

Scientia Iranica

September 29, 2014

Dr. Farhad Kolahan Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 91775-111, Mashhad, Iran

Dear Dr. Kolahan,

I am pleased to inform you that your paper co-authored with Masoud Azadi Moghaddam entitled, "The use of Taguchi Method with Grey Relational Analysis to Optimize the EDM Process Parameters with Multiple Quality Characteristic" (Ref. No: 40.522.120219), has been accepted as a "Research Note" for publication in one of the issues of *Scientia Iranica, Transaction B: Mechanical Engineering*.

Sincerely yours,

Editor-in-Chief: Professor Abolhassan Vafai Sharif University of Technology P.O. Box 11365-8639 Tehran, I. R. Iran Tel : (98-21) 66 00 54 19 - 66 16 40 90 Fax: (98-21) 66 01 29 83 E-mail: scientia@sharif.edu http://www.scientiairanica.com



Research Note

Sharif University of Technology

Scientia Iranica Transactions B: Mechanical Engineering www.scientiairanica.com



## The use of Taguchi method with grey relational analysis to optimize the EDM process parameters with multiple quality characteristics

### F. Kolahan<sup>a,\*</sup> and M. Azadi Moghaddam<sup>b</sup>

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, P.O. Box 91775-1111, Iran.

Received 2 March 2013; received in revised form 9 March 2014; accepted 29 September 2014

KEYWORDS Taguchi technique; Grey relational analysis; Electrical discharge machining; Optimization; Analysis of variance; Signal to noise ratio.

Abstract. Multi-criteria optimization of processes parameters could be used to simultaneously achieve several conflicting goals such as increasing product quality and reducing production time. In this paper, Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) and Taguchi method have been employed to optimize Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) process parameters for AISI 2312 (40CrMnMoS86) hot worked steel alloy. The experimental data are gathered based on Taguchi  $L_{36}$  design matrix. The tests are conducted under varying peak current (I), voltage (V), pulse on time  $(T_{on})$ , pulse off time  $(T_{off})$  and duty factor  $(\eta)$ . The process output characteristics include Surface Roughness (SR), Tool Wear Rate (TWR) and Material Removal Rate (MRR). The objective is to find a combination of process parameters to minimize TWR and SR and maximize MRR. The three performance characteristics are combined into a single objective using grey relational analysis. The GRA was followed by the signal to noise ratio to specify the optimal levels of process parameters. The significance of the process parameters on the overall quality characteristics of the EDM process was also evaluated quantitatively using the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) method. Optimal results were verified through additional experiments.

© 2015 Sharif University of Technology. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) is a nonconventional, thermo-electric process in which the material from work piece is eroded by a series of discharge sparks between the work and tool electrode immersed in a liquid dielectric medium. These electrical sparks melt and vaporize minute amounts of the workpiece, which are then flushed away by the dielectric liquid.

These electrical discharges melt and vaporize

 Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 9153114112; Fax: +98 5138763304 E-mail addresses: kolahan@um.ac.ir (F. Kolahan); masoud\_azadi88@yahoo.com (M. Azadi Moghaddam) minute amounts of work material, which are then ejected and flushed away by the dielectric. Due to the good electrical and thermal properties of tool electrode, a very low wear in tool can be achieved during these electrical discharges. EDM can provide an effective solution for machining hard conductive materials and reproducing complex shapes. EDM involves the phenomena such as: spark initiation, dielectric breakdown, and thermo-mechanical erosion of metals [1]. A schematic illustration of EDM process is presented in Figure 1.

EDM has several distinctive advantages over other machining processes. It does not make direct contact between the electrode and the work piece and hence such problems as mechanical stresses, chatter



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of electrical discharge machining [1].

and vibration are eliminated [2,3]. Materials of any hardness can be cut as long as the material can conduct electricity. Complex shapes with high dimensional accuracy and surface finish can be produced. However, EDM is a time consuming and costly process. The proper selection of its process parameters is essential to increase production rate and to improve product Like any other machining processes, the quality. performance of EDM is significantly affected by its process parameter settings. Important process parameters in EDM are peak current (I), voltage (V), pulse on time  $(T_{on})$ , pulse off time  $(T_{off})$  and duty factor  $(\eta)$  [4-6]. These parameters, in turn, determine the process output characteristics among which Tool Wear Rate (TWR), Material Removal Rate (MRR) and Surface Roughness (SR) are the most important ones [2]. It is essential, therefore, to find an accurate relation between process tuning parameters and its output responses. As a result, a comprehensive study of the effects of EDM parameters on the machining characteristics such as SR, TWR and MRR is of great significance.

It is well known that modeling the relationships between the input and output variables for non-linear, multi-variable systems are very difficult via traditional modeling methods [7]. In recent years, techniques such as statistical analysis, Design Of Experiments (DOE) and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) have increasingly been employed to establish the relations between various process parameters and the process outputs in variety of manufacturing industries [7,8]. Tzeng et al. [9] investigated the optimization of CNC turning operation parameters for SKD11 (JIS) using the Grey relational analysis and Taguchi method. Sivasankar et al. [10] performed the grey relational analysis and regression modeling for prediction on tool materials performance to minimize the roughness and tool wear rate and taper angel during EDM hot pressed  $ZrB_2$ . Mohana et al. [11] and Krishna [12] developed ANN models based on experimental data for EDM. The proposed ANN models were then combined with Genetic Algorithm (GA) to minimize surface roughness. Ling Wu et al. [13] added aluminum and surfactant in the dielectric in order to improve the surface finish of SKD steel parts. It is observed that the best distribution effect is found when the concentrations of the Al powder and surfactant in the dielectric are 0.1 and 0.25 g/l, respectively. Yang et al. [14] proposed an optimization methodology base on Simulated Annealing (SA) algorithm and ANN to maximize the MRR as well as minimize the SR on steel work pieces. Kansal et al. [15] studied the effect of silicon powder mixing into the dielectric fluid of EDM for machining AISI  $D_2$  die steel. The confirmation runs showed that the setting of peak current at a high level, pulse-on time at a medium level, pulse-off time at a low level, powder concentration at a high level, and gain at a low level would result in optimum MRR.

Kiyak and Cakır [16] have studied the effects of EDM parameter levels on surface roughness for machining of 40CrMnNiMo864 tool steel (AISI P20) which is widely used in the production of plastic mold and die. It is observed that surface roughness increases with increasing pulse current and pulse time. Low current and high pulse time would produce good surface finish quality. The selection of this set of machining parameters is not useful, however, because machining process generally becomes very slow. Material removal rate will be low and thus machining cost increases. This combination should be used in finish machining step of EDM process [16].

As mentioned above, EDM technique is specially useful when the workpiece is hard, and requires high surface finish. The distinct advantages of the EDM method become most evident when it is employed to machine such hard-to-machine materials as AISI 2312 hot worked steel. In addition, mechanical and physical properties of hot worked steel, such as toughness and high wear resistance, has made it an important material for engineering components particularly in making moulds and dies. To the best of our knowledge, there is no published work to statistically study the effects of EDM parameters on the most important output characteristics, namely, MRR, TWR and SR when machining AISI2312 hot worked steel parts. Therefore, the main objectives of the present study are:

- 1. To establish the relationship between these parameters and the EDM input parameters;
- 2. To derive the optimal parameter levels for maximum MRR and minimum SR and TWR using statistical analysis of the experimental data.

Finally, the article concludes with the verification of the proposed approach and a summary of the major findings.



Figure 2. Die-sinking EDM machine used for experiments.

Table 1. Process parameters and their design levels.

No	Symbols	Factors	Unite	$\mathbf{Level}$		
NO. Symbols		ractors	Omts	1	<b>2</b>	3
1	А	Pulse off time $(T_{\text{off}})$	$\mu s$	10	75	—
2	В	Pulse on time $(T_{on})$	$\mu s$	25	100	200
3	С	Peak current $(I)$	А	2.5	5	7.5
4	D	Duty factor $(\eta)$	$\mathbf{S}$	0.4	1	1.6
5	Ε	Voltage $(V)$	V	50	55	60

# 2. Experimental equipment and Design Of Experiment (DOE)

In this study, an Azerakhsh-304H die-sinking machine has been used to perform the experiments (Figure 2). The pure kerosene was used as the dielectric fluid in all experiments.

To gather the data needed for process analysis, the Taguchi  $L_{36}$  matrix has been selected. The main purpose of fractional Design Of Experiments (DOE) techniques, including Taguchi method, is to obtain as much information as possible from a limited number of experiments. In many DOE schemes, it is necessary that all process variables have the same Taguchi is one of the few that number of levels. Table 1 lists the ranges allows for uneven levels. of process parameters and their corresponding levels. As shown, pulse off time is considered at two levels, while all other process variables have three levels. In DOE, the number of required experiments (and hence the experiment cost) increases as the number of parameters and/or their corresponding levels in-That is why it is recommended that the crease. parameters with less likely pronounced effects on the process outputs be evaluated at fewer levels. In addition, the limitations of test equipment may also dictate a certain number of levels for some of the process parameters. The die-sinking EDM machine used for the experiments had only two settings for pulse of time  $-T_{\text{off}}$  (10 and 75  $\mu$ s). Moreover, reviews of the related literature and the results of our initial tests have revealed that  $T_{\text{off}}$  is not as important as other process parameters and hence it may be evaluated at fewer levels without loss of accuracy [13,14].

The electrodes were made of 16 mm cylindrical shape copper (99.8% purity and 8.98 g/cm<sup>3</sup> density). The test specimens were made of AISI 2312 hot worked steel with dimensions of 60 mm  $\times$  20 mm  $\times$  10 mm. A total of 4 tests were performed on each specimen, two tests on each side. Therefore, nine samples have been used for the 36 required tests. Several other specimens were also used in order to determine the feasible ranges of process parameters and to verify the repeatability of the experiments. The machining time for each test was 45 minutes. Furthermore, the experiments have been done in random order to increase accuracy.

The 36 sets of data needed for modeling are obtained using  $L_{36}$  Taguchi matrix (Table 2). The most prominent performance characteristics in EDM are MRR, SR and TWR which can be used to evaluate the machining quality [17].

Material Removal Rate (MRR) is a measure of machining speed and is expressed as the Work piece Removal Weight (WRW) in a predetermined machining time in minute (T) that is:

$$MRR (gr/min) = \frac{WRW}{T}.$$
 (1)

In EDM, both the tool (electrode) and the work piece erode due to repeated electrical discharges between the two. The electrode (tool) wear happens on all the surfaces in which electric discharges take place, including the bottom surface as well as the sides (if the machined cavity is deep). Since in EDM the shape of the tool is projected onto the workpiece, tool wear may cause dimensional and geometrical inaccuracies. This problem would be more severe when machining sharp edges or when performing the final (finishing) EDM passes on the workpiece. That is why tool wear rate should be kept as low as possible. The tool wear in EDM is usually measured by the Tool Wear Rate (TWR) which is the ratio of material removed from the electrode (TWW) to the material removed from the work piece (WRW):

$$TWR (\%) = \frac{TWW}{WRW} \times 100.$$
 (2)

After machining, the surface finish of each sample was measured with an automatic digital Surtronic (3+)

No	$T_{ m off}$	$T_{ m on}$	Ι	$\eta$	V
	$(\mu s)$	$(\mu s)$	$(\mathbf{A})$	(sec)	$(\mathbf{V})$
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2	2	2
3	1	3	3	3	3
4	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	2	2	2	2
6	1	3	3	3	3
7	1	1	1	2	3
8	1	2	2	3	1
9	1	3	3	1	2
10	1	1	1	3	2
11	1	2	2	1	3
12	1	3	3	2	1
13	1	1	2	3	1
14	1	2	3	1	2
15	1	3	1	2	3
16	1	1	2	3	2
17	1	2	3	1	3
18	1	3	1	2	1
19	2	1	2	1	3
20	2	2	3	2	1
21	2	3	1	3	2
22	2	1	2	2	3
23	2	2	3	3	1
24	2	3	1	1	2
25	2	1	3	2	1
26	2	2	1	3	2
27	2	3	2	1	3
28	2	1	3	2	2
29	2	2	1	3	3
30	2	3	2	1	1
31	2	1	3	3	3
32	2	2	1	1	1
33	2	3	2	2	2
34	2	1	3	1	2
35	2	2	1	2	3
36	2	3	2	3	1

**Table 2.** The  $L_{36}$  experimental matrix for 5 input variables.

SR tester. Also, to measure the MRR and TWR an A&D electronic balance with 0.01gr accuracy was used (Figure 3).

# 3. Grey relational analysis and signal to noise ratio

#### 3.1. Grey relational analysis

As mentioned earlier, MRR and SR are the most important performance characteristics in EDM. However, MRR has contradictory effect on SR and vice versa. This calls for multi-criteria optimization of the



Figure 3. Digital surface roughness tester and electronic balance.

two conflicting outputs. In this section, the use of Taguchi technique with the Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) optimization methodology for multi-criteria optimization is discussed.

The grey theory, first proposed by Deng [16], avoids the inherent defects of conventional statistical methods and only requires a limited set of data to estimate the behavior of an unknown system. During the past two decades, the grey theory has been successfully applied in various applications including engineering, social sciences, economy, etc.

The first step in Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) is normalizing the raw data. Suppose in a system there are n series of data (number of run tests) and in each series m responses (number of dependent variables) measured. Test results are then determined by  $y_{i,j}$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  [18,19].

To perform the GRA on such systems, the following steps are performed [16]:

a) A linear normalization of the experimental results for the responses, namely MRR, TWR, and SR is performed in the range between 0 and 1. In this step, if the higher value of a response is desired, the equation used for normalizing, which is called "the-higher-the-better" criterion, is:

 $Z_{i,j}$ 

$$= \frac{(y_{i,j} - \min(y_{i,j}, i = 1, 2 \cdots, n))}{(\max(y_{i,j}, i = 1, 2 \cdots, n) - \min(y_{i,j}, i = 1, 2 \cdots, n))}.$$

Thus, Material Removal Rate (MRR) is normalized by this equation. When the lower value of a response is preferred, "the-lower-the-better" criterion is used for normalizing, given by:

 $Z_{i,j}$ 

$$=\frac{(\max(y_{i,j}, i=1, 2\cdots, n) - y_{i,j})}{(\max(y_{i,j}, i=1, 2\cdots, n) - \min(y_{i,j}, i=1, 2\cdots, n))}.$$
(4)

By the same token, the above relationship is used to normalize observed Surface Roughness (SR) and Tool Wear Rate (TWR). b) The Grey Relational Coefficient (GRC) for the normalized values through the following equation is calculated:

$$\gamma(Z_o, Z_{i,j}) = \frac{\Delta_{\min} + \zeta \Delta_{\max}}{\Delta_{oj}(k) + \zeta \Delta_{\max}},\tag{5}$$

where  $\zeta$  is the distinguishing coefficient and  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ . The weighting of parameters depends on the relative importance of each response [19]. When weighting coefficients are equal, the value of  $\zeta$  is set to 0.5. In Table 3, GRG is the weighted for the

Table 3. Results for GRCs, GRGs and S/N ratios.

No	GRC of	GRC of	GRC of	GRG	S/N	
110.	$\mathbf{MRR}$	TWR	$\mathbf{SR}$	ana	5/14	
1	0.334	0.676	0.882	0.631	-4.004	
2	0.404	0.906	0.574	0.628	-4.042	
3	0.566	0.983	0.338	0.629	-4.027	
4	0.333	0.725	1.000	0.686	-3.273	
5	0.376	0.881	0.586	0.614	-4.231	
6	0.576	0.990	0.356	0.640	-3.871	
7	0.336	0.783	0.897	0.672	-3.448	
8	0.502	0.903	0.502	0.636	-3.936	
9	0.398	0.979	0.361	0.580	-4.746	
10	0.338	0.819	0.814	0.657	-3.645	
11	0.362	0.865	0.544	0.590	-4.576	
12	1.000	0.981	0.333	0.771	-2.255	
13	0.366	0.396	0.766	0.509	-5.857	
14	0.389	0.762	0.517	0.556	-5.099	
15	0.346	0.961	0.636	0.678	-3.771	
16	0.355	0.396	0.789	0.513	-5.788	
17	0.381	0.783	0.436	0.533	-5.467	
18	0.352	0.972	0.669	0.664	-3.556	
19	0.338	0.402	0.755	0.498	-6.045	
20	0.403	0.684	0.580	0.555	-5.107	
21	0.345	0.960	0.614	0.639	-3.882	
22	0.353	0.375	0.766	0.498	-6.048	
23	0.453	0.752	0.488	0.565	-4.961	
24	0.334	0.903	0.644	0.627	-4.051	
25	0.374	0.336	0.695	0.695	-6.588	
26	0.343	0.955	0.669	0.656	-3.667	
27	0.367	0.984	0.399	0.583	-4.681	
28	0.369	0.345	0.755	0.490	-6.193	
29	0.341	0.947	0.789	0.692	-3.190	
30	0.371	0.986	0.385	0.581	-4.721	
31	0.362	0.359	0.755	0.492	-6.156	
32	0.336	0.9186	0.629	0.628	-4.045	
33	0.447	0.979	0.484	0.636	-3.924	
34	0.345	0.333	0.755	0.478	-6.414	
35	0.340	0.945	0.695	0.660	-3.607	
36	0.483	1.000	0.443	0.642	-3.848	

three process outputs.  $Z_o(k)$  is called the reference sequence and it could take either the largest or smallest values given by Eqs. (3) and (4). When the higher value of a response is preferred, it is the largest value among all  $Z_{i,j}$ , and when the lower value of a response is desired,  $Z_o(k)$  takes the smallest value of all  $Z_{i,j}$ .  $\Delta_{oj}$  is the absolute value of the difference between  $Z_o(k)$  and  $Z_{i,j}(k)$ ;  $\Delta_{oj} = |Z_o(k) - Z_{i,j}|$ .  $\Delta_{\min}$  and  $\Delta_{\max}$  are the smallest and the largest value of difference between  $Z_o(k)$  and  $Z_{i,j}(k)$  which are given by:

$$\Delta_{\min} = \min |Z_o(k) - Z_{i,j}|,$$
  
$$\Delta_{\max} = \max |Z_o(k) - Z_{i,j}|.$$
 (6)

c) Grey Relational Grade (GRG) for any response is computed by:

$$\operatorname{Grade}(Z_o, Z_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \gamma(Z_o, Z_{i,j}),$$
(7)

where:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k \gamma(Z_o, Z_{i,j}) = 1$$

in which  $\beta_k$  is the weighting factor of each response [16].

### 3.2. Signal to Noise (S/N) ratio

Taguchi method uses design of experiments to study the entire parameters space with small number of experiments. It also makes use of signal-to-noise (S/N)ratios as performance measures to optimize the output quality characteristic against such variations in noise factors. In this method, a loss function is defined to calculate the deviation between the experimental value and the desired value. This loss function is further transformed into S/N ratio. Based on the process under consideration, the S/N ratio calculation may be decided as "the Lower the Better, (LB)" or "the Higher the Better, (HB)" as given in the following [7]:

LB: 
$$S/N(\eta) = -10 \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i^2\right),$$
 (8)

HB: 
$$S/N(\phi) = -10 \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{z_i^2}\right),$$
 (9)

where n is the number of iteration in a trial, in this case, n = 1 and  $z_j$  is the *j*th measured value in a run. The experimental results of GRGs for 36 tests and their corresponding S/N ratios are listed in Table 3.

However, the S/N analysis could determine the best set of parameters levels so as only a single objective is optimized. Here, the problem under consideration has three distinctive (some conflicting) objectives

Labio 1. Response (moun) of 5/1(5)			
Symbol	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
А	-4.199	-4.840	
В	-5.288	-4.327	-3.944
С	-3.678	-4.808	-5.074
D	-4.760	-4.397	-4.402
Е	-4.346	-4.640	-4.574

Table 4. Response (mean) of S/Ns.

and, in many practical cases, it is desirable to make a balance among these objectives. To overcome this shortcoming of S/N, we have employed GRA to turn all three objectives into a single criterion called GRGs. Although in our example problem the weighting factors are considered to be equal, the weights can be adjusted based on the relative importance of the objectives. The S/N analysis is then applied to the GRGs in order to determine optimal levels of parameters settings for multi criteria optimization. In the next step, since the higher values of the GRGs are preferred, the S/N ratio values are calculated for observed GRGs by taking into consideration Eq. (9).

#### 4. Results and discussion

#### 4.1. Selecting optimal levels

To determine the effect of any parameter on the output response, it is enough to compute the average of S/N for each test containing this parameter in desired level [20]. For example, mean effect of  $T_{\rm off}$  in level 1 is obtained from averaging test runs number 12 up to 18. In this way, the mean effects of parameters are computed and listed in Table 4. Since larger value of mean S/N is always favorable, with respect to the data in Table 4, optimal set of parameters are:  $T_{\rm off}$  at level 1,  $T_{\rm on}$  at level 3, I at level 1,  $\eta$  at level 2 and V at level 1, i.e.,  $(A_1 \ B_3 \ C_1 \ D_2 \ E_1)$ .

#### 4.2. Performing ANOVA

Using Minitab software, the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is performed to determine how well a model fits the experimental data and, therefore, represents the actual process under study [19]. The results of ANOVA for S/Ns values with A, B, C, D, and E are shown in Table 5. According to ANOVA procedure, large F-value indicates that the variation of the process parameter makes a big change on the performance characteristics. In this study, a confidence level of 95% is selected to evaluate parameters significances. Therefore, Fvalues of machining parameters are compared with the appropriate values from confidence table,  $F_{\alpha,v_1,v_2}$ , where  $\alpha$  is risk,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are degrees of freedom associated with numerator and denominator illustrated in Table 5 [19]. Within 95% confidence limit, ANOVA results indicate that peak current, pulse on time, and

FDM	Degree of	Sum of	Moon	
EDM	$\mathbf{freedom}$	square	square	F-value
parameters	$(\mathbf{Dof})$	$(SS_j)$		
А	1	3.699	3.699	$9.65^{*}$
В	2	11.507	5.753	$15.01^{*}$
$\mathbf{C}$	2	13.177	6.588	$17.19^{*}$
D	2	1.038	0.519	1.35
Е	2	0.572	0.286	0.75
Error	26	9.963	0.383	
Total	35	39.957		

Table 5. Result of ANOVA for S/N.

\*: Significant parameter



**Figure 4.** The effect of machining parameters on signal to noise (S/N).

pulse off time are, respectively, the most important input parameters.

The optimum level of these significant parameters has been found by examining the level averages of the factors. For each process output response, the S/N ratio determined from the experimentally observed values has been statistically evaluated by ANOVA technique (Figure 4). Generally, a greater S/N corresponds to a better performance and hence the optimal level of each machining parameter is the level with the greatest S/N value [20]. As shown, Figure 4 demonstrates that the optimal combination of parameters settings for maximizing S/N value is 1-3-1-2-1 which corresponds to  $T_{\rm off} = 10 \ \mu {\rm s}, \ T_{\rm on} = 200 \ \mu {\rm s}, \ I = 2.5 \ {\rm A}, \ \eta = 1 \ {\rm s}$  and  $V = 50 \ {\rm V}.$ 

ANOVA results may provide the percent contributions of each parameter [21]. The percent contributions of the EDM parameters on the three weighted process parameters are shown in Figure 5. According to this figure, peak current is the major factor affecting the process outputs with 38% contribution. It is followed by pulse on time and pulse off time with 31% and 15%, respectively. Figure 5 reveals that duty factor and voltage have no significant effects on process output responses. The 9% error shown may be related to the



Figure 5. Percent contributions of machining parameters on the three weighted process outputs.

uncontrolled parameters and process noises, given as:

$$P_i(\%) = \frac{\mathrm{SS}_i - (\mathrm{DOF}_i \times \mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{error}})}{\mathrm{Total \ sum \ of \ square}}.$$
 (10)

In the above formula, according to the ANOVA results (Table 5),  $P_i$  is contribution percentage,  $SS_i$  is the sum of square,  $DOF_i$  is the degree of freedom of *i*th factor, and  $MS_{error}$  is the mean sum of square of error [21].

#### 4.3. Confirmation experiments

The final step is to predict and verify the improvement of the quality characteristics using the optimal level of the EDM process parameters. Since the optimum values of parameter levels were not included in the main experiments, an indirect method has been employed to predict both single and multiple characteristics. The predicted optimum value of each response ( $\eta_{opt}$ ) at optimum set (A<sub>1</sub> B<sub>3</sub> C<sub>1</sub> D<sub>2</sub> E<sub>1</sub>) is determined by [20]:

$$\eta_{\text{opt}} = \eta_m + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} (\eta_i - \eta_m), \qquad (11)$$

where  $\eta_m$  is total average of any response,  $\eta_i$  is predicted mean response at optimum level *i* of parameter *j*, and  $\alpha$  is the number of main design parameters that affect the performance measure.

In Table 6, the predicted and actual (experimental) S/N values for MRR, SR and TWR based on the optimal parameter levels are listed. Moreover, in the first column of this table, the S/N value for the best set of parameters in  $L_{36}$  Taguchi matrix is given (experiment No. 12). The predicted optimal settings results in the S/N ratios of -2.044 which shows an improvement of more than 9% over the best settings in  $L_{36}$  Taguchi matrix. For comparison purposes, we have conducted a verification test using these optimal settings whose S/N ration is reported in the third column (-1.84). The error between the predicted and actual optimal S/N ratios is around 9.5%. Given the nature of EDM process and its many variables, the result is quite acceptable and proves that the experimental result is correlated with the estimated value.

#### 5. Conclusion

The quality of final product in EDM is significantly affected by the choice of process parameters levels. On the other hand, the interactions of these parameters call for simultaneous selection of their optimal values. In this study, the effects of EDM process parameters settings on the three important output characteristics for AISI 2312 hot worked steel alloy have been investigated. Also, based on GRG values, the multi criteria process parameters optimization is successfully carried out. First, using Taguchi technique, a set of experiments has been performed to collect required Then, Grey Relational Grades (GRG) has data. been employed to combine three important process characteristics, including material removal rate, surface roughness and tool wear rate, into a single multicriteria measure of performance. The results of analysis of variance, performed on the S/N of GRG values, indicate that peak current, pulse on time and pulse off time are, respectively, the most effective parameters affecting EDM characteristics. Next, mean of S/N values have been used to determine the optimal levels of process parameters. It is shown that by setting  $T_{\rm off}$  at level 1,  $T_{\rm on}$  at level 3, I at level 1,  $\eta$  at level 2 and V at level 1, the combined measure of performance would be optimized. Using the optimal settings, tool wear rate and surface roughness have been significantly improved while material removal rate has been reduced. However, it is noted that the relative importance of the three measures of performance could be accommodated by changing the corresponding weights in GRG analysis. The approach proposed here, with minor changes, may be implemented for modeling and optimization of other manufacturing

Table 6. Comparison between optimal S/N values (predicted vs. experimental).

	Best set of parameters in $L_{36}$ (No.12)	Prediction	Experiment
Setting level	$A_1B_3C_3D_2E_1$	$A_1B_3C_1D_2E_1\\$	$A_1B_3C_1D_2E_1\\$
S/N	-2.255	-2.044	-1.845

Error between optimal predicted and experimental S/N values: 9.5%.

processes and engineering materials. In this work, Grey Relational Analysis and Signal to Noise method have been employed for multi-criteria optimization of EDM parameters. Using other heuristic procedures, such as Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Simulated Annealing (SA), for multi-criteria optimization of machining parameters could be a promising area of research. In such study the optimization results may be compared with those of present work as benchmark analysis.

#### Nomenclature

n	Number of test runs
m	Number of dependent variables
	measured
$y_{i,j}$	Identification of test results $(i =$

- $1, 2, \cdots, n \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$  $\zeta$  The distinguishing coefficient
- $(0 \le \zeta \le 1)$
- $Z_o(k)$  The reference sequence  $(Z_o(k) = 1 \text{ or } 0, k = 1, 2 \cdots, m);$
- $\Delta_{oj}$  The absolute value of the difference between  $Z_o(k)$  and  $Z_{i,j}(k)$
- $\beta_k$  Weighting factor of each response
- n Number of iterations in a trial (for LB and HB equations)
- $y_j$  The *j*th measured value in a run

#### References

- Zlem, O., Salman, M. and Kayacan, C. "Evolutionary programming method for modeling the EDM parameters for roughness", *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, **200**(1-3), pp. 347-355 (2008).
- Kaladhar, M., Subbaiah, K., Srinivasa C. and Narayana, K. "Application of Taguchi approach and utility concept in solving the multi-objective problem when turning AISI 202 austenitic stainless steel", *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 4(1), pp. 55-61 (2011).
- Amri, M.A. and Amin, A.K. "The implementation of Taguchi method on EDM process of Tungsten carbide", European Journal of Scientific Research, ISSN 1450-216X, 26(4), pp. 609-617 (2009).
- Kumar, S., Singh, R., Singh, T.P. and Sethi, B.L. "Surface modification by electrical discharge machining: A review", *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 209(8), pp. 3675-3687 (2009).
- Bonny, K., Debaets, P., Quintelier, J., Vleugels, J., Jiang, D., Vander Biest, O., Lauwers, B. and Liu, W. "Surface finishing: Impact on tribological characteristics of WC-Co hard metals", *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 43(1-2), pp. 40-54 (2010).

- Goparsamy, A., Mondal, B. and Ghosh, B. "Taguchi method and ANOVA: An approach for process parameter optimization of hard machining while machining hardened steel", *International Journal of Scientific* and Industrial Research, 68(8), pp. 686-695 (2009).
- Kao. B. and Hocheng, H. "Optimization of electrochemical polishing of stainless steel by grey relational analysis", *International Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, **140**(1-3), pp. 255-259 (2003).
- Sexton, R., Dorsey, S. and Johnson, J.D. "Optimization of neural networks: A comparative analysis of the genetic algorithm and simulated annealing", *European Journal of Operational Research*, **114**(3), pp. 589-601 (1999).
- Chiang, K. and Chang, F. "Optimization of the WEDM process of particle-reinforced material with multiple performance characteristics using grey relational analysis", *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 180(3), pp. 96-101 (2006).
- Cheng, Y. and Chung, C. "Optimization of micro milling electrical discharge machining of Inconel 718 by Grey-Taguchi method", *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 23(3), pp. 661-666 (2013).
- Mohana, G.K., Hanumantha, D. and Rajurkar, K. "Hybrid modeling and optimization of surface produced by electric discharge machining using artificial neural network and genetic algorithm", *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 5(5), pp. 72-81 (2010).
- Krishna, M.R. and Hanumantha, D. "Development of hybrid model and optimization of surface roughness in electric discharge machining using artificial neural networks and genetic algorithm", *International Journal* of Materials Processing Technology, **209**(2), pp. 1512-1520 (2009).
- Lin, Y.C., Chen, Y.F., Wang, D.A. and Lee, H.S. "Optimization of machining parameters in magnetic force assisted EDM based on Taguchi method", *Jour*nal of Materials Processing Technology, **209**(3), pp. 3374-3383 (2009).
- Yang, S.H., Srinivas, J., Mohana, S., Lee, D.M. and Balaji, S. "Optimization of electric discharge machining using simulated annealing", *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, **209**(3), pp. 4471-4475 (2009).
- Kansal, H.K. "Effect of silicon powder mixed EDM on machining rate of AISI D2 die steel", Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 9(1), pp. 13-22 (2007).
- Tzeng, C., Lin, Y., Yang, Y. and Jeng, M. "Optimization of turning operations with multiple performance characteristics using the Taguchi method and Grey relational analysis", *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 209(6), pp. 2753-2759 (2009).
- Lin, J.L. and Lin, C.L. "The use of the orthogonal array with grey relational analysis to optimize the electrical discharge machining process with multiple performance characteristics", *International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture*, **116**(2), pp. 237-244 (2002).

- Kolahan, F., Golmezerji, R. and Moghaddam, M.A. "Multi objective optimization of turning process using grey relational analysis and simulated annealing algorithm", *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, **110**(2), pp. 2926-2932 (2012).
- Singh, D., Chaturvedi, V. and Vimal, C. "Parametric optimization of TIG process parameters using Taguchi and Grey Taguchi analysis", *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development*, 4(2), pp. 64-78 (2013).
- Ahilan, C., Kumanan, C. and Sivakumaran, N. "Application of grey based Taguchi method in multi-response optimization of turning process", *International Journal of Advanced in Production and Management*, 5(2), pp. 171-180 (2010).
- 21. Roy, R.K., A Primer on the Taguchi Method, United states of America, Society of Manufacturing Engineers (2010).

#### **Biographies**

**Farhad Kolahan** is an associate professor at the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ferdowsi Univer-

sity of Mashhad, I.R. Iran. He received his BSc degree in Production and Manufacturing Engineering from Tabriz University, Iran in 1988. He then continued his postgraduate studies abroad and graduated with a PhD degree in Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering from Ottawa University, Canada in 1999. Dr. Kolahan's research interests include production planning and scheduling, manufacturing processes optimization and applications of heuristic algorithms in industrial optimization.

Masoud Azadi Moghaddam was born in April 1984, in Mashhad, Iran. He has a BSc Degree in Production and Manufacturing Engineering. He has also obtained an MSc degree in Mechanical Engineering (manufacturing engineering) from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, I.R. Iran. He is now a PhD candidate in the same university, under the supervision of Dr. Kolahan. The research he has undertaken includes modeling and optimization of advanced manufacturing processes. Mr. Azadi has published several journal and conference papers in his field of research during his post graduate studies.