



دومین کنفرانس بین المللی و سومین همایش ملی

کاربرد فناوری های نوین در علوم مهندسی



February 25 2016

۰۶ اسفندماه ۱۳۹۴

## گواهی ارائه مقاله

تاریخ: ۱۳۹۴/۱۲/۰۶

شماره: ۰۰۳۳۰۹۳۵

مؤلفین محترم: مسعود آزادی مقدم \_ فرهاد کلاهان \_ فرید ایلچی

موضوع مقاله با عنوان: **Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of Surface Roughness in Face Milling Process Using Orthogonal Array Technique and Simulated Annealing Algorithm**

گواهی می‌گردد این مقاله از سوی کمیته علمی همایش بصورت سخنرانی مورد پذیرش قرار گرفت و ارائه گردید. امید است که حضور ارزشمند شما در "دومین کنفرانس بین المللی و سومین همایش ملی کاربرد فناوری های نوین در علوم مهندسی" که در محل دانشگاه فردوسی مشهد برگزار گردید، کاملاً مفید و در عرصه نوآوری و پیشرفت کشور عزیزمان باشد.

Dear author(s): \_Azadi Moghaddam Masoud \_kolahan Farhad \_Eilchi Farid

This is to certify that your article titled:

**Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of Surface Roughness in Face Milling Process Using Orthogonal Array Technique and Simulated Annealing Algorithm**

has been accepted by and presented in the Scientific Committee of the Conference as. **Oral** We hope that your valuable presence in the " 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference & 3<sup>rd</sup> National Conference on New Technologies Application in Engineering " that was held at the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, is a big step towards the innovation and development of the country.

دبیر علمی کنفرانس

دکتر سید علیرضا درخشان  
Conference scientific Manager  
Dr S.A Derakhshan

رئیس کنفرانس

پروفسور علیرضا کرباسی  
Conference Chair  
Professor A.R.Karbasi

## Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of Surface Roughness in Face Milling Process Using Orthogonal Array Technique and Simulated Annealing Algorithm

Masoud Azadi Moghaddam  
PhD Candidate, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad

Farhad Kolahan  
Associate Prof, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad

Farid Eilchi  
MSc student, Sari Beranch, Islamic Azad University

### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the experimental and numerical study of face milling of AISI1045. The proposed approach is based on statistical analysis on the experimental data gathered using Taguchi design matrix. Surface roughness (SR) is the most important performance characteristics of the face milling process. In this study the effect of input face milling process parameters on surface roughness of AISI1045 steelmilled parts have been studied. The input parameters are cutting speed ( $v$ ), feed rate ( $fz$ ) and depth of cut ( $ap$ ). The experimental data are gathered using Taguchi  $L_9$  design matrix. In order to establish the relations between the input and the output parameters, various regression functions have been fitted on the data based on output characteristics. The significance of the process parameters on the quality characteristics of the process was also evaluated quantitatively using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) method. Then, statistical analysis and validation experiments have been carried out to compare and select the best and most fitted models. In the last section of this research, mathematical model has been developed for Surface roughness prediction using simulated annealing (SA) algorithm on the basis of experimental results. The model developed for optimization has been validated by confirmation experiments. It has been found that the predicted roughness using SA algorithm is in good agreement with the actual surface roughness.

**Keywords:** "Face milling process, Surface roughness, Optimization, simulated annealing (SA) algorithm, Analysis of variance (ANOVA), Orthogonal array technique".

## Introduction

The surface roughness is mainly a result of various controllable or uncontrollable process parameters and it is harder to attain and track than physical dimensions are. A considerable number of studies have researched the effects of the cutting speed, feed, depth of cut, and other factors on the surface roughness. In recent studies the effects of some factors on surface roughness has been evaluated and models has been developed. A central task in science and engineering practice is to develop models that give a satisfactory description of physical systems being observed [1-3]. The goal of this study is to obtain a mathematical model that relates the surface roughness to three cutting parameters in face milling, precisely to the cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut[1].

There is various simple surface roughness amplitude parameters used in industry, such as roughness average (Ra), root-mean-square (RMS) roughness (Rq), and maximum peak-to-valley roughness (Ry or Rmax), etc. [2]. The parameter Ra is used in this study. The average roughness (Ra) is the area between the roughness profile and its mean line, or the integral of the absolute value of the roughness profile height over the evaluation. Therefore, the Ra is specified by the following equation [2]:

$$Ra = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L |Y(x)| dx \quad (1)$$

Where Ra is the arithmetic average deviation from the mean line, L the sampling length, and Y is the ordinate of the profile curve. There are many methods of measuring surface roughness, such as image processing, microscopes, stylus type instruments, profile tracing instruments, etc[2, 3].

The main objectives of the present study are: 1) to establish the relationship between face milling process parameters and the process output characteristic (surface roughness), and 2) to determine the optimal parameter levels for minimum surface roughness by application of simulated annealing (SA) algorithm. The proposed procedure is based on statistical analysis of the experimental data. The article concludes with the verification of the proposed approach and a summary of the major findings.

## Conditions of experiment

AISI1045 steel parts with dimensions 15×60×80 mm were prepared and used in experiments. The face milling experiments were performed by a tool for the face milling R245-12 T3 M-PM 4020 using inserts with 4 helical right-hand cutting edges, produced by Iscar. The experiments were conducted on MCV 400 CNC milling machine. The feasible range for cutting parameters is taken from the machine limitations. The surface roughness tester is used to measure the roughness of the milled work piece. The measured surface roughness was obtained by averaging the surface roughness values at a minimum of three locations on the milled surface. A cut-off value of 8 mm was used when measuring the surface roughness of the milled surface.

- Process Parameter Setting

In design of experiments (DOE), the number of required experiments (and hence the experiment cost) increases as the number of parameters and/or their corresponding levels increase. That is why it is recommended that the parameters with less likely pronounced effects on the process outputs be evaluated at fewer levels. In addition, the limitations of test equipment may also dictate a certain number of levels for some of the process parameters. For this research a lot of experiments had been done to find the relatively appropriate machine tool parameters and their proper settings as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Machining Parameters and their Levels

parameters	symbol	unit	Range	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
cutting speed (v)	C	m/min	126-314	126	201	314
feed rate (f <sub>z</sub> )	F	(mm/rev×tooth)	0.06-0.18	0.06	0.12	0.18
depth of cut (a <sub>p</sub> )	D	(mm)	1-2	1	1.5	2

- Taguchi Technique

Orthogonal Arrays (OA) is a method of setting up experiments that only requires a fraction of full factorial combinations. The treatment combinations are chosen to provide sufficient information to determine the factor effects using the analysis of means. Orthogonal refers to the balance of the various combinations of factors so that no one factor is given more or less weight in the experiment than the other factors. Taguchi's method, firstly, clearly defines orthogonal arrays, each of which can be used for many experimental situations. Secondly, Taguchi's method provides a standard method for analysis of results. Taguchi's method provides consistency and reproducibility that is generally not found in other statistical methods [11].

This study has been undertaken to investigate the effects of cutting speed (v), feed rate (f<sub>z</sub>) and depth of cut (a<sub>p</sub>) on surface roughness (SR). Therefore, L<sub>9</sub> design matrix has been used to carry out experiments (Table 2).

Table 2 The process characteristics and their corresponding output

No	V (m/min)	fz (mm/(rev × tooth))	ap (mm)	SR (μm)
1	1	1	1	1.67
2	1	2	2	2.14
3	1	3	3	2.22
4	2	1	2	1.47
5	2	2	3	2.04
6	2	3	1	1.71
7	3	1	3	1.75
8	3	2	1	1.50
9	3	3	2	1.94

### Mathematical modeling

Regression models can be used to predict the behavior of input variables (independent variables) and values associated with each test response results [12].

The last column of Table 2 is the output for each test setting. These data can be used to develop mathematical models. Any of the above output is a function of process parameters which are expressed by linear, curvilinear or logarithmic functions; as stated in Equations 2 to 4 respectively.

$$Y_1 = b_0 + b_1C + b_2F + b_3D \quad (2)$$

$$Y_2 = b_0 + b_1C + b_2F + b_3D + b_{11}CC + b_{22}FF + b_{33}DD + b_{12}CF + b_{13}CD + b_{23}FD \quad (3)$$

$$Y_3 = b_0 \times C^{b_1} \times F^{b_2} \times D^{b_3} \quad (4)$$

In the above formula  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  are the regression coefficients to be estimated. In this study, based on the SR data given in Table 2, the regression model is developed using MINITAB software.

Models representing the relationship between process parameters and output characteristics can be stated in equations 5 to 7.

Stepwise elimination process was used to modify the initial proposed models.

- Linear Model

$$SR (Ra) = 1.23 - 0.00138 \times v + 2.72 \times f_z + 0.377 \times a_p \quad (5)$$

- Curvilinear Model

$$SR (Ra) = 2.02 - 0.0125 \times V + 13.5 \times f_z + 0.000019 \times V^2 - 42.2 \times f_z^2 + 0.00184 \times V \times a_p \quad (6)$$

- Logarithmic Model

$$SR (Ra) = 5.585 \times V^{-0.163} \times f_z^{0.168} \times a_p^{0.296} \quad (7)$$

Adequacies of models were checked by analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique within the confidence limit of 95% [14-16].

Table 3 ANOVA results for SR

Model	Variable	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> (adj)	F value	Pr>F
Linear	SR	80.6%	68.9%	40.81	<0.0001
<b>Curvilinear</b>	<b>SR</b>	<b>98.4%</b>	<b>95.1%</b>	<b>79.46</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Logarithmic	SR	75.9%	73.6%	59.68	<0.0001

In the next step the proposed models were validated using new set of experiments. Table 4 illustrates the mean error of the new 6 experiments for the output characteristics. According to the results the curvilinear model is the best model among the proposed models for surface roughness.

Table 4 Results of validation experiments

Machining parameters	Error (%)		
	Linear	Logarithmic	Curvilinear
SR	6.97	8.82	<b>3.54</b>

Figure 1, demonstrates the interaction effect of cutting speed and feed rate (depth of cut remained constant). As illustrated, by increasing the feed rate within the range of 0.06-to-0.18 mm/rev×tooth, the SR increases. Similarly by increasing the cutting speed, within the range of 126-to-314 m/min, the SRdecreases. Figure 2 and 3, demonstrate the interaction effect of cutting speed and depth of cut and depth of cut and feed rate on SR respectively.




Figure 1. interaction of v and fz plot for SR




Figure 2. interaction of v and ap plot for SR




Figure 3. interaction of ap and fz plot for SR

### Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

The ANOVA is used to investigate the most influential parameters to the process factor-level response. In this investigation, the experimental data are analyzed using the contribution rate. ANOVA has been performed on the above model to assess their adequacy, within the confidence limit of 95%. ANOVA results indicate that the model is adequate within the specified confidence limit. The calculated determination coefficient ( $R^2$ ) for this model is 98.1%. Result of ANOVA is shown in Table 3.

According to ANOVA procedure, large contribution rate indicates that the variation of the process parameter makes a big change on the performance characteristics (Table 5). In this study, a confidence level of 95% is selected to evaluate parameters significances [2].

Table 5 Result of ANOVA for SR

Machining parameters	Degree of freedom (Dof)	Sum of square ( $SS_i$ )	P- value	Contribution Percentage (%)
V	2	0.151400	0.005	24
fz	2	0.180067	0.012	29
v × v	2	0.000000	0.019	1
fz × fz	2	0.000000	0.035	1
V × ap	4	0.257733	0.039	41
Error	3	0.000000	0.004	4
Total	15	0.58920	-	-

ANOVA results may provide the percent contributions of each parameter [11, 17].

$$P_i (\%) = \frac{SS_i - (DOF_i \times MS_{error})}{Total \text{ Sum of Squire}} \quad (8)$$

In the above formula according to the ANOVA results (Table 5),  $P_i$  is Contribution percentage,  $SS_i$  is sum of square,  $DOF_i$  is degree of freedom of  $i$  th factor, and  $MS_{error}$  is mean sum of square of error [2].

The percent contributions of the milling parameters on SR is shown in Figures 4. According to Figure 4,  $v \times ap$  is the major factor affecting the SR with 41% contribution. It is followed by fz, v,  $v \times v$ ,  $fz \times fz$  with 29%, 24%, 1% and 1% respectively. The remaining (4%) effects are due to noise factors or uncontrollable parameters.




Figure 4. The effect of machining parameters on the SR

### Simulated Annealing Algorithm

Simulated annealing (SA) algorithm is an optimization process whose operation is strongly reminiscent of the physical annealing of crystalline compounds such as metals and metallic alloys [18]. In condensed matter physics, annealing is a physical process that is used to reconstruct the crystal structure of a solid with a low energy state. A solid in a state bath is first heated up to a temperature above the melting point of the solid. At this temperature, all particles of the solid are in violent random motion. The temperature of the heat bath is then slowly cooled down. All particles of the solid rearrange themselves and tend toward a low energy state. As the cooling of the particle is carried out sufficiently slowly, lower and lower energy states are obtained until the lowest energy state is reached. Similarly, in face milling an energy function is created which is minimized. While minimizing efforts are made to avoid local minima and to achieve global minima. The lowest energy level gives the optimized value of face milling parameters.

A standard SA procedure begins by generating an initial solution at random. At initial stages, a small random change is made in the current solution. Then the objective function value of new solution is calculated and compared with that of current solution. A move is made to the new solution if it has better value or if the probability function implemented in SA has a higher value than a randomly generated number. The probability of accepting a new solution is given as follows:

$$p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Delta < 0 \\ e^{-\Delta/T} & \text{if } \Delta \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The calculation of this probability relies on a temperature parameter, T, which is referred to as temperature, since it plays a similar role as the temperature in the physical annealing process. To avoid getting trapped at a local minimum point, the rate of reduction should be slow [18]. In our problem the following method to reduce the temperature has been used:

$$T_{i+1} = cT_i \quad i = 0, 1, \dots \quad \text{and} \quad 0.9 \leq c < 1 \quad (10)$$

Thus, at the start of SA most worsening moves may be accepted, but at the end only improving ones are likely to be allowed. This can help the procedure jump out of a local minimum. The algorithm may be terminated after a certain volume fraction for the structure has been reached or after a pre-specified run time.

### Validation of Machining Parameters

The optimum machining parameters found using SA algorithm is validated by conducting experiments on the same specification of AISI1045 material. Table 4 shows the results of the confirmation experiments. Table 6 shows the results of the confirmation experiments.

Table 6 Experimental results of surface roughness for the optimized machining parameters

Machining characteristic	V (opt) (m/min)	fz (opt) (mm/(rev×tooth))	ap (opt) (mm)	Predicted value	Experimental value	Error
SR	280	0.06	1	1.18	1.21	2.5%

### Results and discussion

The effect of machining parameters on the surface roughness was considered. 9 experiments were conducted on AISI1045 and their corresponding surface roughness values measured. Then optimization based on SA was

executed using MATLAB software in which 30 iterations with 50 populations were used to run the program. The computational time for execution of single run in a Core 2 Duo processor computer is observed to be 15 s in an average. Then once again experiments were conducted based on the recommended machining parameters of SA. It is observed from the conducted experiments, the surface roughness decreases with an increase in cutting speed and surface roughness decreases with a decrease in feed. The predicted surface roughness largely agrees with the experimental results. The difference (2.5%) between the results of proposed technique and the experiments may be attributed to the effects of vibration, spindle run-out, and work piece material property in actual machining.

## References

- [1] baji, d., lela, b., zivkovic, d., 2008, Modeling Of Machined Surface Roughness and Optimization of Cutting Parameters in Face Milling, Metallurgical, 47, pp.331-334.
- [2] AzadiMoghaddam, M., Kolahan, F., 2014, Modeling and Optimization of Surface Roughness of AISI2312 Hot Worked Steel in EDM based on Mathematical Modeling and Genetic Algorithm, International Journal of Engineering, 27(3), pp. 417-424.
- [3] Bharathi Raja, S., Baskar, N., 2012, Application of Particle Swarm Optimization technique for Achieving Desired Milled Surface Roughness in Minimum Machining Time, Expert Systems with Applications, 39, pp. 5982–5989.
- [4] Jeang, A., 2011, Cutting Parameters Optimization for Prediction Time via Computer Experiments, Applied Mathematical Modeling, 35, pp.1354–1362.
- [5] Patricia Muñoz-Escalona, P., Maropoulos, P.G., 2014, A Geometrical Model for Surface Roughness Prediction When Face Milling Al 7075-T7351 With Square Insert Tools, Journal of Manufacturing Systems, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2014.06.011>.
- [6] Reddy, S., Venkateswara, R., 2006, Selection Of Optimum Tool Geometry and Cutting Conditions Using a Surface Roughness Prediction Model for end Milling, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology; 26, pp.1202–1210.
- [7] Jesuthanam, C., Kumanan, S., Asojan, P., 2007, Surface Roughness Prediction Hybrid Neural Network, Mach Sci Technol, 111, pp.271–286.
- [8] Zhang, J., Chenb, J., Kirby, D., 2007, Surface Roughness Optimization in an End Milling Operation Using the Taguchi Design Method. Journal of Material Process Technology, pp.184:233.
- [9] Bharathi Raja, S., Baskar, N., 2012, Application of Particle Swarm Optimization Technique for Achieving Desired Milled Surface Roughness in Minimum Machining Time. Expert SystAppl, pp. 39:5982.
- [10] Arrazola, P.J., Özel, T., Umbrello, D., Davies, M., Jawahir, I.S., 2013, Recent Advances in Modeling of Metal Machining Processes. CIRP Ann ManufTechnol , 62, pp. 695–718.
- [11] Roy, R. K., “A Primer on the Taguchi Method”, Society of Manufacturing Engineers, (2010).
- [12] Kolahan, F., Golmezerji, R., and AzadiMoghaddam, M., 2012, Multi Objective Optimization of Turning Process using Grey Relational Analysis and Simulated Annealing Algorithm, Applied Mechanics and Materials, pp. 2926-2932.
- [13] Asokan, P., Kumar, R.R., Jeyapaul, R., and Santhi, M., 2008, Development of Multi-Objective Optimization Models for Electrochemical Machining Process, The International Journal of Materials Manufacturing Technology, 39, pp.55–63.
- [14] Manish Vishwakarma, Vishal Parashar, V.K., 2012, Regression Analysis and Optimization of Material Removal Rate on Electric Discharge Machine for EN-19 Alloy Steel, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 2(11), pp. 167-175.
- [15] Vishnu, D., Asal, R.I., Patel, T., Alok, B., 2013, “Optimization of Process Parameters of EDM Using ANOVA Method”, International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, 3 (2), pp.1119-1125.
- [16] Kolahan, F., Bironro, M., 2008, Modeling and Optimization of Process Parameters in PMEDM by Genetic Algorithm”, Waset, Vol 36.
- [17] Cheng, R., Jin, Y., 2014, A Social Learning Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for Scalable Optimization, Inform. Sci., <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2014.08.039>.
- [18] Kirkpatrick, Gelatt J and Vecchi D. D, 1983, “Optimization by simulated annealing”, Science, pp. 671–680.
- [19] Yang S.H., Srinivas, J., Mohan, S., Lee D. M., Balaji, S. 2009, “Optimization of electric discharge machining using simulated annealing”, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, pp. 4471–4475, 2009