Release of Proteolysis Products with ACE-Inhibitory and Antioxidant Activities in Probiotic Yogurt Containing Different Levels of Fat and Prebiotics

Mohammad B. Habibi Najafi, Saeideh Sadat Fatemizadeh & Maryam Tavakoli

International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics formerly known as "Letters in Peptide Science"

ISSN 1573-3149 Volume 25 Number 1

Int J Pept Res Ther (2019) 25:367-377 DOI 10.1007/s10989-018-9679-8





Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to selfarchive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics (2019) 25:367–377 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10989-018-9679-8



Release of Proteolysis Products with ACE-Inhibitory and Antioxidant Activities in Probiotic Yogurt Containing Different Levels of Fat and Prebiotics

Mohammad B. Habibi Najafi¹ · Saeideh Sadat Fatemizadeh¹ · Maryam Tavakoli¹

Accepted: 28 January 2018 / Published online: 12 February 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

In this study, the effects of prebiotic ingredients (inulin and wheat fiber) and fat percentage (0, 2 and 3.5%) on physicochemical properties, degree of proteolysis, antioxidant and ACE-inhibitory activity within 21 days storage of yogurt containing probiotic *Lactobacillus casei* at 5 ± 1 °C were evaluated. The results of statistical analysis showed that the application of prebiotics led to a significant increase in water-holding capacity, proteolysis and ACE-inhibitory activity of samples. The degree of proteolysis in yogurt increased as storage time elapsed (P < 0.05) but when proteolysis exceeded a certain threshold, this trend began to decline. Also, during storage time, water holding capacity reduced initially but increased thereafter. Moreover, based on our findings, the survival of *L. casei*, in samples treated with inulin and wheat fiber, increased significantly as compared to the control sample (P < 0.05) whereas the fat percentage did not reflect significant (P < 0.05) effect on the survival of probiotic bacteria. Furthermore, prebiotic ingredients and the presence of probiotic cultures had significant (P < 0.05) effect on the antioxidant activity of samples.

Keywords Probiotic yogurt · Proteolysis · Antioxidant activity · ACE-inhibitory

Introduction

Functional foods have recently attracted special attention due to the increased awareness of the health benefits attributed to these products (Kumar et al. 2009; Peshev and van den Ende 2014). Tendency to consume functional foods does not only raise the level of public health but it also facilitates the development and expansion of the food industry (Burgain et al. 2011). In recent years, the consumption of nutraceuticals, that includes foods containing probiotic bacteria, has come up (Martin 2001; Rajasekaran et al. 2008). Probiotics have functional characteristics, and their ingestion is a way to restore and rehabilitate the gut microbiota (Aureli et al. 2011; Kumar et al. 2016). Beneficial effects of probiotics include control of lactose intolerance symptom (EFSA 2010; Vonk et al. 2012), suppression and treatment of cancer (Kumar et al. 2010; Serban 2013), decline in serum cholesterol level (Mohania et al. 2013), reduction in the adverse effects of antibiotics (Rastall et al. 2005), prevention of intestinal infection by producing organic acids and antibacterial agents (Bron et al. 2017), and enhancement of the immune system (de Morais 2016). To be termed as probiotic food, the number of viable probiotics must be at least about 10^6 (Shah 2007), 10^7 or 10^8 cfu g⁻¹ in the product at the time of consumption (Lourens-Hattingh and Viljoen 2001). Nevertheless, several studies suggest that although the presence of a certain number of live bacteria during storage and consumption of a foodstuff is a necessary condition (FAO/ WHO 2002), it is not enough to prove the health benefits of the product (Bertazzoni et al. 2013). Probiotic effects attributed to lactic acid bacteria and fermented dairy products develop not only from the whole of microorganisms and their cell wall components, but also from such metabolites as peptides and extracellular polysaccharides produced during fermentation (Stanton et al. 2005; Gallego and Salminen 2016; Villena and Kitazawa 2017). Therefore, metabolites produced by probiotic microorganisms during the fermentation process should be subjected to scrutiny, which helps

Mohammad B. Habibi Najafi habibi@um.ac.ir

¹ Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 91775-1163, Mashhad, Iran

verify the health benefits of the product and also select the probiotic strain.

Among probiotics, lactobacilli have been widely used in dairy products and are considered noteworthy because of their health benefits (Evivie et al. 2017; Markowiak and Śliżewska 2017). Lactobacillus casei has been added to milk as a probiotic and starter, improving nutritional and technological properties of the final fermented product (Matsuzaki 2003; Minelli et al. 2004). Adequate intake of antioxidants presents a feasible solution to control further harmful effects of cardiovascular diseases (Amiri and Amiri 2017; Varadharaj et al. 2017). Aging too, gradually reduces cellular and plasma antioxidant capacity (Okoduwa et al. 2015), as well as the absorption of nutrients and antioxidants, leading to increased vulnerability of proteins to free radical attack (Pandey et al. 2010). In addition, factors such as environmental pollutants, fatigue, extra calories and fatty diets can weaken the immune system, leaving the body vulnerable to oxidative attack (Gamon and Wille 2016). It has been found that following a diet containing antioxidant compounds is a practical and effective measure in order to maintain physical health (Elmadfa and Meyer 2008; Power-Grant et al. 2016). Furthermore, hypertension is a major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (Franklin and Wong 2013). In most cases, inhibitors of the angiotensin-I-converting enzyme (ACE; EC 3.4.15.1) are used to control blood pressure in the renin-angiotensin system (Ribeill 2013). ACE is a multifunctional enzyme that also catalyzes the degradation of bradykinin, a vasodilator (Gobbetti et al. 2004; Murray and FitzGerald 2007). Because ACE has crucial functions in the regulation of blood pressure, the inhibition of this enzyme has been used to treat hypertension (Coppey et al. 2006). In fact; synthetic ACE inhibitors are broadly used for the treatment of cardiovascular and renal diseases (Pfeffer and Frohlich 2006). However, due to the side effects caused by the clinical use of ACE inhibitors (Sica 2004; Flattery and Sica 2007; Thompson et al. 2011), research on novel, natural product-based ACE inhibitors could noticeably improve the health condition of hypertensive patients.

Proteolysis happens as a result of proteolytic activity of lactic acid bacteria in yogurt (Hati et al. 2017). Bacterial cell-wall-bound proteinases and their intracellular peptidases are responsible for the hydrolysis of proteins (Liu et al. 2010; Mann et al. 2017). Proteolysis of milk proteins increases the release rate of amino acids and small peptides (Gonzalez-Gonzalez et al. 2011). Studies that investigate the health effects of biologically active peptides apply them in two different forms: either as hydrolysates of precursor proteins or as bioactive peptides (Li-Chan 2015). Bioactive peptides are studied as protein components that are in inactive state within the structure of the protein and exert several physicochemical functions after release by suitable release mechanism, for instance during gastrointestinal digestion or during

International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics (2019) 25:367–377

food processing (Behera et al. 2013). The released peptides usually have 2-20 amino acids (Meisel and Fitzgerald 2003) and molecular masses of less than 6000 Da (Sun et al. 2004). Amino acid structures of peptides and their sequences influence biological activity (Pihlanto-Leppälä 2001). Based on their structural characteristics and amino acid composition, bioactive peptides demonstrate numerous functions such as trace element inhibition, immune system enhancement, cholesterol level reduction, as well as antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antihypertensive activity (Power et al. 2012; Fekete et al. 2015; Hsieh et al. 2015; Nongonierma and FitzGerald 2015; Park and Nam 2015). The presence of bioactive peptides has been studied in fermented milk products such as yogurt (Papadimitriou et al. 2007; Kunda et al. 2012), sour milk (FitzGerald et al. 2004) and Dahi (Ashar and Chand 2004; Mohania et al. 2013). ACE-inhibitory, immune regulatory and opioid peptides have been observed in yogurt and fermented milk containing the starter L. casei subsp rhamnosus (Rokka et al. 1997). Several peptides have been found that manifest multiple functions (Donkor et al. 2007a; Sarmadi and Ismail 2010). The antioxidant capacity of casein hydrolysates produced through protein hydrolysis by enzymes is attributed to multiple effects. Some of these features include the ability to eliminate free radicals, act as chelating agents, oxygen scavengers or hydrogen donors and prevent lipid oxidation initiators from penetrating by forming a layer around oil droplets (Hernandez-Ledesma et al. 2011; Zhao et al. 2014; Cheng et al. 2015; O'Loughlin et al. 2015).

Therefore, since proteolysis products in potential salubrious functional foods are considered as natural alternatives to synthetic ACE-inhibitor drugs, and in view of the special significance of dairy products, especially yogurt, in delivering probiotic bacteria, this study was conducted with the aim of investigating the effect of different treatments on the release of proteolysis products which represent antioxidant and ACE-inhibitory activities in probiotic yogurt.

Materials and Methods

Materials and Equipment

The following consumables were used in this study: skim milk powder (Golshad Mashhad Food Industries Co.), commercial yogurt starter (mixed culture of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subspecies *bulgaricus*, DSM, Australia), probiotic adjunct starter *L. casei* LAFTI-L26 (DSM, Australia), MRS agar (Merck, Germany), vancomycin, DPPH and OPA reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), methanol (HPLC grade, Merck, Germany), sodium-tetraborate (Merck, Germany), sodium dodecyl sulfate (Merck, Germany), β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), inulin (Orafti, Belgium) and wheat fiber (DMV, The Netherlands). Furthermore, the following equipment was used: analytical microbalance (0.0001 g, Sartorius, Germany), incubator (Memmert, Germany), autoclave (GMBH, Germany), refrigerated centrifuge (Sigma, Germany), spectrophotometer (Agilent, USA), pH meter (Metrohm, Switzerland), colony counter (Japan) and water bath (Memmert, Germany).

Methods

Preparation of Probiotic Yogurt

Reconstituted milk was used for the preparation of yogurt. In order to produce reconstituted milk, calculations based on fat and protein contents of skim milk powder (SMP) were implemented and finally three types of milk with different fat percentages (non-fat, medium-fat, and high-fat) were prepared. Appropriate amounts of SMP were weighed and dissolved in deionized water, and the total solid was set on 12%. Table 1 shows the composition of the dried milk used for yogurt preparation.

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the prepared milk samples, which were analyzed by reference methods. The reconstitution ratios for yogurt preparation were as follows: 120 g of skim milk powder (0.05% fat) + 880 ml ofwater for non-fat milk, and 120 g of dried full fat milk (31% fat) + 880 ml of water for high-fat milk. As for medium-fat milk, 52 g of skim milk powder was mixed with 68 g of full fat milk powder, followed by the addition of 880 ml of distilled water. All these ratios were calculated using the Pearson's square method; since the protein content in both samples of full-fat and skim milk powder was the same (34%), the protein content of all three reconstituted milk samples are almost equal. Inulin and wheat fiber were then added to the reconstituted milk in the predetermined ratios. The mixture was pasteurized at 85 °C for 30 min. Pasteurized milk was cooled to 43-42 °C and the mixture was well stirred after the addition of yogurt starter (mixed culture of S. thermophilus and L. delbrueckii subspecies bulgaricus) (CODEX standard for fermented milks 2010) and the probiotic bacterium L. casei as an adjunct culture. Finally, 100 ml sterilized cups were filled with the mix, incubated at 43-42 °C, and regularly controlled until reaching pH 4.6. After incubation, the samples were immediately cooled to 10 °C, transferred to cold storage at 4 °C, and stored at the mentioned temperature for 21 days. In general, 9 treatments were considered for each prebiotic ingredient wherein treatments 1, 2 and 3 refer to non-fat samples containing 0, 0.3 and 0.5% prebiotics, treatments 4, 5 and 6 pertain to medium-fat samples containing 0, 0.3 and 0.5% prebiotics, and treatments 7, 8 and 9 relate to high fat samples containing 0, 0.3 and 0.5% prebiotics.

Determination of Proteolytic Activity (Using the o-phthaldialdehyde Method)

Analysis of the proteolysis process was conducted in three stages.

The first step (preparation of yogurt extract): Firstly, 10 g of yogurt sample was mixed with 2.5 ml of distilled water. The pH was adjusted to 4.0 using 0.1 M hydrochloric acid, and incubated in a water bath at 45 °C for 10 min. The sample was then centrifuged at 4 °C in 5000×g for 10 min (Ultracentrifuge, Sigma, 3–30 K). pH of the isolated serum was adjusted to 7 with 0.1M NaOH, and centrifuged again under the same conditions. The separated serum was stored at – 20 °C (Amirdivani and Salihin Baba 2011).

The second step (preparation of OPA reagent according to Church et al. 1983): 25 ml of 100 mM sodium-tetraborate, 2.5 ml of sodium dodecyl sulfate 20% (w/w), 40 mg of OPA (dissolved in 1 ml of methanol) and 100 µl of β-mercaptoethanol were mixed and diluted to a final volume of 50 ml with distilled water. The solutes were dissolved using an ultrasonic bath (50 Hz).OPA reagent should be prepared and used within 2 h (Church et al. 1983).

The third step (measurement of absorbance): 150 µl of yogurt extract was added to 3 ml of OPA reagent in 5 ml

Table 1 Composition of the								
dried milk used for vogurt		Fat (%)	Moisture (%)	Acidity (%)	Protein (%)	pН	Total plate count	Coliform
preparation	Full-fat dried milk	30	4.88	1.31	34.03	6.59	5000	<10
	Skim milk powder	0.5	4.75	1.44	34.03	6.52	5000	<10
Table 2 Composition of reconstituted milk samples								
Table 2 Composition of reconstituted milk samples	Type of milk	Fat (%)	Protein (%)	Acidity (%) pH	To	otal plate count	Coliform
Table 2 Composition of reconstituted milk samples	Type of milk	Fat (%) 0.5±0.1	Protein (%) 4.6	Acidity (%) pH 6.59		otal plate count	Coliform <10
Table 2 Composition of reconstituted milk samples	Type of milk Non-fat Medium-fat	Fat (%) 0.5 ± 0.1 2 ± 0.2	Protein (%) 4.6 4.61	Acidity (1.44 1.5	%) pH 6.59 6.5	To < <	otal plate count 5000 5000	Coliform <10 <10

 $SD \pm$ standard deviation

quartz cells and incubated at ambient temperature (25 $^{\circ}$ C) for 2 min. Absorbance was measured with a spectrophotometer at 340 nm. As control, distilled water was used instead of the sample. The degree of proteolysis was measured based on the amount of peptide and free amino acid absorbance measured at 340 nm (Gonzalez-Gonzalez et al. 2011; Church et al. 1983; Nielsen et al. 2001).

Measurement of Antioxidant Activity

Twenty grams of yogurt sample was mixed with 5 ml of distilled water and centrifuged at $5000 \times g$. pH of the supernatant was adjusted to 4.0, it was then centrifuged again, and the pH was adjusted to 7. This solution was used to determine the antioxidant activity according to free radical scavenging method (DPPH) (Blois 1958).

Determination of ACE-Inhibitory Activity

According to the method described by Cushman and Cheung (1971) with some modifications, 25 g of yogurt sample was centrifuged at 4000×g for 15 min at 4 °C.The pH of the supernatant was then adjusted to 8.3 with 10 M NaOH. Following the procedures explained by these authors, ACE-inhibitory activity of the obtained peptides was measured using a spectrophotometer (Cushman and Cheung 1971; Donkor et al. 2005).

Water Holding Capacity (WHC)

In order to determine the water holding capacity of the samples, 30 g of yogurt (Y) was weighed in centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 222×g at 4 °C for 10 min. The layer on the top (W) was removed and weighed. Water holding capacity

Table 3 pH values of yogurt samples during storage time

International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics (2019) 25:367–377
--

was reported as the ratio of the top layer to the initial yogurt weight: (Donkor et al. 2007a).

WHC = $(Y - W)/Y \times 100$

Probiotic Lactobacillus casei Count

Five grams of yogurt sample was weighed in sterile conditions and mixed well with 45 ml of 0.1% sterile peptone water. Serial dilutions were prepared by adding 1 ml of each dilution to 9 ml of sterile peptone water, which were then cultured on pour plates in MRS agar containing 10 mg/l vancomycin. Incubation was performed in anaerobic conditions at 37 °C for 72 h and the plates containing 30–300 colonies were counted (Ravula and Shah 1998).

Statistical Analysis

In order to evaluate the effect of the added variables on the properties under study, experiments involving yogurt production were triplicate. The average rating of the three values was calculated for each sample (n = 3). One-way ANOVA was used to analyze the data following a general linear model in SPSS.14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significance level was set at p < 0.05 to make comparisons between the means and obtain the standard deviation using Duncan test, and the graphs were drawn by the software Microsoft EXCEL (version 2013).

Results and Discussion

Changes in pH

Table 3 indicates the changes in pH of yogurt samples in different formulae and at different storage times. As expected,

Treatments	pH								
	Samples containing wheat fiber				Samples containing inulin				
	Day 1	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21	Day 1	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21	
1	4.37 ± 0.01	4.3 ± 0.01	4.27 ± 0.00	4.28 ± 0.00	4.37 ± 0.01	4.34 ± 0.04	4.31 ± 0.08	4.3 ± 0.01	
2	4.33 ± 0.01	4.36 ± 0.00	4.23 ± 0.01	4.23 ± 0.00	4.29 ± 0.01	4.25 ± 0.09	4.21 ± 0.07	4.2 ± 0.02	
3	4.3 ± 0.00	4.29 ± 0.02	4.25 ± 0.01	4.24 ± 0.02	4.25 ± 0.05	4.2 ± 0.03	4.17 ± 0.01	4.18 ± 0.01	
4	4.43 ± 0.05	4.4 ± 0.08	4.37 ± 0.01	4.36 ± 0.01	4.33 ± 0.02	4.3 ± 0.00	4.25 ± 0.02	4.25 ± 0.02	
5	4.41 ± 0.01	4.38 ± 0.05	4.32 ± 0.02	4.31 ± 0.07	4.34 ± 0.01	4.3 ± 0.02	4.28 ± 0.00	4.27 ± 0.00	
6	4.39 ± 0.00	4.35 ± 0.07	4.31 ± 0.06	4.3 ± 0.05	4.31 ± 0.00	4.28 ± 0.03	4.23 ± 0.01	4.21 ± 0.01	
7	4.45 ± 0.07	4.41 ± 0.06	4.37 ± 0.05	4.36 ± 0.09	4.41 ± 0.00	4.37 ± 0.01	4.3 ± 0.05	4.28 ± 0.01	
8	4.42 ± 0.05	4.39 ± 0.05	4.35 ± 0.03	4.34 ± 0.01	4.38 ± 0.01	4.34 ± 0.02	4.33 ± 0.01	4.3 ± 0.11	
9	4.4 ± 0.01	4.36 ± 0.02	4.3 ± 0.06	4.31 ± 0.00	4.35 ± 0.00	4.31 ± 0.00	4.28 ± 0.01	4.29 ± 0.01	

Mean ± standard deviation of duplicate tests are reported

pH of the samples decreased during storage due to post acidification. pH decrease can be attributed to the residual activity of microorganisms (Mani-López et al. 2014). *L. casei* and yogurt starter bacteria have proteolytic features (Shihata and Shah 2000; Donkor et al. 2007b). Donkor et al. (2006) reported that *L. casei* L26 showed the highest proteolytic activity compared to 6 other LAB species (Donkor et al. 2006).

According to Germani et al. (2014), the proteolytic activity of bacteria continues in yogurt for the whole duration of the storage (Germani et al. 2014). Lactic acid bacteria exhibit an enormous demand for peptides and amino acids (Shihata and Shah 2000; Velez-Ruiz et al. 2013). The metabolic activity of lactic mixed cultures caused the formation of lactic acid and pH reduction through the storage (Vinderola et al. 2000). Modest increase or no change in pH at the end of the storage period may be due to a rise in free amino acid groups (Donkor et al. 2006).

Changes in pH with an increase in fat content in yogurt samples were significantly different (P < 0.05) such that the pH of yogurt samples with a higher fat percentage showed slight change and declined less within 21 days, probably due to the higher inhibitory effect of yogurts with high-fat contents on probiotic cultures than those with reduced fat (Cumby et al. 2008).

Water Holding Capacity

The values obtained for water-holding capacity of different samples containing different percentages of fat content and prebiotic ingredients are reported in Table 4. According to Niamah et al. (2016), water holding capacity is among the most important parameters in physical analysis of yogurt, which has an inverse correlation with susceptibility to syneresis and whey drainage. Therefore, WHC was analyzed in this study since it represents the physiochemical properties of the product. As expected, there was a significant increase in water-holding capacity of the samples with a rise in the percentage of fat content and prebiotic ingredients (P < 0.05). Also, the combination of prebiotic ingredients had a significant effect on water-holding capacity (P < 0.05) such that water-holding capacity of the samples containing wheat fiber was higher than that of inulin-containing samples.

Similar results in literature review were observed for the water-holding capacity. Over time, free amino acids and short-chain polypeptides, which are hydrophilic and enhance water-holding capacity, are produced with protein hydrolysis (Remeuf et al. 2003). However, protein denaturation may affect water-holding capacity positively (Sodini et al. 2006) or inversely (Parnell-Clunies et al. 1986). Remeuf et al. (2003) stated that milk heating had an effect on the WHC of yogurts; when milk was enriched with WPC, heating led to a high level of cross-linking within the gel network, which enhanced yogurt viscosity and water-holding capacity (Remeuf et al. 2003). According to Sodini et al. (2006), the lower the denaturation of protein in WPC, the higher the water-holding capacity of the WPC-fortified yogurt (Sodini et al. 2006). On the other hand, Parnell-Clunies et al. (1986) concluded that high levels of whey protein denaturation in milk were not necessarily associated with an improved water-holding capacity in yogurt (Parnell-Clunies et al. 1986; Donkor et al. 2007c).

Survival of Lactobacillus casei

Figures 1 and 2 show the number of probiotic microorganisms during storage time. In all the cases, a decreasing trend emerged in the number of probiotic *L. casei* until day 14th of storage. The decreasing trend in all the samples

 Table 4
 Water-holding capacity values of yogurt samples during storage time

Treatments	WHC								
	Samples containing wheat fiber				Samples containing inulin				
	Day 1	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21	Day 1	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21	
1	35.45 ± 0.21	37.64 ± 0.1	42.37 ± 0.14	40.17 ± 0.13	33.5 ± 0.41	34.5 ± 0.34	40.38 ± 0.25	38.71 ± 0.00	
2	43.41 ± 0.23	44.5 ± 0.00	47.35 ± 0.11	44.3 ± 0.12	41.38 ± 0.00	42.51 ± 0.00	45.38 ± 0.17	42.31 ± 0.13	
3	43.54 ± 0.00	43.35 ± 0.22	45.28 ± 0.00	42.2 ± 0.25	40.55 ± 0.27	41.38 ± 0.25	44.22 ± 0.14	41.2 ± 0.17	
4	37.81 ± 0.25	51.25 ± 0.18	54.28 ± 0.41	52.23 ± 0.18	35.38 ± 0.00	50 ± 0.41	52.39 ± 0.32	50.25 ± 0.22	
5	47.49 ± 0.26	55.32 ± 0.15	55.87 ± 0.13	51.24 ± 0.00	45.94 ± 0.00	54.63 ± 0.00	54.95 ± 0.14	52.58 ± 0.10	
6	47.9 ± 0.10	55.34 ± 0.17	56.41 ± 0.06	54.28 ± 0.05	45.84 ± 0.20	54.68 ± 0.13	54.73 ± 0.00	52.43 ± 0.25	
7	52.31 ± 0.07	60.31 ± 0.06	60.87 ± 0.05	57.38 ± 0.09	49.21 ± 0.00	57.23 ± 0.01	59.34 ± 0.05	57.24 ± 0.01	
8	53.05 ± 0.35	61.54 ± 0.00	62.34 ± 0.13	60.18 ± 0.11	49.45 ± 0.13	58.53 ± 0.12	59.83 ± 0.43	58.34 ± 0.14	
9	58.23 ± 0.23	61.4 ± 0.42	59.54 ± 0.46	52.85 ± 0.00	49.38 ± 0.10	58.28 ± 0.13	58.73 ± 0.25	58.18 ± 0.14	

Mean ± standard deviation of duplicate tests are reported

showed a significant difference at alpha level of 5 percent within 14 days (P < 0.05). Nevertheless, from day 14 to 21 of storage, a slight increase was observed in the number of probiotic L. casei. Yogurt starter cultures and probiotic L. casei produce extra- and intracellular enzymes that are able to generate biologically active peptides (Farnworth and Champagne 2016) and hydrolyze bradykinin (Ramachandran and Shah 2010). Donkor et al. (2006) reported a significant improvement of proteolytic activity by probiotic organisms in the presence of selected prebiotics (Donkor et al. 2006). The growth of probiotic bacteria was observed highest in samples supplemented with a higher percentage of prebiotics and at the end of the storage period. Apparently, proteolysis provides the essential growth factors as peptides and amino acids for improved growth and survival of probiotic bacteria in products (Nielsen et al. 2009). Nielsen et al. (2009) also demonstrated that proteases are active during refrigerated storage (Nielsen et al. 2009). Ramachandran and Shah (2010) reported that yogurt containing L. casei and inulin achieved a high degree of proteolysis (Ramachandran et al. 2010).

Proteolysis of Samples During Storage Time

Figures 3 and 4 are concerned with the proteolysis of samples over storage time. As expected, proteolysis levels increased for all samples during the storage period, representing proteolytic activity of lactic acid bacteria during the storage period (Yuksel and Erdem 2010). Probiotic vogurt containing a higher percentage of prebiotics compared to other samples had higher levels of free amino acids. The results were consistent with the findings of Nielsen et al. (2001) and Leclerc et al. (2002) reporting an increase in the amounts of free amino groups during fermentation time (Nielsen et al. 2001; Leclerc et al. 2002). Donkor et al. (2006) and Yuksel and Erdem (2010) also achieved similar results about the dependence of proteolysis levels on the nutrients available to proteolytic microorganisms (Donkor et al. 2006; Yuksel and Erdem 2010). The elevated proteolysis may induce improved survival of probiotic microorganisms (Donkor et al. 2006).



Days: ■1 ■7 ■14 ■21

inulin during storage time

Fig. 1 Total count of probiotic *L. casei* in samples containing

Fig. 2 Total count of probiotic *L. casei* in samples containing wheat fiber during storage time

Fig. 3 Degree of proteolysis in samples containing inulin (absorption at 340 nm)

Author's personal copy

International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics (2019) 25:367–377

Fig. 4 Degree of proteolysis levels in samples containing wheat fiber (absorption at 340 nm)



Fig. 6 Antioxidant activity of peptides derived from proteoly-

Fig. 5 Antioxidant activity of

peptides derived from proteoly-

sis in yogurt containing inulin

sis in yogurt containing wheat fiber

Fig. 7 ACE-inhibitory percentage of peptides derived from proteolysis in yogurt containing inulin

Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity of Proteolysis Products in Yogurt Sample

Examining the percentage of DPPH radical scavenging as a criterion of antioxidant activity of protein hydrolysates derived from bacterial activity in Figs. 5 and 6 reveals that antioxidant activity increased in all the samples during the first 14 days, whereas this property decreased by an increase in the rate of hydrolysis until day 21. This reduction is probably due to higher hydrolysis and cleavage in regions of bioactive peptides that have antioxidant activity.

Evaluation of ACE-Inhibitory Potential of Proteolysis Products in Yogurt Sample

Figures 7 and 8 address the percentage ACE-inhibition values of peptides derived from proteolysis in yogurt samples containing inulin and wheat fiber. As can be seen from the results, as a consequence of casein and whey protein hydrolysis (Kunji et al. 1996), a rising trend was initially observed in ACE-inhibitory activity, but over time and upon achieving maximum inhibition ability achieved in the current study (more than 45%), this activity decreased, which is attributed

373

Fig. 8 ACE-inhibitory percentage of peptides derived from proteolysis in yogurt containing wheat fiber



to the possibility of cleavage of N-terminal amino acids from a wide range of peptides with ACE-inhibitory effect during long hydrolysis time periods, as a result of which the inhibitory activity decreased (Hata et al. 1996).

Hata et al. (1996) suggested that lactic acid-producing bacteria have the potential to prevent cardiovascular disease in individuals with high blood pressure (Hata et al. 1996). Our findings indicate that lactic acid-producing bacteria account for ACE inhibitory activity. Similarly, according to data published by Donkor et al. (2007a), ACE inhibition reached a high on day 14, indicating further proteolytic modification of inactive peptides or release of new peptides from caseins (Donkor et al. 2007a). They also reported that ACE inhibition of peptides in probiotic yogurt declined in the last week of storage.

Our results suggested that ACE-inhibitory activities were influenced by fat content. Higher ACE inhibition was achieved from non-fat yogurt samples than from samples with higher fat contents, which were associated with a decrease in ACE-inhibitory activity. Such correlation was in agreement with the findings of a study on the effects of fat content on ACE inhibitory activity of probiotic yogurt (Shakerian et al. 2015).

Conclusion

The findings of this study showed that there was a significant difference in proteolysis levels between different probiotic yogurt samples containing prebiotic ingredients and the control sample (P < 0.05). Syneresis was lower in samples containing higher percentages of prebiotic compounds. Furthermore, during storage for 14 days, an increase occurred in proteolysis levels, and consequently in antioxidant and ACEinhibitory properties of yogurts containing prebiotic ingredients compared to the control sample (P < 0.05). Antioxidant and ACE-inhibitory activity levels of non-fat yogurt samples exceeded those of medium-fat and high fat samples. Also, according to our findings, although the viability of L. casei decreased during storage time, it significantly increased in samples treated with inulin and wheat fiber compared to the control sample ($P \ge 0.05$). The effect of increased proteolysis on enhanced antioxidant and ACE-inhibitory activity of yogurt reveals a new aspect of the functionality of probiotic dairy products.

Acknowledgements This work was financially supported by Ferdowsi University of Mashhad-Research Affairs (Contract No. 32051).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Research Involving Human and Animal Participants This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- Amirdivani SH, Salihin Baba A (2011) Change in yogurt fermentation characteristic and antioxidant potential and in vivo inhibition of angiotensin-1 converting enzyme upon the inclusion of peppermint, dill and basil. Food Sci Technol 44:1458–1464
- Amiri A, Amiri A (2017) Antioxidants and heart disease; current knowledge. Ann Res Antioxid 2(1):e03
- Ashar MN, Chand R (2004) Fermented milk containing ACE-inhibitory peptides reduces blood pressure in middle aged hypertensive subjects. Milchwissenschaft 59:363–366
- Aureli P, Capurso L, Castellazzi AM, Clerici M, Giovannini M, Morelli L, Poli A, Pregliasco F, Salvini F, Zuccotti GV (2011) Probiotics and health: an evidence-based review. Pharmacol Res 63:366–376
- Behera P, Kumar R, Sandeep IVR, Kapila R, Dang AK, Kapila S (2013) Casein hydrolysates enhance osteoblast proliferation and differentiation in mouse bone marrow culture. Food Biosci 2:24–30
- Bertazzoni E, Donelli G, Midtvedt T, Nicoli J, Sanz Y (2013) Probiotics and clinical effects: is the number what counts? J Chemother 25(4):193–212
- Blois MS (1958) Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. Nature 26:1199–1200
- Bron PA, Kleerebezem M, Brummer RJ, Cani PD, Mercenier A, Mac-Donald TT, Garcia-Ródenas CL, Wells JM (2017) Can probiotics modulate human disease by impacting intestinal barrier function? Br J Nutr 117:93–107
- Burgain J, Gaiani C, Linder M, Scher J (2011) Encapsulation of probiotic living cells: from laboratory scale to industrial applications. J Food Eng 104:467–483
- Cheng X, Gao DX, Song JJ, Ren FZ, Mao XY (2015) Casein glycomacropeptide hydrolysate exerts cytoprotection against H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress in RAW 264.7 macrophages

International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics (2019) 25:367–377

via ROS-dependent heme oxygenase-1 expression. RSC Adv 5:4511-4523

- Church FC, Swaisgood HE, Porter DH, Catignani GL (1983) Spectrophotometric assay using o-phthaldialdehyde for determination of proteolysis in milk and isolated milk proteins. J Dairy Sci 66:1219–1227
- CODEX standard for fermented milks (2010) Milk and milk products (2nd edn). CODEX STAN 243. Adopted in 2003. Revision 2008, 2010
- Coppey LJ, Davidson EP, Rinehart TW, Gellett JS, Oltman CL, Lund DD, Yorek MA (2006) ACE inhibitor or Angiotensin II receptor antagonist attenuates diabetic neuropathy in Streptozotocininduced diabetic rats. Diabetes 55:341–348
- Cumby N, Zhong Y, Naczk M, Shahidi F (2008) Antioxidant activity and water-holding capacity of canola protein hydrolysates. Food Chem 109:144–148
- Cushman DW, Cheung HS (1971) Spectrophotometric assay and properties of the angiotensin-converting enzyme of rabbit lung. Biochem Pharmacol 20:1637–1648
- de Morais EC (2016) Prebiotic addition in dairy products: processing and health benefits. In: Watson RR, Preedy VR (eds) Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics—bioactive foods in health promotion. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Donkor ON, Henriksson A, Vasiljevic T, Shah NP (2005) Probiotic strains as starter cultures improve angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity in soy yoghurt. J Food Sci 70:M375–M381
- Donkor ON, Nilmini SLI, Stolic P, Vasiljevic T, Shah NP (2006) Survival and activity of selected probiotic organisms in set-type yoghurt during cold storage. Int Dairy J 17:657–665
- Donkor ON, Henriksson A, Singh TK, Vasilijevic T, Shah NP (2007a) ACE-inhibitory activity of probiotic yoghurt. Int Dairy J 17:1321–1331
- Donkor ON, Henriksson A, Vasiljevic T, Shah NP (2007b) α-Galactosidase and proteolytic activities of selected probiotic and dairy cultures in fermented soymilk. Food Chem 104(1):10–20
- Donkor ON, Henriksson A, Vasiljevic T, Shah NP (2007c) Proteolytic activity of dairy lactic acid bacteria and probiotics as determinant of growth and in vitro angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity in fermented milk. Le Lait. INRA Ed 87(1):21–38
- EFSA (2010) Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to live yoghurt cultures and improved lactose digestion (ID 1143, 2976) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA J 8(10):1763
- Elmadfa I, Meyer A (2008) Body composition, changing physiological functions and nutrient requirements of the elderly. Ann Nutr Metab 52:2–5
- Evivie SE, Huo GC, Igene JO, Bian X (2017) Some current applications, limitations and future perspectives of lactic acid bacteria as probiotics. Food Nutr Res 61:1–16
- FAO/WHO (2002) Report of a joint FAO/WHO working group report on drafting guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food. FAO/WHO, London
- Farnworth ER, Champagne CP (2016) Production of probiotic cultures and their incorporation into foods. In: Watson RR, Preedy VR (eds) Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics—bioactive foods in health promotion. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Fekete AA, Givens DI, Lovegrove JA (2015) Casein-derived lactotripeptides reduce systolic and diastolic blood pressure in a metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. Nutrients 7:659–681
- FitzGerald RJ, Murray BA, Walsh DJ (2004) Hypotensive peptides from milk proteins. J Nutr 134:980S–988S
- Flattery MP, Sica DA (2007) Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor-related angioedema: recognition and treatment. Prog Cardiovasc Nurs 22:47–51

- Franklin SS, Wong ND (2013) Hypertension and cardiovascular disease: contributions of the framingham heart study. Global Heart 8(1):49–57
- Gallego CG, Salminen S (2016) Novel probiotics and prebiotics: how can they help in human gut microbiota dysbiosis? Appl Food Biotechnol 3(2):72–81
- Gamon LF, Wille U (2016) Oxidative damage of biomolecules by the environmental pollutants NO_2^{\bullet} and NO_3^{\bullet} . Acc Chem Res 49:2136–2145
- Germani A, Luneia R, Nigro F, Vitiello V, Donini LM, del Balzo V (2014) The yogurt amino acid profile's variation during the shelflife. Annali di Igiene 26(3):205–212
- Gobbetti M, Minervini F, Rizzello CG (2004) Angiotensin I-converting-enzyme-inhibitory and antimicrobial bioactive peptides. Int J Dairy Technol 57:172–188
- Gonzalez-Gonzalez CR, Tuohy KM, Jauregi P (2011) Production of angiotensin-I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity in milk fermented with probiotic strains: effects of calcium, pH and peptides on the ACE-inhibitory activity. Int Dairy J 21:615–622
- Hata Y, Yamamoto M, Ohni M, Nakajima K, Nakamura Y, Takano T (1996) A placebo-controlled study of the effect of sour milk on blood pressure in hypertensive subjects. Am J Clin Nutr 64:767–771
- Hati S, Patel N, Sakure A, Mandal S (2017) Influence of whey protein concentrate on the production of antibacterial peptides derived from fermented milk by acid bacteria. Int J Pept Res Ther. https ://doi.org/10.1007/s10989-017-9596-2
- Hsieh CC, Hernández-Ledesma B, Fernández-Tomé S, Weinborn V, Barile D, de Moura Bell JMLN. (2015) Milk proteins, peptides, and oligosaccharides: effects against the 21st century disorders. Biomed Res Int 2015:1–16
- Kumar M, Behare PV, Mohania D, Arora S, Kaur A, Nagpal R (2009) Health-promoting probiotic functional foods: potential and prospects. Agro Food Ind Hi Tech 20(3):29–33
- Kumar M, Kumar A, Nagpal R, Mohania D, Behare P, Verma V, Kumar P, Poddar D, Aggarwal PK, Henry CJK, Jain S, Yadav H (2010) Cancer-preventing attributes of probiotics: an update. Int J Food Sci Nutr 61(5):473–496
- Kumar M, Nagpal R, Hemalatha R, Yadav H, Marotta F (2016) Probiotics and prebiotics for promoting health: through gut microbiota. In: Watson RR, Preedy VR (eds) Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics—bioactive foods in health promotion. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Kunda PB, Benavente F, Catala-Clariana S, Gimenez E, Barbosa J, Sanz-Nebot V (2012) Identification of bioactive peptides in a functional yogurt by micro liquid chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry assisted by retention time prediction. J Chromatogr A 1229:121–128
- Kunji ERS, Mierau I, Hagting A, Poolman B, Konings WN (1996) The proteolytic systems of lactic acid bacteria. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 70:187–221
- Leclerc PL, Gauthier SF, Bachelard H, Santure M, Roy D (2002) Antihypertensive activity of casein-enriched milk fermented by *Lactobacillus helveticus*. Int Dairy J 12:995–1004
- Li-Chan ECY (2015) Bioactive peptides and protein hydrolysates: research trends and challenges for application as nutraceuticals and functional food ingredients. Curr Opin Food Sci 1:28–37
- Liu M, Bayjanov JR, Renckens B, Nauta A, Siezen RJ (2010) The proteolytic system of lactic acid bacteria revisited: a genomic comparison. BMC Genom 11:36
- Lourens-Hattingh A, Viljoen CB (2001) Yogurt as probiotic carrier food. Int Dairy J 11:1–17
- Mani-López E, Palou E, López-malo A (2014) Probiotic viability and storage stability of yogurts and fermented milks prepared with several mixtures of lactic acid bacteria. J Dairy Sci 97:2578–2590

International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics (2019) 25:367-377

- Mann B, Athira S, Sharma R, Bajaj R (2017) Bioactive peptides in yogurt. In: Shah NP (ed), Yogurt in health and disease prevention. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 411–426
- Markowiak P, Śliżewska K (2017) Effects of probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics on human health. Nutrients 9(1021):1–30
- Martin A (2001) Functional foods: from marketing to public health. OCL-Oleagineux Corps Gras Lipides 8(4):310–311
- Matsuzaki T (2003) Health properties of fermented milk with *Lac-tobacillus casei* strain shirota (LcS). In: Farnworth ER (ed) Handbook of fermented functional foods, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 145–175
- Meisel H, FitzGerald RJ (2003) Biofunctional peptides from milk proteins: mineral binding and cytomodulatory effects. Curr Pharm Des 9:1289–1295
- Minelli EB, Benini A, Marzotto M, Sbarbati A, Ruzzenente O, Ferrario R, Hendriks H, Dellaglio F (2004) Assessment of novel probiotic *Lactobacillus casei* strains for the production of functional dairy foods. Int Diary J 14(8):723–736
- Mohania D, Kansal VK, Shah D, Nagpal R, Kumar M, Gautam SK, Singh B, Behare PV (2013) Therapeutic effect of probiotic Dahi on plasma, aortic, and hepatic lipid profile of hypercholesterolemic rats. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Therap 18(5):490–497
- Murray BA, FitzGerald RJ (2007) Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitory peptides derived from food proteins: biochemistry, bioactivity and production. Curr Pharm Des 13:773–791
- Niamah AK, Al-Sahlany STG, Al-Manhel AJ (2016) Gum arabic uses as prebiotic in yogurt production and study effects on physical, chemical properties and survivability of probiotic bacteria during cold storage. World Appl Sci J 34(9):1190–1196
- Nielsen PM, Petersen D, Dambmann C (2001) Improved method for determining food protein degree of hydrolysis. Food Chem Toxicol 5:642–646
- Nielsen MS, Martinussen T, Flambard B, Sørensen KI, Otte J (2009) Peptide profiles and angiotensin-I-converting enzyme inhibitory activity of fermented milk products: effect of bacterial strain, fermentation pH, and storage time. Int Dairy J 19:155–165
- Nongonierma AB, FitzGerald RJ (2015) The scientific evidence for the role of milk protein-derived bioactive peptides in humans: a review. J Funct Foods 17:640–656
- O'Loughlin IB, Kelly PM, Murray BA, FitzGerald RJ, Brodkorb A (2015) Molecular characterization of whey protein hydrolysate fractions with ferrous chelating and enhanced iron solubility capabilities. J Agric Food Chem 63:2708–2714
- Okoduwa SIR, Umar IA, Ibrahim S, Bello F, Habila N (2015) Agedependent alteration of antioxidant defense system in hypertensive and type-2 diabetes patients. J Diabetes Metab Disord 14(32):1–9
- Pandey KB, Mehdi MM, Maurya PK, Rizvi SI (2010) Plasma protein oxidation and its correlation with antioxidant potential during human aging. Dis Mark 29:31–36
- Papadimitriou CG, Vafopoulou-Mastrojiannaki A, Silva SV, Gomes AM, Malcata FX, Ali chanidis E (2007) Identification of peptides in traditional and probiotic sheep milk yoghurt with angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitory activity. Food Chem 105:647–656
- Park YW, Nam MS (2015) Bioactive peptides in milk and dairy products: a review. Kor J Food Sci Anim Resour 35(6):831–840
- Parnell-Clunies EM, Kakuda Y, Mullen K, Arnott DR, Deman JM (1986) Physical properties of yogurt—a comparison of vat versus continuous heating systems of milk. J Dairy Sci 69:2593–2603
- Peshev D, van den Ende W (2014) Fructans: prebiotics and immunomodulators. J Funct Foods 8:348–357
- Pfeffer MA, Frohlich ED (2006) Improvements in clinical outcomes with the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors: crossfertilization between clinical and basic investigation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 291:H2021–H2025

- Pihlanto-Leppälä A (2001) Bioactive peptides derived from bovine whey proteins: opioid and ACE-inhibitory peptides. Trends Food Sci Technol 11:347–356
- Power O, Jakeman P, FitzGerald RJ (2012) Antioxidative peptides: enzymatic production, in vitro and in vivo antioxidant activity and potential applications of milk-derived antioxidative peptides. Amino Acids 44:797–820
- Power-Grant O, McCormack WG, Ramia De Cap M, Amigo-Benavent M, Fitzgerald RJ, Jakeman P (2016) Evaluation of the antioxidant capacity of a milk protein matrix in vitro and in vivo in women aged 50–70 years. Int J Food Sci Nutr 67(3):325–334
- Rajasekaran A, Sivagnanam G, Xavier R (2008) Nutraceuticals as therapeutic agents: a review. Res J Pharm Technol 1(4):328–340
- Ramachandran L, Shah NP (2010) Characterization of functional, biochemical and textural properties of synbiotic low-fat yogurts during refrigerated storage. Food Sci Technol 43:819–827
- Rastall RA, Gibson GR, Gill HS, Guarner F, Klaenhammer TR, Pot B, Reid G, Rowland IR, Sanders ME (2005) Modulation of the microbial ecology of the human colon by probiotics, prebiotics and symbiotic to enhance human health: an overview of enabling science and potential applications. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 52:145–152
- Ravula RR, Shah NP (1998) Selective enumeration of *Lactobacillus casei* from yogurts and fermented milk drinks. Biotechnol Technol 12:819–822
- Remeuf F, Mohammed S, Sodini I, Tissier JP (2003) Preliminary observations on the effects of milk fortification and heating on microstructure and physical properties of stirred yogurt. Int Dairy J 13:773–782
- Ribeill YJ (2013) Discovery of new medicines. In: Griffin JP, Posner J, Barker GR (eds), The textbook of pharmaceutical medicine. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford
- Rokka T, Syväoja EL, Tuominen J, Korhonen H (1997) Release of bioactive peptides by enzymatic proteolysis of *Lactobacillus* GG fermented UHT-milk. Milchwissenschaft 52:675–678
- Sarmadi BH, Ismail A (2010) Antioxidative peptides from food proteins: a review. Peptides 31:1949–1956
- Serban DE (2013) Gastrointestinal cancers: influence of gut microbiota, probiotics and prebiotics. Cancer Lett 2013:1–13
- Shah NP (2007) Functional cultures and health benefits. Int Dairy J 17:1262–1277
- Shakerian M, Razavi SH, Ziai SA, Khodaiyan F, Yarmand MS, Moayedi A (2015) Proteolytic and ACE-inhibitory activities of probiotic yogurt containing non-viable bacteria as affected by different levels of fat, inulin and starter culture. J Food Sci Technol 52(4):2428–2433
- Shihata A, Shah NP (2000) Proteolytic profiles of yogurt and probiotic bacteria. Int Dairy J 10:401–408
- Sica DA (2004) Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors side effects—physiologic and non-physiologic considerations. J Clini Hypertension 6:410–416
- Sodini I, Mattas J, Tong PS (2006) Influence of pH and heat treatment of whey on the functional properties of whey protein concentrates in yoghurt. Int Dairy J 12:1464–1469
- Stanton C, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF, Sinderen DV (2005) Fermented functional foods based on probiotics and their biogenic metabolites. Curr Opin Biotechnol 16:198–203
- Sun J, He H, Xie B (2004) Novel antioxidant peptides from fermented mushroom *Ganoderma lucidum*. J Agric Food Chem 52:6646–6652
- Thompson AM, Hu T, Eshelbrenner CL, Reynolds K, He J, Bazzano LA (2011) Antihypertensive treatment and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease events among persons without hypertension: a meta-analysis. J Am Med Assoc 305(9):913–922
- Varadharaj S, Kelly OJ, Khayat RN, Kumar PS, Ahmed N, Zweier JL (2017) Role of dietary antioxidants in the preservation of vascular

International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics (2019) 25:367–377

function and the modulation of health and disease. Front Cardiovasc Med 4(64):1–11

- Velez-Ruiz JF, Hernandez-Carranza P, Sosa-Morales M (2013) Physiochemical and flow properties of low-fat yogurt fortified with calcium and fiber. J Food Process Preserv 37(3):210–221
- Villena J, Kitazawa H (2017) Probiotic microorganisms: a closer look. Microorganisms 5(17):1–3
- Vinderola CG, Bailo N, Reinheimer JA (2000) Survival of probiotic microflora in Argentinian yoghurts during refrigerated storage. Food Res Int 33:97–102
- Vonk RJ, Reckman GAR, Harmsen HJM, Priebe MG (2012) Probiotics and lactose intolerance. In: Rigobelo EC (ed), Probiotics. Intech, Rijeka, pp 149–160
- Yuksel Z, Erdem Y (2010) The influence of transglutaminase treatment on functional properties of set yoghurt. Int J Dairy Technol 63:86–97
- Zhao XH, Fu Y, Yue N (2014) In vitro cytoprotection of modified casein hydrolysates by plastein reaction on rat hepatocyte cells. CyTA J Food 12(1):40–47