

ششین کنفرانس فناوری اطلاعات و دانش دانشگاه صنعتی شاهرود



Nonlinear Sliding Mode Block Control of Fractional-order Systems

Sajjad Shoja Majidabad, Heydar Toosian Shandiz, Amin Hajizadeh Electrical Engineering & Robotic Department Shahrood University of Technology Shahrood, Iran Shoja.sajjad@gmail.com, htshandiz@shahroodut.ac.ir, aminhajizadeh@gmail.com

Abstract— This paper presents some novel types of fast convergence robust controllers for Caputo derivative based fractional-order nonlinear systems with model uncertainties and external disturbances. First, a new fractional-order model is derived from the original system based on the block transformation strategy. In the second step, two different nonlinear sliding manifolds are proposed to reach a short time convergence. Subsequently, appropriate nonlinear sliding mode control laws are developed to assure the robustness and fast converging behaviors. The stability of both controllers is achieved by the fractional-order stability theorems. Finally, comprehensive numerical simulations are carried out to indicate the effectiveness of the suggested robust fractional-order controllers.

Keywords-component; Fractional-order system; Block transformation strategy; Nonlinear sliding mode control; Fast convergence

I. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus idea was established in the 17th century which discusses about non-integer integrations and derivatives. The basic ideas in this field are generalizations of the common ideas in integer calculus. The fractional calculus has been taken into account as an exclusive theoretical subject with no practical applications for nearly 300 years [1]. Nowadays, researchers have been interested in the application of fractional calculus in various branches of science such as thermal systems modelling [2], electromechanical systems [3] and biological systems [4]. Designing fractional-order controllers is one of these interesting applications.

Sliding mode control is a famous nonlinear technique which presents high precision and robust behaviour against model uncertainties and external disturbances [5]. In the conventional sliding mode control, an arbitrary linear manifold is considered as a sliding surface and a control law is planned in such a way that the system state trajectories reach this manifold. In last three decades, this technique is employed for different integer-order systems e.g. robot manipulators [6], DC-DC boost converters [7], electrical motors [8], and so on. Also nowadays the sliding mode control is applied for governing the fractional-order systems especially for chaotic systems [9-12]. However, the main drawback of sliding mode scheme is that the closed-loop system errors cannot reach zero in a finite time, while accomplishing finite time convergence is more worthwhile in practice. In recent years, a new control strategy called nonlinear or terminal sliding-mode control is proposed to reach a faster convergence with high precision tracking. This technique utilizes a nonlinear sliding manifold instead of the linear one. Successively, various application examples of nonlinear sliding mode control have been developed for integer-order systems in literature [13-18]. Some of these works are focused on overcoming the singularity problem [17-18]. Unfortunately, most of nonlinear sliding mode controllers are developed only for second-order systems [19]. Besides, majority of mentioned works are designed for integer-order systems and a few works does exist for fractional-order ones [20-21].

Inspired by the above discussions, enlarging the application of nonlinear sliding mode controllers on fractional-order systems seems more significant. In this paper, two new fractional-order nonlinear sliding mode controllers are combined with block transformation technique for fast governing the Caputo derivative based systems. Initially, the block transformation technique is applied to arrange the system dynamics in new coordinates, and then the sliding mode controllers are designed. Both methods employ a nonlinear integral manifold (a sign function for the first controller and a fractional power for the second one). The fast convergence behaviour is obtained using the proposed nonlinear sliding surfaces and the block transformation technique constant coefficients. Also, employing the block transformation technique makes the suggested controllers versatile for higher-order applications. The influences of model uncertainties and external disturbances are fully taken into account. Also asymptotic stability of the closed-loop system is proofed using fractional-order nonlinear stability theorems.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Some fractional calculus preliminaries are presented in Section II. In

Section III, a Caputo derivative based uncertain fractionalorder system dynamics and their block transformations are expressed. Designing two new nonlinear sliding mode controllers are developed in Section IV. In Sections V, the efficiency of proposed controllers is highlighted through two numerical simulations. Finally, this paper terminates with some conclusions in Section VI.

II. FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

The main definitions, properties and theorems of applied fractional calculus are expressed in this section.

Definition 1 [22]: The fractional integration of function f(t) with respect to t can be given as follows:

$$I_{0,t}^{\alpha}f(t) = D_{0,t}^{-\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau$$
(1)

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function.

Definition 2 [22]: The α -th order Caputo fractional derivative of ($f(t) \in C^m[0,t]$) function f(t) can be described by

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}_{0,t}f(t) = D^{-(m-\alpha)}_{0,t}D^{m}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{f^{(m)}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-m+\alpha}}d\tau$$
(2)

where $m-1 < \alpha < m$, $m \in N$.

Definition 3 [22]: The Riemann-Liouville (RL) fractional derivative of α -th order of function f(t) is defined as follows:

$${}_{RL}D^{\alpha}_{0,t}f(t) = D^m D^{-(m-\alpha)}_{0,t}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-m+\alpha}} d\tau$$
(3)

where $m-1 \le \alpha < m$, $m \in N$.

Property 1 [22]: If $f(t) \in C^m[0,\infty)$, $m-1 < \alpha < m$ and $m \in N$, then

(a) $_{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha}D_{0,t}^{-\alpha}f(t) = f(t)$ holds for m = 1.

(b) $_{RL}D_{0,t}^{\alpha}D_{0,t}^{-\alpha}f(t) = f(t)$.

Property 2 [22]: If $s(t) \in C^{1}[0,T]$ for some T > 0, $\alpha_{i} \in (0,1)$ (i = 1,2) and $\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \in (0,1]$, then

$${}_{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha_{1}}{}_{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha_{2}}s(t) = {}_{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha_{2}}{}_{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha_{1}}s(t) = {}_{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}s(t)$$
(4)

Theorem 1 [23-24]: Let x = 0 be an equilibrium point for the non-autonomous fractional order system

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}_{0,t}x(t) = f(t, x(t))$$
(5)

where f(t, x(t)) satisfies the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant l > 0 and $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Assume that there exists a Lyapunov function V(t, x(t)) satisfying

$$\alpha_1 \|x\|^a \le V(t, x(t)) \le \alpha_2 \|x\| \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{dt}V(t,x(t)) \le -\alpha_3 \|x(t)\| \tag{7}$$

where α_1 , α_2 , α_3 and *a* are positive constants. Then the equilibrium point of the system (5) is asymptotic stable.

III. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND BLOCK TRANSFORMATION

In this section, a canonical fractional-order system dynamic model and its block transformation is presented.

Consider a class of Caputo derivative based fractional-order dynamical system with model uncertainty and external disturbance as follows:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{1}(t) = x_{2}(t) \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{i}(t) = x_{i+1}(t) & i = 2,3,\dots, n-1 \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{n}(t) = f(X,t) + \Delta f(X,t) + d(t) + u(t) \end{cases}$$
(8)

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is the order of system, $X = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T$ is the state vector of the system, f(X,t) is a known nonlinear function of X and t, $\Delta f(X,t)$ describes the model uncertainty term which is unknown, and u(t) is the system control input.

Assumption 1: The uncertainty term $\Delta f(X,t)$ is assumed to be bounded as

$$\left|_{C} D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} \Delta f(X,t)\right| \le \gamma_{f} \tag{9}$$

where γ_f is a given and positive constant.

Assumption 2: The external disturbance d(t) is supposed to be bounded by

$$\left| {}_{C} D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} d(t) \right| \le \gamma_d \tag{10}$$

where γ_d is a known and positive constant.

Step 1: Let's define first new variable as follows:

$$z_1(t) = x_1(t) - x_{1d}(t)$$
(11)

where $x_{1d}(t)$ is the first state desired signal. By taking ${}_{C}D^{\alpha}$ derivative from both sides of (11) and using (8), we can get

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{1}(t) = {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{1}(t) - {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{1d}(t) = x_{2}(t) - x_{2d}(t)$$
(12)
To stabilize equation (12) dynamic, the first virtual control input can be selected as

$$x_2(t) = x_{2d}(t) - b_1 z_1(t) + z_2(t)$$
(13)

where b_1 is a positive constant. Hence the closed-loop dynamic will be as

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{1}(t) = -b_{1}z_{1}(t) + z_{2}(t)$$
(14)

Step 2: From (13) the new variable $z_2(t)$ can be obtained as

$$z_2(t) = x_2(t) - x_{2d}(t) + b_1 z_1(t)$$
(15)

In this stage, by taking ${}_{C}D^{\alpha}$ derivative from (15) along the equations (8) and (14), results in

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{2}(t) = x_{3}(t) - x_{3d}(t) + g_{2}(z_{1}, z_{2})$$
(16)

where $g_2(z_1, z_2) =_C D^{\alpha}(g_1(z_1) + b_1 z_1(t)) = b_1(-b_1 z_1(t) + z_2(t))$ and $g_1(z_1) = 0$.

Choosing the second virtual control input $z_3(t)$ as

$$x_{3}(t) = x_{3d}(t) - g_{2}(z_{1}, z_{2}) - b_{2}z_{2}(t) + z_{3}(t)$$
(17)
results the following dynamic

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{2}(t) = -b_{2}z_{2}(t) + z_{3}(t)$$
(18)

where b_2 is a positive constant.

 $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$) as

Step 3: From (17) the new variable $z_3(t)$ can be attained as

$$z_3(t) = x_3(t) - x_{3d}(t) + g_2(z_1, z_2) + b_2 z_2(t)$$
(19)

By applying the derivative ${}_{C}D^{\alpha}$ on (19) and using the equations (1), (14) and (18), one can get

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{3}(t) = x_{4}(t) - x_{4d}(t) + g_{3}(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3})$$
(20)
where $g_{3}(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) = {}_{C}D^{\alpha}(g_{1}(z_{1}, z_{2}) + b_{2}z_{2}(t)) = b_{1}(-b_{1}(-b_{1}z_{1}(t) + z_{2}(t)) + (-b_{2}z_{2}(t) + z_{3}(t)) + b_{2}(-b_{2}z_{2}(t) + z_{3}(t)) .$

Selecting the third virtual control input $z_4(t)$ in the form of

$$x_4(t) = x_{4d}(t) - g_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) - b_3 z_3(t) + z_4(t)$$
 (21)
yields the following dynamic

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{3}(t) = -b_{3}z_{3}(t) + z_{4}(t)$$
(22)

This procedure can be proceed for the variables $z_4, z_5, ..., z_{n-1}$. At the last step, after calculating ${}_{C}D^{\alpha}$ derivative of $z_n(t)$, the original system (1) can be represented in the new coordinates (

$$\begin{cases} {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{1}(t) = -b_{1}z_{1}(t) + z_{2}(t) \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{i}(t) = -b_{i}z_{i}(t) + z_{i+1}(t) , i = 2,3,...,n-1 \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{n}(t) = f(X,t) + \Delta f(X,t) - {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{nd}(t) + \\ {}_{g_{n}(z_{1},z_{2},\cdots,z_{n}) + d(t) + u(t) \end{cases}$$
(23)

where $g_n(z_1, z_2,...,z_n)$ is a linear function of the transformed variables, and is calculable by the following recursive equation:

$$g_{j+1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{j+1}) =_C D^{\alpha}(g_j(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_j) + b_j z_j(t))$$

$$g_1(z_1) = 0 \quad , j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$
(24)

Remark 3: For the system (23) that is constrained to $z_n(t) = 0$ by a control law, the system dynamics reduce to

$$\begin{cases} {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{1}(t) = -b_{1}z_{1}(t) + z_{2}(t) \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{i}(t) = -b_{i}z_{i}(t) + z_{i+1}(t) , i = 2,3,...,n-1 \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{n-1}(t) = -b_{n-1}z_{n-1}(t) \end{cases}$$
(25)

it is evident that the above linear system is stable and ensures that $\lim z_i(t) = 0$, Also the convergence rate of states

$$z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}$$
 is adjustable by the coefficients
 $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{n-1}$.

From the equations (13), (17) and the reduced model (25), zero convergence of the transformed states $(z_i(t) \rightarrow 0)$ yields the original system states convergence to the desired values ($x_i(t) \rightarrow x_{id}(t)$).

IV. NONLINEAR SLIDING MODE CONTROLLER DESIGN

In this section, two novel nonlinear sliding surfaces are suggested, and proper control laws are designed to provide the closed-loop system stability and fast convergence.

Sign Integral Nonlinear Sliding Mode: For the transformed system (23), let define the sign integral terminal sliding surface as follows:

$$s(t) = z_n(t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(z_n(\tau)) d\tau$$

= $z_n(t) + \lambda_1 D^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(z_n(t))$ (26)

where λ_1 . Taking $_C D^{\alpha}$ derivative from the previous equation yields

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}s(t) = {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{n}(t) + \lambda_{1C}D^{\alpha}D^{-1}\operatorname{sgn}(z_{n}(t))$$
(27)

Employing the Caputo derivative definition ${}_{C}D^{\alpha}s(t) = D^{-(1-\alpha)}D^{1}s(t)$, results in

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}s(t) = {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_n(t) + \lambda_1 D^{-(1-\alpha)}\operatorname{sgn}(z_n(t))$$
(28)

By substituting the transformed system dynamics (23) in (28), one can get

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}s(t) = f(X,t) + \Delta f(X,t) - {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{nd}(t) + g_{n}(z_{1},z_{2},\cdots,z_{n}) + \lambda_{1}D^{-(1-\alpha)}\operatorname{sgn}(z_{n}(t)) + d(t) + u(t)$$
(29)

Theorem 2: Consider the transformed fractional-order system (23), choosing the robust block controller as

$$u(t) = -f(X,t) + {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{nd}(t) - g_{n}(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}) - \lambda_{1}D^{-(1-\alpha)}\operatorname{sgn}(z_{n}(t)) - D^{-(1-\alpha)}(\eta_{1}s(t) + (\gamma_{f} + \gamma_{d})\operatorname{sgn}(s(t)))$$
(30)

will result the system trajectories convergence to the sliding surface s(t) in a short time. Where η_1 is a positive constant.

Proof: Choosing the Lyapunov candidate in the form of V(t) = |s(t)| and evaluating its time derivative, results

$$\dot{V}(t) = \text{sgn}(s(t))\dot{s}(t)$$
 (31)
From Property 2, one can obtain

$$\dot{V}(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))_C D^{1-\alpha}{}_C D^{\alpha} s(t)$$
(32)

Using (29), we have

$$\dot{V}(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))_C D^{1-\alpha} (f(X,t) + \Delta f(X,t) - _C D^{\alpha} x_{nd}(t) + g_n(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) + \lambda_1 D^{-(1-\alpha)} \operatorname{sgn}(z_n(t)) + d(t) + u(t))$$
(33)

Applying the control law (30), yields

$$\dot{V}(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))_C D^{1-\alpha} (\Delta f(X,t) + d(t) - D^{-(1-\alpha)}(\eta_1 s(t) + (\gamma_f + \gamma_d) \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))))$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))(_C D^{1-\alpha} (\Delta f(X,t) + d(t)) - \eta_1 s(t) + (\gamma_f + \gamma_d) \operatorname{sgn}(s(t)))$$
(34)

Using sgn(s(t))s(t) = |s(t)|, one can get

$$\dot{V}(t) \le -\eta_1 |s(t)| \tag{35}$$

Hence, the states of the system will converge to s(t) = 0 asymptotically.

To show that the sliding motion transpires in a short time, the reaching time can be calculated in the following form

$$\dot{s}(t) = \dot{z}_n(t) + \lambda_1 \operatorname{sgn}(z_n(t)) = 0$$
(36)

$$\frac{z_n(t)\dot{z}_n(t)}{|z_n(t)|} = -\lambda_1 \quad \to \quad \frac{d|z_n(t)|}{dt} = -\lambda_1 \tag{37}$$

$$t_{reach} = \frac{\left|z_n(0)\right|}{\lambda_1} \tag{38}$$

 t_{reach} is tuneable by declaring a proper value for λ_1 .

Fractional Integral Nonlinear Sliding Mode: Consider the second nonlinear sliding manifold as follows:

$$s(t) = z_n(t) + \lambda_2 \int_0^t z_n^{q/p}(\tau) d\tau = z_n(t) + \lambda_2 D^{-1} z_n^{q/p}(t)$$
(39)

where $\lambda_2 > 0$, p and q are both positive odd integers which should satisfy q/p.

Applying $_{C}D^{\alpha}$ derivative on the previous equation, results in

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}s(t) = {}_{C}D^{\alpha}z_{n}(t) + \lambda_{2}D^{-(1-\alpha)}z_{n}^{q/p}(t)$$
(40)

Now, by applying the Caputo derivative definition, one can get

$${}_{C}D^{\alpha}s(t) = f(X,t) + \Delta f(X,t) - {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{nd}(t) + g_{n}(z_{1},z_{2},\cdots,z_{n}) + \lambda_{2}D^{-(1-\alpha)}z_{n}^{q/p}(t) + d(t) + u(t)$$
(41)

Theorem 3: Consider the transformed fractional-order system (23), if the system controlled by the robust block control law (42), the system states will converge to the sliding surface s(t) in a short time.

$$u(t) = -f(X,t) + {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{nd}(t) - g_{n}(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}) - \lambda_{2}D^{-(1-\alpha)}z_{n}^{q/p}(t) - D^{-(1-\alpha)}(\eta_{2}s(t) + (\gamma_{f} + \gamma_{d})\operatorname{sgn}(s(t)))$$
(42)

where η_2 is a positive constant.

Proof: By defining the Lyapunov function as V(t) = |s(t)| and evaluating its time derivative and using (41), one can write

$$\dot{V}(t) = \text{sgn}(s(t))_C D^{1-\alpha} (f(X,t) + \Delta f(X,t) - _C D^{\alpha} x_{nd}(t) + g_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) + \lambda_2 D^{-(1-\alpha)} z_n^{q/p}(t) + d(t) + u(t))$$
(43)
Inserting the second control law (42) in (43), results in

$$\dot{V}(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))_C D^{1-\alpha} (\Delta f(X,t) + d(t) - D^{-(1-\alpha)}(\eta_2 s(t) + (\gamma_f + \gamma_d) \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))))$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))(_C D^{1-\alpha} (\Delta f(X,t) + d(t)) - \eta_2 s(t) + (\gamma_f + \gamma_d) \operatorname{sgn}(s(t)))$$

$$(44)$$

Hence we can get

$$\dot{V}(t) \le -\eta_2 |s(t)| \tag{45}$$

which guarantees the system states asymptotically convergence to s(t) = 0.

For s(t) = 0, the dynamic of $z_n(t)$ can be expressed in the following form

$$z_n(t) + \lambda_2 D^{-1} z_n^{q/p}(t) = 0$$
(46)

Taking time derivative from the above equation, gives us

$$\dot{z}_n(t) + \lambda_2 z_n^{q/p}(t) = 0$$
 (47)

The solution of (52) for the convergence time t_{reach} is given by

$$t_{reach} = \frac{|z_n(0)|^{(1-q/p)}}{\lambda_2(1-q/p)}$$
(48)

which shows that the sliding motion occurs in a finite time, and this time is tuneable by choosing proper parameters (λ_2 and q/p).

Remark 5: In order to have a smooth control signal and hold the continuously differentiable condition (C^1), the function sgn(•) in the sliding surface (26) and control laws (30), (42) can be modified in the following forms: sgn(s(t))s(t) = |s(t)|

$$\operatorname{sgn}(z_n(t)) = \frac{z_n(t)}{|z_n(t)| + \delta}$$
(49)

$$\operatorname{sgn}(s(t)) = \frac{s(t)}{|s(t)| + \varepsilon}$$
(50)

where δ , $\varepsilon > 0$ and should be enough small.

Remark 6: It is worthwhile to notify that the actual state x_i is a function of transformed state z_i and the other states

 $z_1, z_2, ..., z_{i-1}$, then only fast convergence of z_i will not result x_i convergence, and the other transformed states are related in this process. Therefore, performance of actual states ($x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$) should be checked instead of the transformed states ($z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$) in the controller parameters tuning (λ_i, b_i , $\delta, \varepsilon, q/p$).

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, a numerical example is presented to show the usefulness and efficiency of the suggested nonlinear sliding mode controllers. Numerical simulations are performed using MATLAB toolbox called Ninteger [25]. The performances of proposed controllers are tested on the fractional-order Arneodo system in this section. The dynamic equations of the uncertain system are presented as:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{1} = x_{2} \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{2} = x_{3} \\ {}_{C}D^{\alpha}x_{3}(t) = 5.5x_{1} + x_{1}^{3} - 3.5x_{2} - x_{3} + \Delta f(X, t) + d(t) + u(t) \end{cases}$$
(51)

The initial conditions and uncertainty term are selected as $x_1(0) = 2$, $x_2(0) = 1.5$, $x_3(0) = -3$ and $\Delta f(X,t) + d(t) = 0.1\cos(t)x_3 - 0.15\sin(t)$. The similar parameters of both controllers are declared as: $\alpha = 0.98$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 4$, $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1.5$, $b_1 = 2$, $b_2 = 4$. Besides, the distinctive value parameters considered as: $\delta = \varepsilon = 0.01$ for (26)-(30), and $\varepsilon = 0.02$, q/p = 1/5 for (39)-(42).

The system state trajectories (x_1, x_2, x_3) , control signal, states of block transformation (z_1, z_2, z_3) and sliding surface are shown in Figure 1. The right hand sides figures are belong to the controller with sign integral sliding manifold (26), and the responses of controller with fractional integral sliding manifold (39) are depicted in the left hand side. Figure 1, confirms that the system states, sliding manifold and transformed states are converged to zero in a short time. By comparing the Figures 1(a)-(e) with (c)-(g), it can be seen that the convergence speed of the actual and transformed states is different which testifies the idea of Remark 6.







Figure 1: Responses of system state trajectories (x_1 , x_2 , x_3), control

signal, block transformation states (z₁, z₂, z₃) and sliding surface. a-b-cd: The control law (30) with sign integral sliding manifold (26). e-f-g-h: The control law (42) with fractional integral sliding manifold (39).

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this paper, the problem of designing fast converging controllers for a Caputo derivative based nonlinear fractionalorder uncertain system is investigated. We proposed two novel types of nonlinear sliding surfaces in order to have a fast zero convergence. Hence, two new nonlinear fractional-order sliding mode controllers are suggested. The asymptotic stability of the proposed control schemes is proved using the fractional-order stability theorems. Computer simulations reveal the performance of introduced control strategies in a short time convergence for the fractional-order Arneodo system with model uncertainties and external disturbances.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [2] J. Gabano, T. Poinot, "Fractional modelling and identification of thermal systems" Signal Processing, Vol. 91, pp: 531-541, 2011.
- [3] I. S. Jesus, and J. A. Tenreiro Machado, "Application of Integer and Fractional Models in Electrochemical Systems" Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2012, doi:10.1155/2012/248175.
- [4] I. Petras, R. L. Magin, "Simulation of drug uptake in a two compartmental fractional model for a biological system" Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat, vol. 16, pp:4588–95, 2011.
- [5] Edwards C, Spurgeon SK. Sliding mode control: theory and applications. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1998.
- [6] S. Islam, X. P. Liu, "Robust Sliding Mode Control for Robot Manipulators" IEEE Trans. On Industrial Electronics, Vol. 58, No. 6, June, 2011.
- [7] R. J. Wai, and L. C. Shih, "Design of Voltage Tracking Control for DC– DC Boost Converter Via Total Sliding-Mode Technique" IEEE Trans. On Industrial Electronics, Vol. 58, No. 6, June, 2011.
- [8] Z. S. Chi, and L. Xu, "Sliding-mode sensorless control of direct-drive PM synchronous motors for washing machine applications" IEEE Trans. On Industry Applications, Vol. 45, No. 2, pp: 582–590, 2009.
- [9] M. S. Tavazoei, M. Haeri, "Synchronization of chaotic fractional-order systems via active sliding mode controller" Physica A, vol. 387, pp:57– 70, 2008.
- [10] S.H. Hosseinnia, R. Ghaderi, A. Ranjbar N, M. Mahmoudian, S. Momani, "Sliding mode synchronization of an uncertain fractional order chaotic system" Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 59, pp. 1637-1643, 2010.
- [11] M. P. Aghababa, "Robust stabilization and synchronization of a class of fractional-order chaotic systems via a novel fractional sliding mode controller" Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat, vol. 17, pp: 2670– 2681, 2012.
- [12] C. Yin, S. Dadras, S. M. Zhong, and Y. Q. Chen, "Control of a novel class of fractional-order chaotic systems via adaptive sliding mode control approach" Applied Mathematical Modelling, vol. 37, pp: 2469– 83, 2013.
- [13] R. M. Zhihong and M. O'Day, "A Robust Adaptive Terminal Sliding Mode Control for Rigid Robotic Manipulators" Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems, 24: 23-41, 1999.
- [14] S. Yu, X. Yu, B. Shirinzadeh and Z. Man, "Continuous finite-time control for robotic manipulators with terminal sliding mode" Automatica, 41, 1957-1964, July 2005.
- [15] L. Hui and J. Li, "Terminal Sliding Mode Control for Spacecraft Formation Flying" IEEE Trans. On Aerospace And Electronic Systems, Vol. 45, No. 3, July, 2009.
- [16] E. C. Chang, T. J. Liang, J. F. Chen and F. J. Chang, "Real-time implementation of grey fuzzy terminal sliding mode control for PWM DC-AC Convertors" IET Power Electron, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 235-244, 2008.
- [17] Y. Feng, X. Yu and Z. Man, "Non-singular terminal sliding mode control of rigid manipulators" Automatica, 38(12), 2159-2167, July 2002.
- [18] M. Jin, J. Lee, P. H. Chang and C. Choi, "Practical Nonsingular Terminal Sliding Mode Control of Robot Manipulators for High-Accuracy Tracking Control" IEEE Trans. On Industrial Electronics, Vol. 56, No. 9, September, 2009.
- [19] C. S. Chiu, "Derivative and integral terminal sliding mode control for a class of MIMO nonlinear systems" Automatica, Vol. 48, pp: 316–326, 2012.
- [20] M. P. Aghababa, "Finite-time chaos control and synchronization of fractional-order nonautonomous chaotic (hyperchaotic) systems using fractional nonsingular terminal sliding mode technique" Nonlinear Dyn, Vol. 69, pp: 247-261, 2012. DOI 10.1007/s11071-011-0261-6.
- [21] M. P. Aghababa, "A novel terminal sliding mode controller for a class of non-autonomous fractional-order systems" Nonlinear Dyn, Vol. 73, pp: 679-688, 2013. DOI 10.1007/s11071-013-0822-y.

- [22] C. Li, W. Deng, "Remarks on fractional derivatives," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 187, pp. 777–784, 2007.
- [23] Y. Li, Y. Q. Chen, I. Podlubny, "Stability of fractional-order nonlinear dynamic systems: yapunov direct method and generalized Mittag_Leffler stability" Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 59, pp:1810_21, 2010.
- [24] Y. Li, Y. Q. Chen, I. Podlubny, "Mittag_Leffler stability of fractional order nonlinear dynamic systems" Automatica, vol. 45, pp:1965_69, 2009.
- [25] Valério D. Ninteger v.2.3 fractional control toolbox for MATLAB; 2005. http://web.ist.utl.pt/duarte.valerio/ninteger/ninteger.htm>.