization of the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a random variable having an absolutely continuous CDF $F(x)$. A necessary and sufficient condition that X follows the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers as given by (1) and (2) is that for some r and s ($1 \leq r < s \leq n$) the statistics $X_{(r)}$ and $\frac{X_{(s)}}{X_{(r)}}$ are independent.

Proof. Necessity:

From (6) we can get the joint pdf of $X_{(r)}$ and $X_{(s)}$. Substituting $U = X_{(r)}$ and $V = \frac{X_{(s)}}{X_{(r)}}$ in (6), we can obtain the joint pdf of U and V as

$$h_{U,V}(u, v) = u h_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(u, uv).$$

Then after some simplification (replace $h_{U,V}(u, v)$ with $h(u, v)$)

$$\begin{aligned} h(u, v) &= \alpha^2 \theta^{\alpha(n-r+1)} \beta^{\alpha k} v^{\alpha(s-n-1)-1} [1 - v^{-\alpha}]^{s-r-1} \\ &\times u^{\alpha(r-n-1)-1} \left\{ k(k-1) \sum_{j=w_1}^{w_2} \sum_{i=t_1}^{t_2} A_1 \beta^{-\alpha j} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\beta \theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^j \left[1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{r-1-j} \right. \\ &+ (n-k)(n-k-1) \beta^{-\alpha(r-1)} \sum_{j=w_3}^{w_4} \sum_{i=t_3}^{t_4} A_2 \beta^{\alpha j} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^j \left[1 - \left(\frac{\beta \theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{r-1-j} \\ &+ k(n-k) \beta^{-\alpha(r-1)} \sum_{j=w_5}^{w_6} \sum_{i=t_5}^{t_6} A_3 \beta^{\alpha j} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^j \left[1 - \left(\frac{\beta \theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{r-1-j} \\ &\left. + k(n-k) \sum_{j=w_7}^{w_8} \sum_{i=t_7}^{t_8} A_4 \beta^{-\alpha j} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\beta \theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^j \left[1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{u} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{r-1-j} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = C(k-2, j)C(n-k, r-1-j)C(k-2-j, i)C(n-k-r+j+1, n-s-i), \\ A_2 = C(n-k-2, j)C(k, r-1-j)C(n-k-2-j, i)C(k-r+j+1, n-s-i), \\ A_3 = C(n-k-1, j)C(k-1, r-1-j)C(n-k-j-1, i)C(k-r+j, n-s-i), \\ A_4 = C(k-1, j)C(n-k-1, r-1-j)C(k-j-1, i)C(n-k-r+j, n-s-i). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Therefore, it establishes the independence of U and V .

Sufficiency:

Here we assume that U and V are independent. The joint pdf of U and V is

$$h(u, v) = k(k-1)u f_2(u) f_2(uv) \sum_{j=w_1}^{w_2} \sum_{i=t_1}^{t_2} \{ A_1 [F_2(u)]^j [F_1(u)]^{r-1-j} [1 - F_2(uv)]^i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times [1 - F_1(uv)]^{n-s-i} [F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{k-j-i-2} [F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{s-r-k+i+j+1} \\
& + (n-k)(n-k-1)uf_1(u)f_1(uv) \sum_{j=w_3}^{w_4} \sum_{i=t_3}^{t_4} \{A_2[F_1(u)]^j [F_2(u)]^{r-1-j} [1 - F_1(uv)]^i \\
& \times [1 - F_2(uv)]^{n-s-i} [F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{n-k-2-i-j} [F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{s-r+k-n+i+j+1}\} \\
& + k(n-k)uf_1(u)f_2(uv) \sum_{j=w_5}^{w_6} \sum_{i=t_5}^{t_6} \{A_3[F_1(u)]^j [F_2(u)]^{r-1-j} [1 - F_1(uv)]^i \\
& \times [1 - F_2(uv)]^{n-s-i} [F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{n-k-i-j-1} [F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{s-r-n+k+i+j}\} \\
& + k(n-k)uf_2(u)f_1(uv) \sum_{j=w_7}^{w_8} \sum_{i=t_7}^{t_8} \{A_4[F_2(u)]^j [F_1(u)]^{r-1-j} [1 - F_2(uv)]^i \\
& \times [1 - F_1(uv)]^{n-s-i} [F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{k-i-j-1} [F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{s-r-k+i+j}\}, \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 are given in (13).

By using some elementary algebra we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(u, v) &= k(k-1)uf_2(u)f_2(uv)[F_1(u)]^{r-1}[1 - F_1(uv)]^{n-s}[F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{s-r-k+1} \\
& \times [F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{k-2} \sum_{j=w_1}^{w_2} \sum_{i=t_1}^{t_2} \{A_1 \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_1(u)}{1 - F_2(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_2(uv)}{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)} \right]^i \\
& \times \left[\frac{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)}{1 - F_1(uv)} \right]^i \left[\frac{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)}{1 - F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_2(u)}{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)} \right]^j \} \\
& + (n-k)(n-k-1)uf_1(u)f_1(uv)[F_2(u)]^{r-1}[1 - F_2(uv)]^{n-s}[F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{n-k-2} \\
& \times [F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{s-r+k-n+1} \sum_{j=w_3}^{w_4} \sum_{i=t_3}^{t_4} \{A_2 \left[\frac{F_1(u)}{F_2(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_2(u)}{1 - F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_1(uv)}{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)} \right]^i \\
& \times \left[\frac{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)}{1 - F_2(uv)} \right]^i \left[\frac{1 - F_1(u)}{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)}{1 - F_2(u)} \right]^j \} \\
& + k(n-k)uf_1(u)f_2(uv)[F_2(u)]^{r-1}[1 - F_2(uv)]^{n-s}[F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{n-k-1} \\
& \times [F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{s-r+k-n} \sum_{j=w_5}^{w_6} \sum_{i=t_5}^{t_6} \{A_3 \left[\frac{F_1(u)}{F_2(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_2(u)}{1 - F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_1(uv)}{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)} \right]^i \\
& \times \left[\frac{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)}{1 - F_2(uv)} \right]^i \left[\frac{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)}{1 - F_2(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_1(u)}{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)} \right]^j \} \\
& + k(n-k)uf_2(u)f_1(uv)[F_1(u)]^{r-1}[1 - F_1(uv)]^{n-s}[F_2(uv) - F_2(u)]^{k-1} \\
& \times [F_1(uv) - F_1(u)]^{s-r-k} \sum_{j=w_7}^{w_8} \sum_{i=t_7}^{t_8} \{A_4 \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_1(u)}{1 - F_2(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_2(uv)}{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)} \right]^i \\
& \times \left[\frac{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)}{1 - F_1(uv)} \right]^i \left[\frac{F_1(uv) - F_1(u)}{1 - F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1 - F_2(u)}{F_2(uv) - F_2(u)} \right]^j \}. \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Also from (4) and after some simplification, the marginal pdf of $U = X_{(r)}$ is as $h_1(u)$.

$$h_1(u) = [1 - F_2(u)]^{k-1} [F_1(u)]^{r-1} [1 - F_1(u)]^{n-k-r+1} D, \quad (16)$$

where

$$D = kf_2(u) \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} B_1 \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1-F_1(u)}{1-F_2(u)} \right]^j \\ + (n-k)f_1(u) \left[\frac{1-F_2(u)}{1-F_1(u)} \right] \sum_{j=m_3}^{m_4} B_2 \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1-F_1(u)}{1-F_2(u)} \right]^j,$$

and

$$\begin{cases} B_1 = C(k-1, j)C(n-k, r-1-j), \\ B_2 = C(k, j)C(n-k-1, r-1-j). \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Therefore from independency of U and V , we can write

$$h_2(v) = \frac{h(u, v)}{h_1(u)}, \quad (18)$$

where $h_2(v)$ is pdf of V .

Letting $p = p(u, v) = \frac{1-F_1(uv)}{1-F_1(u)}$ and $q = q(u, v) = \frac{1-F_2(uv)}{1-F_2(u)}$, we obtain

$$h_2(v) = -\{k(k-1)p^{n-s}[1-p]^{s-k-r+1}[1-q]^{k-2} \sum_{j=w_1}^{w_2} \sum_{i=t_1}^{t_2} A_1 \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1-F_1(u)}{1-F_2(u)} \right]^j \\ \times p^{-i}[1-p]^{i+j}q^i[1-q]^{-i-j}f_2(u)\frac{\partial q}{\partial v} \\ + (n-k)(n-k-1) \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^{r-1} \left[\frac{1-F_1(u)}{1-F_2(u)} \right]^{r-2} [1-p]^{n-k-2}q^{n-s}[1-q]^{k+s-r-n+1} \\ \times \sum_{j=w_3}^{w_4} \sum_{i=t_3}^{t_4} A_2 \left[\frac{F_1(u)}{F_2(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1-F_2(u)}{1-F_1(u)} \right]^j q^{-i}[1-q]^{i+j}p^i[1-p]^{-i-j}f_1(u)\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \\ + k(n-k) \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^{r-1} \left[\frac{1-F_1(u)}{1-F_2(u)} \right]^{r-2} q^{n-s}[1-q]^{k+s-r-n}[1-p]^{n-k-1} \\ \times \sum_{j=w_5}^{w_6} \sum_{i=t_5}^{t_6} A_3 \left[\frac{F_1(u)}{F_2(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1-F_2(u)}{1-F_1(u)} \right]^j p^i[1-p]^{-i-j}q^{-i}[1-q]^{i+j}f_1(u)\frac{\partial q}{\partial v} \\ + k(n-k)p^{n-s}[1-p]^{s-k-r}[1-q]^{k-1} \sum_{j=w_7}^{w_8} \sum_{i=t_7}^{t_8} A_4 \left[\frac{F_2(u)}{F_1(u)} \right]^j \left[\frac{1-F_1(u)}{1-F_2(u)} \right]^j \\ \times p^{-i}[1-p]^{i+j}q^i[1-q]^{-i-j}f_2(u)\frac{\partial p}{\partial v}\}D^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

From the assumption, we know that U and V are independent. So $h_2(v)$ is independent of u and by using the lemma in Ahsanullah and Kabir [2] $p = p(u, v) = g_1(v)$ and

$q = q(u, v) = g_2(v)$ (we say functions of v only) and the remaining parts should be constant. Therefore

$$\begin{cases} 1 - F_1(uv) = [1 - F_1(u)]g_1(v), & \theta \leq u, 1 < v, \theta > 0, \\ 1 - F_2(uv) = [1 - F_2(u)]g_2(v), & \beta\theta \leq u, 1 < v, \theta > 0, \beta > 1. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

It is clear that these are version of Pexider's equation. So from Theorem 2.1 we can solve them. Since $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ are CDFs continuous for all $x \in [\theta, \infty)$ and $x \in [\beta\theta, \infty)$, respectively. We may conclude that

$$\begin{cases} 1 - F_1(x) = c_1x^{-\alpha}, & \theta \leq x, \theta > 0, \\ 1 - F_2(x) = c_2x^{-\alpha}, & \beta\theta \leq x, \theta > 0, \beta > 1, \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where c_1, c_2 and α are constant.

After replacing these solutions in (19) and using some simplification we get

$$h_2(v) = \alpha v^{-\alpha(n-s+1)-1} [1 - v^{-\alpha}]^{s-r-1} H[c_2D]^{-1}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H &= k(k-1)c_2 \sum_{j=w_1}^{w_2} \sum_{i=t_1}^{t_2} A_1 \left[\frac{1 - c_2u^{-\alpha}}{1 - c_1u^{-\alpha}} \right]^j \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^j \\ &+ (n-k)(n-k-1)c_1 \sum_{j=w_3}^{w_4} \sum_{i=t_3}^{t_4} A_2 \left[\frac{1 - c_2u^{-\alpha}}{1 - c_1u^{-\alpha}} \right]^{r-1-j} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^{r-2-j} \\ &+ k(n-k)c_1 \sum_{j=w_5}^{w_6} \sum_{i=t_5}^{t_6} A_3 \left[\frac{1 - c_2u^{-\alpha}}{1 - c_1u^{-\alpha}} \right]^{r-1-j} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^{r-2-j} \\ &+ k(n-k)c_2 \sum_{i=t_7}^{t_8} A_4 \left[\frac{1 - c_2u^{-\alpha}}{1 - c_1u^{-\alpha}} \right]^j \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^j. \end{aligned}$$

We know that $C(n, j) = 0$ if $j > n$, then by using some elementary algebra $H[c_2D]^{-1} = (n-r)C(n-r-1, n-s)$ and the right side of (22) is only depend on v and it is pdf of V . Finally, from the property of CDF, $\alpha > 0$, $c_1 = \theta^\alpha$ and $c_2 = (\beta\theta)^\alpha$. Thus sufficiency is established and the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a random variable with CDF $F(x) = bF_2(x) + \bar{b}F_1(x)$ such that $F_1(x)$ ($x \geq \theta$) and $F_2(x)$ ($x \geq \beta\theta$) are CDFs, where $b = \frac{k}{n}$, $\bar{b} = 1 - b$, $\theta > 0$ and $\beta > 1$. If

$$E(X^\alpha | X > c) = bE_2 \left(\frac{Xc}{\beta\theta} \right)^\alpha + \bar{b}E_1 \left(\frac{Xc}{\theta} \right)^\alpha, \quad (23)$$

holds for some $\alpha > 0$ then $F(x)$ is the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers. We assume that $E(X^\alpha) < \infty$.

Proof. Proof is similar as given in Dallas [3]. In the process to prove the theorem,

we should note that the solution of the differential equation $cP'(c) = -\gamma P(c)$ ($P(c) = 1 - F(c)$) is $P(c) = Ac^{-\alpha}$, where A is a constant, $\gamma = \alpha\delta/(\delta - 1) > 0$ and

$$\delta = b \int_{\beta\theta}^{\infty} \left(\frac{X}{\beta\theta}\right)^{\alpha} dF_2(x) + \bar{b} \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \left(\frac{X}{\theta}\right)^{\alpha} dF_1(x). \quad (24)$$

Comparing the solution with the assumption imply that $A = b(\beta\theta)^{\alpha} + \bar{b}\theta^{\alpha}$ and the proof is complete.

4 An actual example

Here, we have given an example of motor insurance company from Dixit and Jabbari Nooghabi [7]. From the example, we know that the data follow the Preto distribution in the presence of outliers. So by using Theorem 3.1, we can check the sufficiency. Assuming $r = 3$ and $s = 12$, we have $x_{(r)}=63000$, and $\frac{x_{(s)}}{x_{(r)}}=2.857$. So, using the copula method and independent test by package 'copula' in **R**, the result is as follows:

Global Cramer-von Mises statistic: 0.03125 with p-value 0.9950495
 Combined p-values from the Mobius decomposition:
 0.9950495 from Fisher's rule,
 0.9950495 from Tippett's rule.

Therefore, $X_{(r)}$ and $\frac{X_{(s)}}{X_{(r)}}$ are independent, because of the p-value is grater than 0.05, as significant level of the test. So, we can conclude that the data follow the Pareto distribution in the presence of outliers.

5 Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the two referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. This research was supported by a grant from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, No. MS92299MEH.

References

- [1] Aczel, J. (1966). Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications. Academic Press, New York.
- [2] Ahsanullah, M. and Kabir Lutful A. B. M. (1973). A Characterization of the Pareto Distribution. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 1(1), 109–112.
- [3] Dallas, A. C. (1976). Characterizing the Pareto and power distributions. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 28, 491–497.

- [4] Dixit, U. J. (1987). Characterization of the gamma distribution in the presence of k outliers. *Bulletin Bombay Mathematical Colloquim*, 4, 54–59.
- [5] Dixit, U. J. (1989). Estimation of parameters of the Gamma Distribution in the presence of Outliers. *Commun. Statist. Theory and Meth.*, 18, 3071–3085.
- [6] Dixit, U. J. (1994). Bayesian approach to prediction in the presence of outliers for Weibull distribution, *Metrika*, 41, 127–136.
- [7] Dixit, U. J. and Jabbari Nooghabi, M. (2011). Efficient estimation in the Pareto distribution with the presence of outliers, *Statistical Methodology*, 8(4), 340–355.
- [8] Dixit, U. J. and Jabbari Nooghabi, M. (2011). Efficient Estimation of the parameters of the Pareto Distribution in the Presence of Outliers, *Communications of the Korean Statistical Society*, 18(6), 817–835.
- [9] Kuczma M. (2008). *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*. Second edition, edited by Attila Gilányi, Birkhäuser Verlag AG, Berlin.
- [10] Sinha, S. K. (1973). Distributions of order statistics and estimation of mean life when an outlier may be present. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 1(1), 119–121.