



Integrated pest management of *Tuta absoluta*: practical implementations across different world regions

Nicolas Desneux¹ · Peng Han² · Ramzi Mansour³ · Judit Arnó⁴ · Thierry Brévault^{5,6} · Mateus R. Campos¹ · Anaïs Chailleux^{6,7} · Raul N. C. Guedes⁸ · Javad Karimi⁹ · Kouassi Arthur J. Konan^{1,10} · Anne-violette Lavoit¹ · María G. Luna^{11,12} · Meritxell Perez-Hedo¹³ · Alberto Urbaneja¹³ · François J. Verheggen¹⁴ · Lucia Zappalà¹⁵ · Khaled Abbes¹⁶ · Abid Ali^{17,18} · Yunus Bayram¹⁹ · Fernando Cantor²⁰ · Andrew G. S. Cuthbertson²¹ · Raf De Vis²² · Fedai Erler²³ · Dnyaneshwar M. Firake²⁴ · Khalid Haddi²⁵ · M. Jamal Hajjar²⁶ · Khasan Ismoilov^{27,28,29} · Coline C. Jaworski^{1,30,31} · Marc Kenis³² · Hao-tian Liu^{27,28,33} · Hossein Madadi³⁴ · Thibaud Martin^{6,35} · Ahmed Mazih³⁶ · Gerben J. Messelink³⁷ · Samira A. Mohamed³⁸ · Robert S. Nofemela³⁹ · Abiola Oke⁴⁰ · César Ramos⁴¹ · Michele Ricupero¹⁵ · Emmanouil Roditakis⁴² · Pathour R. Shashank²⁴ · Fang-Hao Wan⁴³ · Ming-hui Wang^{27,28,33} · Su Wang³¹ · Yi-Bo Zhang⁴³ · Antonio Biondi¹⁵

Received: 30 March 2021 / Revised: 20 September 2021 / Accepted: 29 September 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

The South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), has invaded most Afro-Eurasian countries and is threatening worldwide tomato production. Various strategies have been developed and implemented to manage this pest. Here, we present a timely review on the up-to-date development and practical implementation of integrated pest management (IPM) programs for tomato crops across different world regions infested by *T. absoluta*. While insecticide resistance is a growing concern, biological control via releasing or conserving arthropod natural enemies and sex pheromone-based biotechnical control are the most successful management practices. Agronomic control-related research is an emerging area where the soil fertilization and/or irrigation, as well as breeding of resistant cultivars, has the potential to enhance IPM effectiveness. Grower survey responses in the native areas (i.e., South America), early-invaded areas (i.e., first report between 2006 and 2012) and newly invaded areas (i.e., first report after 2012) showed that the control programs evolved along with the areas and time since invasion. Growers in the early-invaded areas shifted more rapidly from chemical control to biological control compared to those from the native area. In all concerned regions, the pest control failure risk following chemical insecticide applications and the high cost associated with either biological or biotechnical control methods have been the greatest concerns for growers. The information gathered from the native and/or early-invaded areas may help achieve a more effective management in newly invaded areas. Lastly, researchers are expected to break the bottlenecks of some key issues that would enable lowering application cost of novel biorational alternative management options.

Keywords Invasive alien species · Chemical control · Biological control · Pheromone · Plant resistance · IPM

Key message

Major advances of fundamental and applied research have been made on the management of *Tuta absoluta*.

Use of pheromones, biological control and agronomic and cultural control are important components of the implemented IPM programs.

IPM programs evolved along with the range and time after initial invasion and showed a decline in chemical control and an increase in non-chemical alternatives.

Communicated by Cesar Rodriguez-Saona.

✉ Peng Han
penghan@ynu.edu.cn; penghan1394@163.com

✉ Ramzi Mansour
ramzi_mansour82@yahoo.co.uk

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Introduction

Biological invasions are major components of global change, since they are increasingly challenging to modern agriculture due to the growing intensity of trade and human mobility (Simberloff et al. 2013; Paini et al. 2016; McNitt et al. 2019). Among invasive alien species, arthropod pests pose a significant threat to the stability of agricultural and natural ecosystems, and hence, the implementation of integrated pest management (IPM) programs is often required to decrease pest population densities (Desneux et al. 2010). IPM is a science-based decision-making process that enables sustainable control of insect pests while posing minimum harm to the environment (Kogan et al. 1998). It can be implemented by timely pest sampling/monitoring to estimate pest densities, being combined with judicious pesticide use and various “green” management methods including trapping and use of synthetic pheromones, biological control by conserving and/or releasing arthropod natural enemies, agronomic and cultural control through habitat manipulation and use of resistant crop varieties (Kogan 1998; Desneux et al. 2007; Meissle et al. 2011; Ragsdale et al. 2011). To some extent, the need of IPM programs for invasive arthropod pests is comparable and even greater than that for endemic ones (Witzgall et al. 2008; Ragsdale et al. 2011; Haye et al. 2016; Deligeorgidis et al. 2019; Moreau et al. 2019; Santoiemma et al. 2020; Shah et al. 2020; Gugliuzzo et al. 2021; Tait et al. 2021).

The South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), recently reinstated as *Phthorimaea absoluta* Meyrick (Chang and Metz 2021), is a destructive pest on tomato crops. So far, *T. absoluta* has invaded more than 90 countries outside of South America (EPPO 2021), thus becoming a serious threat to tomato production worldwide and, to a lesser extent, a pest of other economically important solanaceous crops, including potato, eggplant, pepper and tobacco (Desneux et al. 2010, 2011; Campos et al. 2017; Mansour et al. 2018; Han et al. 2019a; Verheggen and Fontus 2019). Various biological and ecological characteristics of this species have contributed to its invasiveness and high feeding damage potential to solanaceous crops (Sylla et al. 2029; Campos et al. 2021a, 2021b; Ponti et al. 2021). These characteristics include the cryptic nature of larvae, high reproduction potential with multiple overlapping generations per year, strong dispersal capacity as well as moderate or high resistance to commonly used insecticides (Urbaneja et al. 2013; Biondi et al. 2018; Cherif et al. 2019a; Guedes et al. 2019). The spread of *T. absoluta* to new regions has led to significant yield losses, fruit quality reduction (Rostami et al. 2020), increased pest control

costs (Desneux et al. 2011) and heavy reliance on chemical insecticides (Biondi et al. 2018). As a result, they have further disrupted local tomato IPM programs in these areas (Desneux et al. 2010; Han et al. 2018, 2019a; Mansour et al. 2019).

Progress in combining compatible preventive and curative pest control will make the implementation of IPM programs by growers and pest control researchers more cost-effective for managing invasive *T. absoluta* populations. In the past two decades, significant technological advances have been made in the areas of pest detection, pest surveillance, pest feeding damage assessment, and timely selection and application of management options. Despite the development of new pest control technologies, not all countries have the same economic access to them, even when stakeholders recognize their pest control utility. Therefore, the process of transferring the technology to recipient stakeholder communities should be mindful of local farming practices and other socioeconomic factors to promote higher user adoption and equitable access. In any case, sharing knowledge on the management techniques being used in each region is the first step for building regional collaborations. This effort could improve IPM programs in different regions and hence cross-border pest management success (Han et al. 2019a). We readily assume that a successful management of the pest in one country/region may largely lower the risk in the other neighboring ones. Therefore, we provide a comprehensive review on current advances in the IPM options and programs being used in the regions where this pest is distributed. In addition, we collected over 30 questionnaires data from the key researchers who had interviewed with local farmers, technicians and/or policy makers. These data allowed us to compare the components of IPM packages used in the native area (i.e., South America), early-invaded areas (i.e., Mediterranean basin, Europe, Northern and Eastern Africa, Middle East; 2006–2012) and newly invaded areas (e.g., sub-Saharan Africa, Central America, Asia; after 2012).

Chemical control

Insecticide use and invasive species

Insecticides are a major component of insect pest control in conventional agricultural systems. Starting in the 1960s, the use of insecticides in farming operations came under heavy scrutiny when their unintended effects in the ecosystem became widely recognized (Cooper and Dobson 2007; Aktar et al. 2009; Köhler and Triebkorn 2013; Guedes et al. 2016). Nevertheless, insecticides are routinely used for their key advantage of generating immediate, cost-effective pest reductions, especially when invasive species are the target pests, but effective pest control alternatives are lacking as it

takes time to develop non-chemical control options (Lockwood et al. 2013; Liebhold et al. 2016; Guedes et al. 2019; McLaughlin and Dearden 2019). The rationale has also been applied to *T. absoluta* since chemical insecticide applications are the main control method to contain *T. absoluta* outbreaks (Guedes and Picanço 2012; Guedes and Siqueira 2012; Campos et al. 2017; Biondi et al. 2018; Mansour et al. 2018; Guedes et al. 2019; Rwomushana et al. 2019). Chemical control remains a dominant management tactic against *T. absoluta*, specifically in open-field tomatoes (Biondi et al. 2018). Young tomato seedlings with little leaf coverage favor *T. absoluta* early colonization, as the pest could attack stem buds with subsequent leaf-mining during canopy development and later fruit infestation. This pattern of attack not only affects the different plant parts throughout its development, but also allows the insect protection against insecticide spraying while hidden in these plant structures (i.e., stem bud, leaf, fruit) (Picanço et al. 1998; Guedes and Picanço 2012). Moth adults are mostly neglected in toxicological studies and are seldom considered a major target for chemical control (Biondi et al. 2015).

Ever-changing patterns of insecticide use

Chemical control of *T. absoluta* has had limited success, yet the reception of this pest in newly invaded areas is often characterized by a sharp rise in insecticide applications attempting to halt the spread of invasive populations and reduce impending yield losses (Guedes and Picanço 2012; Guedes and Siqueira 2012). For example, the 10–12 sprayings required for pest control prior to *T. absoluta* invasion in Brazil more than doubled reaching over 30 applications per tomato cultivation cycle at the onset of this species introduction (Guedes and Siqueira 2012). Such a heavy application harms the natural control by beneficial arthropods, which could otherwise be conserved when generally lower amounts of insecticides are applied (Nieves et al. 2015). A similar scenario was reported in Europe and North Africa (Desneux et al. 2011; Mansour et al. 2018), and it is also expected in early-invaded and newly invaded regions (Campos et al. 2017; Biondi et al. 2018; Han et al. 2019a).

Adjuvants of insecticide formulations, timing of insecticide applications and spraying technologies are important for improving chemical control of *T. absoluta*. For example, early-season prophylactic application of insecticides in combination with mineral oil well before vertical tutoring (otherwise known as trellising) of the plant has commenced is known to reduce the severity of *T. absoluta* infestations later in the season (Picanço et al. 1998; Guedes and Picanço 2012). Nonetheless, the control difficulties and the management consequences stemming from intensive insecticide use have led to insecticides being most frequently used against *T. absoluta*, as well documented in South America, the region

of origin and early spread of this species. Organophosphates and pyrethroids were the early groups of insecticides used against the pest in South America, starting in the 1960s and extending up to the 1990s (Siqueira et al. 2000a; Lietti et al. 2005). The subsequent decline in organophosphate use in the region was followed by cartap, abamectin and intensified pyrethroid use (Siqueira et al. 2000a, 2000b, 2001). The late 1990s and early 2000s were met with the use of the oxadiazine indoxacarb and a surge in use of chitin synthesis inhibitors (Silva et al. 2011; Gontijo et al. 2013), followed by the subsequent increase in popularity of the pyrrole chlorfenapyr, the spinosyns (particularly spinosad) and the diamides chlorantraniliprole and flubendiamide by the mid-2000s (Silva et al. 2011, 2016; Gontijo et al. 2013). These latter four compounds remain in active use at present and, among these, spinosad is allowed in organic tomato production systems where azadirachtin and toxins of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner) (*Bt*) (Bacillaceae) serve as alternatives (Silva et al. 2011; Biondi et al. 2018).

The historical insecticide use pattern observed in South America for *T. absoluta* control has been seen also in other invaded regions for similar underlying reasons. The use of organophosphates and pyrethroids against *T. absoluta* in Europe took place early on after its introduction late 2006 in Spain (Desneux et al. 2010). As it further spread to coastal European and North African countries (Campos et al. 2017; Biondi et al. 2018), chemical control was soon followed by the use of indoxacarb, avermectins, spinosyns and more recently by the reliance on diamide insecticides (Haddi et al. 2012, 2017; Roditakis et al. 2018). Similarly, in Western Asian regions the initial chemical control approach for *T. absoluta* began with organophosphates and pyrethroids and subsequently transitioned to other pesticide chemistries, such as diamides, with azadirachtin and *Bt* toxins also playing a role in organic farming systems (Kader et al. 2017; Zibae et al. 2018). The fast evolution of insecticide resistance among *T. absoluta* populations and associated control failures seems to be the key determinant in the observed changes in pattern of insecticide use.

Insecticide resistance, control failure and other concerns

Pest population genetic resistance is a common consequence of unbalanced insecticide use, which largely drives the pest control dynamics observed for *T. absoluta* worldwide (Guedes and Siqueira 2012; Biondi et al. 2018). This outcome was first recognized in South America, and then elsewhere (Biondi et al. 2018; Guedes et al. 2019), reaching nearly 60 instances of resistance to 24 insecticides worldwide as recorded in the Arthropod Pesticide Resistance Database (<https://www.pesticideresistance.org>) and a recent compilation (Guedes et al. 2019). Initial detection of

insecticide resistance in *T. absoluta* populations from South America involved organophosphate and pyrethroid insecticides (Salazar and Araya 1997; Siqueira et al. 2000b; Salazar and Araya 2001; Lietti et al. 2005), apparently motivating the subsequent use of cartap and abamectin. Later, low to moderate levels of resistance to abamectin and cartap were also reported in Brazil (Siqueira et al. 2000b, 2001; Silva et al. 2016), where pyrethroid resistance receded (Silva et al. 2011). Detection of indoxacarb resistance soon followed in the region, but ranging only from low to moderate levels (Silva et al. 2011, 2016), while subsequent resistance to chitin synthesis inhibitors reached high levels by mid-2000s (Silva et al. 2011). Spinosad and diamide resistance was then detected in South America and seems to be expanding (Reyes et al. 2012; Campos et al. 2014, 2015; Silva et al. 2016).

The rapid development of insecticide resistance observed among *T. absoluta* populations in Europe and North Africa is directly linked to the insecticide resistance history of this pest in South America (Roditakis et al. 2015, 2017a, 2017b, 2018). The invasive strain of *T. absoluta* from South America (Central Chile) (Guillemaud et al. 2015) that was found in Spain in 2006, was most likely already resistant to pyrethroids. This factor likely catalyzed the ensuing insecticide resistance problems with *T. absoluta* as it spread throughout Eurasia and Africa (Haddi et al. 2012). Thus, not only is the species invasion a matter of concern but also the genetics of the invading population or strain of *T. absoluta* (Guedes and Siqueira 2012; Biondi et al. 2018). Widespread resistance to organophosphates, pyrethroids and other compounds enhances the likelihood of the unwelcome introduction of insecticide resistant populations of *T. absoluta* to new areas. This emphasizes the importance of profiling this genetic process/phenomenon and recognizing its spread to new areas to allow its containment and mitigation.

However, the failure of chemical control can also stem from improper application. This includes application that does not follow recommended guidelines for formulation preparation, use of adjuvants to improve insecticide efficacy, the level of plant coverage and application timing. Unfortunately, the risk of insecticide control failure due to improper field application is frequently overlooked and its occurrence is more likely to be misattributed to some characteristics of the target pest population. Nonetheless, the risk of insecticide control failure can be surveyed with some adjustments to the well-known bioassay procedures for detection and monitoring of insecticide resistance, that is, the use of realistic bioassay methods reflecting insecticide field exposure and standard endpoints tuned to the efficacy thresholds required for commercial field use of insecticides (Guedes 2017). This assessment on control failure likelihood has received increasing attention with *T. absoluta*, which was initially surveyed in Brazil, but is also

taking place elsewhere (Silva et al. 2011, 2015; Gontijo et al. 2013; Roditakis et al. 2013).

Another important consideration on the topic of chemical control is the impact of insecticides on non-target species (Desneux et al. 2007). Some of the consequences of non-targeted insecticide exposure include (i) stress to non-target species including natural enemies and pollinators, among others; (ii) inadvertent selection for insecticide resistance on non-target species (again including natural enemies and other pest species); (iii) shifts in species pest dominance and status; and (iv) community stress (Barbosa et al. 2015; Guedes et al. 2016, 2017). These consequences were little studied in the context of *T. absoluta* control with insecticides. Considering that both the putative whitefly species and the tomato borer *Neoleucinodes elegantalis* (Gueneé) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) may co-occur with *T. absoluta*, their presence can influence the host plant response to *T. absoluta* and determine different patterns of insecticide applications in the field. For instance, high whitefly infestation may minimize the incidence of *T. absoluta*, whiteflies becoming thus the primary target of insecticide use (Biondi et al. 2018; Guedes et al., 2019). For these reasons, further investigations on these topics are still required.

Essential oil-based botanical insecticides

As for other pest insects (Benelli et al. 2019; Pavela et al. 2020), the most developed botanical insecticides for *T. absoluta* so far are formulated with essential oils produced from botanical extracts (Soares et al. 2019). Several studies have examined the lethal and/or sublethal (e.g., on behavior) effects of essential oils derived from citrus peel (Campolo et al. 2017), cardamom (Chegini and Abbasipour 2017) and ajwain (Piri et al. 2020), which all demonstrated significant pest repellency and/or mortality efficacy. Growers are recommended to use those essential oil products alone and/or combined with other biorational options, such as arthropod predators and microbial pesticides (Mansour and Biondi 2021). However, several limitations, such as optimized and authorized formulations, for the practical inclusion of essential oils into IPM programs are still occurring (Pavela and Benelli 2016). Moreover, the compatibility of essential oils with effective biocontrol agents should be evaluated case by case (Biondi et al. 2012; Soares et al. 2019; Campolo et al. 2020). These reasons, together with cost, efficacy and reliability, may hamper the use of this control option by growers.

Trapping and use of pheromones

Sex pheromones are chemical cues released by an organism to attract conspecifics of the opposite sex for mating. In moths, males typically fly upwind toward attractant cues released by females (Cardé and Minks 1995). Due to their

vital role in mediating insect mating behavior, the use of sex pheromones has been one of the focal points of pest control research, especially for moths of economic importance. The earliest, most widespread and successful application of sex pheromones is their use in detection and pest population monitoring. They were later used to control insect populations, through mass trapping and mating disruption (Witzgall et al. 2010). Like most lepidopteran species, the sex pheromone of *T. absoluta* consists of a blend of volatile molecules, evoking long-range male attraction as well as elicitation of a courtship behavior (Linn et al. 1987). Typically, female *T. absoluta* initiate the male calling behavior in the early morning with the release of a two-component sex pheromone consisting of a major component, (3E, 8Z, 11Z)-tetradecatrien-1-yl acetate (TDTA), found in 90% of calling females sex glands, and a minor component, (3E, 8Z)-tetradecadien-1-yl acetate (TDDA), accounting for the remaining 10% (Attygalle et al. 1996; Griepink et al. 1996; Svatoš et al. 1996). Their synthesis has been improved, leading to higher yields and stereoselectivity (Puigmartí et al. 2015). These molecules are used in field monitoring efforts, mass trapping and mating disruption for *T. absoluta* (Caparros Megido et al. 2013).

Monitoring

The *T. absoluta* sex pheromone was used to increase the sensitivity of existing monitoring traps (both Delta traps and bucket traps), allowing earlier detection of small populations and rapid implementation of adequate management strategies (Benvenega et al. 2007). In an early field experiment, pheromone traps baited with 100 µg of TDTA were shown to catch on average 1200 males per trap per night, while less than a hundred of individuals were caught in the control (Ferrara et al. 2001). Under greenhouse conditions, catches were shown to increase linearly with pheromone release rates, until reaching a maximum number of captured individuals achieved with traps releasing 150 µg of TDTA per day (Vacas et al. 2013). The pheromone release rate is affected by a variety of factors, including the pheromone packaging and the dispenser itself. The baseline release rates should be adjusted as needed for maximum pest control performance under different environmental conditions. For example, higher pheromone release doses are typically needed in open-field habitats exposed to desert climates (e.g., 3 mg) than under greenhouse conditions where the pheromone is not readily wind dissipated (e.g., 500 µg) (Hassan and Al-Zaidi 2010). These pheromone quantities can be slightly lower by the addition of 5–10% of TDDA (Lobos et al. 2013). However, whether addition of TDDA increases trap efficiency and/or fidelity remains to be assessed. Because commercially produced pheromones (either containing both components or only the main pheromone component)

attract non-target moths, survey programs should include a dissection-based identification of the trapped moth before initiating a management strategy (Roda et al. 2015).

During the last decade, a wide variety of trap designs have been field-tested, with dark-colored Delta traps being the most cost-effective and recommended design (Uchôa-Fernandes et al. 1994; Roda et al. 2015; Abd El-Ghany et al. 2016). These traps are typically made from paper or plastic into a triangular prism shape, left open at both ends, with placement of a sticky panel insert at the interior trap base and a pheromone lure suspended above the insert, but under the trap roof, for protection from the elements. Alternatively, water-filled bowls in combination with the pheromone lure can also be used for monitoring *T. absoluta* populations. Trap positioning with respect to vegetation (height, densities) influences the monitoring results (Ferrara et al. 2001), with traps located just above the plant height to be the most efficient. Definitive trap density guidelines have not been established and can vary by region and information source consulted (e.g., published literature, trap manufacturer). However, an initial reliable monitoring program can be achieved with 1 to 4 traps/ha (Mansour et al. 2019). Based on the number of males caught per pheromone trap, the risk of infestation can be evaluated and should be considered low for less than 3 individuals/week, moderate if between 4 and 30 individuals/week and high for more than 30 individuals/week (Monserrat Delgado 2008).

Mass trapping

In general, mass trapping methods for reduction of *T. absoluta* population densities combine the use of lures, to attract one or both sexes, with large insect retention traps (Witzgall et al. 2010). Trap designs can include those described previously, i.e., Delta or water-filled bowl traps, or other modified versions (Lobos et al. 2013). Similar traps are sometimes used for monitoring and mass trapping, but water traps are usually preferred to Delta traps, which quickly saturate in case of high pest population densities. When the killing agent is a chemical (e.g., cypermethrin), this strategy is called lure-and-kill (Howse et al. 1998).

In addition to the lure that consists of a semiochemical blend, the mass trapping setup can include a light source to increase moth attraction (Hassan and Al-Zaidi 2010; Cocco et al. 2012; Castresana and Puhl 2017). Because the mate-finding communication system of *T. absoluta* is guided by a female-produced sex pheromone, only males are caught in these traps, leading to a decrease in mating events and a reduction in crop damage (Jones 1998; Witzgall et al. 2010). However, the particular mating behavior and reproduction characteristics of *T. absoluta* represent challenges that counter the efficacy of mass trapping efforts. *T. absoluta* males are polygynic and mate on average 6.5 times (Silva 2008),

and a large proportion of males must be trapped before a population can be controlled (Jones 1998; Witzgall et al. 2010). For the females, Caparros-Megido et al. (2012) demonstrated that they are able to lay viable eggs without mating with males (i.e., parthenogenesis) even though the rate is relatively low. The female also shows polyandry that greatly increases its reproductive outputs, and the benefits for the female are greater when copulates with multiple males (Lee et al. 2014). Interestingly, *T. absoluta* has recently been found to show polygyny (Wang et al. 2021), which may further undermine the effectiveness of mass trapping.

While pheromone-based monitoring involves only a limited number of traps per hectare, mass trapping requires placing a higher number of traps in various strategic positions in the crop field to remove a high proportion of male insects from the pest population. For example, in Tunisia, the recommended density of Delta or water traps is 32 or 36 traps ha⁻¹ for open-field mass trapping and 2 Delta or water traps for a 500 m² greenhouse (Mansour et al. 2019). The doses of sex pheromone to be loaded on the diffuser are usually claimed to be similar to those used for monitoring efforts, even if some authors suggest adapting the pheromone dose to the level of infestation (Chermiti and Abbes 2012). Lobos et al. (2013) noticed that higher numbers of *T. absoluta* were captured near upwind borders of tomato fields suggesting that treatments should be concentrated near upwind parts of fields. Mass trapping is rarely sufficient to control *T. absoluta* populations and should be used in combination with other control measures to reach an acceptable level of crop protection (Cherif et al. 2018; Mansour and Biondi 2021).

Mating disruption

The mating disruption strategy aims to interfere with the mate-searching efficacy of males by saturating the environment with a synthetic female pheromone. Reductions in successful mating events lead to lower pest levels and minimal crop damage (Cocco et al. 2013; Caparros-Megido et al. 2013). The release of large amounts of sex pheromone is necessary to achieve significant results, with 500 to 1000 pheromone dispensers per hectare being deployed (Vacas et al. 2011; Cocco et al. 2013). But even by using 50 g. ha⁻¹ of sex pheromone, several factors can make this strategy inefficient to reduce the damage, including the pest population density, the migration of mated females to the treated area and the ability of female *T. absoluta* to reproduce parthenogenetically (Michereff-Filho et al. 2000; Caparros-Megido et al. 2012). For this reason, studies on the application of the mating disruption strategy against *T. absoluta* in open fields and protected tomato crops showed mixed results (Michereff-Filho et al. 2000; Vacas et al. 2011; Cocco et al. 2013). In addition, the size of the areas treated could also

affect the efficacy of mating disruption, and it is assumed to perform better in large farms than small plantings. Even if the efficiency of this method has been improved, its viability could be limited by the costs necessary for the production of pheromones and their field distribution.

Biological control with microorganisms

A great number of entomopathogens are lethal to *T. absoluta* including bacteria, fungi and nematodes. Microbial biopesticides are usually not as harmful to environment as chemical insecticides, tend to be safer for humans and other vertebrates and are compatible with other groups of beneficial organisms such as arthropod natural enemies (González-Cabrera et al. 2011; Mollá et al. 2011; Mansour and Biondi 2021).

Bacteria

Different subspecies of *B. thuringiensis* (*Bt*) including *Bt kurstaki* and *Bt aizawai* are used widely to manage lepidopteran pests on most vegetable crops. These groups are dominated microbial biopesticides as they are selective, safe and also affordable (Lacey 2016). The bacteria of this category produce cry toxins, which cause a specific mode of action. In the case of their efficiency, they are often comparable with chemicals in terms of the effect and other traits. During its sporulation phase, *Bt* naturally produce proteins, among them δ -endotoxins such as Cry and Cyt toxins, which have proven insecticidal properties on a variety of insect orders (Schnepf et al. 1998). *Bt* can also produce and secrete the vegetative insecticidal proteins (Vip) during the vegetative growth stage. Sellami et al. (2014) evaluated the toxicity of *Bt* Vip3Aa16 protein against *T. absoluta*. This toxin has higher potency than the δ -endotoxins of *Bt* subsp. *kurstaki* Strain HD1. The commercial formulations based on *Bt* have been developed as a key component of the IPM strategy against *T. absoluta*. Earlier studies documenting the effects of *Bt*-based insecticides on *T. absoluta* were conducted in South America (Giustolin et al. 2001; Theoduloz et al. 2003), and additional complementary studies have been done in invaded areas. In Spain, *Bt*-based insecticides against *T. absoluta* have been assessed in laboratory, greenhouse and open-field conditions. They showed that *Bt*-based insecticides are highly efficient in controlling *T. absoluta*, with the first instar larvae being the most susceptible compared to the second and third instar larvae (González-Cabrera et al. 2011). The suitable selection of *Bt* concentrations (e.g., 90.4 MIU l⁻¹) together with desired subspecies of *Bt* including subsp. *kurstaki* or subsp. *aizawai* are able to reduce the pest density by more than 95%. Spraying

the same concentration of the product each week could achieve satisfactory management efficacy with lower cost (Urbaneja et al. 2012). Furthermore, Mollá et al. (2011) demonstrated that when *Bt* was used immediately after the initial detection of *T. absoluta* on plants, it did not interfere with the pest control efficacy of the commercial predator *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Reuter) (Hemiptera: Miridae) when released because *T. absoluta* eggs were available. Consequently, the combined use of *Bt*-based insecticides with the release or conservation of this predator forms a multi-stage integrated management for *T. absoluta*. In Northern Spain, another predator, *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Rambur) (Hemiptera: Miridae), is often used in combination with *Bt*-based insecticides that are highly effective in controlling the first instar larvae of *T. absoluta* (Urbaneja et al. 2012).

Entomopathogenic fungi

The entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) is a common microbial agent that causes mortality in a wide range of pest insects. This fungus exhibits epiphytic and endophytic activity against *T. absoluta* (Allegrucci et al. 2011; Klieber and Reineke 2016). The survey on the efficacy of a commercial mycoinsecticide based on *B. bassiana* against all instars of *T. absoluta* showed that the corrected mortality reached 30% to 50% (Klieber and Reineke 2016). The establishment of the fungus with endophytic behavior could overcome the shortcomings during conventional usage of fungal-based pesticides including poor persistence of the spores in the environment or high susceptibility to environmental stressors like UV radiation or rainfall (Vega 2018). In this case, one concern related to endophytic inoculation of the fungi is the corresponding metabolites, which might enter the food web. This concern should be considered during the registration process of the products and requires in-depth studies (Vega 2018). Nevertheless, entomopathogenic fungi like *B. bassiana* have various beneficial roles that have implications for managing *T. absoluta* infestations. This fungus has been shown to improve plant health by increasing uptake of water and plant nutrients, promoting root biomass and development via mycorrhiza-like and endophytic interactions because they induce systemic plant defense and antagonistic effects on phytopathogens (Dara 2019; Tall and Meyling 2018). Moreover, a liquid formulation based on strains of the fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) together with irrigation could cause high mortality to *T. absoluta* pupae (Contreras et al. 2014).

Viruses

Several granulovirus isolates from *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Gellechiidae) (i.e., PhopGV) have been collected worldwide and evaluated in terms of insecticidal activity, which indicated differences depending on their geographical origin (Carpio et al. 2012; Vickers et al. 1991). Mascarín et al. (2010) demonstrated that a Brazilian PhopGV was able to infect *T. absoluta*, resulting in delayed larval growth and decreased pupation. Gómez Valderrama et al. (2017) reported the morphological characterization and classification of two Colombian granuloviruses: VG013, isolated from *T. absoluta*, and VG003, isolated from *Tecia solanivora* Povolný (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). This study showed that both viruses could kill *T. absoluta* larvae.

Entomopathogenic nematodes

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) are also able to infect the four instars of *T. absoluta* inside or outside leaf galleries in both laboratory and greenhouse experiments (Batalla-Carrera et al. 2010; Turkoz and Kaskavalci 2016; Van Damme et al. 2016; Mutegi et al. 2017; Kamali et al. 2018). These studies indicated that both *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (Poinar) (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) and *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Weiser) (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) have ideal potency to be used in foliar and soil applications for *T. absoluta* management programs in greenhouse tomatoes. Moreover, they are able to control other greenhouse pests including the greenhouse whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (West.) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) (Ebssa et al. 2004; Rezaei et al. 2015; but see Buitenhuis and Shipp 2005 for a low nematode infection in *F. occidentalis*). Nematode efficacy and its compatibility with other biological control agents or other agrochemicals promote its use within the IPM strategy. Nevertheless, the success of nematode use against *T. absoluta* will depend on cost, efficacy and reliability.

Biological control with arthropods

A variety of arthropod natural enemies have been explored to control *T. absoluta*. Overall, predators and parasitoids have received equal efforts for research and practical use. Much advances have been made on the use of resident bio-control agents, whereas the selective importation of co-evolved natural enemies from South America to invaded regions in the Eastern Hemisphere under the framework of classical biological control has been a rare occurrence. This outcome can be attributed to (i) the “first-strike advantage” of large research efforts concentrated on effective native

natural enemies (e.g., the mirid predators and trichogrammatid parasitoids found in Europe) and (ii) the complicated procedures required by the legal framework of the Convention on Biological Diversity for accessing and sharing classical biological control agents (Balmford et al. 2010).

Predators

At least 60 species of generalist arthropod predators, belonging to 26 families have been detected preying upon *T. absoluta*. Of them, more than 50 species have been recorded in South America whereas ten, mainly hemipterans, have been reported in newly invaded European countries (Ferracini et al. 2019). Some of these species play an important role in the natural regulation of *T. absoluta* populations in its area of origin (Miranda et al. 1998; Picanço et al. 2011; Bacci et al. 2018). However, in the early-invaded areas, the importance of IPM programs based on the use of predators for *T. absoluta* control quickly became evident (Arnó et al. 2009). Current field results indicate that the intentional use of commercially available predators for biological control of *T. absoluta* has only been successful in early-invaded areas of Southern Europe (Mollá et al. 2011; Calvo et al. 2012a; Oztemiz et al. 2012; Urbaneja et al. 2012; Arnó et al. 2018a; Biondi et al. 2018). It is still too early to determine if similar predator-based biological control practices are having a significant impact in other areas recently invaded by *T. absoluta* (Shaltiel-Harpaz et al. 2016; Varshney and Ballal 2017; Ismoilov et al. 2020; Mansour and Biondi 2021). Nevertheless, the two commercially available predatory mirid bugs, *N. tenuis* and *M. pygmaeus*, have emerged as key biological control agents for *T. absoluta* in Europe (Pérez-Hedo et al. 2021a). The success obtained with the use of mirids in European tomatoes has prompted other geographical regions, mainly in the American continent, to study the pest control application of native mirids (Pérez-Hedo et al. 2021b; Roda et al. 2020). The effectiveness of mirid predators such as *N. tenuis* and *M. pygmaeus* can be attributed to i) their voracious consumption of *T. absoluta* eggs (Arnó et al. 2009; Urbaneja et al. 2009; Sylla et al. 2016) and ii) their zoophytophagous feeding habit, which allows them to subsist on tomato plants during periods of prey scarcity (Thomine et al. 2020; Pérez-Hedo et al. 2021a). Field data collected during the last 10 years shows that early mirid establishment is crucial for control of *T. absoluta*. Effective control of *T. absoluta* is very difficult if mirids are released when the pest is already established on the crop (Urbaneja et al. 2012). Therefore, mirids should be conserved or released augmentatively in nurseries during transplanting and pre-planting activities. Due to the diverse climatic conditions in which the tomato is produced and the zoophytophagy of these biocontrol agents, the use of mirid bugs for *T. absoluta* control may require insecticide treatments under certain

circumstances to either complement the action of the predators or prevent crop damage due to their feeding. In this case, the selection of the insecticide has to be done carefully to fulfill the desired goal (Arnó and Gabarra 2011; González-Cabrera et al. 2011; Mollá et al. 2011; Zappalà et al. 2012; Urbaneja et al. 2013).

Predatory mirid bug inoculation after transplanting

A common practice for biological control of greenhouse tomato pests in Europe, particularly for whiteflies, is the inoculative release of *N. tenuis* and *M. pygmaeus* at a rate of 1–2 individual(s)/m², occurring 3–4 weeks after transplantation (Gabarra et al. 2006; Calvo et al. 2009). This strategy can also be effective for managing *T. absoluta* during short crop cycles when transplantation begins at the end of winter and the crop season can last until summer (Mollá et al. 2009). Under these growing conditions, mirids are released when *T. absoluta* pressure is low; hence, it is possible that mirids may establish their populations before the populations of *T. absoluta* increase. During this crop cycle, temperatures increase with time which favors both the predators and the pest. If *T. absoluta* increases faster than the predator populations, the predator–prey balance could be prey-biased. As a consequence, treatments as selective as possible on mirid bugs will be needed (e.g., *Bt*-based insecticide). This scenario is more frequent when the control relies on *M. pygmaeus* because it is less voracious than *N. tenuis* (Mollá et al. 2009; Pérez-Hedo et al. 2015). A different situation occurs when *N. tenuis* is released and the predator could build up high populations at the time the crop is still growing. In this case, even if a successful control of the pest is achieved, the predator may damage the crop due to its phytophagy (Castañé et al. 2011; Pérez-Hedo and Urbaneja 2016). Therefore, insecticide sprays to reduce *N. tenuis* populations have to be recommended, although the complete elimination of the predator is not advisable.

Predatory mirid bug inoculation before transplanting

In the nursery, adult mirid predators are released at a rate of 0.5–1 individual per seedling-plant and initially fed with eggs of *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) (but see Messelink et al. 2014: food sprays with *Artemia* cysts (brine shrimp eggs) will be less costly than *E. kuehniella*). The eggs laid by mirids hatch very soon after transplanting, allowing a very early and homogeneous predator establishment on the crop. This augmentative biological control strategy was first implemented at the end of the 1990s to facilitate the establishment of *M. pygmaeus* for *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) control on commercial tomato (Lenfant et al. 2000) and its application was later extended

to the use of *N. tenuis* for controlling *T. absoluta* (Calvo et al. 2012a, b). This extension proved to be highly successful in long-cycle tomato production systems that start under medium–high pest levels in late summer, most notably in greenhouse systems in Almería, Spain (Calvo et al. 2012b). Under these long-cycle production systems, released *N. tenuis* establish rapidly and provide effective control of both *B. tabaci* and *T. absoluta* until the arrival of winter when predator and pest levels naturally decrease due to lower temperatures. Rarely *N. tenuis* populations reach densities that are damaging to the crop during winter. However, in certain years with very mild winters, insecticide sprays are needed to reduce predator populations and prevent crop feeding damage. The following spring, *N. tenuis* levels rise again shortly before the end of the crop growing cycle, when the risk of crop damage is much lower. Nevertheless, if the overwintering populations of *N. tenuis* have not recovered properly, preventive insecticide applications may be needed to suppress *T. absoluta*. In these cases, complementary management strategies such as egg parasitoid releases (Chailleux et al. 2013a; Gabarra et al. 2014), use of pheromones for mass trapping and/or sexual disruption (Caparros-Megido et al. 2013) and conservation of native parasitoids (Zappalà et al. 2013; Gabarra et al. 2014), may be needed for *T. absoluta* control.

Predatory mirid bug conservation

For several decades, conservation of predatory mirids has been a key component of tomato IPM programs in the Mediterranean region (Albajes and Alomar 1999; Bompard et al. 2013; Arnó et al. 2018a). This biological control option has proved to be successful in controlling this invasive pest in Europe (Arnó et al. 2009; Jaworski et al. 2015). It is worth mentioning that the role of natural habitats and landscapes surrounding tomato cultivation in the colonization of tomato crops by mirid predators has been widely recognized (Alomar et al. 2002; Castañé et al. 2004; Gabarra et al. 2004; Aviron et al. 2016; Ardanuy et al. 2018; Agustí et al. 2020). In this context, the use of ecological infrastructures (e.g., flower margins) with plants such as *Calendula officinalis* L. (Asteraceae) could maintain the occurrence of *M. pygmaeus* populations in the proximity of tomato crops. This strategy has been successfully adopted in Northeast Spain and Southeast France to increase population densities and the earliness of predators colonizing the crop (Lambion 2011, 2014; Balzan 2017; Ardanuy et al. 2018). However, implementing conservation biological control has promoted not only *M. pygmaeus* but also *N. tenuis*. Importantly, cultivation of *Sesamum indicum* (L.) (Pedaliaceae) as companion plant has been suggested as a promising strategy for reducing plant damage caused by *N. tenuis* on tomato (Biondi et al. 2016; Naselli et al. 2016). The provision of sugar dispensers on

tomato plants could also limit plant damage by diminishing mirid phytophagy (Urbaneja-Bernat et al. 2018). In addition, promoting particular indirect interactions among various pests in a single crop could enhance biocontrol services provided by generalist predators (van Veen et al. 2006; Desneux & O’Neil 2008; Desneux et al. 2019). Recent works demonstrated that such indirect interactions could occur between *T. absoluta* and other pests inhabiting tomato crops when mirid predators are released (e.g., *M. pygmaeus*, Bompard et al. 2013; Jaworski et al. 2015; Han et al. 2020), and that these interactions may be used as levers for optimizing IPM programs (Chailleux et al. 2014a).

Parasitoids

Close to 100 species of hymenopteran parasitoids belonging to Chalcidoidea, Chrysidoidea and Ichneumonoidea, have been recorded in association with *T. absoluta* throughout the world, primarily in South America. Among them, only three parasitoid species, *Neochrysocharis formosa* (Westwood), *Trichogramma dendrolimi* (Matsumura) and *Trichogramma exiguum* (Girault), were recorded in association with *T. absoluta* in both native and recently invaded areas (Ferracini et al. 2019). Interestingly, a strong pattern of natural enemy adaptation has emerged in invaded ranges of the Eastern Hemisphere, with 53 parasitoid species forming new host associations with *T. absoluta* over the last decade (Salas Gervassio et al. 2019a). However, only a few promising species have been considered for the development of biological control strategies, including conservation, augmentative and classical biological control options (Desneux et al. 2010; Biondi et al. 2013, 2018; Gabarra et al. 2014; Biondi et al. 2018; Salas Gervassio et al. 2019a).

Egg parasitoids

Currently, only egg parasitoids belonging to the family Trichogrammatidae have been used for *T. absoluta* control. Trichogrammatids can parasitize eggs from at least eight insect orders and some species have been used successfully in large-scale biological control programs targeting lepidopteran pests (Huang et al. 2020; Qu et al. 2020; Cherif et al. 2021; Zang et al. 2021). In South America, at least eight trichogrammatid species are commercially available for biological control of *T. absoluta*, but their field use is still limited. The biology, ecology and taxonomy of the Neotropical species *Trichogramma pretiosum* (Riley) have been well studied, and a commercial strain is available in Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru. The use of *T. pretiosum*, alone or in combination with *Bt* formulations, has proven successful for control of *T. absoluta* in Brazil (Parra and Zucchini 2004; Medeiros et al. 2009). Indeed, integration with chemical control is only possible by using reduced-risk pesticides

(González 2003). Use of *Trichogramma nerudai* (Pintureau & Gerding) and *Trichogrammatoidea bactrae* (Nagaraja) was also evaluated. Field releases of *T. nerudai* in greenhouse tomatoes were carried out in Corrientes province, Argentina, and proved to be effective in reducing *T. absoluta* population densities (Tezze and Botto 2004; Virgala and Botto 2010). Currently, this species is commercialized in Chile. The species *T. bactrae* is mass-reared and released in Chile and Peru to control *T. absoluta*. Other *Trichogramma* species such as *T. galloi* (Zucchi) in Brazil; and *T. pintoi* (Voegelé), *T. exiguum* (Girault), *T. fuentesi* (Torre) and *T. cacoeciae* (Marchal) in Peru are available from commercial insectaries in South America. The species *T. bactrae* and *T. cacoeciae* are under study in early-invaded regions by *T. absoluta*, such as Northern Africa.

Among egg parasitoids of *T. absoluta* used in Europe, high parasitism rates (> 90%) were reached under greenhouse conditions following releases of *Trichogramma achaeae* (Nagaraja and Nagarkatti), both alone and in combination with the mirid predator *N. tenuis* (Cabello et al. 2009, 2015; Oliveira et al. 2017). Similarly, a slightly higher *T. absoluta* control level was achieved by combining the release of *T. achaeae* with the mirid *M. pygmaeus* (Chailleux et al. 2013b). Thus, the parasitoid *T. achaeae* has been commercialized as *T. absoluta* biocontrol agent in Europe and Northern Africa. By running a laboratory screening of 29 European strains of *Trichogramma* parasitoids against *T. absoluta* (Chailleux et al. 2012), one strain of *Telenomus euproctidis* (Girault) appeared promising compared to *T. achaeae*, because *T. euproctidis* shows a higher parasitism rate, higher fertility, higher proportion of females and higher capacity of entering in diapause under cold storage conditions in biocontrol company facilities. However, it did not perform efficiently under greenhouse conditions (Chailleux et al. 2012). The combination of several variables, such as the rearing system (plant and host egg) and temperatures (during development and use) could strongly influence the efficiency of these biological control agents, in terms of longevity and fertility (Cascone et al. 2015).

In Africa, augmentative biological control of *T. absoluta* using native *Trichogramma* egg parasitoids has only been implemented in a couple of North African countries, i.e., Tunisia and Egypt (Mansour and Biondi 2021). Release of *T. cacoeciae* and *Trichogramma bourarachae* (Pintureau & Babault) significantly reduced *T. absoluta* densities and plant damage either in protected or open-field tomatoes in Tunisia (Zouba and Mahjoubi 2010; Zouba et al. 2013; Cherif et al. 2019b). Moreover, several strategies have proved to be useful in Egypt: (i) releases of either the indigenous *T. euproctidis* or the cosmopolitan *T. achaeae* (50 or 75 parasitoids/m²) (ii) *Bt* var. *kurstaki* application combined with releases of *Trichogramma evanescens* (Westwood) (70–75 adults/m²) and mass trapping, (iii) releases of *T. achaeae* combined

with releases of the predator *Macrolophus caliginosus* (Wagner) (Hemiptera: Miridae) and application of *Bt*, (iv) releases of *T. bactrae* in combination with mass trapping or (v) releases of *T. evanescens* at seedling stage, significantly reduced insect densities and crop damage in northern Egyptian open-field and greenhouse tomato crops (Khidr et al. 2013; El-Arnaouty et al. 2014; Kortam et al. 2014; Goda et al. 2015; Rizk 2016). As far as we know, the practical use of parasitoids for managing *T. absoluta* in sub-Saharan Africa has not been documented.

In Asia, use of trichogrammatid parasitoids for control of *T. absoluta* has only been attempted in Turkey, Iran and Saudi Arabia (Mansour and Biondi 2021). Combined releases of the parasitoid *T. evanescens* and the predatory mirid *N. tenuis* proved to reduce fruit infestation in greenhouse tomatoes in the western Mediterranean region of Turkey (Keçeci and Öztöpe 2017). Similarly, releases of *Trichogramma embryophagum* (Hartig) parasitoids (20 adults per plant) along with *Bt* application or release of *Trichogramma brassicae* (Bezdenko), combined with spinosad spraying, significantly decreased *T. absoluta* densities and leaf mines in Iranian greenhouse tomato crops (Alsaedi et al. 2017; Jamshidnia et al. 2018).

Larval parasitoids

Among known larval parasitoids of *T. absoluta*, only *Dolichogenidea* (= *Apanteles*) *gelechiidivoris* (Marsh), *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Muesebeck) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), *Dineulophus phthorimaeae* (de Santis) and *Necremnus tutae* (Ribes and Bernardo) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) have been considered as part of IPM programs in South America and Europe (Salas Gervassio et al. 2019a, 2019b). Currently, the utility of these parasitoids is restricted to conservation biological control programs. One species, *D. gelechiidivoris* sourced from Peru, is being studied to develop a classical biological control program for *T. absoluta* in sub-Saharan Africa (Aigbedion-Atalor et al. 2020).

In Chile, the importance of naturally occurring parasitism levels was recognized by Larrain (1987) who recommended avoiding early insecticide sprays to conserve existing field populations of *D. phthorimaeae* and *D. gelechiidivoris*. In the 1980s, in Colombia, Agudelo and Kaimowitz (1997) reported levels of larval parasitism up to 70% and the implementation of an IPM technology based on the conservation of *Apanteles* spp., releases of the *Trichogramma* spp. and treatments with *Bt*. The level of adoption and pest control success of this program is uncertain; however, its use decreased with time and ended up being minimal. More recently, Morales et al. (2014) evaluated the effectiveness of the parasitoid *D. gelechiidivoris* alone or together with sex pheromone traps and obtained better results with the combination of both strategies. Despite all these efforts, Herrera

Rocha et al. (2018) reported that chemical control is widely used in Colombia and indicates that biological control will only be possible if combined with selective pesticides.

In Argentina, spontaneously occurring larval parasitism in commercial fields is mainly caused by *P. dignus* (Sánchez et al. 2009; Salas Gervasio et al. 2016), although it is found coexisting with the parasitoid *D. phthorimaeae* on the same host (Luna et al. 2010). Several studies revealed important levels of parasitism up to 75% in non-sprayed fields and up to 26% in crops with frequent insecticide applications (Sánchez et al. 2009; Luna et al. 2010; Nieves et al. 2015). This undoubtedly contributes to the natural biological control of the pest. Preliminary releases of *P. dignus* done in experimental tomato crops were not very successful (Folcia 2013). Further experimental work conducted by Salas Gervasio (2017) on *P. dignus* in greenhouse tomatoes provided promising results for pest control.

In Europe and the Mediterranean Basin, the situation does not differ much. Among the indigenous parasitoids associated with *T. absoluta*, only a few are considered as potential biocontrol agents (Zappalà et al. 2013; Gabarra et al. 2014). *Necremnus tutae* (Ribes & Bernardo), first identified as *N. nr. artynes* (Walker) (Chailleux et al. 2014b; Gebiola et al. 2015), is the only species that was mass-reared in biofactories, although currently it is not commercially available. Since biological control of the pest in this region is massively relied on the use of predatory mirid bugs from the very beginning (Urbaneja et al. 2012), the combined use of both the predator and the parasitoid was examined (Calvo et al. 2016). This latter study showed that releases of the parasitoid were not necessary to control *T. absoluta* after pre-planting application of the predatory bug *N. tenuis*. However, the role of parasitoids in conservation biological control is recognized in Tunisia (Abbes et al., 2014) and in Spain (Arnó et al. 2018b). In fact, an IPM program based on the conservation of *N. tutae* together with releases of *N. tenuis* and *T. achaeae* and the use of mating disruption is currently being recommended in Southeast Spain (Crisol and van der Blom 2018).

Agronomic and cultural control

Agronomic practices via manipulation of fertilization and irrigation have the potential for achieving *T. absoluta* control through bottom-up effects (Han et al. 2019b). For instance, lower nitrogen (N) input resulted in lower performance of *T. absoluta* in tomato plants via bottom-up forces (Han et al. 2014; 2016), but did not disrupt the efficiency of biocontrol agents (Han et al. 2015a, 2015b; Dong et al. 2018). These findings were encouraging for reaching the goal of IPM; however, they were obtained from manipulative microcosm and/or mesocosm experiments, which may not translate into

population effects at larger-scales, e.g., greenhouses. If we carry out inundative releases of biocontrol agents (e.g., *M. pygmaeus* and/or *N. tutae*) for controlling *T. absoluta* under greenhouse conditions, it is important to monitor the population growth of *T. absoluta* when the crops are treated with varying levels of nitrogen fertilizers. Reduced N fertilizer may impede tomato growth, but it may also help reduce the damage by *T. absoluta*, which could jointly affect tomato yield and quality. Moreover, applying less N fertilizer to crops has the potential to secure and even boost crop yields when applied in an appropriate way, while posing limited environmental damage (Chen et al. 2014). There is a trade-off in this issue, and it is important to figure out how much N fertilizer could result in a multi-beneficial situation, i.e., lower fertilizer input, enhanced pest control and increased yields. This agronomic practice could be applied both for greenhouse and open-field tomatoes.

Adoption of resistant cultivars is another option that could be implemented in IPM programs against *T. absoluta*. A novel tomato cultivar has the potential to confer resistance to *T. absoluta* (Snoeren et al. 2017), but its performance in greenhouses and/or fields has not been assessed. In addition, insecticidal hybrid SN19 gene- and Cry1Ac gene-mediated resistance have been successfully developed in potato and tomato, respectively (Ahmed et al. 2017; Selale et al. 2017). So far, however, no tomato cultivar has been commercially available for targeting *T. absoluta*. The rapid development and robust risk assessment of those resistant cultivars are necessary before they could be adopted by growers in large areas. Notably, breeding of novel insect-resistant cultivars expressing long dsRNA that target pest essential genes in plastids (Zhang et al. 2015; 2017), being highly species-specific and thus environment-safe, could be a promising component of the IPM package against *T. absoluta*.

For both greenhouse and open-field tomatoes, routine surveillance and removal of infested leaves from young seedlings in the early season reduce the initial population size of the moth, which could considerably lower the risk of population eruption during the season. Though labor-intensive, these practices are the most straightforward, thus lowering the follow-up of management inputs. For greenhouse tomatoes, several options could minimize the crop infestation by *T. absoluta*. First, physical barriers such as compartment exclusion by fine mesh and the design of double doors in the entry into greenhouses could be helpful to reduce infestation risk (Desneux et al. 2010; Biondi et al. 2018). Second, removal of alternative host plants inside/around the greenhouses could help suppress *T. absoluta* population. However, this practice requires growers to identify the wild plant species that may act as potential seasonal bridge hosts (Arnó et al. 2019). Efforts are thus needed to update the list of alternative host plant species and train growers to target these plants for removal in each region (e.g., Ciceoi and Gutue 2020). Third, crop rotation shifting

from tomato to other non-solanaceous crops (e.g., leafy vegetables) could break the life cycle of *T. absoluta*, thus limiting the population build-up. Last but not the least, exposing the greenhouse fields to ambient temperature in winter, notably in certain regions with a cold winter, can kill a large proportion of remaining pest individuals that attempted to overwinter in the shelter (Li et al. 2020).

Developed IPM programs

A standardized questionnaire form was designed and disseminated to the researchers from nearly 30 countries who work on *T. absoluta* management (see Supplementary Materials). The form includes choice questions where scores are requested, and open questions where detailed answers are requested. The contacted researchers responded to a questionnaire by gathering knowledge on the importance/diffusion of each IPM strategy (i.e., warning and early diagnosis, cultural, biotechnical, biological and chemical control) for managing *T. absoluta*, in three main tomato-producing areas during three main periods: (i) before 2006 (only for the native area), i.e., before the first record of the pest outside its area of origin; (ii) 2006–2012 (for native and early-invaded areas), i.e., the Mediterranean region and Central Europe invasion period; (iii) after 2012 (for the three areas: native, early-invaded and newly invaded areas), i.e., the sub-Saharan Africa, Asia and Central America invasion period. Further practical information on the current needs of the tomato industry for a suitable control of *T. absoluta* was gathered as well. For contacted researchers, information has been provided after interviewing local farmers, technicians, policy makers and by reading outreach and scientific documents in each concerned country. Four questionnaires for three countries in the native area (Brazil, Argentina and Colombia), 13 questionnaires for the early-invaded area (12 countries) and 17 questionnaires for the newly invaded area (14 countries) have been obtained. For each questionnaire, an overall score of 100% was divided among the five *T. absoluta* control approaches according to their importance in a given area during a given period. The average values of these percentages are presented in Fig. 1. One limitation of our study is that the questionnaire survey does not allow us to obtain estimated costs associated with each control tools in different areas.

Tuta absoluta IPM temporal and geographical evolution

Tuta absoluta is currently considered a major concern in almost all tomato-producing areas around the world (Supplementary Materials: Tables S1, S2 and S3). Applying synthetic chemical pesticides has been the most adopted IPM management tool by farmers to cope with *T. absoluta*

infestations in tomatoes, regardless of the area or the period (Fig. 1). This management approach has mainly involved several in-season sprays of broad-spectrum active substances belonging to various insecticide chemical sub-groups including indoxacarb (oxadiazines), chlorantraniliprole (diamides), emamectin benzoate and abamectin (avermectins), deltamethrin (pyrethroids) and spinosad (spinosyns) (Tables S1, S2 and S3). However, it is worth mentioning that there has been a remarkable reduction of chemical pesticide input for managing *T. absoluta* in all concerned tomato-producing areas worldwide, and especially, this has been the case in the early-invaded area as compared to the native area (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the reduction in chemical insecticide use in the native area (South America) started to become more evident after the year 2006, with no significant reduction in insecticide use until recently. This fact provides evidence that chemical insecticides are a necessity for South American farmers for controlling *T. absoluta*, and apparently, they do not rely on pesticide-free, more expensive alternative biorational control options.

Although not commonly used in native and newly invaded areas, the biological control with arthropod natural enemies through releases of *Trichogramma* spp. egg parasitoids and/or the predatory mirid bugs *N. tenuis* or *M. pygmaeus* or by applying the microbial pesticide *Bt* has been of great interest in early-invaded area, i.e., Europe and the Middle East and North Africa region since the year 2012 (Fig. 1, Tables S1, S2 and S3). Importantly, as shown in Fig. 1, the main goal of using biological control in early-invaded countries has been to reduce the overuse, and in some cases, the misuse of hazardous chemical pesticides that have proved to generate detrimental side effects on non-target arthropods, human health and the environment, in addition to the resistance to pesticides in *T. absoluta* populations. In this context, major concerns have been rising in all geographical areas about the occurrence of resistance in *T. absoluta* to both spinosad and chlorantraniliprole insecticides. As a result, management options such as trapping and use of pheromones, cultural tactics (removal of infested leaves and fruits, using resistant tomato varieties, insect proof nets, soil solarization, destruction of alternative host weeds) and warning and early diagnosis (pheromone-based monitoring) are equally applied as important components within IPM packages in all different areas and the three time-intervals (Fig. 1). This indicates that these options, being less effective if applied alone, could be considered as permanent, complementary tools to other more effective options such as chemical control and/or biological control. In a future attempt to ensuring a more sustainable and effective pest management action, regardless of the invasion area, a promising research avenue would be to evaluate, in collaboration with farmers and their advisors, the effectiveness of various tool combinations, e.g., biopesticide application + release of parasitoids and/




Fig. 1 Diagrams on the proportion among control strategies employed within integrated pest management packages against *Tuta absoluta* in the native (<2006), early-invaded (2006–2012) and recently (>2012) invaded ranges, during three time intervals

or predators, pheromone mass trapping or mating disruption + biopesticide application, etc. Notably, in new invasion areas, parasitoids and predators would have to be discovered, evaluated and imported, which would bring in national or regional governmental efforts.

The temporal and geographical evolution of IPM programs is in line with the concept of prioritizing those pest control tactics that consider their relative environmental impact, as stated in Kogan and Bajwa (1999). These authors also emphasized that adoption of IPM is conceived at three levels of integration, starting with systems based on a single tactical approach such as using economic thresholds for better timing of pesticide applications, but later replacing that control measure with non-chemical tactics such as biological control and/or cultural control methods. It is encouraging to note that such a trend has already been shown in the native range and the early-invaded range (Fig. 1). Thus, following this approach, it is expected that in the near future and in light of important progresses made on *T. absoluta* management, the use of chemical control can be drastically reduced, mostly in developing countries and that new phytosanitary alternatives must be promoted.

Current challenges for farmers

Based on the questionnaires performed in collaboration with South American farmers and plant protection professionals, the current challenges for farmers in *T. absoluta* native range countries (Brazil, Argentina and Colombia) are mainly linked to the high economic cost associated with over-use of chemical insecticides and to the adoption of alternative non-chemical, eco-friendly management options (Table S1).

In early-invaded countries in Europe, farmers currently have major concerns about the high cost associated with multiple sprays of pesticides, the absence or lack of knowledge on the occurrence and biology of *T. absoluta*, the high cost associated with alternative non-chemical techniques, the development of resistance to spinosad and chlorantraniliprole, the adverse effect of the omnivorous predatory bug *N. tenuis* whenever acting as a crop pest, the detrimental side effects of synthetic pesticides on predators such as *N. tenuis* and *M. pygmaeus* in greenhouses, and finding suitable techniques for minimizing or eliminating pesticide residues, as required by the European and international market (Table S2). Regarding early-invaded countries in the Middle

Eastern/North African region, current challenges for farmers are not very different from those stated earlier, linked to European countries (Table S2). Indeed, in Tunisia, Morocco, Saudi Arabia and Turkey, the main current challenges for managing *T. absoluta* mainly include the high cost associated with multiple sprays of pesticides, the observed control failure by the active ingredients used due to development of pest's resistance, the high cost associated with alternative non-chemical control options and the problematic adverse side effects of insecticides on predatory mirid bugs.

In newly invaded countries located either in sub-Saharan Africa, Asia or Central America, the absence or lack of knowledge on the occurrence and biology of the pest, the high cost associated with multiple insecticide sprays, the high cost associated with alternative non-chemical techniques, the control failure after several chemical insecticide applications, the negative side effects of insecticides on various ecosystem components as well as on farmers and consumers and the lack of awareness on the correct use of insecticides represent the most common current challenges for farmers (Table S3).

Therefore, we conclude that the current challenges for farmers for effectively managing this pest are continent-independent within the same range, but could be considered as continent or country dependent among the three different areas (native, early invaded and newly invaded) that are characterized by different agro-ecosystems that could influence the pest's occurrence and bio-ecology. This is reported despite the existence of some similarities in farmers' general perceptions and trends in the three different areas. From this perspective, it should be pointed out that another potential challenge, i.e., the global impact of climate change on both the pest's bio-ecology and the already implemented management approaches, could come into focus in the near future in all concerned areas.

Farmers' expectations from researchers

Farmers in native range countries have expressed similar expectations from researchers, which are mainly linked to development of novel sustainable alternatives to chemical insecticides despite the promising control performance by insecticides in South America. This is mainly due to the frequent occurrence of resistant populations to several active ingredients used there, especially when considering that *T. absoluta* has been present in tomato-producing areas for a long time (Table S1).

As shown in Table S2, expectations from researchers are not quite different between European and North African/Middle Eastern farmers belonging to early-invaded countries. In the latter, the most common expectations from the questionnaires are to find out sustainable solutions to both the pest's resistance to some chemical pesticides and

phytophagy of the predator *N. tenuis* that frequently causes plant damage and to further promote biological control as a primary alternative to chemical control. This can be performed either through identification and assessment of effective natural enemies or via development, registration and commercialization of novel effective microbial insecticides and insect growth regulators (IGRs). Besides, testing and recommending effective and economically profitable bio-rational pest management combinations by researchers is considered a future task of utmost importance for farmers in early-invaded countries.

In contrast to native and early-invaded areas, as management strategies for *T. absoluta* are still in progress in African, Asian and Central American newly invaded countries, finding a solution to the pest's resistance to pesticides is not currently considered a research expectation from farmers (Table S3). In these geographical areas where the pest is still spreading in most tomato-producing regions, providing recommendations on the most effective, but selective, chemical insecticides to control *T. absoluta*, developing cheaper biorational chemical-free alternatives such as the use of microbial pesticides and breeding resistant tomato cultivars constitute the most common research expectations from farmers.

Hence, apparently, farmers' expectations from researchers is area and time period dependent because in some (native and early-invaded) areas, researchers already tested and recommended to implement the most suitable pest management approaches, but this has not been yet achieved in the newly invaded area where further time would be necessary to better evaluate the pest status and spread, its bio-ecology as well as overall agro-ecological and economic impacts following the invasion by *T. absoluta*.

Conclusions

We offer a timely review on the up-to-date development and practical implementation of IPM programs targeting *T. absoluta*. While chemical control could be suggested for limited use, owing to its multifaceted side effects as well as rapid development of insecticide resistance by the pest, many advances were made on the fundamental and applied research related to either biological or biotechnical control. Specifically, the combined use of different management options has been largely tested under greenhouse conditions, which have achieved satisfactory IPM goals (Biondi et al. 2018). Agromomic control-related research is an emerging and fertile area where modulation of soil fertilization and/or irrigation, as well as the breeding of resistant cultivars are very likely to enhance the efficacy of IPM (Han et al. 2019b). In practice, the IPM programs evolved along with the range and time of invasion. The lessons and knowledge gathered from the native range and/or early-invaded areas will be useful for the relevant

stakeholders in newly invaded areas. The biggest challenges for worldwide tomato growers, while they may somehow differ across continents, are the control failures by chemical pesticides and the high cost associated with biological and biotechnical control techniques (until further research to lower costs). Accordingly, researchers are expected to break the bottlenecks of some key issues that could enable lowering application costs, e.g., how to improve the biological control via increasing the mass-rearing capacity of key biocontrol agents and biocontrol efficacy in the field. Another challenging issue is the low extension/transfer ratio of the research findings from the research institutions to the actual stakeholders and farmers, which hints to the important roles of national agricultural administrations and local government in increasing the dissemination of effective control tactics against the pest.

Authors' contributions

ND, PH and AB conceived and designed the work. ND, PH, RM, AB, JA, TB, MRC, AC, RNCG, JK, KAJK, AVL, MGL, MPH, AU, FJV and LZ provided text based on bibliography review. ND, PH, RM, AB, KA, AA, JA, YB, FC, AC, RDV, FE, DMF, KH, KI, MJH, CCJ, MK, HTL, HM, TM, AM, GM, SAM, RSN, AO, CR, MR, ER, PRS, FHW, MHW, SW and YBZ provided original information on current and past IPM *Tuta absoluta* strategies. ND, PH, RM and AB analyzed and presented the data. All authors revised and approved the manuscript.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-021-01442-8>.

Funding Not applicable.

Availability of data and material Not applicable.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals (other than insects) performed by any of the authors.

References

- Abbes K, Biondi A, Zappalà L, Chermiti B (2014) Fortuitous parasitoids of the invasive tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* in Tunisia. *Phytoparasitica* 42:85–92
- Abd El-Ghany NM, Abdel-Wahab ES, Ibrahim SS (2016) Population fluctuation and evaluation the efficacy of pheromone-based traps with different color on tomato leafminer moth, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Egypt. *Res J Pharm, Biol and Chem Sci* 7:1533–1539
- Agudelo LA, Kaimowitz D (1997) Tecnología agrícola sostenible: retos institucionales y metodológicos. Dos estudios de caso en Colombia. Instituto interamericano de Cooperación para la Agricultura (IICA)/Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH. San José, Costa Rica
- Agustí N, Castañé C, Fraile I, Alomar O (2020) Development of a PCR-based method to monitor arthropod dispersal in agroecosystems: *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae) from banker plants to tomato crops. *Insect Sci* 27:1125–1134
- Ahmed HAA, Onarici S, Bakhsh A, Akdogan G, Karakoç OC, Ozcan SF et al (2017) Targeted expression of insecticidal hybrid SN19 gene in potato leads to enhanced resistance against Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say) and tomato leafminer (*Tuta absoluta* Meyrick). *Plant Biotechnol Rep* 11:315–329
- Aigbedion-Atalor PO, Mohamed SA, Hill MP, Zalucki MP, Azrag AGA, Srinivasan R et al (2020) Host stage preference and performance of *Dolichogenidea gelechiidivoris* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a candidate for classical biological control of *Tuta absoluta* in Africa. *Biol Control* 144:104215
- Aktar MW, Sengupta D, Chowdhury A (2009) Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. *Interdiscip Toxicol* 2:1–12
- Albajes R, Alomar O (1999) Current and potential use of polyphagous predators. In: Albajes R, Gullino ML, van Lenteren JC, Elad Y (eds) *Integrated Pest and Disease Management in Greenhouse Crops*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 265–275
- Allegrucci N, Velazquez MS, Russo ML, Perez E, Scorsetti AC (2011) Endophytic colonisation of tomato by the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*: the use of different inoculation techniques and their effects on the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Plant Prot Res* 57:205–211
- Alomar O, Goula M, Albajes R (2002) Colonisation of tomato fields by predatory mirid bugs (Hemiptera: Heteroptera) in northern Spain. *Agri Eco Env* 89:105–115
- Alsaedi G, Ashouri A, Talaie-Hassanloui R (2017) Assessment of two *Trichogramma* species with *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *krustaki* for the control of the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Iran. *Open J Ecol* 7:112–124
- Ardanuy A, Figeras M, Matas M, Madeira F, Arno J, Alomar O et al (2018) Local and landscape context influence precocity of tomato greenhouse colonization by predatory mirid bugs. In press, *IOBC/WPRS Bull*
- Arnó J, Gabarra R (2011) Side effects of selected insecticides on the *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) predators *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Hemiptera: Miridae). *J Pest Sci* 84:513–520
- Arnó J, Sorribas R, Prat M et al (2009) *Tuta absoluta*, a new pest in IPM tomatoes in the northeast of Spain. *IOBC/WPRS Bull* 49:203–208
- Arnó J, Castañé C, Alomar O, Riudavets J, Agustí N, Gabarra R et al (2018a) Forty years of biological control in Mediterranean tomato greenhouses: The story of success. *Isr J Entomol* 48:209–226
- Arnó J, Oveja MF, Gabarra R (2018b) Selection of flowering plants to enhance the biological control of *Tuta absoluta* using parasitoids. *Biol Control* 122:41–50
- Arnó J, Gabarra R, Molina P, Godfrey KE, Zalom FG (2019) *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) success on common Solanaceous species from California tomato production areas. *Environ Entomol* 48:1394–1400

- Attygalle AB, Jham GN, Svatoš A, Frighetto RTS, Ferrara FA, Vilela EF, Uchôa-Fernandes MA, Meinwald J (1996) 3E,8Z,11Z)-3,8,11-tetradecatrienyl acetate, major sex pheromone component of the tomato pest *Scrobipalpuloides absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Bioorg Med Chem* 4:305–314
- Aviron S, Poggi S, Varennes Y-D, Lefèvre A (2016) Local landscape heterogeneity affects crop colonization by natural enemies of pests in protected horticultural cropping systems. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 227:1–10
- Bacci L, Silva ÉM, Silva GA, Silva LJ, Rosado JF, Samuels RI et al (2018) Natural mortality factors of tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* in open-field tomato crops in the South America. *Pest Manag Sci* 75:736–743
- Balmford A, Bennun L, Ten Brink B, Cooper D, Côté IM et al (2005) The convention on biological diversity's 2010 target. *Science* 307:212–213
- Balzan MV (2017) Flowering banker plants for the delivery of multiple agroecosystem services. *Arthropod Plant Interact* 11:743–754
- Barbosa WF, Smagghe G, Guedes RNC (2015) Pesticides and reduced-risk insecticides, native bees and pantropical stingless bees: pitfalls and perspectives. *Pest Manag Sci* 71:1049–1053
- Batalla-Carrera L, Morton A, García-del-Pino F (2010) Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against the tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta* in laboratory and greenhouse conditions. *Biocontrol* 55:523–553
- Benelli G, Pavelab R, Zorzettoc C, Sánchez-Mateoc CC, Santinid G, Canalea A et al (2019) Insecticidal activity of the essential oil from *Schizogyne sericea* (Asteraceae) on four insect pests and two non-target species. *Entomol Gen* 39:9–18
- Benvenega SR, Gravena FOA, S, (2007) Decision making for integrated pest management of the South American tomato pinworm based on sexual pheromone traps. *Hortic Bras* 25:164–169
- Biondi A, Desneux N, Siscaro G, Zappalà L (2012) Using organic-certified rather than synthetic pesticides may not be safer for biological control agents: selectivity and side effects of 14 pesticides on the predator *Orius laevigatus*. *Chemosphere* 87:803–812
- Biondi A, Desneux N, Amiens-Desneux E, Siscaro G, Zappalà L (2013) Biology and developmental strategies of the Palaearctic parasitoid *Bracon nigricans* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on the Neotropical moth *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Econ Entomol* 106:1638–1647
- Biondi A, Zappalà L, Desneux N, Aparo A, Siscaro G, Rapisarda C et al (2015) Potential toxicity of α -cypermethrin-treated nets on *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Econ Entomol* 108:1191–1197
- Biondi A, Zappalà L, Di Mauro A, Garzia GT, Russo A, Desneux N (2016) Can alternative host plant and prey affect phytophagy and biological control by the zoophytophagous mirid *Nesidiocoris tenuis*? *Biocontrol* 61:79–90
- Biondi A, Guedes RNC, Wan F, Desneux N (2018) Ecology, Worldwide Spread, and Management of the Invasive South American Tomato Pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*: Past, Present, and Future. *Annu Rev Entomol* 63:239–258
- Bompard A, Jaworski CC, Bearez P, Desneux N (2013) Sharing a predator: can an invasive alien pest affect the predation on a local pest? *Pop Ecol* 55:433–440
- Buitenhuis R, Shipp JL (2005) Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) as influenced by *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) developmental stage and host plant stage. *J Econ Entomol* 98:1480–1485
- Cabello T, Gallego JR, Vila E, Soler A, del Pino M, Carnero A, Hernandez-Suarez E, Polaszek A (2009) Biological control of the South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Lep.: Gelechiidae), with releases of *Trichogramma achaeae* (Hym.: Trichogrammatidae) in tomato greenhouses of Spain. *IOBC WPRS Bull* 49:225–230
- Cabello T, Bonfil F, Gallego JR, Fernandez FJ, Gamez M, Garay J (2015) Can interactions between an omnivorous hemipteran and an egg parasitoid limit the level of biological control for the tomato pinworm? *Environ Entomol* 44:12–26
- Calvo J, Bolckmans K, Stansly PA, Urbaneja A (2009) Predation by *Nesidiocoris tenuis* on *Bemisia tabaci* and injury to tomato. *Biocontrol* 54:237–246
- Calvo FJ, Bolckmans K, Belda JE (2012a) Release rate for a pre-plant application of *Nesidiocoris tenuis* for *Bemisia tabaci* control in tomato. *Biocontrol* 57:809–817
- Calvo FJ, Lorente MJ, Stansly PA, Belda JE (2012b) Preplant release of *Nesidiocoris tenuis* and supplementary tactics for control of *Tuta absoluta* and *Bemisia tabaci* in greenhouse tomato. *Entomol Exp Appl* 143:111–119
- Calvo FJ, Soriano JD, Stansly PA, Belda JE (2016) Can the parasitoid *Necremnus tutae* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) improve existing biological control of the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)? *Bull Entomol Res* 106:502–511
- Campolo O, Cherif A, Ricupero M, Siscaro G, Grissa-Lebdi K, Russo A et al (2017) Citrus peel essential oil nanoformulations to control the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta*: chemical properties and biological activity. *Sci Rep* 7:13036
- Campolo O, Puglisi I, Barbagallo RN, Cherif A, Ricupero M, Biondi A, Zappalà L (2020) Side effects of two citrus essential oil formulations on a generalist insect predator, plant and soil enzymatic activities. *Chemosphere* 257:127252
- Campos MR, Rodrigues ARS, Silva WM, Silva TBM, Silva VRF, Guedes RNC et al (2014) Spinosad and the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta*: A bioinsecticide, an invasive pest threat, and high insecticide resistance. *PLoS ONE* 9:e103235
- Campos MR, Silva TBM, Silva WM, Silva JE, Siqueira HAA (2015) Spinoy resistance in the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Pest Sci* 88:405–412
- Campos MR, Biondi A, Adiga A, Guedes RNC, Desneux N (2017) From the Western Palaearctic region to beyond: *Tuta absoluta* 10 years after invading Europe. *J Pest Sci* 90:787–796
- Campos MR, Béarez P, Amiens-Desneux E, Ponti L, Gutierrez AP et al (2021a) Thermal biology of *Tuta absoluta*: demographic parameters and facultative diapause. *J Pest Sci* 94:829–842
- Campos MR, Amiens-Desneux E, Béarez P, Soares MA, Ponti L et al (2021b) Impact of low temperature and host plant on *Tuta absoluta*. *Entomol Exp App*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.13094>
- Caparros-Megido R, Haubruge E, Verheggen FJ (2012) First evidence of deuterotokous parthenogenesis in the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Pest Sci* 85:409–412
- Caparros-Megido R, Haubruge E, Verheggen FJ (2013) Pheromone-based management strategies to control the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). A review. *Biotechnol Agron Soc Environ* 17:475–482
- Cardé RT, Minks AK (1995) Control of moth pests by mating disruption: successes and constraints. *Annu Rev Entomol* 40:559–585
- Carpio C, Dangles O, Dupas S, Léry X, López-Ferber M, Orbe K, Zeddard JL (2012) Development of a viral biopesticide for the control of the Guatemala potato tuber moth *Tecia solanivora*. *J Invertebr Pathol* 112:184–219
- Cascone P, Carpenito S, Slotsbo S, Iodice L, Sørensen JG, Holmstrup M, Guerrieri E (2015) Improving the efficiency of *Trichogramma achaeae* to control *Tuta absoluta*. *Biocontrol* 60:761–771
- Castañé C, Alomar O, Goula M, Gabarra R (2004) Colonization of tomato greenhouses by the predatory mirid bugs *Macrolophus caliginosus* and *Dicyphus tamaninii*. *Biol Control* 30:591–597
- Castañé C, Arnó J, Gabarra R, Alomar O (2011) Plant damage to vegetable crops by zoophytophagous mirid predators. *Biol Control* 59:22–29

- Castresana J, Puhl L (2017) Comparative study among a variety of solar-powered LED traps to capture tomato leafminers *tuta absoluta* adults by mass trapping in tomato greenhouses in the province of entre ríos, argentina. *Idesia (arica)* 35:87–95
- Chailleux A, Desneux N, Seguret J, Khanh HDT, Maignet P, Tabone E (2012) Assessing European egg parasitoids as a mean of controlling the invasive south american tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta*. *PLoS ONE* 7:e48068
- Chailleux A, Biondi A, Han P, Tabone E, Desneux N (2013a) Suitability of the pest-plant system *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)-tomato for *Trichogramma* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) parasitoids and insights for biological control. *J Econ Entomol* 106:2310–2321
- Chailleux A, Bearez P, Pizzol J, Amiens-Desneux E, Ramirez-Romero R, Nesneux N (2013b) Potential for combined use of parasitoids and generalist predators for biological control of the key invasive tomato pest *Tuta absoluta*. *J Pest Sci* 86:533–541
- Chailleux A, Mohl EK, Teixeira-Alves M, Messelink GJ, Desneux N (2014a) Natural enemy-mediated indirect interactions among prey species: potential for enhancing biocontrol services in agroecosystems. *Pest Manag Sci* 70:1769–1779
- Chailleux A, Desneux N, Arnó J, Gabarra R (2014b) Biology of two key Palaearctic larval ectoparasitoids when parasitizing the invasive pest *Tuta absoluta*. *J Pest Sci* 87:441–448
- Chang PEC, Metz MA (2021) Classification of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae: Gelechiinae: Gnorimoschemini) based on cladistic analysis of morphology. *P Entomol Soc Wash* 123:41–54
- Chegini SG, Abbasipour H (2017) Chemical composition and insecticidal effects of the essential oil of cardamom, *Elettaria cardamomum* on the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta*. *Toxin Rev* 36:12–17
- Chen XP, Cui ZL, Fan MS, Vitousek P, Zhao M, Ma W et al (2014) Producing more grain with lower environmental costs. *Nature* 514:486–489
- Cherif A, Harbaoui K, Zappalà L, Grissa-Lebdi K (2018) Efficacy of mass trapping and insecticides to control *T. absoluta* in Tunisia. *J Plant Dis Prot* 125:51–61
- Cherif A, Attia-Barhoumi S, Mansour R, Zappalà L, Grissa-Lebdi K (2019a) Elucidating key biological parameters of *Tuta absoluta* on different host plants and under various temperature and relative humidity regimes. *Entomol Gen* 39:1–7
- Cherif A, Mansour R, Barhoumi-Attia S, Zappalà L, Grissa-Lebdi K (2019b) Effectiveness of different release rates of *Trichogramma cacoeciae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) against *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in protected and open field tomato crops in Tunisia. *Bioc Sci Techn* 29:149–161
- Cherif A, Mansour R, Grissa-Lebdi K (2021) The egg parasitoids *Trichogramma*: from laboratory mass rearing to biological control of lepidopteran pests. *Biocontrol Sci Techn*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2020.1871469>
- Chermi B, Abbes K (2012) Comparison of pheromone lures used in mass trapping to control the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) in industrial tomato crops in Kairouan (Tunisia). *Bull OEPP* 42:241–248
- Ciceoi R, Gutue M (2020) Updated List of Host Plants of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) with Reference to Romania. *Acta Zool Bulg* 72:597–610
- Cocco A, Deliperi S, Delrio G (2012) Potential of mass trapping for *Tuta absoluta* management in greenhouse tomato crops using light and pheromone traps. *IOBC-WPRS Bull* 80:319–324
- Cocco A, Deliperi S, Delrio G (2013) Control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lep., Gelechiidae) in greenhouse tomato crops using the mating disruption technique. *J Appl Entomol* 137:16–28
- Contreras J, Mendoza JE, Martínez-Aguirre MR, García-Vidal L, Izquierdo J, Bielza P (2014) Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* against *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Econ Entomol* 107:121–124
- Cooper J, Dobson H (2007) The benefits of pesticides to mankind and the environment. *Crop Prot* 26:1337–1348
- Crisol E, van der Blom J (2018) La avispa parasitoide *Necremnus tuta* lidera la lucha contra *Tuta absoluta* en primavera. <https://coexphal.wordpress.com/2018/04/10/la-avispa-parasitoide-necremnus-tutae-lidera-la-lucha-contra-tuta-absoluta-en-primavera>. Accessed 11.09.2018
- Dara SK (2019) Non-entomopathogenic roles of entomopathogenic fungi in promoting plant health and growth. *Insects* 10:277
- de Oliveira CM, de Oliveira JV, Barbosa DR, e S, Breda MO, Franca SM de, Duarte BLR, (2017) Biological parameters and thermal requirements of *Trichogramma pretiosum* for the management of the tomato fruit borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in tomatoes. *Crop Prot* 99:39–44
- Deligeorgidis NP, Kavallieratos NG, Malesios C, Sidiropoulos G, Deligeorgidis PN, Benelli G, Papanikolaou NE (2019) Evaluation of combined treatment with mineral oil, fenoxycarb and chlorpyrifos against *Cydia pomonella*, *Phyllonorycter blancardella* and *Synanthedon myopaeformis* in apple orchards. *Entomol Gen* 39:117–126
- Desneux N, O'Neil RJ (2008) Potential of an alternative prey to disrupt predation of the generalist predator, *Orius insidiosus*, on the pest aphid, *Aphis glycines*, via short-term indirect interactions. *Bull Entomol Res* 98:631–639
- Desneux N, Decourtye A, Delpuech J (2007) The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. *Annu Rev Entomol* 52:81–106
- Desneux N, Wajnberg E, Wyckhuys KAG, Burgio G, Arpaia S, Narváez-Vasquez CA (2010) Biological invasion of European tomato crops by *Tuta absoluta*: Ecology, geographic expansion and prospects for biological control. *J Pest Sci* 83:197–215
- Desneux N, Luna MG, Guillemaud T, Urbaneja A (2011) The invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*, continues to spread in Afro-Eurasia and beyond: the new threat to tomato world production. *J Pest Sci* 84:403–408
- Desneux N, Kaplan I, Yoo HJS, Wang S, O'Neil RJ (2019) Temporal synchrony mediates the outcome of indirect effects between prey via a shared predator. *Entomol Gen* 39:127–136
- Dong YC, Han P, Niu CY, Zappalà L, Amiens-Desneux E, Bearez P, Lavoie AV, Biondi A, Desneux N (2018) Nitrogen and water inputs to tomato plant do not trigger bottom-up effects on a leafminer parasitoid through host and non-host exposures. *Pest Manag Sci* 74:516–522
- Ebssa L, Borgemeister C, Poehling HM (2004) Effectiveness of different species/strains of entomopathogenic nematodes for control of western flower thrips (*Frankliniella occidentalis*) at various concentrations, host densities, and temperatures. *Biol Control* 29:145–154
- El-Arnaouty SA, Pizzol J, Galal HH, Kortam MN, Afifi AI, Beyssat V, Desneux N, Biondi A, Heikal IH (2014) Assessment of two *Trichogramma* species for the control of *Tuta absoluta* in North African tomato greenhouses. *Afr Entomol* 22:801–809
- EPPO (2021) <https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/GNORAB/distribution>. Accessed on 9 June 2021
- Ferracini C, Bueno VHP, Dindo ML, Ingegno BL, Luna MG, Gervasio NGS et al (2019) Natural enemies of *Tuta absoluta* in the Mediterranean basin, Europe and South America. *Biocontrol Sci Techn* 29:578–609
- Ferrara FAA, Vilela EF, Jham GN, Eiras AE, Picanço MC, Attygalle AB et al (2001) Evaluation of the synthetic major component of the sex pheromone of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Chem Ecol* 27:907–917
- Folcia AM (2013) Evaluación de *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Hymenoptera-Braconidae) como posible agente de control biológico de

- Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera-Gelechiidae), plaga clave del cultivo de tomate en los alrededores del Gran Buenos Aires. PhD Dissertation, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Gabarra R, Alomar O, Castane C, Guola M, Albajes R (2004) Movement of greenhouse whitefly and its predators between in- and outside of Mediterranean greenhouses. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 102:341–348
- Gabarra R, Zapata R, Castañé C, Riudavets J, Arno J (2006) Releases of *Eretmocerus mundus* and *Macrolophus caliginosus* for controlling *Bemisia tabaci* on spring and autumn greenhouse tomato crops. *IOBC/WPRS Bull* 29:71–76
- Gabarra R, Arnó J, Lara L, Verdú MJ, Ribes A, Beitia F et al (2014) Native parasitoids associated with *Tuta absoluta* in the tomato production areas of the Spanish Mediterranean Coast. *Biocontrol* 59:45–54
- Gebiola M, Bernardo U, Ribes A, Gibson GAP (2015) An integrative study of *Necremnus Thomson* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) associated with invasive pests in Europe and North America: taxonomic and ecological implications. *Zool J Linn Soc-Lond* 173:352–423
- Giustolin TA, Vendramim JD, Alves SB, Pereira VSARM (2001) Susceptibility of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lep., Gelechiidae) reared on two species of *Lycopersicon* to *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki*. *J Appl Entomol* 125:551–556
- Goda NF, El-Heneidy AH, Djelouah K, Hassan N (2015) Integrated pest management of the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in tomato fields in Egypt. *Egypt J Biol Pest Cont* 25:655–661
- Gómez-Valderrama JA, Barrera G, López-Ferber M, Belaich M, Ghiringhelli PD, Villamizar L (2017) Potential of betabaculoviruses to control the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick). *J Appl Entomol* 142:67–77
- Gontijo PC, Picanço MC, Pereira EJG, Martins JC, Chediak M, Guedes RNC (2013) Spatial and temporal variation in the control failure likelihood of the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta*. *Ann Appl Biol* 162:50–59
- González PE (2003) Efectos de insecticidas usados en el control de *Cydia pomonella* y *Tuta absoluta*, sobre los parasitoides de huevo *Trichogramma nerudai* y *Trichogramma pretiosum*. Ph. D. thesis dissertation. Universidad de las Américas, Fac. de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Santiago, Chile
- González-Cabrera J, Mollá O, Montón H, Urbaneja A (2011) Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner) in controlling the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Biocontrol* 56:71–80
- Griepink FC, Van-Beek TA, Posthumus MA, De-Groot A, Visser JH, Voerman S (1996) Identification of the sex pheromone of *Scrobipalpa absoluta*; determination of double bond positions in triple unsaturated straight chain molecules by means of dimethyl disulphide derivatization. *Tetrahedron Lett* 37:411–414
- Guedes RNC (2017) Insecticide Resistance, Control Failure Likelihood and the First Law of Geography. *Pest Manag Sci* 73:479–484
- Guedes RNC, Picanço MC (2012) The tomato borer *Tuta absoluta* in South America: pest status, management and insecticide resistance. *EPPO Bull* 42:211–216
- Guedes RNC, Siqueira HAA (2012) The tomato borer *Tuta absoluta*: Insecticide resistance and control failure. *CAB Rev Perspect Agric Vet Sci Nutr Nat Resour* 7:1–7
- Guedes RNC, Smagghe G, Stark JD, Desneux N (2016) Pesticide-induced stress in arthropod pests for optimized integrated pest management programs. *Annu Rev Entomol* 61:1–20
- Guedes RNC, Walse SS, Throne JE (2017) Sublethal exposure, insecticide resistance, and community stress. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 27:47–53
- Guedes RNC, Roditakis E, Campos MR, Haddi K, Bielza P, Siqueira HAA et al (2019) Insecticide resistance in the tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta*: patterns, spread, mechanisms, management and outlook. *J Pest Sci* 92:1329–1342
- Gugliuzzo A, Biedermann PH, Carrillo D, Castrillo LA, Egonyu JP et al (2021) Recent advances toward the sustainable management of invasive *Xylosandrus ambrosia* beetles. *J Pest Sci* 94:615–637
- Guillemaud T, Blin A, Le Goff I, Desneux N, Reyes M et al (2015) The tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta*, invading the Mediterranean Basin, originates from a single introduction from Central Chile. *Sci Rep* 5:8371
- Haddi K, Berger M, Bielza P, Cifuentes D, Field LM, Gorman K et al (2012) Identification of mutations associated with pyrethroid resistance in the voltage-gated sodium channel of the tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta*). *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 42:506–513
- Haddi K, Berger M, Bielza P, Rapisarda C, Williamson MS, Moores G (2017) Mutation in the *ace-1* gene of the tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta*) associated with organophosphates resistance. *J Appl Entomol* 141:612–619
- Han P, Lavoit AV, Le Bot J, Amiens-Desneux E, Desneux N (2014) Nitrogen and water availability to tomato plants triggers bottom-up effects on the leafminer *Tuta absoluta*. *Sci Rep* 4:4455
- Han P, Bearez P, Adamowicz S, Lavoit AV, Desneux N (2015a) Nitrogen and water limitations in tomato plants trigger negative bottom-up effects on the omnivorous predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus*. *J Pest Sci* 88:685–691
- Han P, Dong YC, Lavoit AV, Adamowicz S, Bearez P, Wajnberg E, Desneux N (2015b) Effect of plant nitrogen and water status on the foraging behavior and fitness of an omnivorous arthropod. *Ecol Evol* 5:5468–5477
- Han P, Desneux N, Amiens-Desneux E, Le Bot J, Bearez P, Lavoit AV (2016) Does plant cultivar difference modify the bottom-up effects of resource limitation on plant-insect herbivore interactions? *J Chem Ecol* 42:1293–1303
- Han P, Zhang YN, Lu ZZ, Wang S, Biondi A, Desneux N (2018) Are we ready for the invasion of *Tuta absoluta*? Unanswered key questions for elaborating an Integrated Pest Management package in Xinjiang, China. *Entomol Gen* 38:113–125
- Han P, Bayram Y, Shaltiel-Harpaz L, Sohrabi F, Saji A, Esenali UT et al (2019a) *Tuta absoluta* continues to disperse in Asia: damage, ongoing management and future challenges. *J Pest Sci* 92:1317–1327
- Han P, Desneux N, Becker C, Larbat R, Le Bot J, Zhang J, Lavoit A (2019b) Bottom-up effects of irrigation, fertilization and plant resistance on *Tuta absoluta*: implications for Integrated Pest Management. *J Pest Sci* 92:1359–1370
- Han P, Becker C, Le Bot J, Larbat R, Lavoit AV, Desneux N (2020) Plant nutrient supply alters the magnitude of indirect interactions between insect herbivores: from foliar chemistry to community dynamics. *J Ecol* 108:1497–1510
- Hassan N, Al-Zaidi S (2010) *Tuta absoluta* - pheromone mediated management strategy. *Int Pest Control* 52:158–160
- Haye T, Girod P, Cuthbertson AGS, Wang XG, Daane KM, Hoelmer KA et al (2016) Current SWD IPM tactics and their practical implementation in fruit crops across different regions around the world. *J Pest Sci* 89:643–651
- Herrera RM, Rodríguez CD, Cantor F (2018) Antecedentes y perspectivas para el manejo integrado de *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick 1917 (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Revista Facultad De Ciencias Básicas* 14:1–9
- Howse PE, Stevens IDR, Jones OT (1998) Lure and kill. In: *Insect Pheromones and their Use in Pest Management*. Springer, Dordrecht
- Huang NX, Jaworski CC, Desneux N, Zhang F, Yang PY, Wang S (2020) Long-term and large-scale releases of *Trichogramma*

- promote pesticide decrease in maize in northeastern China. *Entomol Gen* 40:331–335
- Ismoilov K, Wang MH, Jalilov A, Zhang X, Lu ZZ, Saidov A et al (2020) First report using a native lacewing species to control *Tuta absoluta*: from laboratory trials to field assessment. *Insects* 11:286
- Jamshidnia A, Abdoli S, Farrokhi S, Sadeghi R (2018) Efficiency of spinosad, *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Trichogramma brassicae* against the tomato leafminer in greenhouse. *Biocontrol* 63:619–627
- Jaworski CC, Chailleux A, Bearez P, Desneux N (2015) Predator-mediated apparent competition between pests fails to prevent yield loss despite actual pest populations decrease. *J Pest Sci* 88:793–803
- Jones O (1998) Practical applications of pheromones and other semiochemicals. In: Howse P, Stevens I, Jones O (eds) *Insect pheromones and their use in pest management*. Chapman & Hall, London, pp 263–355
- Kader A, Hajj E, Rizk H, Gharib M, Houssein M, Talj V, Taha N et al (2017) Management of *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) using biopesticides on tomato crop under greenhouse conditions. *J Agric Sci* 9:123–129
- Kamali S, Karimi J, Koppenhöfer AM (2018) New Insight into the Management of the Tomato Leaf Miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) with entomopathogenic nematodes. *J Econ Entomol* 111:112–119
- Keçeci M, Öztop A (2017) Possibilities for biological control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in the western Mediterranean Region of Turkey. *Türk Entomol Derg* 41:219–230
- Khidr AA, Gaffar SA, Nada MS, Taman AA, Salem FA (2013) New approaches for controlling tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) in tomato fields in Egypt. *Egypt J Agric Res* 91:335–348
- Klieber JA, Reineke A (2016) The entomopathogen *Beauveria bassiana* has epiphytic and endophytic activity against the tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta*. *J Appl Entomol* 140:80–89
- Kogan M (1998) Integrated pest management: Historical perspectives and contemporary developments. *Annu Rev Entomol* 43:243–270
- Kogan M, Bajwa WI (1999) Integrated Pest Management: A Global Reality? *An Soc Entomol Brasil* 28:1–25
- Köhler H-R, Triebkorn R (2013) Wildlife ecotoxicology of pesticides: can we track effects to the population level and beyond? *Science* 341:759–765
- Kortam MN, El-Arnaouty SA, Afifi AI, Heikal IH (2014) Efficacy of different biological methods for controlling the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on tomato in greenhouse in Egypt. *Egypt J Biol Pest Cont* 24:523–528
- Lacey L (2016) *Microbial control of insect and mite pests: from theory to practice*, 1st edn. Academic Press, London
- Lambion J (2011) Functional biodiversity in Southern France: a method to enhance predatory mirid bug populations. *Acta Hort* 915:165–170
- Lambion J (2014) Flower strips as winter shelters for predatory Miridae bugs. *Acta Hort* 1041:149–156
- Larrai P (1987) Plagas del tomate. I parte: Descripción, fluctuación poblacional, daño, plantas hospederas, enemigos naturales de las plagas principales. *IPA La Platina* 39:30–35
- Lee MS, Albajes R, Eizaguirre M (2014) Mating behaviour of female *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae): polyandry increases reproductive output. *J Pest Sci* 87:429–439
- Lenfant C, Ridray G, Schoen L (2000) Biopropagation of *Macrolophus caliginosus* (Wagner) for a quicker establishment in southern tomato greenhouses. *IOBC/WPRS Bull* 23:241–246
- Li XW, Li D, Zhang ZJ, Huang J, Zhang JM, Hafeez M et al (2020) Supercooling capacity and cold tolerance of the South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*, a newly invaded pest in China. *J Pest Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-020-01301-y>
- Liebholt AM, Berec L, Brockerhoff EG, Epanchin-Niell RS, Hastings A, Herms DA et al (2016) Eradication of invading insect populations: From concepts to applications. *Annu Rev Entomol* 61:335–352
- Lietti MMM, Botto E, Alzogaray RA (2005) Insecticide resistance in Argentine populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Neotrop Entomol* 34:113–119
- Linn JCE, Campbell MG, Roelofs WL (1987) Pheromone components and active spaces: what do moths smell and where do they smell it? *Science* 237:650–652
- Lobos E, Occhionero M, Werenitzky D, Fernandez J, Gonzalez LM, Rodriguez C et al (2013) Optimization of a trap for *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and trials to determine the effectiveness of mass trapping. *Neotrop Entomol* 42:448–457
- Lockwood JL, Hoopes MF, Marchetti MP (2013) *Invasion Ecology*, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York
- Luna MG, Wada VI, Sánchez NE (2010) Biology of *Dineulophus phthorimaeae* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) and field interaction with *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), larval parasitoids of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in tomato. *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 103:936–942
- Mansour R, Biondi A (2021) Releasing natural enemies and applying microbial and botanical pesticides for managing *Tuta absoluta* in the MENA region. *Phytoparasitica* 49:179–194
- Mansour R, Brévault T, Chailleux A, Cherif A, Grissa-Lebdi K, Haddi K et al (2018) Occurrence, biology, natural enemies and management of *Tuta absoluta* in Africa. *Entomol Gen* 38:83–111
- Mansour R, Cherif A, Attia-Barhoumi S, Zappalà L, Grissa-Lebdi K (2019) *Tuta absoluta* in Tunisia: ten years of invasion and pest management. *Phytoparasitica* 47:461–474
- Mascarin G, Alves SB, Rampelotti-Ferreira FT, Urbano MR, Demétrio CGB, Delalibera I (2010) Potential of a granulovirus isolate to control *Phthorimaea operculella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Biocontrol* 55:657–671
- McLaughlin GM, Dearden PK (2019) Invasive insects: management methods explored. *J Insect Sci* 19:17
- McNitt J, Chungbaek YY, Mortveit H, Marathe M, Campos MR, Desneux N et al (2019) Assessing the multi-pathway threat from an invasive agricultural pest: *Tuta absoluta* in Asia. *P R Soc B-Biol Sci* 286:1–9
- Medeiros MA, de Boas GLV, Vilela NJ, Carrijo OA (2009) A preliminary survey and biological control of South America tomato pinworm with the parasitoid *Trichogramma pretiosum* in greenhouse models. *Hortic Bras* 27:80–85
- Meissle M, Romeis J, Bigler F (2011) Bt maize and integrated pest management - a European perspective. *Pest Manag Sci* 67:1049–1058
- Messelink GJ, Bennison J, Alomar O, Ingegno BL, Tavella L et al (2014) Approaches to conserving natural enemy populations in greenhouse crops: current methods and future prospects. *Biocontrol* 59:377–393
- Michereff-Filho M, Vilela EF, Jham GN, Attygalle A, Svatoš A, Meinwald J (2000) Initial studies of mating disruption of the tomato moth, *Tuta absoluta* (Lep., Gelechiidae) using synthetic sex pheromone. *J Braz Chem Soc* 111:621–628
- Miranda M, Picanço M, Zanoncio J, Guedes R (1998) Ecological life table of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Biocontrol Sci Technol* 8:597–606
- Mollá O, Montón H, Vanaclocha P, Beitia F, Urbaneja A et al (2009) Predation by the mirids *Nesidiocoris tenuis* and *Macrolophus*

- pygmaeus* on the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta*. IOBC/WPRS Bull 49:209–214
- Mollá O, González-Cabrera J, Urbaneja A (2011) The combined use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis* against the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta*. Biocontrol 56:883–891
- Monserrat Delgado A (2008) La polilla del tomate *Tuta absoluta* en la region de Murcia: bases para su control. Ministry of Agriculture and Water, Murcia
- Morales J, Muñoz L, Rodríguez D, Cantor F (2014) Acción combinada de feromona sexual y de avispas *Apanteles gelechiidivoris* para el control de *Tuta absoluta* en cultivos de tomate. Acta Biol Colomb 19:175–184
- Moreau J, Buffenoir N, Thiéry D, Vogelweith F (2019) *Lobesia botrana* as a preferred host of *Campoplex capitator*, the most occurring larval parasitoid in European vineyards. Entomol Gen 39:307–312
- Mutegi DM, Kilalo D, Kimenju JW, Waturu C (2017) Pathogenicity of selected native entomopathogenic nematodes against tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta*) in Kenya. World J Agric Res 5:233–239
- Naselli M, Zappala L, Gugliuzzo A, Garzia GT, Biondi A, Rapisarda C et al (2016) Olfactory response of the zoophytophagous mirid *Nesidiocoris tenuis* to tomato and alternative host plants. Arthropod Plant Interact 11:121–131
- Nieves EL, Pereyra PC, Luna MG, Medone P, Sánchez NE (2015) Laboratory population parameters and field impact of the larval endoparasitoid *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on its host *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in tomatocrops in Argentina. J Econ Entomol 108:1553–1559
- Oztemiz S, Kutuk H, Portakaldali M (2012) Biological control of tomato leaf miner (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on greenhouse-grown tomato in Turkey. J Entomol Sci 47:272–274
- Paini DR, Sheppard AW, Cook DC, De Barro PJ, Worner SP, Thomas MB (2016) Global threat to agriculture from invasive species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:7575–7579
- Parra JRP, Zucchi RA (2004) *Trichogramma* in Brazil: feasibility of use after 20 years of research. Neotrop Entomol 33:271–281
- Pavela R, Benelli G (2016) Essential oils as ecofriendly biopesticides? Challenges and constraints. Trends Plant Sci 21:1000–1007
- Pavela R, Morshedloo MR, Mumivand H, Khorsand GJ, Karami A, Maggi F et al (2020) Phenolic monoterpene-rich essential oils from Apiaceae and Lamiaceae species: insecticidal activity and safety evaluation on non-target earthworms. Entomol Gen 40:421–435
- Pérez-Hedo M, Urbaneja A (2016) The Zoophytophagous Predator *Nesidiocoris tenuis*: A Successful but Controversial Biocontrol Agent in Tomato Crops. Advances in Insect Control and Resistance Management. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 121–138
- Pérez-Hedo M, Bouagga S, Jaques JA, Flors V, Urbaneja A (2015) Tomato plant responses to feeding behavior of three zoophytophagous predators (Hemiptera: Miridae). Biol Control 86:46–51
- Pérez-Hedo M, Riahi C, Urbaneja A (2021a) Use of zoophytophagous mirid bugs in horticultural crops: current challenges and future perspectives. Pest Manag Sci 77:33–42
- Pérez-Hedo M, Gallego C, Roda A, Kostyk B, Triana M, Alférez F et al (2021b) Biological traits of the predatory mirid *Macrolophus praeclarus*, a candidate biocontrol agent for the Neotropical region. Bull Entomol Res. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485321000067>
- Picanço M, Leite GLD, Guedes RNC, Silva EA (1998) Yield loss in trellised tomato affected by insecticidal sprays and plant spacing. Crop Prot 17:447–452
- Picanço MC, Bacci L, Queiroz RB, Silva GA (2011) Social wasp predators of *Tuta absoluta*. Sociobiology 58:1–13
- Piri A, Sahebzadeh N, Zibae A, Sendi JJ, Shamakhi L, Shahriari M (2020) Toxicity and physiological effects of ajwain (*Carum copticum*, Apiaceae) essential oil and its major constituents against *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Chemosphere 256:127103
- Ponti L, Gutierrez AP, Campos MR, Desneux N, Biondi A, Neteler M (2021) Biological invasion risk assessment of *Tuta absoluta*: mechanistic versus correlative methods. Biol Invas. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-021-02613-5>
- Puigmartí M, Bosch MP, Guerrero A (2015) An improved and convenient new synthesis of the pheromone components of the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta*. Synthesis (germany) 47:961–968
- Qu YY, Chen X, Monticelli LS, Zhang F, Desneux N, Dai HJ et al (2020) Parasitism performance of the parasitoid *Trichogramma dendrolimi* on the plum fruit moth *Grapholitha funebrana*. Entomol Gen 40:385–395
- Ragsdale DW, Landis DA, Brodeur J, Heimpel GE, Desneux N (2011) Ecology and management of the soybean aphid in North America. Annu Rev Entomol 56:375–399
- Reyes M, Rocha K, Alarcón L, Siegwart M, Sauphanor B (2012) Metabolic mechanisms involved in the resistance of field populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) to spinosad. Pestic Biochem Physiol 102:45–50
- Rezaei N, Karimi J, Hosseini M, Goldani M, Campos-Herrera R (2015) Pathogenicity of two species of entomopathogenic nematodes against the greenhouse whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), in laboratory and greenhouse experiments. J Nematol 47:60–66
- Rizk AM (2016) Effectiveness of different bio-techniques for controlling the pin worm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Egypt J Biol Pest Cont 26:797–802
- Roda AL, Brambila J, Barria J, Euceda X, Korytkowski C (2015) Efficiency of trapping systems for detecting *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). J Econ Entomol 108:2648–2654
- Roda A, Castillo J, Allen C, Urbaneja A, Pérez-Hedo M, Weihman S et al (2020) Biological control potential and drawbacks of three zoophytophagous mirid predators against *Bemisia tabaci* in the United States. Insects. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11100670>
- Roditakis E, Skarmoutsou C, Staurakaki M (2013) Toxicity of insecticides to populations of tomato borer *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) from Greece. Pest Manag Sci 69:834–840
- Roditakis E, Vasakis E, Grispou M, Stavarakaki M, Nauen R, Gravouil M et al (2015) First report of *Tuta absoluta* resistance to diamide insecticides. J Pest Sci 88:9–16
- Roditakis E, Mavridis K, Riga M, Vasakis E, Morou E, Rison JL et al (2017a) Identification and detection of indoxacarb resistance mutations in the para sodium channel of the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta*. Pest Manag Sci 73:1679–1688
- Roditakis E, Steinbach D, Moritz G, Vasakis E, Stavarakaki M, Ilias A et al (2017b) Ryanodine receptor point mutations confer diamide insecticide resistance in tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Insect Biochem Mol Biol 80:11–20
- Roditakis E, Vasakis E, García-Vidal L, Martínez-Aguirre Rison MDRJL, Odile M et al (2018) A four-year survey on insecticide resistance and likelihood of chemical control failure for tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta* in the European/Asian region. J Pest Sci 91:421–435
- Rostami E, Madadi H, Abbasipour H, Allahyari H, Cuthbertson AGS (2020) Pest density influences on tomato pigment contents: The South American tomato pinworm scenario. Entomol Gen 40:195–205
- Rwomushana I, Beale T, Chipabika G, Day R, Gonzalez-Moreno P, Lamontagne-Godwin J et al (2019) Evidence Note. Tomato leafminer (*Tuta absoluta*): impacts and coping strategies for

- Africa. CABI Working Paper 12. <https://doi.org/10.1079/CABIC-OMM-62-8100>
- Salas Gervasio NG, Luna MG, Lee S, Salvo A, Sánchez NE (2016) Trophic web associated with the South American tomato moth *Tuta absoluta*: implications for its conservation biological control in Argentina. *Agric for Entomol* 18:137–144
- Salas Gervasio NG, Aquino D, Vallina C, Biondi A, Luna MG (2019a) A re-examination of *Tuta absoluta* parasitoids in South America for optimized biological control. *J Pest Sci* 92:1343–1357
- Salas Gervasio NG, Luna MG, Minardi GM, Sánchez NE (2019b) Assessing inoculative releases of *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) for the biological control of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Crop Prot* 124:104830
- Salas Gervasio NG (2017) Perspectivas del uso del endoparasitoide nativo *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Muesebeck) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) para el control biológico de la polilla del tomate *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Evaluación a campo". PhD Dissertation, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina.
- Sánchez NE, Pereyra PC, Luna MG (2009) Spatial patterns of parasitism of the solitary parasitoid *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Environ Entomol* 38:365–374
- Santoemma G, Tonina L, Marini L, Duso C, Mori N (2020) Integrated management of *Drosophila suzukii* in sweet cherry orchards. *Entomol Gen* 40:297–305
- Schnepf E, Crickmore N, Van-Rie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, Feitelson J et al (1998) *Bacillus thuringiensis* and Its Pesticidal Crystal Proteins. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62:775–806
- Selale H, Dagli F, Mutlu N, Doganlar S, Frary A (2017) Cry1Ac-mediated resistance to tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta*) in tomato. *Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult* 131:65–73
- Sellami S, Cherif M, Abdelkefi-Mesrati L, Tounsi S, Jamoussi K (2014) Toxicity, activation Process, and histopathological effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* vegetative insecticidal protein Vip3Aa16 on *Tuta absoluta*. 2014. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 175:1992–1999
- Shah FM, Razaq M, Ali Q, Ali A, Shad SA, Aslam M, Hardy ICW (2020) Action threshold development in cabbage pest management using synthetic and botanical insecticides. *Entomol Gen* 40:157–172
- Shaltiel-Harpaz L, Gerling D, Graph S, Kedoshim H, Azolay L, Rozenberg T et al (2016) Control of the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), in open-field tomatoes by indigenous natural enemies occurring in Israel. *J Econ Entomol* 109:120–131
- Silva GA, Picanço MC, Bacci L, Crespo ALB, Rosado JF, Guedes RNC (2011) Control failure likelihood and spatial dependence of insecticide resistance in the tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*. *Pest Manag Sci* 67:913–920
- Silva WM, Berger M, Bass C, Balbino VQ, Amaral MHP, Campos AM et al (2015) Status of pyrethroid resistance and mechanisms in Brazilian populations of *Tuta absoluta*. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 122:8–14
- Silva TBM, Silva WM, Campos MR, Silva JE, Ribeiro LMS, Siqueira HAA (2016) Susceptibility levels of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) to minor classes of insecticides in Brazil. *Crop Prot* 79:80–86
- Silva SS (2008) Fatores da biologia reprodutiva que influenciam o manejo comportamental de *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). MS thesis: Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco Brasil
- Simberloff D, Martin J-L, Genovesi P, Maris V, Wardle DA, Aronson J et al (2013) Impacts of biological invasions: what's what and the way forward. *Trends Ecol Evol* 28:58–66
- Siqueira HAA, Guedes RNC, Picanço MC (2000a) Insecticide resistance in populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Agric for Entomol* 2:147–153
- Siqueira HAA, Guedes RNC, Picanço MC (2000b) Cartap resistance and synergism in populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Lep., Gelechiidae). *J Appl Entomol* 124:233–238
- Siqueira HAA, Guedes RNC, Fragozo DB, Magalhaes LC (2001) Abamectin resistance and synergism in Brazilian populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Int J Pest Manag* 47:247–251
- Snoeren TAL, Sitbon E, Levy D (2017) Resistance to arthropod pest in tomatoes. United States Patent Application Publication, USA
- Soares MA, Campos MR, Passos LC, Carvalho GA, Haro MM, Lavoie AV et al (2019) Botanical insecticide and natural enemies: a potential combination for pest management against *Tuta absoluta*. *J Pest Sci* 92:1433–1443
- Svatoš A, Attygalle AB, Jham GN, Frighetto RTS, Vilela EF, Šaman D et al (1996) Sex pheromone of tomato pest *Scrobipalpus absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Chem Ecol* 22:787–800
- Sylla S, Brévault T, Diarra K, Bearez P, Desneux N (2016) Life-history traits of *Macrolophus pygmaeus* with different prey foods. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0166610
- Sylla S, Brévault T, Monticelli LS, Diarra K, Desneux N (2019) Geographic variation of host preference by the invasive tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta*: implications for host range expansion. *J Pest Sci* 92:1387–1396
- Tait G, Mermer S, Stockton D, Jana L, Avosani S et al (2021) *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae): a decade of research towards a sustainable integrated pest management program. *J Pest Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toab158>
- Tall S, Meyling NV (2018) Probiotics for plants? Growth promotion by the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* depends on nutrient availability. *Microb Ecol* 7:1002–1008
- Tezze AA, Botto EN (2004) Effect of cold storage on the quality of *Trichogramma nerudai* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). *Biol Control* 30:11–16
- Theoduloz C, Vega A, Salazar M, González E, Meza-Basso L (2003) Expression of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* d-endotoxin cry1Ab gene in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis* strains that naturally colonize the phylloplane of tomato plants (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mills). *J Appl Microbiol* 94:375–381
- Thomine E, Jeavons E, Rusch A, Bearez P, Desneux N (2020) Effect of crop diversity on predation activity and population dynamics of the mirid predator *Nesidiocoris tenuis*. *J Pest Sci* 93:1255–1265
- Turkoz S, Kaskavalci G (2016) Determination of the efficacy of some entomopathogenic nematodes against *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under laboratory conditions. *Türk Entomol Derg* 40:175–183
- Uchôa-Fernandes MA, Vilela EF (1994) Field trapping of the tomato worm *Scrobipalpus absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lep., Gelechiidae). *Ann Soc Entomol Bras* 23:271–277
- Urbaneja A, Montón H, Mollá O (2009) Suitability of the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta* as prey for *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis*. *J Appl Entomol* 133:292–296
- Urbaneja A, González-Cabrera J, Arnó J, Gabarra R (2012) Prospects for the biological control of *Tuta absoluta* in tomatoes of the Mediterranean basin. *Pest Manag Sci* 68:1215–1222
- Urbaneja A, Desneux N, Gabarra R et al (2013) Biology, ecology and management of the south american tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*. In: Peña JE (ed) Potential Invasive Pests of Agricultural Crops. CABI Invasives Series, Oxfordshire, pp 98–125
- Urbaneja-Bernat P, Bru P, Gonzalez-Cabrera J, Urbaneja A, Tena A (2018) Reduced phytophagy in sugar provisioned mirids. *J Pest Sci* 92:1139–1148

- Vacas S, Alfaro C, Primo J, Navarro-Llopis V (2011) Studies on the development of a mating disruption system to control the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* Povolny (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Pest Manag Sci* 67:1473–1480
- Vacas S, López J, Primo J, Navarro-Llopis V (2013) Response of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) to different pheromone emission levels in greenhouse tomato crops. *Environ Entomol* 42:1061–1068
- Van Damme VM, Beck BK, Berckmoes E, Moerkens R, Wittemans L, De Vis R et al (2016) Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against larvae of *Tuta absoluta* in the laboratory. *Pest Manag Sci* 72:1702–1709
- Van Veen FJ, Morris RJ, Godfray HCJ (2006) Apparent competition, quantitative food webs, and the structure of phytophagous insect communities. *Annu Rev Entomol* 51:187–208
- Varshney R, Ballal CR (2017) Studies on evaluation of *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Reuter) (Hemiptera: Miridae) preying on invasive insect pest *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and its damage to tomato plant. *J Biol Control* 31:69–73
- Vega FE (2018) The use of fungal entomopathogens as endophytes in biological control: a review. *Mycologiam* 110:4–30
- Verheggen F, Fontus RB (2019) First record of *Tuta absoluta* in Haiti. *Entomol Gen* 38:349–353
- Vickers J, Cory JS, Entwistle PF (1991) DNA characterization of eight geographic isolates of granulosus virus from the potato tuber moth (*Phthorimaea operculella*) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Invertebr Pathol* 57:334–342
- Virgala MB, Botto EN (2010) Biological studies on *Trichogramma-toidea bactrae* Nagaraja (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae), egg parasitoid of *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Neotrop Entomol* 39:612–617
- Wang MH, Ismoilov K, Li H, Zhang X, Lu ZZ, Feng LK et al (2021) Polygyny of *Tuta absoluta* may affect sex pheromone-based control techniques. *Entomol Gen* 41:357–367
- Witzgall P, Stelinski L, Gut L, Thomson D (2008) Codling moth management and chemical ecology. *Annu Rev Entomol* 53:503–522
- Witzgall P, Kirsch P, Cork A (2010) Sex pheromones and their impact on pest management. *J Chem Ecol* 36:80–100
- Zang LS, Wang S, Zhang F, Desnux N (2021) Biological control with *Trichogramma* in China: history, present status and perspectives. *Annu Rev Entomol* 66:463–484
- Zappalà L, Siscaro G, Biondi A, Molla O, González-Cabrera J, Urbaneja A (2012) Efficacy of sulphur on *Tuta absoluta* and its side effects on the predator *Nesidiocoris tenuis*. *J Appl Entomol* 136:401–409
- Zappalà L, Biondi A, Alma A, Al-Jboory IJ, Arnó J, Bayram A et al (2013) Natural enemies of the South American moth, *Tuta absoluta*, in Europe, North Africa and Middle East, and their potential use in pest control strategies. *J Pest Sci* 86:635–647
- Zhang J, Khan SA, Hasse C, Ruf S, Heckel DG, Bock R (2015) Full crop protection from an insect pest by expression of long double stranded RNAs in plastids. *Science* 347:911–914
- Zhang J, Khan SA, Heckel DG, Bock R (2017) Next generation insect resistant plants: RNAi-mediated crop protection. *Trends Biotechnol* 8:871–882
- Zibae I, Mahmood K, Esmaeily M, Bandani AR, Kristensen M (2018) Organophosphate and pyrethroid resistances in the tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) from Iran. *J Appl Entomol* 142:181–191
- Zouba A, Mahjoubi K (2010) Biological control of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) with release of *Trichogramma cacoeciae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) in tomato greenhouses in Tunisia. *African J Plant Sci Biotech* 4:85–87
- Zouba A, Chermiti B, Chraiet R, Mahjoubi K (2013) Effect of two indigenous *Trichogramma* species on the infestation level by tomato miner *Tuta absoluta* in tomato greenhouses in the South-West of Tunisia. *Tunis J Plant Prot* 8:87–106

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Nicolas Desneux¹ · Peng Han²  · Ramzi Mansour³ · Judit Arnó⁴ · Thierry Brévault^{5,6} · Mateus R. Campos¹ · Anaïs Chailleux^{6,7} · Raul N. C. Guedes⁸ · Javad Karimi⁹ · Kouassi Arthur J. Konan^{1,10} · Anne-violette Lavoit¹ · María G. Luna^{11,12} · Meritxell Perez-Hedo¹³ · Alberto Urbaneja¹³ · François J. Verheggen¹⁴ · Lucia Zappalà¹⁵ · Khaled Abbes¹⁶ · Abid Ali^{17,18} · Yunus Bayram¹⁹ · Fernando Cantor²⁰ · Andrew G. S. Cuthbertson²¹ · Raf De Vis²² · Fedai Erler²³ · Dnyaneshwar M. Firake²⁴ · Khalid Haddi²⁵ · M. Jamal Hajjar²⁶ · Khasan Ismoilov^{27,28,29} · Coline C. Jaworski^{1,30,31} · Marc Kenis³² · Hao-tian Liu^{27,28,33} · Hossein Madadi³⁴ · Thibaud Martin^{6,35} · Ahmed Mazih³⁶ · Gerben J. Messelink³⁷ · Samira A. Mohamed³⁸ · Robert S. Nofemela³⁹ · Abiola Oke⁴⁰ · César Ramos⁴¹ · Michele Ricupero¹⁵ · Emmanouil Roditakis⁴² · Pathour R. Shashank²⁴ · Fang-Hao Wan⁴³ · Ming-hui Wang^{27,28,33} · Su Wang³¹ · Yi-Bo Zhang⁴³ · Antonio Biondi¹⁵

¹ Université Côte Azur, INRAE, CNRS, UMR ISA, 06000 Nice, France

² Yunnan Key Laboratory of Plant Reproductive Adaptation and Evolutionary Ecology, Laboratory of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Yunnan University, Kunming 650504, China

³ ISEP-BG La Soukra, Section of Biological Sciences, University of Carthage, Tunis, Tunisia

⁴ IRTA, Cabrils, Spain

⁵ CIRAD, UPR, AIDA, Centre de recherche ISRA-IRD, Dakar, Senegal

⁶ CIRAD AIDA HORTSYS, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France

⁷ CIRAD, UPR, HortSys, Centre de recherche ISRA-IRD, Dakar, Senegal

- 8 Departamento de Entomologia, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, MG, Brazil
- 9 Department of Plant Protection, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran
- 10 Felix Houphouët Boigny University of Cocody, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire
- 11 CEPAVE (CONICET-UNLP-CICPBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 12 Universidad Nacional de San Antonio de Areco (UNSAdeA), San Antonio de Areco, Argentina
- 13 Centro de Protección Vegetal Y Biotecnología, (IVIA), Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), CV-315, Km 10.7, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain
- 14 Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, TERRA, University of Liege, Gembloux, Belgium
- 15 Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Catania, Catania, Italy
- 16 Department of Biological Sciences and Plant Protection, High Agronomic Institute of Chott-Mariem, University of Sousse, Sousse, Tunisia
- 17 Department of Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan
- 18 College of Life Science, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang Liaoning 110034, China
- 19 Departments of Plant Health, Quarantine and Pest Management, General Directorate of Food and Control, Ankara, Turkey
- 20 Universidad Militar Nueva Granada, Bogotá, Colombia
- 21 Independent Science Advisor, York, UK
- 22 Proefstation Voor de Groenteteelt VZW, Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Belgium
- 23 Akdeniz University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, 07070 Antalya, Turkey
- 24 Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), Pune - New Delhi, India
- 25 Departamento de Entomologia, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras, MG, Brazil
- 26 College of Agriculture and Food Sciences, King Faisal University, Al-Hassa 31982, Saudi Arabia
- 27 State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China
- 28 The Specimen Museum of Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, China
- 29 National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan, Dushanbe, Tajikistan
- 30 Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK
- 31 Institute of Plant and Environment Protection, Beijing Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, Beijing 100097, China
- 32 CABI, Delémont, Switzerland
- 33 University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- 34 Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran
- 35 CIRAD HORTSYS, Univ FH Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire
- 36 Complexe Horticole d'AGADIR, Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan II, 86150 Ait-Melloul AGADIR, Morocco
- 37 Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- 38 International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology, Nairobi, Kenya
- 39 ARC-Plant Health and Protection, Pretoria, South Africa
- 40 National Horticultural Research Institute (NIHORT), Ibadan, Nigeria
- 41 OIRSA, Mexico City, Mexico
- 42 Institute of Agri-Food and Life Sciences, Hellenic Mediterranean University, Stavromenos PC, 71410 Heraklion, Crete, Greece
- 43 Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China