



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Linear Algebra and its Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)



## More on operator monotone and operator convex functions of several variables



Hamed Najafi <sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

<sup>b</sup> School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 3 April 2017

Accepted 22 June 2017

Available online 27 June 2017

Submitted by H. Bart

#### MSC:

47A05

44A10

15A16

#### Keywords:

Operator monotone functions

Operator convex functions

BMV conjecture

Laplace transform

### ABSTRACT

Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  be positive matrices in  $M_n$  and  $f$  be a continuous real-valued function on  $[0, \infty)$ . In addition, consider  $\Phi$  as a positive linear functional on  $M_n$  and define

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_k) = \Phi(f(t_1 C_1 + t_2 C_2 + t_3 C_3 + \dots + t_k C_k)),$$

as a  $k$  variables continuous function on  $[0, \infty) \times \dots \times [0, \infty)$ . In this paper, we show that if  $f$  is an operator convex function of order  $mn$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $k$  variables operator convex function of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  such that  $m = n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$ . Also, if  $f$  is an operator monotone function of order  $n^{k+1}$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $k$  variables operator monotone function of order  $n$ . In particular, if  $f$  is a non-negative operator decreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the function  $t \rightarrow \Phi(f(A + tB))$  is an operator decreasing and can be written as a Laplace transform of a positive measure.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc.

\* Correspondence to: Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran.

E-mail address: [hamednajafi20@gmail.com](mailto:hamednajafi20@gmail.com).

**1. Introduction**

Let  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  and let  $I$  be the identity operator. An operator  $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *positive* if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  holds for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and then we can write  $A \geq 0$ . We say,  $A \leq B$  if  $B - A \geq 0$ ; see [1] for other possible orders.

For a continuous real-valued function  $f$  and a self adjoint operator  $A$  with spectrum in the domain of  $f$ , the operator  $f(A)$  is defined by the continuous functional calculus. In particular, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space of finite dimension  $n$  and  $A \in M_n (= \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}))$  has the spectral decomposition  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ , where  $P_i$  is the projection corresponding to the eigenspace of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $A$ , then

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i)P_i.$$

A continuous function  $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined on an interval  $J$  is said to be matrix monotone (or matrix increasing) of order  $n$  if  $A \leq B$  implies that  $f(A) \leq f(B)$  for any pair of self adjoint  $n \times n$  matrices  $A, B$  with spectra in  $J$ . A function  $f$  is called matrix decreasing of order  $n$  if  $-f$  is a matrix monotone function of order  $n$ . Also, we say that  $f$  is a matrix convex function of order  $n$ , if

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B),$$

for all self adjoint matrices  $A, B$  in  $M_n$  with spectra in  $J$  and all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . In the general case, a function  $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be operator monotone (operator convex) if it is a matrix monotone function (matrix convex function) of any arbitrary order. For more details, we refer readers to [3,6].

In [7], Hansen used the functional calculus developed by Koranyi [12] and presented an extension of one variable operator convex functions to multivariable functions. In his approach, Hansen considered  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  to be a  $k$ -tuple of self adjoint matrices of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  such that the spectra of  $n_i \times n_i$  matrix  $A_i$  is contained in  $J_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . If

$$A_i = \sum_{i_m}^{n_i} \lambda_{i_m}(A_i)P_{i_m}(A_i),$$

is the spectral decomposition of  $A_i$  and  $f$  is a  $k$  variable continuous function on the real interval  $J_1 \times \dots \times J_k$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then

$$f(A) = \sum_{i_1}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{i_k}^{n_k} f(\lambda_{i_1}(A_1), \dots, \lambda_{i_k}(A_k)) P_{i_1}(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes P_{i_m}(A_k),$$

can be defined as a self adjoint matrix in  $M_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{n_k}$ . Now, we say that a  $k$  variable continuous function  $f$  on a real interval  $J_1 \times \dots \times J_k$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is matrix convex of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , if

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B),$$

for any  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and for any two  $k$ -tuples  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  of self adjoint matrices such that the spectra of  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are contained in  $J_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . For more properties, see [20].

There are many approaches for extending the definition of one variable operator monotone functions to functions of several variables [2,15,17,19]. In particular, Agler, McCarthy and Young [2] worked on  $k$ -tuples of commuting self adjoint operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and expressed an operator monotonicity for functions of several variables. In this approach, for  $k$ -tuples  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  of commuting self adjoint operators, we say that  $A \leq B$  if  $A_i \leq B_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . A  $k$  variable continuous function  $f$  on a real interval  $J_1 \times \dots \times J_k$  is called operator monotone of order  $n$  if for any two  $k$  tuples  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  of  $n \times n$  commuting matrices such that the spectra of  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are contained in  $J_i$ , the inequality  $A \leq B$  implies that  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ .

Similar to this approach, we define  $k$  variable operator monotone functions of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . In particular, for  $k$ -tuples  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  of self adjoint matrices of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , we say  $A \leq B$  if  $A_i \leq B_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A  $k$  variable continuous function  $f$  on a cell  $J_1 \times \dots \times J_k$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is called operator monotone of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  if for any two  $k$ -tuples  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  of self adjoint matrices of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  such that the spectra of  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are contained in  $J_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the inequality  $A \leq B$  implies that  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ .

A linear map  $\Phi$  between two  $C^*$  algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be positive, if  $a \geq 0$  implies  $\Phi(a) \geq 0$ , for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also,  $\Phi$  is said to be completely positive if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the linear map  $\Phi^n : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{B})$  defined by

$$\Phi^n([a_{i,j}]) = [\phi(a_{i,j})]$$

is positive. Any positive linear map is bounded and  $\|\Phi\| = \|\Phi(I)\|$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\Phi$  is a positive linear functional, then  $\Phi$  is continuous.

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be Banach spaces. We say that a function  $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  defined on a subset  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is Fréchet differentiable at an inner point  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$ , if there exists a bounded linear operator  $f^{[1]}(x) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|h\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1} \|f(x+h) - f(x) - f^{[1]}(x)(h)\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} = 0.$$

In this paper, we first investigate the operator convexity and the monotonicity of some functions of  $k$  variables by using one variable operator monotone functions. In particular, we show that if  $\Phi$  is a positive linear functional on  $M_n$ ,  $f$  is a matrix convex function of order  $mn$  on  $[0, \infty)$  and

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_k) = \Phi (f(t_1C_1 + t_2C_2 + \dots + t_kC_k)),$$

for positive matrices  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  in  $M_n$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $k$  variable operator convex function of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  such that  $m = n_1 \dots n_k$ . Similar results are valid for operator monotone functions.

In addition, by using a different approach, we extend some results of Hansen [10] (section 3) and prove that for any arbitrary Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and any positive linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , if  $f$  is an operator decreasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ , then the function  $\phi(t) = \Phi(f(A+tB))$  has a Laplace transform of a positive measure, for positive operators  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, the famous equivalent statement for Bessis–Moussa–Villani theorem [18] which states for each  $p \leq 0$  and for all positive semi-definite matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , the function  $h_p(t) = Tr (A + tB)^p$  is completely monotone [13], is partially extended ( $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a completely monotone function if  $(-1)^n f^n(t) \geq 0$ , for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and each  $t > 0$ ). Indeed, we show that for a positive linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the function  $\phi_p(t) = \Phi((A + tB)^p)$  is completely monotone for each  $-1 \leq p \leq 0$  and all positive operators  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

Finally, some inequalities in relation to the sub-additivity of operator monotone functions are described in section 4.

## 2. Several variable operator functions

We begin this section with introducing some notation being used in the paper. Let  $\mathcal{H}_i$  be a Hilbert space for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i$  denotes the tensor product Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$ . Suppose that  $Y_i^k : B(\mathcal{H}_i) \rightarrow B\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_j\right)$  denotes the isometry

$$Y_i^k(A_i) = I \otimes \dots \otimes I \otimes A_i \otimes \dots \otimes I,$$

for  $A_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_i)$ . Also, for any operator  $C$  in  $B(\mathcal{H}_0)$ , consider  $X_{i,C}^k : B\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i\right) \rightarrow B\left(\bigotimes_{i=0}^k \mathcal{H}_i\right)$  such that

$$X_{i,C}^k(A) = C \otimes Y_i(A),$$

for  $A \in B\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i\right)$ . Note that if  $C$  is a positive operator, then  $X_{i,A}^k$  is a completely positive linear map for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now, let  $x \in \mathcal{H}_0$ . Assume that  $T_{k,x} : \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i=0}^k \mathcal{H}_i$  is the linear map defined by

$$T_{k,x}(y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k) = x \otimes y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k,$$

for any  $y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k$  in  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i$ . If  $T_{k,x}^* : \bigotimes_{i=0}^k \mathcal{H}_i \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i$  denotes the adjoint of  $T_{k,x}$ , we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y'_k, T_{k,x}^*(y_0 \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k) \rangle &= \langle T_{k,x}(y'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y'_k), y_0 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k \rangle \\ &= \langle x, y_0 \rangle \langle y'_1, y_1 \rangle \dots \langle y'_k, y_k \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $y'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y'_k \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i$  and  $y_0 \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k \in \bigotimes_{i=0}^k \mathcal{H}_i$ . Therefore

$$T_{k,x}^*(y_0 \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k) = \langle y_0, x \rangle (y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k),$$

for  $y_0 \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k \in \bigotimes_{i=0}^k \mathcal{H}_i$ .

Let  $\sigma_m(1, \dots, k)$  be a word of length  $m$  over the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Put  $\sigma_m(C_1, \dots, C_k)$  to be the multiplication of operators  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  corresponded to  $\sigma_m(1, \dots, k)$ . For example, if  $m = 5$ ,  $k = 3$  and  $\sigma_5(1, 2, 3) = (12113)$ , then

$$\sigma_5(C_1, C_2, C_3) = C_1 C_2 C_1 C_1 C_3 = C_1 C_2 C_1^2 C_3.$$

The set of all words of length  $m$  over set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  is denoted by  $S(m, k)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be positive matrices in  $M_n$  and  $f$  be a continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and*

$$\phi_x(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_k) = \langle f(t_1 C_1 + t_2 C_2 + \dots + t_k C_k) x, x \rangle.$$

*Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) *Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  be a  $k$ -tuple of positive matrices of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . Then*

$$\phi_x(A_1, \dots, A_k) = T_{k,x}^* \left( f(X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1) + X_{2,C_2}^k(A_2) + \dots + X_{k,C_k}^k(A_k)) \right) T_{k,x},$$

*as a matrix in  $M_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{n_k}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  be a  $k$ -tuple of commuting positive matrices of order  $n$ . Then*

$$\phi_x(A_1, \dots, A_k) = T_{1,x}^* (f(X_{1,C_1}^2(A_1) + \dots + X_{1,C_k}^2(A_k))) T_{1,x},$$

as a matrix in  $M_n$ .

**Proof.** By the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for  $f(t) = t^m$  for each  $m \geq 0$ . If  $m = 0$  the proof is clear. Let  $m > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) &= \langle (t_1 C_1 + t_2 C_2 + \dots + t_k C_k)^m x, x \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_k) \langle \sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k) x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(i) First, note that by a remark of Hansen [8, Page 1], if a  $k$  variable continuous function  $g$  can be separated as a product  $g(t_1, \dots, t_k) = g_1(t_1) \dots g_k(t_k)$  of  $k$  functions each of which depends on only one variable, then

$$g(A) = g_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes g_k(A_k).$$

So, we can conclude that

$$\phi_x(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} \langle \sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k) x, x \rangle \sigma(Y_1^k(A_1), \dots, Y_k^k(A_k)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,x}^* \left( (X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1) + \dots + X_{k,C_k}^k(A_k))^m \right) T_{k,x} &= T_{k,x}^* \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} \sigma(X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1), \dots, X_{k,C_k}^k(A_k)) \right) T_{k,x} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} T_{k,x}^* \sigma(X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1), \dots, X_{k,C_k}^k(A_k)) T_{k,x} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} T_{k,x}^* \left( X_{1,\sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k)}^k(I) \otimes (I \otimes \sigma(Y_1^k(A_1), \dots, Y_k^k(A_k))) \right) T_{k,x}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,x}^* \left( X_{1,\sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k)}^k(I) \otimes (I \otimes \sigma(Y_1^k(A_1), \dots, Y_k^k(A_k))) \right) T_{k,x} (y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k) &= T_{k,x}^* \left( X_{1,\sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k)}^k(I) \otimes (I \otimes \sigma(Y_1^k(A_1), \dots, Y_k^k(A_k))) \right) (x \otimes y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k) \\ &= T_{k,x}^* (\sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k)(x) \otimes (\sigma(Y_1(A_1), \dots, Y_k(A_k))(y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k))) \\ &= \langle \sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k) x, x \rangle \sigma(Y_1(A_1), \dots, Y_k(A_k))(y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_k), \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\sigma \in S(m, k)$ . Therefore,

$$\phi_x(A_1, \dots, A_k) = T_{k,x}^* \left( (X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1) + \dots + X_{k,C_k}^k(A_k))^m \right) T_{k,x}.$$

(ii) It is clear that

$$\phi_x(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} \langle \sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k)x, x \rangle \sigma(A_1, \dots, A_k).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,x}^* \left( (X_{1,C_1}^2(A_1) + \dots + X_{1,C_k}^2(A_k))^m \right) T_{1,x} &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} T_{1,x}^* \sigma \left( X_{1,C_1}^2(A_1), \dots, X_{1,C_k}^2(A_k) \right) T_{1,x} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(m,k)} T_{1,x}^* \left( \sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k) \otimes \sigma(A_1, \dots, A_k) \right) T_{1,x}. \end{aligned}$$

An argument similar to Part (i) implies that

$$\phi_x(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k) = T_{1,x}^* \left( (X_{1,C_1}^2(A_1) + \dots + X_{1,C_k}^2(A_k))^m \right) T_{1,x}. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  be positive matrices in  $M_n$  and  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Let  $\Phi$  be a positive linear functional on  $M_n$  and

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_k) = \Phi(f(t_1C_1 + t_2C_2 + t_3C_3 + \dots + t_kC_k))$$

be a  $k$  variable continuous function. Then the following statements hold:

- i) If  $f$  is an operator convex function of order  $mn$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $k$  variable operator convex function of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  such that  $m = n_1 \dots n_k$ .
- ii) If  $f$  is an operator monotone function of order  $mn$  and  $m = n_1 \dots n_k$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $k$  variable operator monotone function of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  in the sense of Definition 1.1.
- iii) If  $f$  is an operator monotone function of order  $n^{k+1}$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $k$  variable operator monotone function of order  $n$  in the sense of Agler, McCarthy, Young.

**Proof.** By the Riesz–Fischer theorem [11, Page 13] there exists a positive matrix  $T$  such that  $\Phi(X) = Tr(XT) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle XT^{1/2}e_i, T^{1/2}e_i \rangle$  for each matrix  $X \in M_n$ . As the set of operator monotone functions and the set of operator convex functions are both convex cones, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for functions of the form

$$\phi_x(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_k) = \langle (f(t_1C_1 + t_2C_2 + t_3C_3 + \dots + t_kC_k))x, x \rangle,$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

i) Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  be two  $k$ -tuples of positive matrices of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_x(\lambda A_1 + (1 - \lambda)B_1, \dots, \lambda A_k + (1 - \lambda)B_k) \\ &= T_{k,x}^* (f(X_{1,C_1}^k(\lambda A_1 + (1 - \lambda)B_1) + \dots + X_{k,C_k}^k(\lambda A_k + (1 - \lambda)B_k))) T_{k,x} \\ &= T_{k,x}^* (f(\lambda X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1) + (1 - \lambda)X_{1,C_1}^k(B_1) + \dots + \lambda X_{k,C_k}^k(A_k) + (1 - \lambda)X_{k,C_k}^k(B_k))) T_{k,x} \\ &= T_{k,x}^* (f(\lambda(X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1) + \dots + X_{1,C_1}^k(A_k)) + (1 - \lambda)(X_{1,C_1}^k(B_1) + \dots + X_{k,C_k}^k(B_k)))) T_{k,x} \\ &\leq \lambda T_{k,x}^* (f((X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1) + \dots + X_{1,C_1}^k(A_k)))) T_{k,x} \\ &+ (1 - \lambda)T_{k,x}^* (f(X_{1,C_1}^k(B_1) + \dots + X_{1,C_k}^k(B_k))) T_{k,x} \\ &= \lambda \phi_x(A_1, \dots, A_k) + (1 - \lambda)\phi_x(B_1, \dots, B_k). \end{aligned}$$

ii) Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  be two  $k$ -tuples of positive matrices of order  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  and  $A_i \leq B_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $C_i$  is positive,  $X_{1,C_i}^k$  is a positive linear map and  $X_{1,C_i}^k(A_i) \leq X_{1,C_i}^k(B_i)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(A_1, \dots, A_k) &= T_{k,x}^* (f(X_{1,C_1}^k(A_1) + \dots + X_{k,C_k}^k(A_k))) T_{k,x} \\ &\leq T_{k,x}^* (f(X_{1,C_1}^k(B_1) + \dots + X_{k,C_k}^k(B_k))) T_{k,x} \\ &= \phi_x(B_1, \dots, B_k). \end{aligned}$$

iii) Using Part (ii) of [Lemma 2.1](#) and the same reasoning as in Part (ii) we obtain the result.  $\square$

### 3. Laplace transform of operator decreasing functions

The Bessis–Moussa–Villani conjecture [\[4\]](#) states that for a self adjoint matrix  $A$  and a positive matrix  $B$ , the function  $f(t) = Tr(\exp^{A-tB})$  can be represented as the Laplace transform

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty \exp^{-tx} d\mu(x), \tag{1}$$

for a positive measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \infty)$  [\[4\]](#). This conjecture has attracted a lot of attention. Despite a lot of attempts to prove the conjecture, it remained open until 2012. Eventually, Stahl [\[18\]](#) proved this conjecture. Hansen also got similar results [\[10\]](#).

**Theorem 3.1.** [\[10\]](#) *If  $f$  is a non-negative operator decreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ , then for positive matrices  $A, B$ , the map  $t \rightarrow Tr f(A + tB)$  can be written as the Laplace transform of a positive measure.*



In his proof of this theorem, Hansen employed the theory of the Fréchet differentials and the Bernstein’s theorem highlighting the measure  $\mu$  in (1) exists if and only if  $f$  is completely monotone or  $(-1)^n f^n(t) \geq 0$ , for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $t > 0$ . We can extend Theorem 3.1 as the following form.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be positive operators and  $f$  be an operator decreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ . For any positive linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the function  $\phi(t) = \Phi(f(A + tB))$  is operator decreasing. In particular, if  $f$  is non-negative, then  $\phi$  can be written as the Laplace transform of a positive measure.*

**Proof.** By [3, Theorem 2.3], if  $f$  is an operator decreasing function on the  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $f$  on  $[0, \infty)$  can be represented as

$$f(t) = \alpha + \beta t + \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{\lambda + t} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 1} \right) d\mu(\lambda) \tag{2}$$

where  $\beta \leq 0$  and  $\mu$  is a positive measure on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 1} d\mu(\lambda)$  is finite; see [6, Chapter II]. Since  $\int_0^\infty (\lambda I + A + tB)^{-1} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 1} I d\mu(\lambda)$  exists and  $\Phi$  is a bounded linear functional, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty (\lambda I + A + tB)^{-1} - \frac{\lambda I}{\lambda^2 + 1} d\mu(\lambda) \right) &= \int_0^\infty \Phi \left( (\lambda I + A + tB)^{-1} - \frac{\lambda I}{\lambda^2 + 1} \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \Phi \left( (\lambda I + A + tB)^{-1} \right) - \frac{\lambda \Phi(I)}{\lambda^2 + 1} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\phi(t) = \Phi(f(A + tB)) = \alpha\Phi(I) + \beta\Phi(I)t + \int_0^\infty \Phi \left( (\lambda I + A + tB)^{-1} \right) - \frac{\Phi(I)\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 1} d\mu(\lambda).$$

As the set of operator decreasing functions on  $[0, \infty)$  is a closed cone, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the function  $f_\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + t}$  where  $\lambda > 0$ . Indeed, we show that the function  $\phi(t) = \Phi((\lambda I + A + tB)^{-1})$  is operator decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . First, assume that  $B$  be invertible. Note that  $\Phi_B(X) = \Phi(B^{-\frac{1}{2}} X B^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  is a positive linear functional and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) = \Phi(f_\lambda(A + tB)) &= \Phi((\lambda I + A + tB)^{-1}) \\ &= \Phi \left( B^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\lambda B^{-1} + B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}} + tI)^{-1} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= \Phi_B \left( (\lambda B^{-1} + B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}} + tI)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $H = \lambda B^{-1} + B^{-\frac{1}{2}} AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Note that  $H$  is a positive operator and  $\phi$  has analytic continuation to the whole upper half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(z) > 0\}$  as

$$\phi(z) = \Phi_B \left( (H + zI)^{-1} \right),$$

see [16, Lemma 1.2.4]. Now, if  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $y = \text{Im}(z) > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(\phi(z)) &= \text{Im} \left( \Phi_B \left( (H + (x + iy)I)^{-1} \right) \right) \\ &= \text{Im} \left( \Phi_B \left( (H + (xI + iyI)^{-1} \right) \right) \\ &= \text{Im} \left( \Phi_B \left( (H + xI - iyI) \left( (A + xI)^2 + y^2I \right)^{-1} \right) \right) \\ &= -\Phi_B \left( y \left( (H + xI)^2 + y^2I \right)^{-1} \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is valid. Indeed, because  $\Phi$  is a positive linear functional,  $\Phi(T)$  is real for each self adjoint operator  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Also, as  $y \left( (H + xI)^2 + y^2I \right)^{-1}$  is positive invertible, the last inequality holds. Therefore,  $\phi$  is analytic on  $(0, \infty)$  and can be continued analytically to the whole upper half-plane and represents an analytic function whose imaginary part is negative. Hence, by Löwner’s theorem [14],  $\phi$  is operator decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and, by the continuity, on  $[0, \infty)$ . If  $B$  is an arbitrary positive operator, then  $\phi_n(t) = \Phi \left( (\lambda + A + t(B + \frac{1}{n}))^{-1} \right)$  is operator decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$  for each  $n$ . Since  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  in point-wise convergence topology, by [5, Proposition V.4.2],  $\phi$  is operator decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ .

If  $f$  is non-negative, then  $\phi$  is non-negative and has an integral representation of the form (2). This representation shows that  $\phi$  is an integral sum of functions that have Laplace transform. Therefore,  $\phi$  can be written as the Laplace transform of a positive measure.  $\square$

By replacing  $f$  by  $-f$ , a similar statement can be obtained. However, we can extend it as follows.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be positive matrices in  $M_n$ . Let  $f$  be an  $n^3$  operator monotone (convex) function on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\Phi$  be a positive linear functional on  $M_n$ . Then  $\phi(t) = \Phi(f(A + tB))$  is an  $n$ -monotone (convex) function on  $[0, \infty)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be an  $n^3$  operator monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$ . By Theorem 2.2, the function  $\phi_0(s, t) = \Phi(f(sA + tB))$  of two variables is operator monotone on  $M_n$ . If  $S \leq T$ , then  $(I, S)$  and  $(I, T)$  are 2-tuples of commuting matrices and  $(I, S) \leq (I, T)$ . Therefore,

$$\phi(S) = \phi_0(I, S) \leq \phi_0(I, T) = \phi(T). \quad \square$$

The function  $f(t) = t^p$  is operator increasing for  $0 \leq p \leq 1$  and operator decreasing for  $-1 \leq p \leq 0$ . Therefore, as an example the following corollary can be given.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $A, B$  be positive operators in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $-1 \leq p \leq 1$ . For a positive linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the function  $\phi(t) = \Phi((A + tB)^p)$  is operator increasing if  $0 \leq p \leq 1$  and operator decreasing if  $-1 \leq p \leq 0$ .*

**4. Sub-additivity type inequalities for operator monotone functions**

If  $f$  is a non-negative operator monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $A, B$  are positive operators in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then the inequality

$$f(A + B) \leq f(A) + f(B),$$

does not hold in the general case, for more details, see [15]. In the next corollaries, several sub-additivity type inequalities for operator monotone functions are described.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative operator monotone function of order  $n^3$  for some  $n > 1$ . Let  $A, B$  be positive operators in  $M_n$ . Then*

$$f(A + (s + t)B) \leq f(A + sB) + f(A + tB),$$

for each  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** First note that by [9, Theorem 2.1]  $f$  is a concave function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $\Phi$  be an arbitrary positive linear functional on  $M_n$ . Then  $\phi(x) = \Phi(f(A + xB))$  is a non-negative operator monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Since  $\phi$  is sub-additive on the real line, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f(A + (s + t)B)) &= \phi(s + t) \leq \phi(s) + \phi(t) \\ &= \Phi(f(A + sB) + f(A + tB)) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is arbitrary, we obtain

$$f(A + (s + t)B) \leq f(A + sB) + f(A + tB),$$

for each  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative operator monotone function of order  $n^3$  for some  $n > 1$ . Let  $A, B$  be positive matrices in  $M_n$ . Then*

$$\int_0^1 f(A + \lambda B) \, d\lambda \leq f(A + B) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(A + \lambda B) \, d\lambda.$$

**Proof.** Proposition 4.1 implies that

$$f(A + B) \leq f(A + \lambda B) + f(A + (1 - \lambda)B),$$

for each  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Moreover, since  $A + B \geq A + \lambda B$  we have

$$f(A + \lambda B) \leq f(A + B),$$

for each  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Taking integral with respect to parameter  $\lambda$  and using the equality

$$\int_0^1 f(A + \lambda B) d\lambda = \int_0^1 f(A + (1 - \lambda)B) d\lambda,$$

we conclude the result.  $\square$

Assume that  $f^1[A]$  denotes the Fréchet derivative of  $f$  at  $A$ , in which  $f$  is a differential function on an interval  $I$  and  $A$  is a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues in  $I$ . Our next result reads as follows.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $f \in C^1([0, \infty))$  be an operator monotone function of order  $n^3$  for some  $n > 1$ . If  $A, B \in M_n$  are positive matrices, then*

$$f(A + B) \leq f(A) + f^{[1]}(A) \circ B,$$

where  $\circ$  stands for the Schur-product of two matrices with respect to the basis in which  $A$  can be represented as a diagonal matrix.

**Proof.** By [5, Theorem V.3.3], we have

$$f^{[1]}(A) \circ (B) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(A + tB).$$

Suppose that  $\Phi$  is an arbitrary positive linear functional on  $M_n$ . As  $\phi(t) = \Phi(A + tH)$  is an operator monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$ , so it is concave. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left( f^{[1]}(A) \circ (B) \right) &= \Phi \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(A + tB) \right) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi (f(A + tB)) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi(t) \\ &\geq \phi(1) - \phi(0) \\ &= \Phi (f(A + B) - f(A)). \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we utilize the concavity of  $\phi$ . Since  $\Phi$  is arbitrary, we get

$$f(A + B) \leq f(A) + f^{[1]}(A) \circ B. \quad \square$$

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to sincerely thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and useful suggestions. Also, I wish to acknowledge my gratitude to Mr. Pooya Vahidi for his pertinent comments.

## References

- [1] T. Ando, Square inequality and strong order relation, *Adv. Oper. Theory* 1 (1) (2016) 1–7.
- [2] J. Agler, J.E. McCarthy, N.J. Young, Operator monotone functions and Löwner functions of several variables, *Ann. of Math.* 176 (2012) 1783–1826.
- [3] J. Benda, S. Sherman, Monotone and convex operator functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1955) 58–71.
- [4] D. Bessis, P. Moussa, M. Villani, Monotonic converging variational approximations to the functional integrals in quantum statistical mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* 16 (1975) 2318–2385.
- [5] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [6] W.F. Donoghue, *Monotone Matrix Functions and Analytic Continuation*, Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [7] F. Hansen, Operator convex functions of several variables, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 33 (1997) 443–463.
- [8] F. Hansen, Operator monotone functions of several variables, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 6 (2003) 1–17.
- [9] F. Hansen, The fast track to Löwner’s theorem, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 4557–4571.
- [10] F. Hansen, Trace functions as Laplace transforms, *J. Math. Phys.* 47 (2006) 1–11.
- [11] F. Hiai, D. Petz, *Introduction to Matrix Analysis and Applications*, Springer, 2014.
- [12] A. Koranyi, On some classes of analytic functions of several variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 101 (1961) 520–559.
- [13] E. Lieb, R. Seiringer, Equivalent forms of the Bessis–Moussa–Villani conjecture, *J. Stat. Phys.* 115 (2004) 185–190.
- [14] K. Löwner, Über monotone matrix funktionen, *Math. Z.* 38 (1934) 177–216.
- [15] M.S. Moslehian, H. Najafi, Around operator monotone functions, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 71 (2011) 575–582.
- [16] J.G. Murphy, *Operator Theory and  $C_*$ -Algebras*, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- [17] M. Singh, H.L. Vasudeva, Monotone matrix functions of two variables, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 328 (2001) 131–152.
- [18] R.H. Stahl, Proof of the BMV conjecture, *Acta Math.* 211 (2013) 255–290.
- [19] H. Vasudeva, On monotone matrix functions of two variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 176 (1973) 303–318.
- [20] Z. Zhang, Some operator convex functions of several variables, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 463 (2014) 1–9.