



## KINDS OF DERIVATIONS ON HILBERT $C^*$ -MODULES AND THEIR OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

HOSSEIN SAIDI, ALI REZA JANFADA, AND MADJID MIRZAVAZIRI

*Received 24 January, 2014*

*Abstract.* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module. A linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a derivation if  $d(\langle x, y \rangle z) = \langle dx, y \rangle z + \langle x, dy \rangle z + \langle x, y \rangle dz$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ . We give some results for derivations and automatic continuity of them on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Also, we will characterize generalized derivations and strong higher derivations on the algebra of compact operators and adjointable operators of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, respectively.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 46L08; 16W25

*Keywords:* derivation, higher derivations, Hilbert  $C^*$ -module

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A *pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module*  $\mathcal{M}$  is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module equipped with a sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which satisfies the following axioms for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ :

- (1)  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ ;
- (2)  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$ ;
- (4)  $\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$ .

For every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ , set  $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$ . A pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  which is complete with respect to this norm is called a *Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module*. For example, a complex Hilbert space  $H$  is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over the  $C^*$ -algebra of complex numbers or a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\langle a, b \rangle = ab^*$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . A linear mapping  $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called an *operator* if  $T$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear (i.e.  $T(ax) = aT(x)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ). By  $End(\mathcal{M})$ , we denote the set of all operators on  $\mathcal{M}$ . A mapping  $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called *adjointable* if there exists a mapping  $T^* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ . As a well-known result, every adjointable mapping  $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is an operator. The set of all adjointable mappings on  $\mathcal{M}$  is denoted by  $End^*(\mathcal{M})$  which is a  $C^*$ -algebra under the usual operator norm. For  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ , define  $\theta_{x,y} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by  $\theta_{x,y}(z) = \langle z, y \rangle x$ , for all  $z \in \mathcal{M}$ . Clearly,  $\theta_{x,y} \in End^*(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\theta_{x,y}^* = \theta_{y,x}$ .

Note that  $\theta_{x,y}$  is quite different from rank one projections in Hilbert spaces. For example we can not infer  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$  from  $\theta_{x,y} = 0$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  the closed linear span of  $\{\theta_{x,y} : x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  are called *compact operators*. This concept of compact operators is different from compact operators in the usual sense. However, this concept coincides with the concept of usual compact operators when we choose a Hilbert space as a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module. Set  $I = \text{span}\{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $I$  is a  $*$ -bi-ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . An important class of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules are *full* modules. A Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is called full if  $\bar{I} = \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\bar{I}$  is the norm closure of  $I$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For example,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a full  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. It is well-known that the derivations on Banach algebras are the generators of certain dynamical systems. A linear mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a *homomorphism* if  $\phi(\langle x, y \rangle z) = \langle \phi x, \phi y \rangle \phi z$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ . A dynamical system on  $\mathcal{M}$  is a strongly continuous one-parameter family  $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of homomorphisms. A linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a *derivation* if  $d(\langle x, y \rangle z) = \langle dx, y \rangle z + \langle x, dy \rangle z + \langle x, y \rangle dz$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ , see [1] and [2]. In [1], Abbaspour and Skeide proved that a  $C_0$ -group  $u = (u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a dynamical system if and only if its generator is a derivation and every derivation on full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is a generalized derivation i.e. there exists a derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $d(ax) = \delta(a)x + ad(x)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . Also, they proved that every derivation on full Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules extends as a  $*$ -derivation to the linking algebra. In this paper, we consider derivations on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and give some results about adjointable derivations and automatic continuity of them.

Let  $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a linear mapping. A  $\sigma$ -*derivation* is a linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $d(ab) = d(a)\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)d(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\sigma = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity operator on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $d$  is a derivation. A generalized derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that there exists a derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $d(ab) = d(a)b + a\delta(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . In [7], P. Li, D. Han and W. S. Tang proved that every derivation on  $End^*(\mathcal{M})$  is inner if  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative and unital. In section 3, we will characterize generalized derivations on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  without commutativity condition. Suppose that  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of linear mappings from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ . It's called a *higher derivation* if  $d_n(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i(a)d_{n-i}(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $n \geq 0$ . If  $d_0 = I$ ,  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is called a *strong higher derivation*. Let  $\delta$  be a derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  and define the sequence  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $d_0 = I$  and  $d_n = \frac{\delta^n}{n!}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . By Leibnitz rule,  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a higher derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Higher derivations were introduced by Hasse and Schmidt [4] and algebraists sometimes call them Hasse-Schmidt derivations. For a higher derivation obviously,  $d_0$  is a homomorphism and  $d_1$  is a  $d_0$ -derivation in the sense of [11]. Therefore, higher derivations are the generalizations of homomorphisms and derivations. In [12], higher derivations

are applied to study generic solving of higher differential equations. For more information about higher derivations and its applications see [5], [6], [9] and [10]. The last author in [10], characterized the strong higher derivations in terms of derivations. In section 4 we give a characterization of higher derivation on  $End^*(\mathcal{M})$  with use of elements whose product is in  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ .

2. DERIVATIONS ON HILBERT  $C^*$ -MODULES

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module. Recall that a linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a *derivation* if

$$d(\langle x, y \rangle z) = \langle dx, y \rangle z + \langle x, dy \rangle z + \langle x, y \rangle dz$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ . Note that if  $d : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is an adjointable map with  $d^* = -d$ , then  $d$  is a derivation. But the converse is not true. For example suppose that  $H$  is a Hilbert space. Set  $u_0 \in B(H)$  such that  $u^* = -u$  and  $u$  is not in the center of  $B(H)$ . Define  $d : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  by  $d(v) = u_0v - vu_0$  for every  $v \in B(H)$ . It is easy to see that  $d$  is a derivation on  $B(H)$  as a  $B(H)$ -module but  $d$  is not adjointable. Otherwise,  $d$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear and Therefore,

$$u_0vv - vvu_0 = d(vv) = vd(v) = vu_0v - vvu_0$$

for every  $v \in B(H)$ . This implies that  $u_0$  is in the center of  $B(H)$ , which is a contradiction. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module. Note that if there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $ax = o$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $a = o$ . Therefore, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module. Then  $d \in End^*(\mathcal{M})$  is a derivation if and only if  $d^* = -d$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d \in End^*(\mathcal{M})$  is a derivation. Then  $(\langle dx, y \rangle + \langle x, dy \rangle)z = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $d^* = -d$ . The converse is trivial.  $\square$

A set of non-zero elements  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is called a standard basis for  $\mathcal{M}$  if the reconstruction formula  $x = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i \rangle x_i$  holds for every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let

$$L_n(\mathcal{A}) = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_i \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then  $L_n(\mathcal{A})$  a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with module product  $a(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (aa_1, aa_2, \dots, aa_n)$  and inner product

$$\langle (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \rangle = a_1b_1^* + a_2b_2^* + \dots + a_nb_n^*$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in L_n(\mathcal{A})$ , see [8]. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital then  $L_n(\mathcal{A})$  has standard basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1_{i,ih}, \dots, 0)$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In [3], D. Bakic and proved that every Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over the  $C^*$ -algebra of the compact operators possesses a standard basis.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  have a standard basis and  $d \in End^*(\mathcal{M})$ . Then  $d$  is a derivation if and only if  $d^* = -d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a standard basis for  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $d \in \text{End}^*(\mathcal{M})$  be a derivation. Then  $d(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i \rangle dx_i$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle dx, x_i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, dx_i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i \rangle dx_i \\ &= dx + \sum_{i \in I} \langle d^*x, x_i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i \rangle dx_i \\ &= dx + d^*x + dx. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $d^* = -d$ . □

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, x_i \rangle = 1$ .*

*Proof.* See [8]. □

A Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called simple if the only closed submodules of  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathcal{A}$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . For example, let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  denotes the algebra of compact operator on  $H$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  is a simple Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over itself.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full and simple Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $d$  be a derivation on  $\mathcal{M}$  with closed range. Then  $d$  is continuous or surjective.*

*Proof.* Define the separating space  $S(d) = \{y \in \mathcal{M} : \exists \{x_n\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{M} \text{ such that } dx_n \rightarrow y\}$ . As a well-known result  $S(d)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$ . By lemma 1, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, x_i \rangle = 1$ . Therefore,  $a = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle ax_i, x_i \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $z \in S(d)$  there exists a sequence  $z_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $dz_n \rightarrow z$ . Hence

$$d(az_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle adx_i, x_i \rangle z_n + \sum_{i=1}^m \langle ax_i, dx_i \rangle z_n + \sum_{i=1}^m a \langle x_i, x_i \rangle dz_n \rightarrow az. \quad (2.1)$$

This implies that  $S(d)$  is a submodule of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is simple,  $S(d) = \{0\}$  or  $S(d) = \mathcal{M}$ . If  $S(d) = \{0\}$ , by closed graph theorem,  $d$  is continuous. If  $S(d) = \mathcal{M}$  by (2.1),  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}(d)}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{\text{Im}(d)} = \text{Im}(d) = \mathcal{M}$  and  $T$  is surjective. □

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\text{Im } \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\text{Im } \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ . let  $z \in \mathcal{M}$  and set

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \langle z, z \rangle^{1/3} \right)^{-1} z.$$

One can see that  $z = \langle x, x \rangle x$  and therefore,  $\text{Im } \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ . For more detail see [8]. □

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over unital  $C^*$  algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a simple  $I$ -module and  $d$  be a derivation on  $\mathcal{M}$  with closed range. Then  $d$  is continuous or surjective.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in I$  and  $z \in S(d)$ . So there exist a sequence

$$z_n \rightarrow 0, \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \quad y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in \mathcal{M}$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $dz_n \rightarrow z$  and  $a = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, y_i \rangle$ . But

$$d(az_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle dx_i, y_i \rangle z_n + \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, dy_i \rangle z_n + \sum_{i=1}^m adz_n \rightarrow az. \quad (2.2)$$

This implies that  $S(d)$  is a submodule of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Therefore,  $S(d) = \{0\}$  or  $S(d) = \mathcal{M}$ . If  $S(d) = \{0\}$ , by closed graph theorem,  $d$  is continuous. If  $S(d) = \mathcal{M}$ , by (2.2),  $I\mathcal{M} \subseteq \overline{Im(d)}$ . Therefore, by lemma 2,  $Im(d) = \mathcal{M}$  and  $T$  is surjective.  $\square$

### 3. CHARACTERIZATION OF GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON THE ALGEBRA OF COMPACT OPERATORS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. Recall that a derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . A generalized derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that there exists a derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $d(ab) = d(a)b + a\delta(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Recall that a linear mapping  $\Pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a left multiplier if  $\Pi(ab) = \Pi(a)b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . For a generalized derivation  $d$ , set  $\Pi = d - \delta$ . One can easily see that  $\Pi$  is a left multiplier. Let  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a linear mapping. As a well-known result  $d$  is a generalized derivation if and only if there exist a derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and left multiplier  $\Pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $d = \delta + \Pi$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then linear mapping  $\Pi : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  is a left multiplier if and only if there exists  $T \in End(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\Pi(A) = TA$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T \in End(\mathcal{M})$ . Define  $\Pi : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  by  $\Pi(A) = TA$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ . Clearly  $\Pi$  is a left multiplier. Conversely, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is full, by lemma 1, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, x_i \rangle = 1$ . Define  $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(\theta_{x, x_i})x_i,$$

for every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . For every  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  we have

$$TA(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(\theta_{Ax, x_i})x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(A\theta_{x, x_i})x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(A)(\theta_{x, x_i})x_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, x_i \rangle \Pi(A)x = \Pi(A)x.$$

So  $\Pi(A) = TA$ .  $T$  is obviously a continuous linear mapping. To show that  $T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{M})$  it's remain to show that  $T$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear. Now suppose that  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  We have,

$$\Pi(A)(ax) = TA(ax) = T(aA(x))$$

On the other hand

$$\Pi(A)(ax) = a\Pi(A)(a) = aTA(x)$$

and so  $T(aA(x)) = aTA(x)$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ . Now lemma 2 implies that  $T$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear.  $\square$

**Definition 1.** By  $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{M})$  we denote the set of all linear mapping  $A$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $AB - CA \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  for all  $B, C \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ . Clearly  $\text{End}^*(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{M})$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then linear mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  is a derivation if and only if there exists  $T \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\delta(A) = TA - AT$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{M})$ . Define  $\delta : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  by  $\delta(A) = TA - AT$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ . Clearly,  $\delta$  is a derivation. Conversely, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is full by lemma 2 there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, x_i \rangle = 1$ . Define  $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \delta(\theta_{x, x_i})x_i,$$

for every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . For every  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} TA(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(\theta_{Ax, x_i})x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(A\theta_{x, x_i})x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(A)(\theta_{x, x_i})x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A\delta(\theta_{x, x_i})x_i \\ &= \delta(A)x + AT(x). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $d : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  be a linear mapping. Then  $d$  is a generalized derivation if and only if there exist  $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{M})$  and  $T_2 \in \text{End}(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $d(A) = T_1A - AT_1 + T_2A$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ .*

4. CHARACTERIZATION OF HIGHER DERIVATION ON THE ALGEBRA OF ADJOINTABLE OPERATORS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra and suppose that  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a sequence of linear mappings from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ . It's called a higher derivation if

$$d_n(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i(a)d_{n-i}(b) \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $n \geq 0$ . If  $d_0 = I$ ,  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is called a strong higher derivation. If (4.1) holds for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ , it is called a higher derivation of rank  $m$ . Now we are going to give a characterization of strong higher derivations in terms of operators whose product is compact.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\{d_n : \text{End}^*(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{End}^*(\mathcal{M})\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of linear mappings such that  $d_0 = I$ . Then  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a strong higher derivation if and only if  $d_n(AB) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i(A)d_{n-i}(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \text{End}^*(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $AB \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  and all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* By lemma 1, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $\sum_1^n \langle x_i, x_i \rangle = 1$ . Let  $x_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A, B \in \text{End}^*(\mathcal{M})$ , and  $m \geq 1$  be arbitrary elements. Since  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$  is a two sided ideal in  $\text{End}^*(\mathcal{M})$ ,

$$d_m(A\theta_{x,x_i}) = \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(A)d_{m-i}(\theta_{x,x_i})$$

and

$$d_m(AB\theta_{x,x_i}) = d_m(AB)\theta_{x,x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d_i(AB)d_{m-i}(\theta_{x,x_i}).$$

On the other hand,

$$d_m(AB\theta_{x,x_i}) = d_m(A)B\theta_{x,x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d_i(A)d_{m-i}(B\theta_{x,x_i}). \tag{4.2}$$

Take  $m = 1$ . By comparing these equalities, we obtain

$$d_1(AB)\theta_{x,x_i} = d_1(A)B\theta_{x,x_i} + Ad_1(B)\theta_{x,x_i}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(AB)x &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_1(AB) \langle x_i, x_i \rangle x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_1(A)B \langle x_i, x_i \rangle x + \sum_{i=1}^n Ad_1(B) \langle x_i, x_i \rangle x \\ &= d_1(A)Bx + Ad_1(B)x. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $d_1$  is a derivation. As an induction suppose that  $\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_m\}$  is a higher derivation of rank  $m$ . By induction, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{m+1}(AB\theta_{x,x_i}) &= d_{m+1}(AB)\theta_{x,x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(AB)d_{m+1-i}(\theta_{x,x_i}) \\ &= d_{m+1}(AB)\theta_{x,x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^i d_j(A)d_{i-j}(B)d_{m+1-i}(\theta_{x,x_i}) \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} d_{m+1}(AB\theta_{x,x_i}) &= d_{m+1}(A)B\theta_{x,x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(A)d_{m+1-i}(B\theta_{x,x_i}) \\ &= d_m(A)B\theta_{x,x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-i} d_i(A)d_j(B)d_{m+1+i-j}(\theta_{x,x_i}). \end{aligned}$$

One can see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^i d_j(A)d_{i-j}(B)d_{m+1-i}(\theta_{x_0,x_i}) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-i} d_i(A)d_j(B)d_{m+1+i-j}(\theta_{x,x_i}).$$

Therefore,

$$d_{m+1}(AB)\theta_{x,x_i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} d_i(A)d_{m+1-i}(B)\theta_{x,x_i}.$$

So

$$d_{m+1}(AB)x = \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} d_i(A)d_{m+1-i}(B)x.$$

will imply that  $\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{m+1}\}$  is a higher derivation of rank  $m + 1$ .  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Abbaspour and M. Skeide, "Generators of dynamical systems on Hilbert modules," *Commun. Stoch. Anal.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 193–207, 2007.
- [2] M. Amyari and M. S. Moslehian, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of derivations on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules," *Topological algebras and applications*, vol. 427, pp. 31–39, 2007.
- [3] D. Bakic and B. Guljas, "Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators," *Acta. Sci. Math.*, vol. 68, pp. 249–269, 2002.
- [4] H. Hasse and F. K. Schmidt, "Noch eine begründung der theorie der höheren differential quotieten in einme algebraischen funtionenkörper einer unbestimmten," *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, vol. 177, pp. 215–237, 1937.
- [5] S. Hejazian and T. Shatery, "Automatic continuity of higher derivations on  $JB^*$ -algebras," *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 11–23, 2007.
- [6] K. W. Jun and Y. W. Lee, "The image of a continuous strong higher derivation is contained in the radical," *Bull. Korean Math.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 219–232, 1996.
- [7] P. Li, D. Han, and W. S. Tang, "Derivations on the algebra of operators in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules," *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. ser.*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1615–1622, 2012.
- [8] V. M. Manuilov and E. V. Troitsy, "Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules," *Transl. Math. Monographs*, vol. 226, 2005.
- [9] J. B. Miller, "Higher derivations on Banach algebras," *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. 92, pp. 301–331, 1970.
- [10] M. Mirzavaziri, "Characterization of higher derivations on algebras," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 981–987, 2010.
- [11] M. Mirzavaziri, K. Naranjani, and A. Niknam, "Innerness of higher derivations," *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 121–128, 2010.
- [12] Y. Uchino and T. Satoh, "Function field modular forms and higher derivations," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 311, pp. 439–466, 1998.

*Authors' addresses***Hossein Saidi**

University of Birjand, Department of Mathematics, P. O. Box 97175-615, Birjand, Iran  
*E-mail address:* hosseinsaidi@birjand.ac.ir

**Ali Reza Janfada**

University of Birjand, Department of Mathematics, P. O. Box 97175-615, Birjand, Iran  
*E-mail address:* ajanfada@birjand.ac.ir

**Madjid Mirzavaziri**

Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Department of Pure Mathematics, P. O. Box 91775-1159, Mashhad, Iran

*Current address:* Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Mashhad, Iran

*E-mail address:* mirzavaziri@um.ac.ir