

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 272-276 (2004) e567-e569

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmmm

The measurement of hyperfine field of 60 Co in MnCl₂ · 4H₂O crystal by the method of nuclear orientation

M.R. Benam^{a,*}, S.M. Hosseini^b

^a Department of Physics, Payam –Noor University, Fariman, Iran ^b Department of Physics, Ferdowsi University of Mashdad, Mashdad, 91735-654, Iran

Abstract

The hyperfine field of Co in metallic magnets such as Fe and Ni has been extensively studied; there have been far fewer experiments about its hyperfine field in insulating magnets. In an attempt to understand this problem, using low-temperature nuclear orientation method, the hyperfine field in antiferromagnetic insulating MnCl₂ · 4H₂O crystals, was investigated and determined. The hyperfine field from the anisotropy of the 1170 and 1330 keV lines of ⁶⁰Co was found to be $B_{\rm hf} = 28.6 \pm 1^{+0.8}_{-0.3}$ T.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 07.55.G

Keywords: Magnetic hyperfine field; Antiferromagnetic; MnCl₂·4H₂O; Nuclear orientation

1. Introduction

The method of low-temperature nuclear orientation (LTNO) relies on the interactions of the nuclear magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments with magnetic and electric field gradients, respectively, Unpaired electrons within unfilled atomic shells often give rise to strong magnetic and electric fields, commonly referred to as hyperfine fields. As temperature of the nuclear spin system reaches values in the (milli Kelvin) range, a significant polarization of the nuclear spins along the local hyperfine field is attained. The process of nuclear orientation (NO) can be utilized in practice as an experimental technique in ferro- or antiferromagnetically ordered single crystals. In antiferromagnets below the critical temperature, the electron spins in the crystal order alternately parallel and antiparallel along a particular direction. On cooling the crystal below its Neél temperature at about 100 mK, the nuclei will become aligned parallel to the direction of the spontaneous magnetization of the sublattices. Daniels and LeBlank [1] reported the first successful alignment of nuclei in an antiferromagnetic crystal.

In order to orient the nuclear magnetic moment of 60 Co and 54 Mn, we have used MnCl₂·4H₂O crystal which is a collinear antiferromagnet with $T_{\rm N} = 1.6$ K. The crystal structure has been studied by X-ray diffraction [2]. At room temperature the structure is monoclinic ($\beta = 99.74^{\circ}$) with four magnetic ions per unit cell. The magnetic structure of the antiferromagnetic phase has been also studied by neutron diffraction [3].

The main goal of this paper is to determine the hyperfine field of 60 Co in MnCl₂·4H₂O crystal. In this experiment the isotopes of 60 Co and 54 Mn have been utilized, in which their decay schemes are well understood [4]. The decay of 54 Mn (I = 3) is specified by electron capture to the 835 keV excited state of 54 Cr and then by γ -decay (E2 transition) to the ground state. The magnetic moment of 54 Mn is 3.281 ± 0.013 nm [5] and its hyperfine field in MnCl₂·4H₂O crystal has been measured to be 60.05 T [6]. The 60 Co (I = 5 and $\mu = 3.799 \pm 0.008$ nm) decays by emission of beta particles to an excited state of 60 Ni. Two gamma rays (1170)

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +98-511-8433638; fax: +98-5126223412.

E-mail address: mrz_benam@yahoo.com (M.R. Benam).

and 1332 keV) are promptly emitted as the 60 Ni nucleus decays to its ground state.

2. Theoretical considerations

Consider a system of magnetic ions with electron spins S that are ordered collinearly by exchange interaction. Assume that the nuclear spins are oriented by an isotropic magnetic hyperfine interaction, which can be described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = A \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S},\tag{1}$$

where A is the strength of the hyperfine interaction, **I** is the spin of the nuclei and **S** is the electron spins that are polarized by exchange interaction. This interaction can be represented by an effective magnetic field [7]:

$$H = -\mathbf{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\rm hf},\tag{2}$$

where \mathbf{B}_{hf} is the hyperfine field and μ is the magnetic moment related to the nuclear spin. If some of the magnetic ions replaced with radioactive isotopes (in this case ⁶⁰Co and ⁵⁴Mn) these isotopes will be also oriented. The normalized intensity of gamma rays emitted from oriented radioactive nuclei at angle θ to the quantization axis is anisotropic and can be written as [8]

$$W(\theta) = \sum_{K=even} B_k A_k P_k(\cos \theta),$$
(3)

where A_k are parameters associated only with the radioactive decay, P_k are the ordinary Legendre polynomials and B_k are "orientation parameters" defined for axial symmetry as

$$B_{k}(B_{\rm hf},T) = \sqrt{(2I+1)(2k+1)} \\ \times \sum_{m=-I}^{I} (-1)^{I+m} \begin{pmatrix} I & I & k \\ -m & m & 0 \end{pmatrix} P_{m}.$$
(4)

In this equation the matrices are Wigner 3-*j* symbols and P_m is the normalized population of the substate with magnetic quantum number $m(-I \le m \le I)$.

In thermal equilibrium, at temperature T, the populations of the nuclear Zeeman levels are given by Boltzman distribution

$$p_m = \frac{\exp(-\Delta Em/K_{\rm B}T)}{\sum_m \exp(-\Delta Em/K_{\rm B}T)},$$
(5)

where $\Delta E = \mu B_{\rm hf}/I$ is the separation in energy between the Zeeman magnetic sublevels. Eqs. (4) and (5) indicate that B_k depend on the temperature and the hyperfine field. Therefore, by measuring the temperature and the normalized intensity of the gamma rays in a particular direction and comparing it with Eq. (3), one can obtain the hyperfine field.

3. Experimental procedure

The MnCl₂·4H₂O crystal was grown from saturated and mixed solutions of the salt that containing the radioactive isotopes of 60Co and 54Mn (a few (micro Curie)). The crystal was plate-like and the flat area containing the c and b crystalline directions. The dimensions along the c, b and a^* (normal to the b-c plane) directions were approximately 12, 10 and 3 mm, respectively. The crystal was fixed to a cold finger of the dilution refrigerator and cooled to temperatures below 100 mK. The easily identifiable c-axis was mounted vertically and a Ge(Li) detector was mounted in the downward vertical direction to count γ -rays emitted from the oriented radioactive nuclear spins. Since the easy axis of magnetization of the MnCl₂ · 4H₂O crystal is not exactly along the *c*-axis and it has an angle of about 7° with the *c*-axis towards the *a*-axis [3], the W (7°) were measured instead of $W(0^\circ)$.

4. Results and conclusions

The data were collected by a Ge(Li) detector and a multichannel analyzer (MCA) over 1000s lifetime intervals and then analyzed by a personal computer. For the 835 keV γ -rays emitted in the decay of ⁵⁴Mn the series of Eq. (3) terminates at k = 4 with $A_0 = 1$, $A_2 =$ -0.495 and $A_4 = -0.447$. From these parameters and measured anisotropy $W(7^\circ) = 0.840 \pm 0.002$ and comparing it with Eq. (3), the base temperature of the crystal was found to be $T = 49.4 \pm 0.4^{+0.7}_{-1.6}$ mk. For both γ -rays ⁶⁰Co only the decay parameters of $A_0 = 1$, $A_2 =$ -0.4206 and $A_4 = -0.2428$ are nonzero [9]. Substituting these parameters and the base temperature in Eq. (3)and comparing the calculated anisotropy with measured one, $W(7^{\circ}) = 0.956 \pm 0.003$, which is the average anisotropy of the 1170 and 1330 keV lines, the hyperfine of 60 Co was found to be $B_{\rm hf} = 28.6 \pm 1^{+0.8}_{-0.3}$ T. The first error in T and $B_{\rm hf}$ is statistical and the second is systematic error. A possible misalignment of the crystal about $\pm 7^{\circ}$ was used to calculate the systematic error. The calculated value of hyperfine field with ab initio methods is 24 T, which the contributions of the core and valance electrons are 19.6 and 4.4 T, respectively [10]. The difference between the measured and calculated hyperfine field for ⁶⁰Co revealing the presence of large internal effective magnetic field acting on the nuclei due to the host crystal.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thanks Professor B. G.Turrell at British Columbia University (Canada) for the facility provided.

References

- [1] J.M. Daniels, M.A.R. Leblank, Can. J. Phys. 36 (1958) 638.
- [2] A. Zalkin, J.D. Forrester, D.H. Templeton, Inorg. Chem. 3 (1964) 529.
- [3] R.F. Altman, S. Spooner, D.P. Landau, J.E. Rives, Phys. Rev. Bll (1975) 458.
- [4] R.B. Firestone, Table of Isotopes, in: V.S. Shirley (Ed.), 8th Edition, Wiley, New York, 1996.

- [5] L. Nisen, W.J. Huiskamp, Physica 50 (1970) 259.
- [6] A. Kottlicki, B.G. Turrell, Hyperfine Interct. 11 (1981) 197.
- [7] W. Marshall, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1280.
- [8] R.J. Blin-Stoyle, M.A. Grace, Handbook Phys. Vl. 42 (1958) 555.
- [9] T. Yamazaki, Nucl. Data A 3 (1967) 1.
- [10] M. Battocletti, H. Ebert, H. Akai, Phys. Rev. B 53 (1995) 9776.