

Banach Algebra of Bounded Complex Radon Measures on Homogeneous Space

**T. Derikvand, R. A. Kamyabi-Gol &
M. Janfada**

**Iranian Journal of Science and
Technology, Transactions A: Science**

ISSN 1028-6276

Iran J Sci Technol Trans Sci
DOI 10.1007/s40995-020-00938-9



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Shiraz University. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



Banach Algebra of Bounded Complex Radon Measures on Homogeneous Space

T. Derikvand¹ · R. A. Kamyabi-Gol² · M. Janfada³Received: 28 January 2020 / Accepted: 11 July 2020
© Shiraz University 2020

Abstract

Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . In this paper we define a convolution on $M(G/H)$, the space of all bounded complex Radon measures on the homogeneous space G/H . Then we prove that the measure space $M(G/H)$ with the newly well-defined convolution is a non-unital Banach algebra that possesses an approximate identity. Finally, it is shown that this Banach algebra is not involutive and also $L^1(G/H)$ with the new convolution is a two-sided ideal of it.

Keywords Complex Radon measure · Homogeneous spaces · Convolution · Banach algebra

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 43A15 · Secondary 43A85

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $M(G)$ be the space of all bounded complex Radon measures on it. The convolution of any two measures μ_1 and μ_2 in $M(G)$ is defined by

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(f) = \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y), \quad (f \in C_c(G)). \quad (1.1)$$

It is well-known that $(M(G), *)$ is a unital Banach algebra, it is called the measure algebra and plays a key role in harmonic analysis, (See, e.g., Deitmar and Echterhoof 2009 and Fell and Doran 1988). Now let H be a compact

subgroup of locally compact group G , and the homogeneous space G/H is a Hausdorff space on which G acts transitively by left. We should clear that H is not normal subgroup necessarily, so G/H does not possess a group structure but it will be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let $M(G/H)$ denote the space of all bounded complex Radon measures on G/H . Compared with the measure algebra $M(G)$, it is worthwhile to investigate the existence of a convolution on $M(G/H)$ which makes it into a Banach algebra. Farashahi (2018) studied this problem in the case that H is a closed subgroup of a compact group G ; However, the theory of homogeneous spaces in which H is a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G has many applications in physics and engineering. For example, if the Euclidian group $E(2)$ acts transitively on \mathbb{R}^2 , then the isotropy subgroup of origin is the orthogonal group $O(2)$. In that sequel, the homogeneous space $E(2)/O(2)$ provides definition of X-ray transform that is used in many areas such as radio astronomy, positron emission tomography, crystallography, etc (See, e.g., Deans 1983, Ch. 1 and Helgason 2011). Now, we review some preliminaries and results in homogeneous spaces theory. Let dy be the left invariant Haar measure of locally compact group G . The modular function Δ_G is a continuous homomorphism from G into the multiplicative group \mathbb{R}^+ . Furthermore, for all $x \in G$

$$\int_G f(y) dy = \Delta_G(x) \int_G f(yx) dy$$

✉ T. Derikvand
teloori@yahoo.com

R. A. Kamyabi-Gol
kamyabi@um.ac.ir

M. Janfada
Janfada@um.ac.ir

¹ Department of Mathematics, Marvdasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marvdasht, Iran

² Department of Pure Mathematics, Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

³ Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

where $f \in C_c(G)$, the space of continuous functions on G with compact support. A locally compact group G is called unimodular if $\Delta_G(x) = 1$, for all $x \in G$. A compact group G is always unimodular. Assume that H is a closed subgroup of the locally compact group G , it is known that $C_c(G/H)$ consists of all $P_H f$ functions, where $f \in C_c(G)$ and

$$P_H f(xH) = \int_H f(xh)dh \quad (x \in G).$$

Moreover, $P_H : C_c(G) \rightarrow C_c(G/H)$ is a bounded linear operator which is not injective (see, e.g., Folland 1995, Ch. 2, Section. 6). Suppose that μ is a Radon measure on G/H . For all $x \in G$ we define the translation of μ through x , by $\mu_x(E) = \mu(xE)$, where E is a Borel subset of G/H . Then μ is said to be G -invariant if $\mu_x = \mu$, for all $x \in G$. If H is compact, G/H admits a G -invariant Radon measure (See, e.g., Folland 1995, Corollary 2. 51). μ is said to be strongly quasi-invariant, if there is a continuous function $\lambda : G \times G/H \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ which satisfies

$$d\mu_x(yH) = \lambda(x, yH)d\mu(yH).$$

If the function $\lambda(x, \cdot)$ is reduced to a constant for each $x \in G$, then μ is called relatively invariant under G . We consider a rho-function for the pair (G, H) as a continuous function $\rho : G \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ for which $\rho(xh) = \Delta_H(h)\Delta_G(h)^{-1}\rho(x)$, for each $x \in G$ and $h \in H$. It is well known that (G, H) admits a rho-function and for every rho-function ρ there is a strongly quasi-invariant measure μ on G/H such that

$$\int_G f(x)dx = \int_{G/H} P_H f(xH)d\mu(xH) \quad (f \in C_c(G)),$$

where in this case, $P_H f(xH) = \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{\rho(xh)}dh$ and this equation is called quotient integral formula. This measure μ also satisfies

$$\frac{d\mu_x}{d\mu}(yH) = \frac{\rho(xy)}{\rho(y)} \quad (x, y \in G).$$

Let μ be a strongly quasi invariant measure on G/H which is associated with the rho-function ρ for the pair (G, H) . The mapping $T_H : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/H)$ is defined almost everywhere by

$$T_H f(xH) = \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{\rho(xh)}dh \quad (f \in L^1(G))$$

is a surjective bounded linear operator with $\|T_H\| \leq 1$ (see Reiter and Stegeman 2000, Subsection 3.4) and also T_H satisfies the generalized Mackey–Bruhat formula,

$$\int_G f(x)dx = \int_{G/H} T_H f(xH)d\mu(xH) \quad (f \in L^1(G)), \quad (1.2)$$

which is also known as the quotient integral formula. Two useful operators left translation and right translation, denoted by L and R respectively, plays key role in the next section. The left translation of $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$ by $x \in G$ is defined by $L_x(\varphi)(yH) = \varphi(x^{-1}yH)$. In a similar way, the left translation operator is defined for the integrable function on a homogeneous space G/H as follows:

$$L_x(\varphi)(yH) = \varphi(x^{-1}yH) \quad (\mu - \text{almost all } yH \in G/H),$$

where $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The mapping $x \mapsto L_x(\varphi)$ is continuous and also $\|L_x(\varphi)\|_p = \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{\rho(e)}\right)^{1/p} \|\varphi\|_p$. The right translation is defined in the same manner [for more details see Kamyabi-Gol and Tavalaei (2009)]. Now, let H be a compact subgroup and put

$$C_c(G:H) := \{f \in C_c(G) : R_h f = f, \forall h \in H\},$$

where R_h denotes the right translation through h . Let μ be a G -invariant Radon measure on G/H . One can prove that

$$C_c(G:H) = \{\varphi_{\pi_H} := \varphi \circ \pi_H : \varphi \in C_c(G/H)\}$$

and it is a left ideal of the algebra $C_c(G)$. Moreover the operator P_H is an algebraic isometric isomorphism between $C_c(G:H)$ and $C_c(G/H)$. Furthermore, $P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = \varphi$, for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$. These results can be extended, by approximation, to $T_H : L^1(G:H) \rightarrow L^1(G/H)$, where

$$L^1(G:H) := \{f \in L^1(G) : R_h f = f, \quad \forall h \in H\},$$

(See, e.g., Reiter and Stegeman 2000, P. 98) and also (see, e.g., Farashahi 2015, 2013; Kamyabi-Gol and Tavalaei 2009). Therein T_H is an algebraic isometrically isomorphism. By using this isomorphism one can define a well-defined convolution on $L^1(G/H)$. Let λ be a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H that arises from the rho-function ρ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi * \psi(xH) &= T_H(\varphi_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H})(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(yH)\psi(hy^{-1}xH) \frac{\rho(hy^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)} dh d\lambda(yH), \end{aligned}$$

(See, e.g., Farashahi 2013). Now, let $M(G)$ be the space of all bounded complex Radon measures on locally compact group G and H be a compact subgroup of G . Also assume that $\mu \in M(G)$. One can define $\sigma_\mu \in M(G/H)$ by

$$\int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)d\sigma_\mu(xH) = \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x)d\mu(x) \quad (\varphi \in C_c(G/H)). \quad (1.3)$$

In other words $\sigma_\mu(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi_{\pi_H})$, for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$. Since $\|\sigma_\mu\| \leq \|\mu\|$, the linear map $\mu \rightarrow \sigma_\mu$ is continuous and it can be shown that this map is surjective (see, e.g., Reiter and Stegeman 2000, P. 233).

2 The Main Results

Let us denote the space of all bounded complex Radon measures on locally compact Hausdorff space G/H by $M(G/H)$. In this section we establish some results to define a well-defined convolution on $M(G/H)$ which makes it into a Banach algebra, then we introduce an approximate identity for it; After that the relationship between two Banach algebras $M(G/H)$ and $L^1(G/H)$ is described, the last result asserts that $L^1(G/H)$ can be regarded as a Banach subalgebra of $M(G/H)$. From now on, we consider H as a compact subgroup of locally compact group G . We first introduce an important closed left ideal of $M(G)$ in what follows. Let

$$M(G:H) = \{\mu \in M(G) : \mu(R_h f) = \mu(f); \forall f \in C_c(G), h \in H\},$$

where R_h denotes the right translation through h .

Proposition 2.1 *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G and μ be a left Haar measure on G . Then*

$$M(G:H) = \{\mu_f : f \in L^1(G:H)\},$$

where $d\mu_f(x) = f(x)d\mu(x)$.

Proof For any $f \in L^1(G:H)$, it is clear that $\mu_f(x) = f(x)d\mu(x) \in M(G)$ and also for all $g \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f(R_h g) &= \int_G R_h g(x) d\mu_f(x) \\ &= \int_G g(xh) f(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G g(x) f(xh^{-1}) d\mu(xh^{-1}) \\ &= \int_G g(x) d\mu_f(x) \\ &= \mu_f(g). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since H is compact, $\Delta_G|_H = 1$. Now let $\mu_f \in M(G:H)$ for some $f \in L^1(G)$, so $\mu_f(R_h g) = \mu_f(g)$ for all $g \in C_c(G)$. In other words, $\int_G R_h g(x) d\mu_f(x) = \int_G g(x) d\mu_f(x)$. Since

$$\int_G g(xh) f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_G g(x) f(x) d\mu(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G g(x) f(xh^{-1}) d\mu(xh^{-1}) &= \int_G g(x) f(xh^{-1}) d\mu(xh^{-1}) \\ &= \int_G g(xh) f(x) d\mu(xh) \\ &= \int_G g(x) f(x) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in C_c(G)$. Therefore, $\int_G g(x)(f(xh) - f(x)) d\mu(x) = 0$ for all $g \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$. Then by Urysohn's Lemma to take suitable $g \in C_c(G)$ we get $f(xh) = f(x)$, for all $x \in G$ and $h \in H$. Thus $f \in L^1(G:H)$. \square

Proposition 2.2 *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . Then $M(G : H)$ is a closed left ideal of $M(G)$. Moreover,*

$$M(G:H) = \{\sigma_{P_H} := \sigma \circ P_H : \sigma \in M(G:H)\}.$$

Proof Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(G:H)$, then for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 * \mu_2(R_h f) &= \int_G R_h f(g) d(\mu_1 * \mu_2)(g) \\ &= \int_G \int_G R_h f(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\ &= \int_G \mu_1(R_h(L_{x^{-1}}f))(y) d\mu_2(y) \\ &= \int_G \mu_1(L_{x^{-1}}f)(y) d\mu_2(y) \\ &= \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\ &= \mu_1 * \mu_2(f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_1 * \mu_2 \in M(G:H)$. A similar calculation shows that $M(G : H)$ is a left ideal of $M(G)$. Furthermore, let μ be limit of the net $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in $M(G : H)$, then $\mu(R_h f) = \lim \mu_\alpha(R_h f)$ for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$. But $\mu_\alpha(R_h f) = \mu_\alpha(f)$ and this implies that $\mu(R_h f) = \mu(f)$. It remains to prove the equality in this Proposition. Let $\sigma \in M(G:H)$ then $\sigma \circ P_H$ is a bounded linear functional on $C_c(G)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma \circ P_H(f)| &= |\sigma(P_H(f))| \\ &= \left| \int_{G/H} P_H f(xH) d\sigma(xH) \right| \\ &= \int_{G/H} \left| \int_H f(xh) dh \right| d|\sigma|(xH) \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|\sigma\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\sigma \circ P_H\| \leq \|\sigma\| < \infty$, so that the mapping $\sigma \circ P_H$ is a bounded linear functional on $C_c(G)$. Furthermore, for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \circ P_H(R_h f) &= \int_{G/H} \int_H R_h f(x\eta) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta h) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma \circ P_H(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_{P_H} = \sigma \circ P_H \in M(G:H)$ for all $\sigma \in M(G/H)$. To show the reverse inclusion let μ be in $M(G)$ such that $\mu(R_h f) = \mu(f)$ for all f in $C_c(G)$ and h in H . Then by (1.3) there exists $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that for all f in $C_c(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f) &= \int_G f(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G R_h f(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta h) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma \circ P_H(f). \end{aligned}$$

So $\mu = \sigma \circ P_H$ and the proof is complete. \square

Now, consider the map $R_H : M(G) \rightarrow M(G/H)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_H \mu(\varphi) &:= \mu(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x) d\mu(x), \quad (\varphi \in C_c(G/H)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\varphi = \psi \in C_c(G/H)$ then $\varphi_{\pi_H} = \varphi \circ \pi_H = \psi \circ \pi_H = \psi_{\pi_H}$. Hence $\mu(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = \mu(\psi_{\pi_H})$ and this implies that $R_H \mu(\varphi) = R_H \mu(\psi)$. From the definition we can easily deduce that $R_H \mu$ is a positive linear functional on $C_c(G/H)$. So by the Riesz representation theorem there exists a unique Radon measure $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that

$$R_H \mu(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\sigma(xH) = \sigma(\varphi). \tag{2.2}$$

Then $R_H \mu = \sigma \in M(G/H)$. Also based on definition (2.1) it is clear that $R_H(\mu_1) = R_H(\mu_2)$ if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. So R_H is a well-defined map. To show that the mapping R_H is linear, consider an arbitrary scalar α and the elements μ_1 and μ_2 in $M(G)$. Then for any φ in $C_c(G/H)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_H(\mu_1 + \mu_2)(\varphi) &= (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \mu_1(\varphi_{\pi_H}) + \mu_2(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= R_H \mu_1(\varphi) + R_H \mu_2(\varphi) \\ &= (R_H \mu_1 + R_H \mu_2)(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

thus R_H is linear. We shall show that R_H is a bounded operator. To do this, if we consider any φ in $C_c(G/H)$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_H \mu(\varphi)| &= \left| \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \int_G |\varphi(xH)| d|\mu|(x) \\ &\leq \int_G \|\varphi\|_{\infty} d|\mu|(x) \leq \|\mu\| \|\varphi\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|R_H \mu\| \leq \|\mu\| < \infty$ and this implies $\|R_H\| \leq 1$. For surjectivity, let $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ and define μ on $C_c(G)$ by

$$\mu(f) := \sigma(P_H f) \quad (f \in C_c(G)). \tag{2.3}$$

Suppose $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$, using Proposition 2.2, for $\mu \in M(G:H)$. Then by the definition of R_H , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_H \mu(\varphi) &= \mu(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \sigma(P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H})) \\ &= \int_{G/H} P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H})(xH) d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

this proves surjectivity.

Remark 2.3 The operator R_H is an extension of the mapping $T_H : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/H)$ given by $T_H f(xH) = \int_H f(xh) dh$, for all $x \in G$.

The next two Propositions play a central role for making $M(G/H)$ into a Banach algebra.

Proposition 2.4 Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . Then $R_H|_{M(G:H)}$, the restriction of R_H to $M(G : H)$, is a bijective mapping and also it is an isometry.

Proof Since R_H is surjective, it is enough to show that it is injective. Let $\mu \in M(G:H)$ and $R_H(\mu) = 0$. Then there exists $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that $\mu = \sigma_{P_H} = \sigma \circ P_H$ and $R_H(\mu) = 0$ implies that for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$, $\sigma(\varphi) = \sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = 0$. So that $\mu = 0$ and therefore R_H is injective.

Let σ_{P_H} be in $M(G : H)$, then for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$, on one hand

$$|R_H(\sigma_{P_H})\varphi| = |\sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H})| \leq \|\sigma_{P_H}\| \|\varphi_{\pi_H}\|_{\infty},$$

so $\|R_H \sigma_{P_H}\| \leq \|\sigma_{P_H}\|$ and on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H})| &= |R_H \sigma_{P_H}(\varphi)| \\
 &\leq \|R_H \sigma_{P_H}\| \|\varphi\| \\
 &= \|R_H \sigma_{P_H}\| \|P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H})\| \\
 &\leq \|R_H \sigma_{P_H}\| \|P_H\| \|\varphi_{\pi_H}\| \\
 &\leq \|R_H \sigma_{P_H}\| \|\varphi_{\pi_H}\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

so $\|\sigma_{P_H}\| \leq \|R_H \sigma_{P_H}\|$. Hence the proof is complete. \square

The remarkable equality $R_H(\delta_x) = \delta_{xH}$ is obtained by using the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_H(\delta_x)(\varphi) &= \delta_x(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\
 &= \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(y) d\delta_x(y) \\
 &= \varphi_{\pi_H}(x) \\
 &= \varphi(xH) \\
 &= \int_{G/H} \varphi(yH) d\delta_{xH}(yH) \\
 &= \delta_{xH}(\varphi),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Note that for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$ and $x \in G$ we get

$$\delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} \varphi(yH) d\delta_{xH}(yH) = \varphi(x\eta H) = \varphi(xH),$$

where $\eta \in H$. Now, we are able to define a convolution on $M(G/H)$.

Definition 2.5 Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . The mapping $*$: $M(G/H) \times M(G/H) \rightarrow M(G/H)$ given by

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2(\varphi) := R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}})(\varphi) \quad (\varphi \in C_c(G/H)), \tag{2.4}$$

is a well-defined convolution on $M(G/H)$.

To show that $*$ is well defined, let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2 \in M(G/H)$ and $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$. Using surjectivity of R_H , there exists $\sigma_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma_{2_{P_H}}, \sigma'_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma'_{2_{P_H}} \in M(G:H)$ such that

$$R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}}) = \sigma_1, R_H(\sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = \sigma_2, R_H(\sigma'_{1_{P_H}}) = \sigma'_1, R_H(\sigma'_{2_{P_H}}) = \sigma'_2.$$

Therefore the injectivity of R_H implies that $(\sigma_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = (\sigma'_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma'_{2_{P_H}})$. Thus

$$\sigma_{2_{P_H}} * \sigma_{1_{P_H}} = \sigma'_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma'_{2_{P_H}},$$

since the convolution on $M(G)$ is well-defined. Then $R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = R_H(\sigma'_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma'_{2_{P_H}})$. Finally, by (2.4), $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2 = \sigma'_1 * \sigma'_2$. Consequently, convolution $*$ is well-defined. Using Proposition 2.4 and Definition 2.5 we deduce the following result.

Corollary 2.6 The bijective mapping $R_H|_{M(G:H)}$ in Proposition 2.4 is an algebraic isometric isomorphism.

Now some remarks are in orders.

Remark 2.7 With the notations as above, we have:

- (i) $(\sigma_1 * \sigma_2)_{P_H} = \sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}$, because $R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = R_H((\sigma_1 * \sigma_2)_{P_H})$ and R_H is one to one on $M(G : H)$.
- (ii) One can simplify (2.4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_1 * \sigma_2(\varphi) &= R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}})(\varphi) \\
 &= \sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\
 &= \int_G \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy) d\sigma_{1_{P_H}}(x) d\sigma_{2_{P_H}}(y) \\
 &= \int_G \int_G \varphi(xyH) d\sigma_{1_{P_H}}(x) d\sigma_{2_{P_H}}(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$.

- (iii) Let $\mu \in M(G)$ and $\sigma \in M(G/H)$, if we define $\mu * \sigma := R_H(\mu * \sigma_{P_H})$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu * \sigma(\varphi) &= R_H(\mu * \sigma_{P_H})(\varphi) \\
 &= \mu * \sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\
 &= \int_G \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy) d\mu(x) d\sigma_{P_H}(y) \\
 &= \int_G \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy) d\sigma_{P_H}(y) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_G \int_G (L_{x^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H})(y) d\sigma_{P_H}(y) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_G \int_{G/H} P_H(L_{x^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H})(yH) d\sigma(yH) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_G \int_{G/H} \int_H L_{x^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H}(yh) dh d\sigma(yH) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_G \int_{G/H} \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy) d\sigma(yH) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_{G/H} \int_G \varphi(xyH) d\mu(x) d\sigma(yH),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$.

By using part (iii) of Remark 2.7 it is deduced that $M(G/H)$ is a left $M(G)$ module. In the next main theorem, it is shown that $(M(G/H), *)$ is a Banach algebra and has an approximate identity.

Theorem 2.8 $(M(G/H), *)$ is a Banach algebra and also it possesses an approximate identity.

Proof It is well known that $M(G/H)$ endowed with the total variation norm is a Banach space (See, e.g., Reiter and Stegeman 2000, P. 233). The fact that convolution on $M(G/H)$ is associative follows by applying (ii) of Remark 2.7 twice and associativity of $M(G)$. Let σ_1, σ_2 be

in $M(G/H)$. Then by using surjectivity of R_H , there exists $\sigma_{1_{P_H}}$ and $\sigma_{2_{P_H}}$ in $M_H(G)$ such that $R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}}) = \sigma_1$ and $R_H(\sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = \sigma_2$. Now Definition 2.5 and the fact that $M(G : H)$ is an normed algebra imply that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_1 * \sigma_2\| &= \|\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}\| \leq \|\sigma_{1_{P_H}}\| \|\sigma_{2_{P_H}}\| \\ &\leq \|R_H \sigma_{1_{P_H}}\| \|R_H \sigma_{2_{P_H}}\| \\ &= \|\sigma_1\| \|\sigma_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that R_H is an isometry. Thus $(M(G/H), *)$ is a normed Banach algebra. To introduce an approximate identity, let $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for the Banach algebra $L^1(G/H)$, see Farashahi (2013). Put $\sigma_\alpha := R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}})$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ where μ is the left Haar measure on G . Then by surjectivity of R_H , for any σ in $M(G/H)$ there exists $\sigma_{P_H} \in M(G:H)$ such that $R_H(\sigma_{P_H}) = \sigma$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\| &= \|R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}}) * R_H(\sigma_{P_H}) - R_H(\sigma_{P_H})\| \\ &= \|\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}} * \sigma_{P_H} - \sigma_{P_H}\|, \end{aligned}$$

but by Proposition 2.1 there exists $\psi_{\pi_H} \in C_c(G:H)$ such that $\sigma_{P_H} = \mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}$ and also it can be seen by direct computation that $\mu_f * \mu_g - \mu_h = \mu_{f * g - h}$, for all f, g and h in $C_c(G)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\| &= \|\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}} * \mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}} - \mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}\| \\ &= \|\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H} - \psi_{\pi_H}}\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the embedding of $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ is isometric, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\| &= \|(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H} - \psi_{\pi_H}\| \\ &= \|P_H((\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H} - \psi_{\pi_H})\| \\ &= \|P_H((\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H}) - P_H(\psi_{\pi_H})\| \\ &= \|P_H((\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}) * P_H(\psi_{\pi_H}) - P_H(\psi_{\pi_H})\| \\ &= \|\varphi_\alpha * \psi - \psi\|, \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an approximate identity, $\|\varphi_\alpha * \psi - \psi\|$ tends to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Note that in the two last equalities, P_H is an isometry from $C_c(G:H)$ onto $C_c(G/H)$. This implies that $\|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\|$ goes to 0 when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. \square

In the sequel, consider δ_e as the unit element of the unital Banach algebra $M(G)$. If we define the point mass measure $\delta_H := R_H(\delta_e)$, then for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$, by the definition of R_H we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_H(\varphi) &= R_H(\delta_e)(\varphi) = \delta_e(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x) d\delta_e(x) \\ &= \varphi_{\pi_H}(e) = \varphi(H). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Note that for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$ we have

$$\delta_H(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\delta_H(xH) = \varphi(H).$$

Lemma 2.9 Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . δ_H is a right multiplicative identity in the algebra $M(G/H)$.

Proof Suppose that φ is in $C_c(G/H)$ and $\sigma \in M(G/H)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma * \delta_H(\varphi) &= R_H(\sigma_{P_H} * (\delta_H)_{P_H})(\varphi) \\ &= (\sigma_{P_H} * (\delta_H)_{P_H})(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(st) d\sigma_{P_H}(s) d(\delta_H)_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_G (L_{s^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H})(t) d(\delta_H)_{P_H}(t) d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\ &= \int_G \int_{G/H} P_H(L_{s^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H})(xH) d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\ &= \int_G \int_{G/H} \int_H L_{s^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H}(xh) dh d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\ &= \int_G \int_H L_{s^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H}(\eta h) dh d\delta_H(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(s), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta \in H$. Therefore, because of dh is invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma * \delta_H(\varphi) &= \int_G \int_H L_{s^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H}(\eta h) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\ &= \int_G \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(sh) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\ &= \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(s) d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(xh) dh d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all σ in $M(G/H)$ we have $\sigma * \delta_H = \sigma$. \square

Corollary 2.10 Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . If σ is a two-sided identity in the algebra $M(G/H)$, then $\sigma = \delta_H$.

Proof Since $\delta_H = \delta_H * \sigma = \sigma * \delta_H = \sigma$, the last equality is satisfied by considering Lemma 2.9. \square

Generally, δ_H is not a left identity in the algebra $M(G/H)$. Hence $(M(G/H), *)$ fails to be a unital Banach algebra.

Corollary 2.11 *The Banach algebra $(M(G/H), *)$ is not an involutive algebra.*

Proof If $(M(G/H), *)$ is an involutive algebra, then $\sigma * \delta_H = \sigma$ for all $\sigma \in M(G/H)$. This implies that $(\sigma * (\delta_H)^*)^* = \delta_H * \sigma^* = \sigma^*$. Thus $\delta_H * \sigma = \sigma$, for all $\sigma \in M(G/H)$. So δ_H is a left identity too, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2.12 *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G .*

- (i) $\delta_{xH} * \delta_{yH} = \delta_{xyH}$ is satisfied for all $x, y \in G$ if and only if H is normal.
- (ii) δ_H is an identity for the Banach algebra $(M(G/H), *)$ if and only if H is normal.

Proof (i) Let the equality $\delta_{xH} * \delta_{yH} = \delta_{xyH}$ hold for all $x, y \in G$. If H is not normal subgroup of G . Since ηxH and xH are two disjoint points in locally compact Hausdorff space G/H . Let K be a compact neighborhood of xH in G/H , then by Urysohn's Lemma for LCH Spaces, there exists ψ in $C_c(G/H)$ such that $\psi|_K = 1$ and absolutely $\psi(xH) = 1$. Now by lemma 2.47 in Folland (1995) there exist $\varphi := Pf$ for some f in $C_c(G)$, moreover $supp\varphi$ is compact, $\varphi(xH) = 1$ and $\varphi(\eta xH) = 0$. Hence, by the hypothesis, $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(xH) = 1$. On the other hand $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{\eta xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(\eta xH) = 0$ and this is impossible. Thus H is normal. For the converse, let H be a normal subgroup of G . Considering Lemma 2.9 and Corollary 2.10, it is enough to show that δ_H is a left multiplicative identity in $M(G/H)$. Let φ be in $C_c(G/H)$ and σ be an arbitrary element in $M(G/H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_H * \sigma)(\varphi) &= R_H((\delta_H)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H})(\varphi) \\ &= ((\delta_H)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H})(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(st) d(\delta_H)_{P_H}(s) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_G (R_t \varphi_{\pi_H})(s) d(\delta_H)_{P_H}(s) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_{G/H} P_H(R_t \varphi_{\pi_H})(xH) d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_{G/H} \int_H R_t \varphi_{\pi_H}(xh) dh d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_H R_t \varphi_{\pi_H}(\eta h) dh d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta \in H$. Since H is normal and dh is invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_H * \sigma)(\varphi) &= \int_G \int_H R_t \varphi_{\pi_H}(h) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(ht) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_H \varphi(htH) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_H \varphi(hHt) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_H \varphi(tH) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(t) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_G \int_H \varphi(tH) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(th) dh d\sigma(tH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\delta_H * \sigma = \sigma$, that is δ_H is an identity for $M(G/H)$. (ii) Assume that H is a normal subgroup of G , the proof to show that $M(G/H)$ has an identity is the same as the proof of the converse part in (i). Conversely, suppose that σ is the two-sided identity in $M(G/H)$ and assume that H is not normal. Then there exists some $\eta \in H$ and $x \in G$ such that $\eta xH \neq xH$. Now take a φ in $C_c(G/H)$ with $\varphi(xH) = 1$ and $\varphi(\eta xH) = 0$, this is possible by Urysohn's Lemma. By (i) above $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(xH) = 1$, and on the other hand $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{\eta xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(\eta xH) = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2.13 *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G and also let λ be a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H . Fix φ in $L^1(G/H, \lambda)$. Then $\psi \mapsto \int_{G/H} \psi(xH) \varphi(xH) d\lambda(xH)$, $\psi \in C_c(G/H)$, defines a bounded measure on G/H . Denoting this measure by λ_φ , the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \lambda_\varphi$ is an isometric injection on $L^1(G/H, \lambda)$ into $M(G/H)$.*

Proof Let φ be a non zero element of $C_c(G/H)$. For all xH in G/H , set $\varphi_n(xH) := (|\varphi(xH)| / \|\varphi\|_\infty)^{1/n} \text{sgn}(\overline{\varphi(xH)})$ for all $n \geq 1$. Clearly, $\varphi_n \varphi \geq 0$, $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty \leq 1$, and also $\varphi_n \varphi \uparrow |\varphi|$ as n goes to ∞ . Hence by using the monotone convergence Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G/H} |\varphi| d\lambda(xH) &= \lim \int_{G/H} \varphi_n \varphi d\lambda(xH) \leq \int_{G/H} \|\varphi_n\|_\infty \varphi d\lambda(xH) \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} \varphi d\lambda(xH) = \|\lambda_\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse is obvious. Therefore, $\|\lambda_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_1$ for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$. The general case then follows by approximation for all φ in $L^1(G/H)$. \square

Remark 2.14 The asserted inclusion in Proposition 2.13 is reduced to an injection of the algebra $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ in the case of $H = \{e\}$.

The relation between some of the induced measures on G/H and G , in Proposition 2.13 and Remark 2.14 respectively, has been proved in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.15 *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . Fix μ as a left Haar measure on G and λ as a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H with associated rho-function ρ , then for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$ we have $R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}) = \lambda_\varphi$, where $d\lambda_\varphi(xH) = \varphi(xH)d\lambda(xH)$ and $d\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}(x) = \varphi_{\pi_H}(x)d\mu(x)$.*

Proof Let $\psi \in C_c(G/H)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})})(\psi) &= \mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}(\psi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \int_G \psi_{\pi_H}(x) d\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}(x) \\ &= \int_G \psi_{\pi_H}(x) \varphi_{\pi_H}(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \frac{\psi_{\pi_H}(xh) \varphi_{\pi_H}(xh)}{\rho(xh)} dh d\lambda(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) \int_H \frac{\psi_{\pi_H}(xh)}{\rho(xh)} dh d\lambda(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} P_H(\psi_{\pi_H})(xH) d\lambda_\varphi(xH) \\ &= \lambda_\varphi(P_H(\psi_{\pi_H})) \\ &= (\lambda_\varphi)(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Consider the notations as in Proposition 2.13. Put

$$\Lambda := \{\lambda_\varphi : \varphi \in L^1(G/H)\}.$$

Theorem 2.16 *Let λ be a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H arises from the rho-function ρ . The Banach algebra $(L^1(G/H), \lambda)$ is a two-sided ideal of the Banach algebra $M(G/H)$.*

Proof By Proposition 2.13 there is a one to one corresponding between $L^1(G/H)$ and the range of the injection $\varphi \mapsto \lambda_\varphi$, so it is enough to show that Λ is a two-sided ideal of $M(G/H)$. To do this it is enough to show that $\lambda_\varphi * \sigma < \lambda$ for all $\lambda_\varphi \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in M(G/H)$, since Λ consists precisely of those $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that $\sigma < \lambda$. Now, by Definition 2.5 we have $\lambda_\varphi * \sigma = R_H((\lambda_\varphi)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H})$, but $(\lambda_\varphi)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H} = (\lambda_\varphi * \sigma)_{P_H} \in M(G:H)$ and so by

Proposition 2.1 it equals to $\mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}$ for some ψ in $L^1(G/H)$. Thus $R_H((\lambda_\varphi)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H}) = R_H(\mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}) = \lambda_\psi \in \Lambda$. Therefore, $\lambda_\varphi * \sigma = \lambda_\psi < \lambda$ and the proof is complete. \square

Fix a strongly quasi-invariant measure λ on G/H arises from the rho-function ρ . Here and in the rest of sequel we set

$$C_c^\rho(G:H) = \{\varphi_{\pi_H}^\rho := \varphi \circ \pi_H \cdot \rho^{1/p} : \varphi \in C_c(G/H)\},$$

and also take $L^p(G:H) = \overline{C_c^\rho(G:H)}^{\|\cdot\|_p}$, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. In a similar calculation in Farshahi (2013), Kamyabi-Gol and Tavalaei (2009) and Reiter and Stegeman (2000), one can see that $C_c^\rho(G:H)$ is a left ideal of the algebra $C_c(G)$. Then $T_H^p : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G/H)$ defined by $T_H^p(f)(xH) = \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{\rho(xh)^{1/p}} dh$ is a surjective and bounded operator with $\|T_H^p\| \leq 1$. Consider the surjectivity of $T_H^p : L^p(G:H) \rightarrow L^p(G/H)$, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. By using Proposition 3.39 in Folland (1995), we know that $\varphi_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H}$ belongs to $L^p(G:H)$. Then one can define:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi * \psi(xH) &= T_H^p(\varphi_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H})(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(yH) \psi(hy^{-1}xH) \left(\frac{\rho(hy^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh d\lambda(yH), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ and $\psi \in L^p(G/H)$. Let $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ and $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$, there exist $\sigma_{P_H} \in M(G:H)$ and $\varphi_{\pi_H} \in L^p(G:H)$ such that $T_H^p(\varphi_{\pi_H})$ and $R_H(\sigma_{P_H}) = \sigma$. Then we define the function $\sigma * \varphi$ in a natural way as follows:

$$\sigma * \varphi(xH) = T_H^p(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})(xH).$$

But we have the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma * \varphi(xH) &= T_H^p(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})(xH) \\ &= \int_H \frac{(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})(x\eta)}{\rho(x\eta)^{1/p}} d\eta \\ &= \int_H \frac{\int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(y^{-1}x\eta) d\sigma_{P_H}(y)}{\rho(x\eta)^{1/p}} d\eta \\ &= \int_H \frac{1}{\rho(x)^{1/p}} \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(h^{-1}y^{-1}x\eta) dh d\sigma(yH) d\eta \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \int_H \varphi(h^{-1}y^{-1}xH) \left(\frac{\rho(h^{-1}y^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh d\eta d\sigma(yH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(hy^{-1}xH) \left(\frac{\rho(hy^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh d\sigma(yH), \end{aligned}$$

and in a similar calculation we get

$$\varphi * \sigma = \int_{G/H} \Delta(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(xhy^{-1}H) \left(\frac{\rho(xhy^{-1})}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh d\sigma(yH).$$

Proposition 2.17 Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ and also $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$. Then $\sigma * \varphi \in L^p(G/H)$ and $\|\sigma * \varphi\|_p \leq \|\sigma\| \|\varphi\|_p$

Proof Fix $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ and $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$. Considering definition of T_H^p , the function $\sigma * \varphi$ belongs to $L^p(G/H)$. Using the fact that the mapping T_H^p and R_H are isometric on $L^p(G:H)$ and $M(G : H)$, respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_H^p(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})\|_p &= \|\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H}\|_p \leq \|\sigma_{P_H}\| \|\varphi_{\pi_H}\|_p \\ &= \|R_H(\sigma_{P_H})\| \|T_H^p(\varphi_{\pi_H})\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\|\sigma * \varphi\|_p \leq \|\sigma\| \|\varphi\|_p$. □

References

Deans SR (1983) The radon transform and some of its applications. Wiley, New York

- Deitmar A, Echterhoff S (2009) Principles of harmonic analysis. Springer, New York
- Farashahi AG (2013) Convolution and involution of function spaces of homogeneous spaces. Bull Malays Math Sci Soc (2) 36(4):1109–1122
- Farashahi AG (2015) Abstract convolution function algebras over homogeneous spaces of compact groups. Ill J Math 59(4):1025–1042
- Farashahi AG (2018) Abstract measure algebras over homogeneous spaces of compact groups. Int J Math 29(1):1850005
- Fell JMG, Doran RS (1988) Representations of “algebras, locally compact groups, and banach” algebraic bundles. Academic Press, San Diego
- Folland GB (1995) A course in abstract harmonic analysis. CRC Press, Boca Raton
- Helgason S (2011) Integral geometry and radon transform. Springer, New York
- Kamyabi-Gol RA, Tavalaei N (2009) Convolution and homogeneous spaces. Bull Iran Math Soc 35:129–146
- Reiter H, Stegeman JD (2000) Classical harmonic analysis, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, New York