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#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the wavelet multiplier and Landau-Pollak-Slepian (L.P.S) operators on the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, where $G$ is a locally compact abelian topological group, and $\mathbb{H}$ is the quaternion algebra; Also, we will investigate some of their properties. In particular, we show that they are bounded linear operators, as well in Schatten p-class spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and we determine their trace class.
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## 1 Introduction

The representation of a function by simultaneous bandlimiting and timelimiting has been the concern of many researchers in their works, until to the 1960s, when the problem was solved by works of Henry Landau, Henry Pollack and David Slepian [12,13,16], they introduced the self-adjoint Landau-Pollak-Slepian (L.P.S.) operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. In 1999, He and Wong, introduced Wavelet multipliers operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ [11], which was generalized from Landau-Pollak-Slepian operator, they showed that the L.P.S. operator is in fact a wavelet multiplier operator; for more details see [17].

[^0]The authors in [1], generalized L.P.S. and Wavelet multipliers operator on a locally compact abelian topological group, and investigated some of their properties.

Now, we recall some definitions, and fix some notations, which will be used in the sequel. The quaternion is a four- dimensional non-commutative algebra $\mathbb{H}[6,8,10,15]$ which is defined over the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ with the three imaginary units. More precisely,

$$
\mathbb{H}=\left\{q_{0}+q_{1} i+q_{2} j+q_{3} k, q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} \in \mathbb{R}\right\},
$$

where the elements $i, j, k$ satisfy the following

$$
i . j=-j . i=k, \quad j . k=-k . j=i, \quad k . i=-i . k=j, \quad i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=-1 .
$$

Every quaternion element $q$ can be written also as a sum of two parts, Scalar part $S c[q]=q_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and Vector part, $V e c[q]=q_{1} i+q_{2} j+q_{3} k, V e c[q]$ is often called pure quaternion, hence $q=S c[q]+V e c[q]$. Now we will briefly review some basic facts on quaternions which are almost the same as in [8]. For any $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$, such that $p=p_{0}+p_{1} i+p_{2} j+p_{3} k, q=q_{0}+q_{1} i+q_{2} j+q_{3} k$, the addition and multiplication on $\mathbb{H}$ are

$$
p+q=\left(p_{0}+q_{0}\right)+\left(p_{1}+q_{1}\right) i+\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right) j+\left(p_{3}+q_{3}\right) k
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
p . q= & \left(p_{0} q_{0}-p_{1} q_{1}-p_{2} q_{2}-p_{3} q_{3}\right)+\left(p_{1} q_{0}+p_{0} q_{1}+p_{2} q_{3}-p_{3} q_{2}\right) i \\
& +\left(p_{2} q_{0}+p_{0} q_{2}+p_{3} q_{1}-p_{1} q_{3}\right) j+\left(p_{3} q_{0}+p_{0} q_{3}+p_{1} q_{2}-p_{2} q_{1}\right) k .
\end{aligned}
$$

The quaternion conjugate of $q$ is defined by $\bar{p}=p_{0}-p_{1} i-p_{2} j-p_{3} k$; clearly it is an anti-involution, this means $\overline{(p \cdot q)}=\bar{q} \cdot \bar{p}$. For any two quaternions $q, p$ we define right $C_{r}$ and left $C_{l}$ carrier operators as $C_{r}(p) q=q p$ and $q C_{l}(p)=p q$, respectively. Also For any $q \in \mathbb{H}$, we have $\overline{C_{r}(q)}=C_{l}(\bar{q})$ and vice versa. Although, multiplication on quaternions are non-commutative, it is clear that for all $p, q, r \in \mathbb{H}$, we have $S c[q . p . r]=S c[p . r . q]=S c[r . q . p]$, which is called cyclic multiplication identity and it is an important tool in our work.

Note that throughout this paper, $G$ denotes a locally compact abelian topological group with the Haar measure $d x$ and $\hat{G}$, is the dual group of $G$ with the Haar measure $d \xi$ such that $d \xi$ is the dual measure of $d x[4,5,7]$. The elements of $G$ are denoted by $x, y$ etc., while the elements of $\hat{G}$ are denoted by $\xi, \omega$ and so forth. For any continuous characters $\omega_{l}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{l}, l=i, j$ the character $\omega$ on $G^{2}:=G \times G$ is $\omega: G^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{q}$ in which $\omega(x)=\omega_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) \omega_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)$, for any $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in G^{2}$, where $\mathbb{T}_{i}, \mathbb{T}_{j}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ are the unit circles in $\mathbb{C}_{i}, \mathbb{C}_{j}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ respectively, with $\mathbb{C}_{l}=\{a+b l, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}, l=i, j$.

Likewise, the classical inner product for any two elements $f, g \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ we define the (usual) inner product on $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ as $(f, g)=\int_{G^{2}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d x$. Also, we can define $\langle f, g\rangle=\operatorname{Sc} \int_{G^{2}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d x$, as another inner product on $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ which is called scalar inner product, it is clear that $\langle f, g\rangle=S c(f, g)$ [10].

We recall that $\mathcal{F}_{s}(f)(\omega)=\hat{f}(\omega)=\int_{G^{2}} \overline{\omega_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)} f(x) \overline{\omega_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)} d x$ is the two sided quaternion Fourier transform (also called sandwich Fourier transform) of $f \in L^{1}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ and the inverse of the two sided quaternion Fourier transform is $\mathcal{F}_{s}^{-1}(f)(x)=\check{f}(x)=\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \omega_{i}\left(x_{1}\right) f(\omega) \omega_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) d \omega$ for $f$ (if it exists). The two sided quaternion Fourier transform on $L^{1}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ can be extended with respect to the scalar inner product uniquely to a unitary isomorphism from $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ known as the quaternionic Plancherel Theorem. Now let us consider the closed subspace $M_{L^{1}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ which is define as

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{L^{1}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}= & \left\{f \in L^{1}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right): f\right. \text { is even with respect to the } \\
& \text { first component }\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any function $f \in M_{L^{1}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ we have $\mathcal{F}_{s}(f) \in M_{L^{2}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, so we can extend the two sided quaternion Fourier transformation on $M_{L^{1}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ with respect to the usual inner product by Plancherel theorem from $M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ to $M_{L^{2}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ for more details see [2].

The translation and modulation operators $L_{y}, M_{\xi}$ are defined by $L_{y} f(x)=$ $f\left(y^{-1} x\right)$ and $M_{\xi} f(x)=\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) f(x) \xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)$, respectively. Note that the $C_{r}$-modulation operator $M_{\xi}^{C_{r}}$ is defined as $M_{\xi}^{C_{r}} f(x)=\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) f(x) C_{r} \xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)$, for any quaternionic function $f$. The space $L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ is defined as follows

$$
L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)=\left\{f: G^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}: f \text { is measurable and }\|f\|_{\infty}<\infty\right\}
$$

where

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\inf \{a \geq 0: \mu(\{x:|f(x)|>a\})=0\}
$$

Our aim in this paper is to give a generalization of wavelet multiplier and L.P.S. operators on $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ where $G$ is a locally compact abelian topological group and $\mathbb{H}$ is the quaternion algebra. For this, we will define a unitary representation [4,5,7,9] on the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ by using properties of dual groups [4,5,7], and we find, among other things, the set of all admissible wavelets [14,17] for this unitary representation. Then, we investigate boundedness [3] of wavelet multiplier operator and show it is in Schatten p-class spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and also we will determine their trace class. At last, we will show that the L.P.S. operator is a special case of wavelet multiplier operator.

More precisely, this paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2, starts with the definition of a unitary representation on $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$. Then, we calculate the admissible wavelet for this unitary representation, then, we show the operator $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow$ $M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, is unitarily equivalent to the wavelet operator $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow$ $M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, and state some preliminaries and related notations of these operators. Also we will discuss the boundedness of wavelet multipliers operator at two stages, first for $\sigma \in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, and second for $\sigma \in M_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}, 1<p \leq \infty$ by using The Riesz-Thorin Theorem [17]. In Sect. 3, we will explain that the wavelet multiplier operators are in the Schatten p-class spaces $[17,18]$ and then we will determine the
trace of these operators. In the end, in Sect. 4, we will give the definition of the L.P.S. operator $Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, investigate some of its properties including the relationship between wavelet multiplier and L.P.S. operators in a special case, and finally evaluate the trace of this operator.

## 2 Wavelet multipliers operator on $L^{\mathbf{2}}\left(G^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbb{H}\right)$

In this section we introduce the wavelet multipliers operator with respect to the various kinds of inner product on $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ in two ways, first according to the usual inner product $(f, g)=\int_{G^{2}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d x$ for any $f, g \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, and the second with respect to the scalar inner product $\langle f, g\rangle=S c(f, g)$ for all $f, g \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$.

Also to show the boundedness of the wavelet multipliers operator, we use the Riesz-Thorin Theorem, which will be included for the readers' convenience [17].

Let $(X, \mu)$ be a measure space and $(Y, \nu)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure space. Let $T$ be a linear transformation with domain $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of all simple functions $f$ on $X$ such that

$$
\mu(\{s \in X: f(s) \neq 0\})<\infty
$$

and such that the range of $T$ is contained in the set of all measurable functions on $Y$. Suppose that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are numbers in the interval $[0,1]$ and there exist positive constants $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that

$$
\|T f\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta_{j}}}(Y)} \leq M_{j}\|f\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{j}}}(Y)}, f \in \mathcal{D}, j=1,2 .
$$

Then there exist a $0<\theta<1$, such that $\alpha=(1-\theta) \alpha_{1}+\theta \alpha_{2}, \beta=(1-\theta) \beta_{1}+\theta \beta_{2}$, and

$$
\|T f\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(Y)} \leq M_{1}^{1-\theta} M_{2}^{\theta}\|f\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(Y)}, f \in \mathcal{D}
$$

The group of all unitary operators on $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ with respect to the usual composition of mappings denoted by $U\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)$, a group homomorphism $\pi_{q}: \hat{G}^{2} \rightarrow$ $U\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)$ is said to be a quaternion unitary representation of the group $\hat{G}^{2}$ on the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, which is denoted by $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$ and defined as follows

$$
\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) u\right)(x)=\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) u(x) C_{r}\left(\xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=M_{\xi}^{C_{r}} u(x), \quad \xi \in \hat{G}^{2}, x \in G^{2} .
$$

The nonzero element $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ is called an admissible wavelet for the quaternion unitary representation $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$ if

$$
\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\varphi, \pi_{q}(\omega) \varphi\right\rangle\right|^{2} d \omega<\infty
$$

In this case, the value of the above integral is called the wavelet constant associated with the admissible $\varphi$ and denoted by $c_{\varphi}$, and $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$ is called square integrable representation [11,14,17].

### 2.1 Wavelet multiplier operator according to the usual inner product

In this section we introduce the wavelet multiplier operator $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}$ where $T_{\sigma} \in$ $B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)$ which is defined by $T_{\sigma}=\mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma \mathcal{F}, \sigma \in M_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\varphi \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, we will also establish some of its properties. The following fact will be used frequently.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)=(u . \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, \varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ and $\xi \in \hat{G}^{2}$. Clearly from (2.1), we have $\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right)=$ $\overline{(\nu . \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)}$.

The following proposition, characterizes the admissible vectors for the quaternion unitary representation $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$.

Proposition 2.1 The admissible waveletfor the unitary representation $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$ defined on $\hat{G}^{2}$ consists of all functions $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ for which $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$.

Proof Using Plancherel Theorem and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\varphi} & =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\left(\varphi, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|(\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\left\|(\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\|\varphi \bar{\varphi}\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\|\varphi\|_{4}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by using (2.1), we can prove the following proposition.
Proposition 2.2 Let $\varphi \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, then for any $u, v \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$,

$$
\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right) d \xi=(u \bar{\varphi}, v \bar{\varphi})
$$

Proof By (2.1) and Plancherel Theorem, we get

$$
\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right) d \xi
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot \overline{(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\left((u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge},(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right) \\
& =(u \bar{\varphi}, v \bar{\varphi}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for $\sigma \in L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ and $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, we define $P_{\sigma, \varphi}$ : $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ for any $u, v \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right)=\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right) d \xi \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, we aim to show that the linear operators $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ for $\sigma \in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are bounded linear operators [3,18]. For the case $\sigma \in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, this is shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3 Let $\sigma \in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ and let $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Then $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ is a bounded linear operator and $\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)} \leq\|\sigma\|_{1}$.

Proof Let $\sigma \in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right), \varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right) d \xi\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\sigma(\xi)\left\|\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\right\|\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right)\right| d \xi \\
& \leq \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}|\sigma(\xi)|\|u\|_{2}\left\|\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\|_{2}^{2}\|v\|_{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}}|\sigma(\xi)|\|u\|_{2}\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}\|v\|_{2} d \xi \\
& =\|u\|_{2}\|v\|_{2} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}|\sigma(\xi)| d \xi \\
& =\|u\|_{2}\|v\|_{2}\|\sigma\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

So that $\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)} \leq\|\sigma\|_{1}$.
Theorem 2.4 Let $\sigma \in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right), 1<p \leq \infty$ and let $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator $P_{\sigma, \varphi}$ : $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ such that $\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)} \leq\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{\frac{2}{\varphi}}\|\sigma\|_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ and for all $u, v \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right),\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, \nu\right)$ is given in (2.2) for all simple functions $\sigma$ on $\hat{G}^{2}$ for which the Haar measure of the set $\left\{\xi \in \hat{G}^{2}: \sigma(\xi) \neq 0\right\}$ is finite.

Proof For $\sigma \in L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, \nu\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right) d \xi\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\sigma(\xi)\left\|\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\right\|\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right)\right| d \xi \\
& \leq\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right)\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\left\|(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2}\left\|(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\|u \bar{\varphi}\|_{2}\|\nu \bar{\varphi}\|_{2} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{2}\|u\|_{2}\|\nu\|_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}(G)\right.} \leq\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{2} .
$$

For $1<p<\infty$, the Riesz-Thorin Theorem completes the proof.
Now Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 allow us to define the wavelet multiplier operator $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ for all $\sigma \in M_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and all $\varphi \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)}$ with $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$ which is the same as the bounded linear operator $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$. In other words, for any $\sigma \in M_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, we have $\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right)=\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi} u, v\right)$, for all $u, v \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{\varphi}$. Indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right) & =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right) d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \overline{(v \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot \overline{(v \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\left(\sigma(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge},(v \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\sigma(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right)^{\vee}, v \bar{\varphi}\right) \\
& =\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi} u, v\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.5 Let $\varphi$ be an admissible wavelet for the square integralable representation $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$, then the linear operator $L_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ which is defined as $\left(L_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right)=\frac{1}{c_{\varphi}} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right) d \xi$ is called the localization operator associated with the symbol $\sigma$ and admissible wavelet $\varphi$, hence from Proposition 2.1, we have $c_{\varphi}=\|\varphi\|_{4}^{4}$ and from (2.2) we get that $P_{\sigma, \varphi}=c_{\varphi} L_{\sigma, \varphi}$ also $L_{\sigma, \varphi} \in S_{1}$ with $\left\|L_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{S_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{c_{\varphi}}\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}\right)}$ for more details see [14,17].

### 2.2 Wavelet multiplier operator according to scalar inner product

In this section we introduce the wavelet multiplier operator $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}$ with respect to scalar inner product where $T_{\sigma} \in B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)$ is defined by $T_{\sigma}=\mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma S c \mathcal{F}$ and $\varphi \in L^{p}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and we also establish some of its properties. From (2.1) we have the following formula which will be used frequently.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle=S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u, \varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, note that from the definition of scalar inner product, we have $\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, u\right\rangle$.

The following proposition characterizes the admissible vectors for the quaternion unitary representation $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$.

Proposition 2.6 The admissible waveletfor the unitary representation $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$ defined on $\hat{G}^{2}$ consists of all functions $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ for which $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$.

Proof Using Plancherel Theorem and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\varphi} & =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\varphi, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|S c(\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& \leq \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|(\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\left\|(\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\|\varphi \bar{\varphi}\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left\||\varphi|^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\|\varphi\|_{4}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by using (2.3), we can prove the following proposition.
Proposition 2.7 Let $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, then for any $u, v \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right\rangle d \xi=\left\langle S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge},(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\rangle .
$$

Proof By (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\langle u, & \left.\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right\rangle d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot S c(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} S c\left[S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right] d \xi \\
& =S c \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) d \xi \\
& =S c \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot \overline{(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\left\langle S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge},(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $\sigma \in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$, we define $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ for any $u, v \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right\rangle d \xi \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we aim to show that the linear operators $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ for $\sigma \in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are bounded linear operators. For the case $\sigma \in$ $L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, this is shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8 Let $\sigma \in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and let $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Then $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ is a bounded linear operator and $\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)} \leq\|\sigma\|_{1}$.

Proof Let $\sigma \in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), \varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$; Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right\rangle d \xi\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\sigma(\xi)\left\|\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\right\|\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right\rangle\right| d \xi \\
& \leq \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}|\sigma(\xi)|\|u\|_{2}\left\|\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\|_{2}^{2}\|v\|_{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}}|\sigma(\xi)|\|u\|_{2}\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}\|v\|_{2} d \xi \\
& =\|u\|_{2}\|v\|_{2} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}|\sigma(\xi)| d \xi \\
& =\|u\|_{2}\|v\|_{2}\|\sigma\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

So that $\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)} \leq\|\sigma\|_{1}$.
Theorem 2.9 Let $\sigma \in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), 1<p \leq \infty$ and let $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator $P_{\sigma, \varphi}$ : $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ such that $\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)} \leq\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{\frac{2}{q}}\|\sigma\|_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ and for all $u, v \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right),\left\langle P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right\rangle$ is given in (2.4) for all simple functions $\sigma$ on $\hat{G}^{2}$ for which the Haar measure of the set $\left\{\xi \in \hat{G}^{2}: \sigma(\xi) \neq 0\right\}$ is finite.

Proof For $\sigma \in L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, \nu\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right\rangle d \xi\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\sigma(\xi)\left\|\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\right\|\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right\rangle\right| d \xi \\
& \leq\|\sigma\|_{\infty}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right\rangle\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{\infty}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left|S c(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{\infty}\left\|S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2}\left\|S c(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq\|\sigma\|_{\infty}\left\|(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2}\left\|(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{\infty}\|u \bar{\varphi}\|_{2}\|\nu \bar{\varphi}\|_{2} \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{\infty}\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{2}\|u\|_{2}\|\nu\|_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
\left\|P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}(G)\right.} \leq\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{2} .
$$

For $1<p<\infty$, the Riesz-Thorin Theorem completes the proof.
Now Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 allow us to define the wavelet multiplier operator $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ for all $\sigma \in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and all $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$ which is the same as the bounded linear operator $P_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$. In other words, for any $\sigma \in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have $\left\langle P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi} u, v\right\rangle$, for all $u, v \in$ $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$. Indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle P_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right\rangle & =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left\langle u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right\rangle d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi) \operatorname{Sc}(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \operatorname{Sc}(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi) S c\left[\operatorname{Sc}(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)\right] d \xi \\
& =S c \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi) S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) \cdot(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi) d \xi \\
& =S c \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left(\sigma S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right)(\xi) \cdot \overline{(v \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\left\langle\sigma S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge},(\nu \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\sigma S c(u \bar{\varphi})^{\wedge}\right)^{\vee}, \nu \bar{\varphi}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi} u, \nu\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.10 Let $\varphi$ be an admissible wavelet for the square integralable representation $\left\{\pi_{q}, L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right\}$, then the linear operator $L_{\sigma, \varphi}: L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ which is defined as $\left\langle L_{\sigma, \varphi} u, v\right\rangle=\frac{1}{c_{\varphi}} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\langle u, \pi(\xi) \varphi\rangle\left\langle\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, v\right\rangle d \xi$ is called the localization operator associated with the symbol $\sigma$ and admissible wavelet $\varphi$, hence from Proposition 2.6, we have $c_{\varphi} \leq\|\varphi\|_{4}^{4}$ and from (2.4) we get $P_{\sigma, \varphi}=c_{\varphi} L_{\sigma, \varphi}$ also $L_{\sigma, \varphi} \in S_{1}$ with $\left\|L_{\sigma, \varphi}\right\|_{S_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{c_{\varphi}}\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}\right)}$.

## 3 The Schatten-von Neumann property

We recall that an operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called a compact operator $[3,18]$ (or completely continuous operator) if, for every bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, the sequence $\left\{T x_{n}\right\}$ contains a convergent subsequence. Now if $T$ is a compact operator on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then there exist orthonormal sets $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
T(x)=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle \sigma_{n}, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}$ is the $n$-th singular value of $T$ [3,18]. Given $0<p<\infty$, we define the Schatten $p$-class of $\mathcal{H}$, denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\mathcal{H})$ or simply $\mathcal{S}_{p}$, to be the space of all compact operators $T$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that its singular value sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ belongs to $\ell_{p}$ (the $p$-summable sequence space) [18]. We will be mainly concerned with the range $1 \leq p<\infty$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|T\|_{p}$ defined by

$$
\|T\|_{p}=\left[\sum_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}},
$$

$\mathcal{S}_{1}$ is also called the trace class, and $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ is usually called the Hilbert- Schmidt class. The following theorem contains sufficient conditions for the wavelet multipliers operator in trace class.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\sigma \in M_{L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ (or $\in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in the case of scalar inner product) and $\varphi \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)}\left(\operatorname{or} \varphi \in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)\right.$ in the case of scalar inner product) such that $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Then the wavelet multiplier operator $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ and $\left\|\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{1}} \leq\|\sigma\|_{1}$.

Proof By Remark 2.5 (Remark 2.10) the proof is clear.
Now we are going to show that the wavelets multipliers operators $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where $\sigma \in M_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\left(\in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)\right)$. To do this, we need to recall some notations and terminologies.

Let $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ be two complex Banach spaces, we called $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ compatible if we have $B_{k} \subseteq V, k=0,1$ for some complex vector space $V$. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C}: 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1\}$ and let $B$ be any complex Banach space, a function $f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B$
is called analytic on $\mathcal{S}$ if for every $g$ (bounded linear functional on $B$ ) we have the composition $g \circ f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on $\mathcal{S}$. Now, let $\mathcal{F}\left(B_{0}, B_{1}\right)$ ( $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ are compatible Banach spaces), be the set of all bounded and continuous functions $f$ from $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ into $B_{0}+B_{1}$ such that $f$ is analytic on $\mathcal{S}$ and the mappings

$$
\mathbb{R} \ni y \rightarrow f(k+i y) \in B_{k}, k=0,1,
$$

are continuous from $\mathbb{R}$ into $B_{k}, k=0,1$. Now one can show that $\mathcal{F}\left(B_{0}, B_{1}\right)$ is a complex Banach space with the norm $\left\|\|_{\mathcal{F}}\right.$ defined as

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}}=\max _{k=0,1} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}}\|f(k+i y)\|_{B_{k^{\prime}}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}\left(B_{0}, B_{1}\right) .
$$

For any $\theta$ in the interval $[0,1], B_{\theta}$ is the subspace of $B_{0}+B_{1}$ consisting of all elements $b$ in $B_{0}+B_{1}$ such that $b=f(\theta)$ for some $f$ in $\mathcal{F}\left(B_{0}, B_{1}\right)$, then $B_{\theta}$ is a complex Banach space with respect to the norm $\left\|\|_{\theta}\right.$ defined as

$$
\|b\|_{\theta}=\inf _{b=f(\theta)}\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad b \in B_{\theta}
$$

and the interpolation space between the spaces $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ is $B_{\theta}$, which denoted by [ $\left.B_{0}, B_{1}\right]$.

Suppose that we have two pairs of compatible Banach spaces, like $B_{0}, B_{1}$ and $\tilde{B}_{0}, \tilde{B}_{1}$, and let $T$ be any bounded linear operator from $B_{0}+B_{1}$ into $\tilde{B}_{0}+\tilde{B}_{1}$, so as, $T$ is a bounded linear operator from $B_{k}$ into $\tilde{B}_{k}$ with norm less than or equal to $M_{k}$, $k=0,1$. Then for any real number $\theta$ in the interval $(0,1), T$ is a bounded linear operator from $\left[B_{0}, B_{1}\right]_{\theta}$ into $\left[\tilde{B}_{0}, \tilde{B}_{1}\right]_{\theta}$ with a norm not bigger than $M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}$.

In particular for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$
\left[L^{1}(X, \mu), L^{\infty}(X, \mu)\right]_{\frac{1}{q}}=L^{p}(X, \mu)
$$

and

$$
\left[\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\right]_{\frac{1}{q}}=\mathcal{S}_{p}
$$

where $(X, \mu)$ is a measure space and $q$ is the conjugate index of $p$. See $[17,18]$ for more details.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\sigma \in M_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}\left(\right.$ or $\in L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in the case of scalar inner product $)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\varphi \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)}\left(\right.$ or $\in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap$ $L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in the case of scalar inner product) with $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Then the wavelet multiplier operator $\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ and $\left\|\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{p}} \leq\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}^{\frac{2}{q}}\|\sigma\|_{L^{p}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$.

Proof For $p=1$ the proof follows from Theorem 3.1; and for $p=\infty$ the proof follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.9, thus for $1<p<\infty$ the interpolation Theorem as mentioned above complete the proof.

In the following theorem, we investigate the trace of the wavelet multiplier operator.
Theorem 3.3 Let $\sigma \in M_{L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ (or $\in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in state scalar inner product) and $\varphi \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)}\left(\right.$ or $\in L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in state scalar inner product) be such that $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}\right)=\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi) d \xi
$$

Proof Let $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(P_{\sigma, \varphi} \varphi_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left|\left(\varphi_{k}, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\left(\varphi_{k}, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left\|\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right\|_{2}^{2} \sigma(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi) d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\sigma \in L^{1}\left(\hat{G}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with scalar inner product by the same way.

## 4 The Landau-Pollak-Slepian opearator

Here we will give the Landau-Pollak-Slepian (L.P.S) operator $Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ $\rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ where $C$ and $\Omega$ are compact neighborhoods of identity elements of $G^{2}$ and $\hat{G}^{2}$, respectively, and we will also investigate some properties of the L.P.S. operator and finally we consider the trace of this operator. At first, let us define the linear operators $P_{\Omega}, Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ by $\left(P_{\Omega} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$ and $\left(Q_{C} f\right)(x)=\chi_{C}(x) f(x)$, for all $f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$, which are in fact orthogonal projections, as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 4.1 With the notations as above, $P_{\Omega}, Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ are orthogonal projections.

Proof Note that

$$
\left(P_{\Omega} f, g\right)=\left(\left(P_{\Omega} f\right)^{\wedge}, \hat{g}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\left(P_{\Omega} g\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\left(\hat{f},\left(P_{\Omega} g\right)^{\wedge}\right)=\left(f, P_{\Omega} g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $P_{\Omega}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ is self-adjoint. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Q_{C} f, g\right) & =\int_{G^{2}}\left(Q_{C} f\right)(x) \overline{g(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}} \chi_{C}(x) f(x) \overline{g(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}} f(x) \overline{\chi_{C}(x) g(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}} f(x) \overline{\left(Q_{C} g\right)(x)} d x \\
& =\left(f, Q_{C} g\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ is self-adjoint. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{\Omega}^{2} f, g\right) & =\left(P_{\Omega} f, P_{\Omega} g\right)=\left(\left(P_{\Omega} f\right)^{\wedge},\left(P_{\Omega} g\right)^{\wedge}\right) \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left(P_{\Omega} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \overline{\left(P_{\Omega} g\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left(P_{\Omega} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\left(\left(P_{\Omega} f\right)^{\wedge}, \hat{g}\right) \\
& =\left(P_{\Omega} f, g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $P_{\Omega}^{2}=P_{\Omega}$ and hence $P_{\Omega}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ is an orthogonal projection. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Q_{C}^{2} f, g\right) & =\left(Q_{C} f, Q_{C} g\right) \\
& =\int_{G^{2}}\left(Q_{C} f\right)(x) \overline{\left(Q_{C} g\right)(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}} \chi_{C}(x) f(x) \overline{\chi_{C}(x) g(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{G^{2}} \chi_{C}(x) f(x) \overline{g(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}}\left(Q_{C} f\right)(x) \overline{g(x)} d x \\
& =\left(Q_{C} f, g\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $Q_{C}^{2}=Q_{C}$ and hence, $Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ is an orthogonal projection.

Using the fact that $P_{\Omega}$ and $Q_{C}$ are orthogonal projections, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup \left\{\frac{\left\|P_{\Omega} Q_{C} f\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\|f\|_{2}^{2}}: f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)},\|f\|_{2} \neq 0\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{\left(P_{\Omega} Q_{C} f, P_{\Omega} Q_{C} f\right)}{\|f\|_{2}^{2}}: f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)},\|f\|_{2} \neq 0\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{\left(P_{\Omega}^{2} Q_{C} f, Q_{C} f\right)}{\|f\|_{2}^{2}}: f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)},\|f\|_{2} \neq 0\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{\left(P_{\Omega} Q_{C} f, Q_{C} f\right)}{\|f\|_{2}^{2}}: f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)},\|f\|_{2} \neq 0\right\} \\
& \quad=\sup \left\{\frac{\left(Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C} f, f\right)}{\|f\|_{2}^{2}}: f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)},\|f\|_{2} \neq 0\right\} \\
& \quad=\sup \left\{\left(Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C} f, f\right): f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)},\|f\|_{2}=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ is self-adjoint, it follows from the above that

$$
\sup \left\{\frac{\left\|P_{\Omega} Q_{C} f\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\|f\|_{2}^{2}}: f \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)},\|f\|_{2} \neq 0\right\}=\left\|Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right)}
$$

Theorem 4.2 Let $\varphi$ be the function on $G^{2}$ defined by $\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{|C|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \chi_{C}(x)$, where $|C|$ denotes the Haar measure of $C$, and let $\sigma$ be the function on $\hat{G}^{2}$ defined by $\sigma(\xi)=$ $\chi_{\Omega}(\xi)$. Then the operator $Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ is unitarily equivalent to scalar multiple of the wavelet multiplier $\varphi T_{\sigma} \varphi: M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)} \rightarrow M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$. In fact $Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}=|C|\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \varphi\right)$.

Proof From the definition of $\varphi$, we get that $\varphi \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)}$ with $\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}=$ $\int_{G^{2}}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d x=\frac{1}{|C|} \int_{C} d x=1$, so for all $u, \nu \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}$ we have,

$$
\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \varphi u, v\right)=\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left(u, \pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi\right)\left(\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi, \nu\right) d \xi
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u, \pi(\xi) \varphi) & =\int_{G^{2}} u(x) \overline{\pi_{q}(\xi) \varphi(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}} u(x) \overline{\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) \varphi(x) C_{r}\left(\xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}} u(x) \overline{C_{r}\left(\xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)} \varphi(x) \overline{\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)} d x \\
& =\int_{G^{2}} u(x) C_{l} \overline{\xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)} \frac{1}{|C|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \chi_{C}(x) \overline{\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{G^{2}} \overline{\xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)} \chi_{C}(x) u(x) \overline{\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{G^{2}} \overline{\xi_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)}\left(Q_{C} u\right)(x) \overline{\xi_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(Q_{C} u\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
(u, \pi(\xi) \varphi)=\frac{1}{|C|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(Q_{C} u\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \text { and }(\pi(\xi) \varphi, \nu)=\overline{\frac{1}{|C|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(Q_{C} \nu\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)} .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \varphi u, v\right) & =\int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)(u, \pi(\xi) \varphi)(\pi(\xi) \varphi, v) d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \sigma(\xi)\left(Q_{C} u\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \overline{\left(Q_{C} \nu\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}} \chi_{\Omega}(\xi)\left(Q_{C} u\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \overline{\left(Q_{C} v\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left(\chi_{\Omega}\left(Q_{C} u\right)^{\wedge}\right)(\xi) \overline{\left(Q_{C} \nu\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|} \int_{\hat{G}^{2}}\left(P_{\Omega}\left(Q_{C} u\right)\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \overline{\left(Q_{C} \nu\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|}\left(\left(P_{\Omega}\left(Q_{C} u\right)\right)^{\wedge},\left(Q_{C} v\right)^{\wedge}\right)=\frac{1}{|C|}\left(P_{\Omega} Q_{C} u, Q_{C} v\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{|C|}\left(Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C} u, v\right) \text { for all functions } u, v \in M_{L^{2}\left(G^{2}, \mathbb{H}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}=|C|\left(\varphi T_{\sigma} \varphi\right)
$$

Theorem 4.3 With the above notations $\operatorname{tr}\left(Q_{C} P_{\Omega} Q_{C}\right)=|C||\Omega|$.
Proof Theorem 4.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.2 and 3.3.
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