



# Investigating the association between rs6983267 polymorphism and susceptibility to gastrointestinal cancers in Iranian population

Farzaneh Karimi<sup>1</sup> · Seyedeh Mina Amiri-Moghaddam<sup>1</sup> · Zakieh Bagheri<sup>1</sup> · Ahmad Reza Bahrami<sup>1,2</sup> · Ladan Goshayeshi<sup>3,4</sup> · Abolghasem Allahyari<sup>5</sup> · Marjane Mirsadraee<sup>6</sup> · Azar Fanipakdel<sup>7</sup> · Alireza Bari<sup>5</sup> · Ali Emadi-Torghabeh<sup>7</sup> · Mohammad Amin Kerachian<sup>8,9,10</sup> · Hossein Rahimi<sup>5</sup> · Maryam M. Matin<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 10 October 2020 / Accepted: 24 February 2021 / Published online: 13 March 2021  
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

## Abstract

Genome-wide association studies have revealed that some single nucleotide polymorphisms at 8q24, such as rs6983267, might be effective in susceptibility to various cancers in different populations. Therefore, rs6983267 might be useful as a marker for multiple cancers. In this study, we considered a population, including 478 gastrointestinal cancer cases from the Iranian population, to investigate the association between rs6983267 and susceptibility to gastrointestinal cancers. The samples were genotyped using the TaqMan real-time PCR method while 10% of them were also confirmed by sequencing. Higher frequency of G allele was associated with higher grades of tumors in esophageal cancer and the tumors located in the lower portion of the esophagus (OR 3.56; 95% CI 1.13–11.24;  $P=0.03$ ) and cardia (OR 5.24; 95% CI 1.26–21.83;  $P=0.02$ ), which both locations are involved in esophageal adenocarcinomas with poor prognosis. The results indicated that in the male subgroup, the rs6983267 GG genotype significantly enhanced the gastric cancer susceptibility (OR 4.76; 95% CI 1.57–14.45;  $P=0.01$ ). GG genotype also increased the risk of intestinal-type gastric cancer, located in non-cardia (OR 4.62; 95% CI 1.25–17.04;  $P=0.02$ ). Moreover, gastric cancer cases and controls with a family history of gastrointestinal tumors were mostly genotyped with the G allele (OR 3.61; 95% CI = 1.09–12.01;  $P=0.04$ ). There were no remarkable associations between rs6983267 and susceptibility to esophageal and colon cancers in the Iranian population. However, different genotypes of rs6983267 had significant correlations with tumor grade, cancer type, and family history of gastrointestinal cancers. Further investigations in a larger population and other ethnicities are required to confirm these results.

**Keywords** Gastrointestinal cancers · 8q24 · Rs6983267 · Polymorphism · *CCAT2*

Farzaneh Karimi and Seyedeh Mina Amiri-Moghaddam have contributed equally to this manuscript.

✉ Maryam M. Matin  
matin@um.ac.ir

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Novel Diagnostics and Therapeutics Research Group, Institute of Biotechnology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Surgical Oncology Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>5</sup> Hematology and Oncology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>6</sup> Reza Radiotherapy and Oncology Center, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>7</sup> Cancer Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>8</sup> Medical Genetics Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>9</sup> Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>10</sup> Cancer Genetics Research Unit, Reza Radiotherapy and Oncology Center, Mashhad, Iran

## Introduction

Gastrointestinal cancers account for a significant cause of cancer-related morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Esophageal and gastric cancers are considered as the eighth [2] and fifth [3] most common cancers in both sexes in the world, respectively. Esophageal cancer is classified into two major types. Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) usually develops in the upper and middle portions of the esophagus and is more common than esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC), which is found in the distal esophagus [2]. Considering the tumor site, gastric cancer includes two types of cardia and non-cardia [4], while the second one is the most common type in the world [5]. This cancer is also classified into intestinal, diffuse, and mixed types by histological classification [6]. Colorectal cancer is the third most deadly cancer in females and males worldwide [7]. The main problems associated with these cancers are the late diagnosis and limited effective therapeutic methods, which lead to poor prognosis and lower overall survival rates [8].

Carcinogenesis is a complicated process involving various environmental and genetic factors. Multiple risk factors have been identified through epidemiological studies for gastrointestinal cancers, including smoking, taking drugs, alcohol consumption, unhealthy diet, and *Helicobacter pylori* infection [3, 7, 9, 10].

In addition to high penetrance genetic mutations [11, 12], low penetrance genetic factors such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are also associated with susceptibility to different malignancies as well as gastrointestinal cancers [13, 14]. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in diverse populations have revealed that 8q24, a 600-kb gene-poor region, harbors susceptibility SNPs for multiple cancers such as prostate [15–17], bladder [18], breast [19], gastric [14, 20], colorectal [16, 21], thyroid [22], lung [23], ovarian [16], and liver cancers [24]. Rs6983267, located on 8q24, significantly increases the risk of various tumors such as colorectal [21, 25, 26], prostate [17, 25], thyroid [22], lung [23], ovarian, bladder, kidney, and gastric cancers [27]. Therefore, it can be a potential marker for predicting susceptibility to various malignancies. Finding promising biomarkers for gastrointestinal pathogenesis would not only improve cancer diagnosis and prognosis but also leads to new therapeutic approaches.

In this study, the correlation between a well-known GWAS-identified SNP and cancer risk, grade, tumor location, cancer type, and family history of gastrointestinal cancers was investigated in the Iranian population.

## Materials and methods

### Study population

The samples, including 135 esophageal, 170 gastric, and 173 colorectal cancer cases were obtained from several hospitals in Mashhad, between June 2017 to September 2018. Two hundred ethnically-matched healthy volunteers were also included as the control group at the same time. Individuals with a family history of cancer in first degree and/or second degree relatives were excluded from the controls. All the participants were heritably Persian from the same geographical region of Mashhad, the capital of Khorasan Razavi province, which is located in the north-east of Iran. The study procedure was approved by the ethics committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences with reference number 1397/028.

### Epidemiologic data collection

A family report of cancer and the medical history of some serious illnesses like diabetes, heart, and autoimmune diseases were carefully evaluated in both case and control groups using an adequate standard questionnaire. Other influential parameters including age, gender, race, occupational history, education, smoking, taking drugs, alcohol consumption, diet, coffee and tea drinking, clinical symptoms, cancer type, grade, and location of tumors were also recorded for each subject.

### DNA extraction

600 µl peripheral blood samples were collected from all cases and controls in blood collection tubes containing K3EDTA (Greiner Bio-One, Austria), and stored at – 80 °C. Genomic DNA was extracted as soon as possible following the protocol devised by Bartlett and White [28] with some modifications. The purity and concentration of DNA samples were verified using a NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

### SNP genotyping using TaqMan probe

SNP genotyping was accomplished using the TaqMan real-time PCR (polymerase chain reaction) method (Bio-Rad CFX96, USA). The region including SNP (105 bp) was amplified using the specific primers, forward: 5' CCT ACCACTAAGAGGTGTAGC 3' and reverse: 5' GTCAAT AGCACATAAAAATTCTTTGTA 3', with binding probes 5' TTCTCAGTGTCTTTCATCTGC 3', labeled with FAM and 5' TTCTCAGTGCCTTTCATCTGC 3' labeled with

CY5 fluorophores to detect the specific alleles [29]. After an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 15 min, the thermal cycling of denaturation at 95 °C was done for 10 s followed by 45 s of annealing and extension at 63 °C for 50 cycles. Several samples with different genotypes were selected and sequenced (Macrogen, Korea) as quality controls, which were used to confirm the subsequent results of real-time PCR.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 25 (SPSS, USA). The frequency of age, gender, smoking status, and taking drugs were compared between cases and controls. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was evaluated in the control group using Chi-square. This test was also used to determine allele and genotype distribution in cases and controls, while  $P < 0.05$  was considered as statistically significant. The allele with lower frequency was coded as the minor allele. Logistic regression was employed to distinguish odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each genotype and different genetic models (recessive, dominant, and codominant).

Furthermore, the relation between genotypes and grade, tumor location, cancer type, and family history were estimated using logistic regression. Crude ORs and 95% CIs were adjusted for confounders: age, gender, smoking status, drug-taking, and family history of cancer.

## Results

### Demographic features of the studied population

The demographic characteristics of cases and controls participated in this study are presented in Table 1. Briefly, cases were older than controls, and there was a male predominance in both cases and controls in esophageal and gastric cancers. However, there were no significant differences in age and sex distributions between the cases and controls in colorectal cancer. Smoking and drug-taking were higher among cases compared to controls in all gastrointestinal cancers.

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was maintained in case and control groups. MAF (minor allele frequency) of the study was also evaluated at 0.5 (50%), as presented in Table 2.

### Tumor characteristics

As indicated in Table 3 most of the tumors were in grades 1 and 2 in all cancers. Among 127 esophageal cases with available data, tumors were located in different locations including the upper ( $n = 11$ , 8.2%), middle ( $n = 48$ , 35.5%), and lower ( $n = 37$ , 27.4%) esophagus, and cardia ( $n = 31$ ,

**Table 1** Demographic features of the cases and controls used in this study for gastrointestinal cancers

Variable	Cancer type	Case	Control	<i>P</i>
Study population	Esophageal	$n = 135$	$n = 200$	
	Gastric	$n = 170$	$n = 183$	
	Colorectal	$n = 173$	$n = 180$	
Gender	Esophageal	Female: 43%	Female: 49.5%	0.24
		Male: 57%	Male: 50.5%	
	Gastric	Female: 31.2%	Female: 48.6%	0.00
		Male: 68.8%	Male: 51.4%	
	Colorectal	Female: 49%	Female: 42%	0.22
		Male: 51%	Male: 58%	
Age (year)	Esophageal	$68.0 \pm 12.07$	$49.74 \pm 14.7$	0.00
	Gastric	$64.5 \pm 12.2$	$52.2 \pm 12.5$	0.00
	Colorectal	$56.4 \pm 12.5$	$56.5 \pm 15.9$	0.00
Smoking	Esophageal	31.1%	15%	0.00
	Gastric	26%	15%	0.01
	Colorectal	17%	15%	0.56
Drug-taking	Esophageal	33%	5%	0.00
	Gastric	42%	7.7%	0.00
	Colorectal	12.8%	7.8%	0.11

**Table 2** Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and MAF of study for rs6983267 gene polymorphism

Polymorphism	Cancer type	Group	Hardy–Weinberg ( <i>P</i> )	MAF of study
Rs6983267	Esophageal	Case	0.38	0.5 (50%)
		Control	0.83	
	Gastric	Case	0.95	
		Control	0.76	
	Colorectal	Case	0.99	
		Control	1	

23.0%). Gastric cancer tumors in 170 cases were distributed differentially between the cardia ( $n = 68$ , 40%) and non-cardia ( $n = 102$ , 60%) types. In 59.9% of colorectal cancer cases, tumors were observed in the colon, while 26.3% were found in the rectum. In total, 34.1% of esophageal, 98.8% of gastric, and 85.5% of colorectal cancer cases were reported to be adenocarcinoma.

### Investigating the association between rs6983267 and gastrointestinal cancers

Logistic regression analyses of the rs6983267 alleles and genotypes distribution for their association with gastrointestinal cancers are shown in Table 4. Allele and genotype frequencies were not remarkably different between cases and controls. Therefore, no significant associations were detected

**Table 3** Tumor characteristics in gastrointestinal cancer cases

Variable	Cancer type	%	
Grade	Esophageal	Grade 1	30.4
		Grade 2	29.6
		Grade 3	13.3
		Grade 4	7.4
		Unidentified	19.3
	Gastric	Grade 1	21.8
		Grade 2	28.8
		Grade 3	14.1
		Grade 4	2.9
		Unidentified	32.4
	Colorectal	Grade 1	29.5
		Grade 2	40.5
		Grade 3	5.2
		Grade 4	1.1
		Unidentified	23.7
Tumor location	Esophageal	Upper	8.2
		Middle	35.5
		Lower	27.4
		Cardia	23
		Unidentified	5.9
	Gastric	Cardia	40
		Non-cardia	60
	Colorectal	Colon	59.9
		Rectum	26.3
		Unidentified	13.8
Cancer type	Esophageal	Adenocarcinoma	34.1
		Squamous cell carcinoma	59.2
		Unidentified	6.7
	Gastric	Adenocarcinoma	98.8
		Lymphoma	1.2
	Colorectal	Adenocarcinoma	85.5
		Squamous cell carcinoma	0.5
		Unidentified	14

between this SNP and gastrointestinal cancer susceptibility in the Iranian population. Furthermore, as presented in Table 5, genetic models were also tested for all types of cancers, but none of them were appropriate for gastrointestinal cancers.

### Stratification analysis

Genotype–phenotype analyses were performed for gender, smoking, drug-taking, grade, tumor location, cancer type, and family history; and the results are presented in Table 6.

Stratification analysis by gender indicated that in the male subgroup, rs6983267 GG as a risk genotype compared to TT (OR 4.76; 95% CI 1.57–14.45;  $P=0.01$ ) significantly increased gastric cancer susceptibility, however, this SNP

was not associated with esophageal and colorectal cancers in both males and females.

Chi-square analysis indicated that esophageal cancer patients with lower and higher grades of tumors, mostly genotyped with T and G alleles, respectively. Moreover, significant associations between grade and genotype were observed in esophageal tumor grades G1 (OR 0.18; 95% CI 0.06–0.58;  $P=0.00$  and OR 0.21; 95% CI 0.07–0.63;  $P=0.00$ ) and G2 (OR 3.59; 95% CI 1.11–11.58;  $P=0.03$ ) using regression test, however, no associations were detected in stratified analyses in different grades of gastric and colorectal cancers.

A higher contribution of GG genotype was observed in the intestinal subgroup of gastric cancer in comparison with TT (OR 4.62; 95% CI 1.25–17.04;  $P=0.02$ ). Furthermore, the effects of rs6983267 genotypes on tumor location were also investigated. Dividing patients based on clinical features demonstrated an association between rs6983267 GG genotype and tumors located in the lower portion of the esophagus (OR 3.56; 95% CI 1.13–11.24;  $P=0.03$ ). Rs6983267 GG genotype also increased the susceptibility to non-cardia intestinal-type gastric cancer (OR 5.62; 95% CI 1.16–27.32;  $P=0.03$ ), whereas no contributions were found for colorectal cancer. Furthermore, assessing these data indicated that in cases and controls with a positive family history of cancer, the GT genotype increased the susceptibility to gastric cancer in our studied population (OR 3.61; 95% CI 1.09–12.01;  $P=0.04$ ).

In general, analyzing grade, tumor location, and family history represented novel findings on the probability of the G allele as a risk allele in esophageal and gastric malignancies in the Iranian population.

### The relationship between environmental factors and gastrointestinal cancers

As shown in Table 7, there were significant relationships between drug-taking and the susceptibility to esophageal (OR 7.67; 95% CI 3.38–17.40;  $P=0.00$ ) and gastric cancers (OR 7.49; 95% CI 3.76–14.91;  $P=0.00$ ). Smoking could also increase esophageal cancer risk (OR 1.82; 95% CI 0.99–3.33;  $P=0.04$ ). Moreover, the family history of any cancers and gastrointestinal cancers in first degree relatives, significantly increased the risk of esophageal cancer (OR 2.31; 95% CI 1.24–4.28;  $P=0.01$  and OR 2.88; 95% CI 1.33–6.23;  $P=0.01$ ). A remarkable correlation was found between the family history of gastrointestinal cancers and family history of gastric cancer in second degree relatives (OR 4.92, 95% CI 1.52–15.91,  $P=0.01$ ). However, no clear association was observed for these variations in colorectal cancer patients. The covariates in this table were chosen according to the backward LR method.

**Table 4** Logistic regression results of the rs6983267 allele and genotype distribution in gastrointestinal cancers

Cancer type	Genotype and allele	Case	Control	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	OR <sub>adj</sub> <sup>‡</sup> (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
Esophageal	TT <sup>†</sup>	32 (23.7%)	53 (26.5%)	Reference		Reference	
	GG	41 (30.4%)	53 (26.5%)	0.78 (0.43–1.42)	0.42	0.64 (0.31–1.34)	0.24
	GT	62 (45.9%)	94 (47.0%)	0.92 (0.53–1.58)	0.75	1.03 (0.52–2.05)	0.92
	T <sup>†</sup>	126 (46.7%)	200 (50.0%)	Reference		Reference	
	G	144 (53.3%)	200 (50.0%)	1.14 (0.84–1.56)	0.40	1.28 (0.87–1.88)	0.20
Gastric	TT	43 (25.3%)	37 (20.2%)	Reference		Reference	
	GG	39 (23.0%)	56 (30.6%)	1.67 (0.97–3.04)	0.09	1.57 (0.75–3.30)	0.23
	GT	88 (51.7%)	90 (49.2%)	1.19 (0.70–2.02)	0.52	1.37 (0.70–2.65)	0.36
	T	174 (51.2%)	164 (44.8%)	Reference		Reference	
	G	166 (48.8%)	202 (55.2%)	1.29 (0.96–1.74)	0.09	1.26 (0.86–1.83)	0.23
Colorectal	TT	37 (21.4%)	37 (20.6%)	Reference		Reference	
	GG	46 (26.6%)	54 (30.0%)	1.17 (0.64–2.14)	0.60	1.20 (0.64–2.24)	0.56
	GT	90 (52.0%)	89 (49.4%)	0.99 (0.57–1.70)	0.97	0.98 (0.56–1.72)	0.95
	T	164 (47.4%)	163 (45.3%)	Reference		Reference	
	G	182 (52.6%)	197 (54.7%)	1.07 (0.79–1.43)	0.68	1.07 (0.79–1.45)	0.64

<sup>†</sup>TT genotype and T allele served as the reference in all gastrointestinal cancers

<sup>‡</sup>Different factors adjusted for age, gender, smoking, drug-taking, and family history of cancer

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

**Table 5** Genetic models of the rs6983267 in gastrointestinal cancers

Cancer type	Genotype	Genetic model	Combined genotypes	Frequency of combined genotypes in case	Frequency of combined genotypes in control	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	OR <sub>adj</sub> <sup>†</sup> (95% CI)	<i>P</i>		
Esophageal	GG	Recessive	GG/GT + TT	41/94	53/147	1.21 (0.75–1.96)	Reference	0.64 (0.35–1.14)	Reference		
	GT + TT									0.44	0.13
	TT	Dominant	GG + GT/TT	103/32	147/53	1.16 (0.70–1.92)	Reference	0.87 (0.47–1.60)	Reference		
	GG + GT									0.56	0.65
	GT									Codominant	GT/GG + TT
TT + GG	0.85	0.32									
Gastric	GG	Recessive	GG/GT + TT	39/131	56/127	0.67 (0.42–1.09)	Reference	1.33 (0.73–2.40)	Reference		
	GT + TT									0.10	0.35
	TT	Dominant	GG + GT/TT	43/127	37/146	0.75 (0.45–1.23)	Reference	0.69 (0.37–1.28)	Reference		
	GG + GT									0.25	0.24
	GT									Codominant	GT/GG + TT
TT + GG	0.63	0.87									
Colorectal	GG	Recessive	GG/GT + TT	46/127	54/126	0.84 (0.53–1.34)	Reference	0.85 (0.53–1.35)	Reference		
	GT + TT									0.48	0.48
	TT	Dominant	GG + GT/TT	37/136	37/143	0.95 (0.57–1.59)	Reference	0.99 (0.98–1.01)	Reference		
	GG + GT									0.85	0.92
	GT									Codominant	GT/GG + TT
TT + GG	0.63	0.93									

<sup>†</sup>Different factors adjusted for age and drug-taking for esophageal and gastric cancer, and adjusted for age for colorectal cancer

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

## Discussion

Finding efficient biomarkers and molecular factors regulating gastrointestinal pathogenesis would not only have

an impact on early diagnosis and better prognosis, but also can lead to novel therapeutic approaches. Emerging evidence has revealed that non-coding regions of the genome can also contribute to cancer development. In

**Table 6** Stratified logistic regression analysis according to potential confounding factors of rs6983267 genotypes and gastrointestinal cancers

Variable	Cancer type		Genotype (GG/ TG/TT)	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	OR <sub>adj</sub> <sup>†</sup> (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
Gender	Esophageal	Male	GG/TT	0.95 (0.40–2.22)	0.90	0.93 (0.29–2.93)	0.90
			GT/TT	0.95 (0.46–1.98)	0.90	0.96 (0.36–2.57)	0.93
		Female	GG/TT	0.58 (0.25–1.35)	0.21	0.48 (0.18–1.30)	0.15
			GT/TT	0.93 (0.41–2.12)	0.87	1.32 (0.47–3.71)	0.59
	Gastric	Male	GG/TT	2.42 (1.06–5.50)	<b>0.04</b>	4.76 (1.57–14.45)	<b>0.01</b>
			GT/TT	1.59 (0.77–3.27)	0.21	2.37 (0.93–6.05)	0.07
		Female	GG/TT	1.06 (0.42–2.70)	0.90	0.66 (0.20–2.17)	0.50
			GT/TT	0.94 (0.40–2.21)	0.90	0.87 (0.28–2.70)	0.81
	Colorectal	Male	GG/TT	1.56 (0.66–3.69)	0.32	1.57 (0.64–3.83)	0.32
			GT/TT	1.31 (0.60–2.84)	0.50	1.35 (0.60–3.03)	0.46
		Female	GG/TT	0.83 (0.39–2.14)	0.91	0.99 (0.40–2.46)	0.99
			GT/TT	0.51 (0.36–1.67)	0.77	0.65 (0.28–1.50)	0.31
Smoking	Esophageal	Smoking	GG/TT	0.48 (0.10–2.23)	0.35	0.38 (0.05–2.68)	0.33
			GT/TT	0.37 (0.11–1.22)	0.10	0.33 (0.07–1.57)	0.16
		Non-smoking	GG/TT	0.83 (0.43–1.60)	0.59	0.68 (0.30–1.52)	0.35
			GT/TT	1.40 (0.74–2.63)	0.30	1.47 (0.66–3.29)	0.34
	Gastric	Smoking	GG/TT	0.79 (0.30–2.08)	0.63	0.88 (0.12–6.18)	0.90
			GT/TT	0.76 (0.34–1.74)	0.52	1.96 (0.36–10.73)	0.44
		Non-smoking	GG/TT	2.06 (1.06–3.99)	<b>0.03</b>	1.73 (0.76–3.97)	0.19
			GT/TT	1.42 (0.79–2.54)	0.24	1.25 (0.60–2.62)	0.55
	Colorectal	Smoking	GG/TT	1.00 (0.14–7.10)	0.99	2.55 (0.23–28.63)	0.45
			GT/TT	1.25 (0.23–6.70)	0.79	2.06 (0.20–21.22)	0.54
		Non-smoking	GG/TT	1.22 (0.65–2.32)	0.53	1.19 (0.62–2.29)	0.59
			GT/TT	0.97 (0.54–1.72)	0.91	0.91 (0.51–1.65)	0.77
Drug-taking	Esophageal	Positive	GG/TT	3.54 (0.32–39.14)	0.30	0.63 (0.03–14.30)	0.77
			GT/TT	3.71 (0.40–34.44)	0.25	0.92 (0.06–14.58)	0.95
		Negative	GG/TT	0.61 (0.30–1.22)	0.16	0.51 (0.23–1.14)	0.10
			GT/TT	0.78 (0.41–1.49)	0.46	0.85 (0.40–1.79)	0.67
	Gastric	Positive	GG/TT	7.86 (0.83–74.48)	0.07	9.08 (0.86–95.85)	0.07
			GT/TT	3.45 (0.41–29.28)	0.26	3.29 (0.36–29.75)	0.29
		Negative	GG/TT	1.27 (0.64–2.51)	0.49	1.22 (0.53–2.79)	0.64
			GT/TT	1.16 (0.63–2.14)	0.63	1.14 (0.53–2.42)	0.74
	Colorectal	Positive	GG/TT	5.00 (0.39–64.39)	0.22	2.73 (0.15–51.14)	0.50
			GT/TT	2.91 (0.27–31.21)	0.38	2.51 (0.15–41.82)	0.52
		Negative	GG/TT	1.07 (0.57–2.00)	0.83	1.13 (0.60–2.16)	0.70
			GT/TT	0.94 (0.53–1.65)	0.83	0.95 (0.53–1.71)	0.88

**Table 6** (continued)

Variable	Cancer type		Genotype (GG/ TG/TT)	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	OR <sub>adj</sub> <sup>†</sup> (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	
Grade	Esophageal	Grade 1	GG/TT	0.18 (0.06–0.56)	<b>0.00</b>	0.18 (0.06–0.58)	<b>0.00</b>	
			GT/TT	0.24 (0.09–0.65)	<b>0.00</b>	0.21 (0.07–0.63)	<b>0.00</b>	
		Grade 2	GG/TT	2.51 (0.80–7.89)	0.12	3.02 (0.91–10.07)	0.07	
			GT/TT	2.75 (0.95–7.98)	0.06	3.59 (1.11–11.58)	<b>0.03</b>	
		Grade 3,4	GG/TT	3.14 (0.87–11.37)	0.08	2.84 (0.76–10.55)	0.12	
			GT/TT	2.17 (0.63–7.44)	0.22	2.07 (0.56–7.68)	0.27	
		Gastric	Grade 1	GG/TT	0.78 (0.24–2.45)	0.67	1.31 (0.36–4.83)	0.68
				GT/TT	0.56 (0.22–1.43)	0.22	0.92 (0.32–2.68)	0.88
	Grade 2		GG/TT	0.80 (0.26–2.49)	0.70	0.52 (0.14–1.98)	0.34	
			GT/TT	0.95 (0.38–2.34)	0.90	0.68 (0.24–1.88)	0.45	
	Grade 3, 4		GG/TT	1.48 (0.38–5.71)	0.57	1.21 (0.27–5.38)	0.80	
			GT/TT	1.73 (0.57–5.27)	0.33	1.38 (0.42–4.54)	0.59	
	Colorectal		Grade 1	GG/TT	0.61 (0.18–2.04)	0.42	0.60 (0.17–2.13)	0.43
				GT/TT	0.60 (0.21–1.70)	0.34	0.59 (0.20–1.72)	0.33
		Grade 2	GG/TT	1.28 (0.50–3.23)	0.60	1.40 (0.53–3.67)	0.50	
			GT/TT	0.66 (0.29–1.50)	0.32	0.69 (0.29–1.61)	0.39	
Grade 3, 4		GG/TT	1.06 (0.38–2.94)	0.91	0.97 (0.33–2.85)	0.95		
		GT/TT	2.16 (0.89–5.22)	0.09	2.21 (0.87–5.58)	0.09		
Tumor location		Esophageal	Upper	GG/TT	0.15 (0.02–1.34)	0.09	0.16 (0.02–1.55)	0.11
				GT/TT	0.46 (0.12–1.75)	0.25	0.40 (0.09–1.69)	0.21
	Middle		GG/TT	0.52 (0.19–1.42)	0.30	0.36 (0.11–1.18)	0.09	
			GT/TT	0.51 (0.21–1.26)	0.20	0.51 (0.17–1.55)	0.24	
	Lower		GG/TT	2.77 (0.94–8.12)	0.06	3.56 (1.13–11.24)	<b>0.03</b>	
			GT/TT	0.93 (0.33–2.64)	0.89	0.90 (0.29–2.76)	0.85	
	Cardia		GG/TT	1.86 (0.42–8.19)	0.41	2.09 (0.44–9.92)	0.35	
			GT/TT	4.97 (1.35–18.37)	<b>0.02</b>	5.24 (1.26–21.83)	<b>0.02</b>	
	Gastric	Cardia	GG/TT	1.41 (0.78–2.56)	0.25	1.77 (0.85–3.69)	0.12	
			GT/TT	0.87 (0.52–1.45)	0.60	1.30 (0.68–2.49)	0.42	
		Non-cardia	GG/TT	1.83 (1.13–2.96)	<b>0.01</b>	1.73 (0.96–3.13)	0.07	
			GT/TT	1.44 (0.94–2.20)	0.09	1.54 (0.91–2.62)	0.11	
	Colorectal	Colon	GG/TT	0.97 (0.48–1.97)	0.94	0.94 (0.45–1.96)	0.87	
			GT/TT	0.81 (0.43–1.54)	0.53	0.79 (0.40–1.53)	0.48	
		Rectum	GG/TT	1.46 (0.66–3.22)	0.35	1.60 (0.70–3.65)	0.26	
			GT/TT	1.28 (0.63–2.58)	0.49	1.27 (0.61–2.64)	0.52	
Cancer type	Esophageal	ESCC	GG/TT	1.04 (0.52–2.10)	0.90	0.81 (0.34–1.93)	0.64	
			GT/TT	1.16 (0.62–2.18)	0.63	1.08 (0.49–2.39)	0.85	
		EAC	GG/TT	0.44 (0.17–1.15)	0.09	0.48 (0.16–1.47)	0.20	
			GT/TT	0.54 (0.22–1.34)	0.18	0.88 (0.30–2.55)	0.81	
	Gastric	Intestinal	GG/TT	3.46 (1.30–9.22)	0.01	4.62 (1.25–17.04)	<b>0.02</b>	
			GT/TT	1.39 (0.67–2.87)	0.37	1.66 (0.61–4.50)	0.32	
		Diffuse	GG/TT	0.65 (0.16–2.67)	0.55	0.88 (0.19–4.00)	0.87	
			GT/TT	0.56 (0.15–2.08)	0.39	0.90 (0.22–3.73)	0.89	

**Table 6** (continued)

Variable	Cancer type		Genotype (GG/ TG/TT)	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	OR <sub>adj</sub> <sup>†</sup> (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
Family history	Esophageal	Positive	GG/TT	0.96 (0.39–2.37)	0.93	0.23 (0.06–0.89)	0.33
			GT/TT	1.20 (0.53–2.74)	0.66	0.66 (0.19–2.26)	0.51
		Negative	GG/TT	0.66 (0.29–1.51)	0.33	0.83 (0.31–2.15)	0.70
			GT/TT	0.73 (0.34–1.55)	0.41	1.02 (0.42–2.44)	0.97
	Gastric	Positive	GG/TT	1.48 (0.55–3.96)	0.43	1.49 (0.41–5.43)	0.54
			GT/TT	1.90 (0.79–4.54)	0.15	3.61 (1.09–12.01)	<b>0.04</b>
		Negative	GG/TT	1.70 (0.74–3.89)	0.21	1.74 (0.66–4.57)	0.26
			GT/TT	0.97 (0.47–2.00)	0.93	0.92 (0.39–2.14)	0.84
	Colorectal	Positive	GG/TT	1.15 (0.38–3.53)	0.80	1.41 (0.42–4.82)	0.58
			GT/TT	0.97 (0.37–2.56)	0.95	1.08 (0.38–3.10)	0.89
		Negative	GG/TT	1.27 (0.61–2.63)	0.52	1.24 (0.59–2.61)	0.57
			GT/TT	1.17 (0.60–2.29)	0.64	1.19 (0.60–2.34)	0.62
Gastrointestinal family history	Esophageal	Positive	GG/TT	1.40 (0.37–5.28)	0.62	0.42 (0.02–7.59)	0.56
			GT/TT	1.60 (0.43–5.89)	0.48	0.57 (0.03–11.49)	0.71
		Negative	GG/TT	0.78 (0.39–1.57)	0.49	0.75 (0.32–1.72)	0.49
			GT/TT	0.86 (0.46–1.58)	0.62	1.15 (0.55–2.40)	0.72
	Gastric	Positive	GG/TT	0.82 (0.18–3.74)	0.80	0.85 (0.08–9.22)	0.90
			GT/TT	2.21 (0.59–8.26)	0.24	5.44 (0.52–56.83)	0.16
		Negative	GG/TT	1.67 (0.39–7.15)	0.49	2.10 (0.35–12.65)	0.42
			GT/TT	1.42 (0.39–5.11)	0.59	6.07 (0.96–38.42)	0.75
	Colorectal	Positive	GG/TT	1.11 (0.57–2.16)	0.77	1.13 (0.57–2.23)	0.73
			GT/TT	1.11 (0.60–2.03)	0.74	1.15 (0.62–2.12)	0.66
		Negative	GG/TT	1.41 (0.73–2.72)	0.31	1.36 (0.69–2.66)	0.38
			GT/TT	1.14 (0.62–2.09)	0.67	1.11 (0.60–2.05)	0.74

Significant *P* values are indicated in bold

<sup>†</sup>Different factors adjusted for age, gender, smoking, drug-taking, and family history of cancer

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

numerous genome-wide association and case–control studies, rs6983267 within *CCAT2* lncRNA on 8q24 has been introduced as a risk factor for several cancers in different ethnicities [30, 31]. This study was conducted to detect the association between rs6983267 and susceptibility to gastrointestinal cancers and their grade, tumor location, cancer type, and family history in the Iranian population, to introduce a predisposition biomarker for these cancers.

We did not observe any association between different genotypes of rs6983267 and esophageal cancer, which was in line with other publications. In similar studies conducted on 360 [32] and 218 [33] Chinese cancer cases, no correlations were found. Furthermore, two other reports on Caucasian [20] and American, African, Asian populations [24] had the same results.

Investigating the correlation between rs6983267 and gastric cancer has been reported in various studies [14, 20,

24, 27, 33–36]. Guo et al. indicated that in Chinese population, the GT genotype of rs6983267 increases susceptibility to gastric cancer as compared to the GG genotype. In contrast, other researches in Polish, American [20], Latin American [35], and Chinese [36] populations indicate different results: detecting no association between this SNP and gastric cancer in these studies may suggest that the observed association is dependent on ethnicity. Moreover, the selection of controls is an important factor; Labrador et al. indicated that employing controls with chronic gastritis history may lead to false results due to similar genetic background to gastric cancer cases [35].

The GG genotype of this SNP enhanced the susceptibility to colorectal cancer in studies with large numbers of participants. Still, no associations were found in smaller size populations with different ethnic groups such as African–American, Romanian, Chinese, and Iranian patients [26, 37–39].



**Table 7** Logistic regression results indicating the association between environmental factors and gastrointestinal cancers

Variable	Cancer type	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	OR <sub>adj</sub> <sup>†</sup> (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	
Gender	Esophageal	1.25 (0.81–1.94)	0.32	0.84 (0.48–1.47)	0.54	
	Gastric	2.09 (1.35–3.23)	<b>0.00</b>	1.60 (0.92–2.80)	0.10	
	Colorectal	1.14 (0.75–1.73)	0.54	1.16 (0.75–1.81)	0.50	
Smoking	Esophageal	2.56 (1.50–4.36)	<b>0.00</b>	1.82 (0.99–3.33)	<b>0.04</b>	
	Gastric	2.17 (1.26–3.74)	<b>0.00</b>	0.93 (0.46–1.86)	0.83	
	Colorectal	2.37 (1.16–4.84)	0.35	2.80 (1.31–5.99)	0.18	
Drug-taking	Esophageal	9.50 (4.58–19.71)	<b>0.00</b>	7.67 (3.38–17.40)	<b>0.00</b>	
	Gastric	9.31 (4.93–17.57)	<b>0.00</b>	7.49 (3.76–14.91)	<b>0.00</b>	
	Colorectal	1.39 (0.67–2.88)	0.38	1.71 (0.77–3.78)	0.19	
Family history of any cancers	First degree	Esophageal	2.89 (1.72–4.85)	<b>0.00</b>	2.31 (1.24–4.28)	<b>0.01</b>
		Second degree	1.06 (0.56–2.02)	0.86	1.71 (0.75–3.86)	0.20
		Total	1.94 (1.24–3.03)	<b>0.00</b>	1.99 (1.14–3.47)	<b>0.01</b>
	Second degree	Gastric	1.93 (1.18–3.17)	<b>0.01</b>	1.29 (0.70–2.38)	0.42
		Second degree	1.17 (0.61–2.24)	0.65	2.22 (0.99–4.98)	0.05
		Total	1.51 (0.96–2.36)	0.07	1.69 (0.97–2.97)	0.07
	Colorectal	First degree	1.14 (0.69–1.90)	0.61	1.27 (0.75–2.16)	0.37
		Second degree	1.09 (0.48–2.50)	0.83	1.03 (0.44–2.43)	0.95
		Total	1.03 (0.65–1.62)	0.91	1.10 (0.69–1.75)	0.70
Family history of gastrointestinal cancers	First degree	Esophageal	2.79 (1.48–5.24)	<b>0.00</b>	2.88 (1.33–6.23)	<b>0.01</b>
		Second degree	0.84 (0.38–1.86)	0.67	1.06 (0.39–2.87)	0.91
		Total	1.99 (1.19–3.32)	<b>0.01</b>	2.57 (1.31–5.04)	<b>0.01</b>
	Second degree	Gastric	2.20 (1.08–4.44)	<b>0.03</b>	1.70 (0.70–4.13)	0.24
		Second degree	1.54 (0.57–4.17)	0.39	4.92 (1.52–15.91)	<b>0.01</b>
		Total	1.74 (0.97–3.13)	0.06	2.47 (1.16–5.24)	<b>0.02</b>
	Colorectal	First degree	1.34 (0.68–2.64)	0.40	1.42 (0.70–2.87)	0.33
		Second degree	0.90 (0.33–2.41)	0.83	0.89 (0.33–2.42)	0.83
		Total	1.19 (0.67–2.13)	0.55	1.28 (0.70–2.33)	0.42

Significant *P* values are indicated in bold

<sup>†</sup>Different factors adjusted for age, gender, smoking, drug-taking, and family history of cancer

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

This contradiction can be due to differences in population, sample size, or study design. For instance, considering the two studies in the Iranian population, Daraei et al. indicated this association, which was different from Haerian's report. In Daraei's research, the case group was selected from patients with no family history of cancer, and used a smaller sample size compared to Haerian work, which may have contributed to false-positive results. Furthermore, Haerian results were corrected by applying the Bonferroni procedure for multiple comparisons effect. Results can also be affected with heterogeneity in the case group [37] and ethnicity [26].

Furthermore, stratified analyses based on gender, revealed that the GG genotype of rs6983267 substantially correlated with gastric cancer in the male subgroup. On the other hand, a Chinese population-based study demonstrated that the rs6983267 GT genotype enhanced gastric cancer susceptibility in the female group [34]. Although the results suggested that rs6983267 genotypes may affect differentially based on

gender in gastric cancer, no associations were observed in colorectal and esophageal cancers.

Some studies have shown that histological grades of tumor cells associate with the aggressiveness of gastric cancer. Hence, rs6983267 can be considered as a prognostic marker besides other epigenetic and genetic factors for managing the disease [40]. There was a clear relationship between rs6983267 and esophageal cancer grades in our study. Tumors genotyped with G allele were determined to be at higher grades.

We also investigated the association between this SNP and tumor location. A higher frequency of G allele was observed in tumors located mostly in the lower portion of the esophagus, which is involved in EAC with poor prognosis. Furthermore, we found that the GG genotype increased gastric cancer susceptibility in patients with intestinal-type and non-cardia tumors. Similarly, results from a Chinese population-based study indicated the association for GT

genotype, in intestinal and non-cardia gastric cancers [14], pointing to the importance of the G allele as a risk allele.

As several studies have indicated, tobacco smoking is responsible for lung, bladder [41], aerodigestive, cervical, liver, and stomach cancers [42, 43]. Our findings also suggest that a high proportion of esophageal cancer cases smoked and took drugs (Table 7). Statistical analyses indicated that drug-taking also significantly increased the risk of gastric cancer. Researches have shown that a family history of cancer correlates with the susceptibility to different cancers such as prostate, liver, gastric, esophageal, colorectal, and breast cancers [44]. Our results also revealed that a family history of cancer would increase the risk of esophageal and gastric cancers. Tartelon et al. indicated an association between the rs6983267 GT genotype in 8q24 and a family history of cancer [13]. Our findings demonstrated that controls and gastric cancer cases with a family history of different tumors had a higher frequency of rs6983267 G risk allele than others without a family history.

Considering tumor grade, cancer type, and family history, our findings suggest that susceptibility to gastrointestinal cancers might be associated with the G risk allele of rs6983267.

Despite the adequate statistical power of the current study, the sample size should be considered as a limitation. Thus, further investigations with an expanding sample size are needed in the genetic epidemiology of rs6983267 to confirm our findings.

Germline genetic polymorphisms could be promising biomarkers for cancer prognosis and response to personalized cancer therapy [45]. Hence, determining a panel of these SNPs would make the future of the diagnostic methods a non-invasive, reproducible, and cost-effective approach.

The mechanism of these SNPs in 8q24 is not entirely understood. There are several studies, which support 8q24 locus harbors cis-regulatory enhancers for MYC [46]. Mounting evidence has indicated that the G risk allele may increase the transcription of MYC proto-oncogene by enhancing the binding of the WNT-regulated transcription factor 4 (TCF4) [46–48]. TCF4 binds to both a transcriptional enhancer at the rs6983267 locus and the risk region interacting with MYC [49]. The formation of long-range chromatin loops with MYC has been shown to occur in colon, prostate, and breast cancers [47].

In conclusion, our results, which provide the first reported data on esophageal and gastric cancers in the Iranian population, suggest that rs6983267 has no significant association with gastrointestinal cancers with the exception of gastric cancer male subgroup. According to some findings, rs6983267 combined with other SNPs and loci in the haplotype might play a prominent role in susceptibility to these cancers. However, the epistatic effect of other SNPs and interactions between contracting SNPs can decrease the

effect size of the studied SNP. Therefore, the inclusion of other SNPs or increasing the number of participants in each group can greatly improve the power of the study for further research.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (Grant Numbers: 46877, 46879, and 46673) and Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (Grant Number: 960032). We are indebted to our study participants, whose contribution made this research possible. We also thank all the clinicians and staff of Imam Reza Hospital, Isar Clinic, Reza Radiotherapy and Oncology Center, Omid Hospital, Ghaem Hospital, and Shahid Hashemi Nejad Hospital for their generous help in providing blood samples. The technical help of Mr. Mahdi Nohtani is also greatly appreciated.

**Author contributions** MMM and ARB designed the experiments and proofread the manuscript. FK, SMAM, and ZB performed the experiments and analyzed the data. FK and SMAM also wrote the manuscript. MMM and LG financially supported this project. LG, AA, MM, AFP, AB, AET, MAK, and HR helped in providing blood samples.

**Funding** This work was supported by Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (Grant Numbers: 46877, 46879, and 46673) and Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (Grant Number: 960032).

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Mathers C, Parkin D, Piñeros M, Znaor A, Bray F (2019) Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *Int J Cancer* 144(8):1941–1953
2. Uhlenhopp DJ, Then EO, Sunkara T, Gaduputi V (2020) Epidemiology of esophageal cancer: update in global trends, etiology and risk factors. *Clin J Gastroenterol* 13:1010
3. Rawla P, Barsouk A (2019) Epidemiology of gastric cancer: global trends, risk factors and prevention. *Prz Gastroenterol* 14(1):26
4. Siewert J, Stein H, Feith M (2006) Adenocarcinoma of the esophago-gastric junction. *Scand J Surg* 95(4):260–269
5. Colquhoun A, Arnold M, Ferlay J, Goodman K, Forman D, Soerjomataram I (2015) Global patterns of cardia and non-cardia gastric cancer incidence in 2012. *Gut* 64(12):1881–1888
6. Lauren P (1965) The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma: an attempt at a histo-clinical classification. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand* 64(1):31–49
7. Rawla P, Sunkara T, Barsouk A (2019) Epidemiology of colorectal cancer: incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. *Prz Gastroenterol* 14(2):89
8. Bouvier A-M, Sant M, Verdecchia A, Forman D, Damhuis R, Coebergh JW, Crocetti E, Crosignani P, Gafa L, Launoy G (2010) What reasons lie behind long-term survival differences for gastric cancer within Europe? *Eur J Cancer* 46(6):1086–1092
9. Taheri M, Nazari J, Anoshirvani AA, Aghabozorgi R, Tabaeian SP, Bahrami M, Almasi-Hashiani A (2020) Incidence trend of gastrointestinal cancer in Markazi, in the Center of Iran,

- population-based cancer registry results: 2010–2014. *J Gastrointest Cancer*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12029-020-00509-6>
10. Rawla P, Sunkara T, Gaduputi V (2019) Epidemiology of pancreatic cancer: global trends, etiology and risk factors. *World J Oncol* 10(1):10
  11. Gao Y, Hu N, Han X, Giffen C, Ding T, Goldstein A, Taylor P (2009) Family history of cancer and risk for esophageal and gastric cancer in Shanxi, China. *BMC Cancer* 9(1):269
  12. Shin CM, Kim N, Yang HJ, Cho S-I, Lee HS, Kim JS, Jung HC, Song IS (2010) Stomach cancer risk in gastric cancer relatives: interaction between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and family history of gastric cancer for the risk of stomach cancer. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 44(2):e34–e39
  13. Middeldorp A, Jagmohan-Changur S, van Eijk R, Tops C, Devilee P, Vasen HF, Hes FJ, Houlston R, Tomlinson I, Houwing-Duistermaat JJ (2009) Enrichment of low penetrance susceptibility loci in a Dutch familial colorectal cancer cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 18(11):3062–3067
  14. Guo Y, Fang J, Liu Y, Sheng H-H, Zhang X-Y, Chai H-N, Jin W, Zhang K-H, Yang C-Q, Gao H-J (2011) Association between polymorphism rs6983267 and gastric cancer risk in Chinese population. *World J Gastroenterol* 17(13):1759
  15. Freedman ML, Haiman CA, Patterson N, McDonald GJ, Tandon A, Waliszewska A, Penney K, Steen RG, Ardlie K, John EM (2006) Admixture mapping identifies 8q24 as a prostate cancer risk locus in African-American men. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103(38):14068–14073
  16. Ghousaini M, Song H, Koessler T, Al Olama AA, Kote-Jarai Z, Driver KE, Pooley KA, Ramus SJ, Kjaer SK, Hogdall E (2008) Multiple loci with different cancer specificities within the 8q24 gene desert. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 100(13):962–966
  17. Yeager M, Orr N, Hayes RB, Jacobs KB, Kraft P, Wacholder S, Minichiello MJ, Fearnhead P, Yu K, Chatterjee N (2007) Genome-wide association study of prostate cancer identifies a second risk locus at 8q24. *Nat Genet* 39(5):645
  18. Cortessis VK, Yuan J-M, Van Den Berg D, Jiang X, Gago-Dominguez M, Stern MC, Castela JE, Xiang Y-B, Gao Y-T, Pike MC (2010) Risk of urinary bladder cancer is associated with 8q24 variant rs9642880 [T] in multiple racial/ethnic groups: results from the Los Angeles-Shanghai case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 19(12):3150–3156
  19. Nessling M, Richter K, Schwaenen C, Roerig P, Wrobel G, Wessendorf S, Fritz B, Bentz M, Sinn H-P, Radlwimmer B (2005) Candidate genes in breast cancer revealed by microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization of archived tissue. *Cancer Res* 65(2):439–447
  20. Lochhead P, Ng MTH, Hold GL, Rabkin CS, Vaughan TL, Gammon MD, Risch HA, Lissowska J, Mukhopadhyay I, Chow W-H (2011) Possible association between a genetic polymorphism at 8q24 and risk of upper gastrointestinal cancer. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 20(1):54
  21. Tomlinson I, Webb E, Carvajal-Carmona L, Broderick P, Kemp Z, Spain S, Penegar S, Chandler I, Gorman M, Wood W (2007) A genome-wide association scan of tag SNPs identifies a susceptibility variant for colorectal cancer at 8q24.21. *Nat Genet* 39(8):984
  22. Jones AM, Howarth KM, Martin L, Gorman M, Mihai R, Moss L, Auton A, Lemon C, Mehanna H, Mohan H (2012) Thyroid cancer susceptibility polymorphisms: confirmation of loci on chromosomes 9q22 and 14q13, validation of a recessive 8q24 locus and failure to replicate a locus on 5q24. *J Med Genet* 49(3):158–163
  23. Zhang X, Chen Q, He C, Mao W, Zhang L, Xu X, Zhu J, Chen B (2012) Polymorphisms on 8q24 are associated with lung cancer risk and survival in Han Chinese. *PLoS One* 7(7):e41930
  24. Park SL, Chang S-C, Cai L, Cordon-Cardo C, Ding B-G, Greenland S, Hussain SK, Jiang Q, Liu S, Lu M-L (2008) Associations between variants of the 8q24 chromosome and nine smoking-related cancer sites. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 17(11):3193–3202
  25. Haiman CA, Le Marchand L, Yamamoto J, Stram DO, Sheng X, Kolonel LN, Wu AH, Reich D, Henderson BE (2007) A common genetic risk factor for colorectal and prostate cancer. *Nat Genet* 39(8):954
  26. Kupfer SS, Torres JB, Hooker S, Anderson JR, Skol AD, Ellis NA, Kittles RA (2009) Novel single nucleotide polymorphism associations with colorectal cancer on chromosome 8q24 in African and European Americans. *Carcinogenesis* 30(8):1353–1357
  27. Wokołorczyk D, Gliniewicz B, Sikorski A, Złowocka E, Masojć B, Dębniak T, Matyjasik J, Mierzejewski M, Mędrak K, Oszutowska D (2008) A range of cancers is associated with the rs6983267 marker on chromosome 8. *Cancer Res* 68(23):9982–9986
  28. Bartlett JM, Stirling D (2003) PCR protocols, vol 226. Springer, Berlin
  29. Oskina NA, Boyarskikh UA, Lazarev AF, Petrova VD, Ganov DI, Tonacheva OG, Lifshits GI, Filipenko ML (2014) A replication study examining association of rs6983267, rs10090154, and rs1447295 common single nucleotide polymorphisms in 8q24 region with prostate cancer in Siberians. *Urol Oncol* 1:37
  30. Shah MY, Ferracin M, Pileczki V, Chen B, Redis R, Fabris L, Zhang X, Ivan C, Shimizu M, Rodriguez-Aguayo C (2018) Cancer-associated rs6983267 SNP and its accompanying long non-coding RNA CCAT2 induce myeloid malignancies via unique SNP-specific RNA mutations. *Genome Res* 28(4):432–447
  31. Łażniak S, Lutkowska A, Wareńczak-Florczak Z, Sowińska A, Tsibulski A, Roszak A, Sajdak S, Jagodziński PP (2018) The association of CCAT2 rs6983267 SNP with MYC expression and progression of uterine cervical cancer in the Polish population. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 297(5):1285–1292
  32. Geng T-T, Xun X-J, Li S, Feng T, Wang L-P, Jin T-B, Hou P (2015) Association of colorectal cancer susceptibility variants with esophageal cancer in a Chinese population. *World J Gastroenterol* 21(22):6898
  33. Tarleton HP, Chang S-C, Park SL, Cai L, Ding B, He N, Hussain SK, Jiang Q, Mu L-N, Rao J (2014) Genetic variation at 8q24, family history of cancer, and upper gastrointestinal cancers in a Chinese population. *Fam Cancer* 13(1):45–56
  34. Zhou C, Pan H, Li F, Hu N, Li M, Yang X (2014) Association analysis of colorectal cancer susceptibility variants with gastric cancer in a Chinese Han population. *Genet Mol Res* 13(2):3673–3680
  35. Labrador L, Torres K, Camargo M, Santiago L, Valderrama E, Chiurillo MA (2015) Association of common variants on chromosome 8q24 with gastric cancer in Venezuelan patients. *Gene* 566(1):120–124
  36. He B-S, Sun H-L, Xu T, Pan Y-Q, Lin K, Gao T-Y, Zhang Z-Y, Wang S-K (2017) Association of genetic polymorphisms in the LncRNAs with gastric cancer risk in a Chinese population. *J Cancer* 8(4):531
  37. Poynter JN, Figueiredo JC, Conti DV, Kennedy K, Gallinger S, Siegmund KD, Casey G, Thibodeau SN, Jenkins MA, Hopper JL (2007) Variants on 9p24 and 8q24 are associated with risk of colorectal cancer: results from the Colon Cancer Family Registry. *Cancer Res* 67(23):11128–11132
  38. Li L, Plummer SJ, Thompson CL, Merkulova A, Acheson LS, Tucker TC, Casey G (2008) A common 8q24 variant and the risk of colon cancer: a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 17(2):339–342
  39. Haerian MS, Haerian BS, Rooki H, Molanaei S, Kosari F, Obohhat M, Hosseinpour P, Azimzadeh P, Mohebbi SR, Akbari Z (2014) Association of 8q24. 21 rs10505477-rs6983267 haplotype and age at diagnosis of colorectal cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 15(1):369–374

40. Zu H, Wang F, Ma Y, Xue Y (2013) Stage-stratified analysis of prognostic significance of tumor size in patients with gastric cancer. *PLoS One* 8(1):e54502
41. Lichtenstein P, Holm NV, Verkasalo PK, Iliadou A, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Pukkala E, Skytthe A, Hemminki K (2000) Environmental and heritable factors in the causation of cancer—analyses of cohorts of twins from Sweden, Denmark, and Finland. *N Engl J Med* 343(2):78–85
42. Danaei G, Vander Hoorn S, Lopez AD, Murray CJ, Ezzati M, group CRAc (2005) Causes of cancer in the world: comparative risk assessment of nine behavioural and environmental risk factors. *Lancet* 366(9499):1784–1793
43. Ezzati M, Henley SJ, Lopez AD, Thun MJ (2005) Role of smoking in global and regional cancer epidemiology: current patterns and data needs. *Int J Cancer* 116(6):963–971
44. Turati F, Edefonti V, Bosetti C, Ferraroni M, Malvezzi M, Franceschi S, Talamini R, Montella M, Levi F, Dal Maso L (2013) Family history of cancer and the risk of cancer: a network of case–control studies. *Ann Oncol* 24(10):2651–2656
45. Coate L, Cuffe S, Horgan A, Hung RJ, Christiani D, Liu G (2010) Germline genetic variation, cancer outcome, and pharmacogenetics. *J Clin Oncol* 28(26):4029–4037
46. Tuupanen S, Turunen M, Lehtonen R, Hallikas O, Vanharanta S, Kivioja T, Björklund M, Wei G, Yan J, Niittymäki I (2009) The common colorectal cancer predisposition SNP rs6983267 at chromosome 8q24 confers potential to enhanced Wnt signaling. *Nat Genet* 41(8):885
47. Ahmadiyeh N, Pomerantz MM, Grisanzio C, Herman P, Jia L, Almendro V, He HH, Brown M, Liu XS, Davis M (2010) 8q24 prostate, breast, and colon cancer risk loci show tissue-specific long-range interaction with MYC. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107(21):9742–9746
48. Wright JB, Brown SJ, Cole MD (2010) Upregulation of c-MYC in cis through a large chromatin loop linked to a cancer risk-associated single-nucleotide polymorphism in colorectal cancer cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 30(6):1411–1420
49. Pomerantz MM, Ahmadiyeh N, Jia L, Herman P, Verzi MP, Dodapaneni H, Beckwith CA, Chan JA, Hills A, Davis M (2009) The 8q24 cancer risk variant rs6983267 shows long-range interaction with MYC in colorectal cancer. *Nat Genet* 41(8):882

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.