

Book of Abstracts of the 60th Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production



**Book of abstracts No. 15 (2009)
Barcelona, Spain
24-27 August 2009**

Comella, M.	166	Dall'olio, S.	164
Commun, L.	118	Dalmau, A.	112, 254, 287
Conde-Aguilera, J.A.	567, 584	Dammann, M.	70, 71
Cone, J.W.	312, 563, 563	Dämmgen, U.	402
Cong, T.V.C.	606	Danchin-Burge, C.	68, 71, 121
Conte, G.	189	D'Andrea, M.	17, 133, 149
Conti, R.M.C.	367	D'Andrea, S.	117, 118
Contiero, B.	306	Danesh Mesgaran, M.	313, 371, 373, 379, 383, 520, 572, 575, 581, 587, 593
Contò, G.	365	Daniel, J.	93
Cornou, C.	622	Danieli, P.P.	577
Cornu, A.	313	Danvy, S.	222
Correa, J.A.	254	Darabi, S.	274
Correia, M.J.	429, 432	Dardenne, P.	202, 203
Corte, R.R.P.S.	408	Daridan, D.	130
Cortés, O.	153	Darnhofer, I.	289
Coster, A.	157, 296, 300, 301	Das, A.	246, 560
Cothran, E.G.	175	Dashab, G.H.	360, 360
Coueron, E.	336	Daskalopoulou, E.	527
Coughlan, F.	350	Dastar, B.	57, 353
Cournut, S.	290	David, I.	260, 599
Couvreur, S.	324	David, V.	250
Cozzi, G.	332	Davis, S.R.	185
Crenshaw, J.	449	Davis, T.A.	94
Crepaldi, P.	186, 317	Davoli, R.	133, 164, 166
Crepon, K.	230	Davy, J.	327
Crespo, D.G.	516	Dawson, K.	244
Crespo, I.	124	Daza, A.	246
Crespo, J.P.	516	Daza, J.	315
Crews Jr., D.H.	148	Daß, G.	342
Croiseau, P.	2, 294	De Argüello Díaz, S.	22
Cromie, A.R.	141, 183	De Boer, I.J.M.	111
Crompton, L.A.	25	De Boever, J.L.	354, 514
Cronin, G.M.	437	De Brabander, D.L.	96, 354, 569
Crooijmans, R.P.	140	De Campeneere, S.	354, 569
Crook, B.J.	150	De Freitas, M.A.R.	152
Crowley, J.J.	148	De Greef, K.H.	1, 125, 480, 497, 623, 623, 624
Cruz, V.	115	De Haas, Y.	6
Csapó, J.	472	De Klerk, B.	605
Cucco, D.C.	189	De Koning, D.J.	135, 138, 169, 178, 601, 611, 612
Cue, R.I.	180, 195	De La Chevrotière, C.	256, 460
Curran, J.	310	De La Fuente, J.	11
Cutullic, E.	104	De La Fuente, L.F.	185, 258
Cyrino, J.E.P.	356	De Marchi, M.	148
Cziszter, L.T.	620	De Montera, B.	181
		De Ondiz, A.	154, 405
D		De Pedro, E.	213
D'Abbadie, F.	169	De Renobales, M.	49, 53
Dabiri, N.	45	De Roest, K.	622
Daetwyler, H.D.	293	De Roos, A.P.W.	29
Daftarian, P.M.	177	De Smet, K.	514
Daga, C.	146	De Vries, M.	111
Dal Maso, M.	350	De Wit, A.A.C.	181
D'Alessandro, A.G.	84, 84, 90	De Witt, F.H.	534
Dalin, G.	215	D'Eath, R.B.	281, 282
Daliri, M.	397, 398	Debus, N.	485
Dallan, E.M.	494		

Chemical composition and *in situ* dry matter degradation of various organic acid treated whole crop barley silage

Vatandoost, M., **Danesh Mesgaran, M.**, Heravi Moussavi, A. and Vakili, A.R., Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Dept. Animal Science (Excellence Centre for Animal Science), P O Box 91775-1163, Iran; vatandoost_58@yahoo.com

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of formic acid or acetic acid on chemical composition and *in situ* dry matter (DM) degradation of whole crop barley silage. The forage (35% DM) was ensiled as untreated (UT) or treated with formic acid (3.4 or 6.8 ml/kg DM; F3 or F6, respectively) or acetic acid (3 or 4 ml/kg DM; A3 or A4, respectively) for 30 days (n=4). Silage extract pH was determined using pH meter (Metrohm 691, Swiss). NH₃-N concentration was determined in acidified silage extract (5 ml of the extract + 5 ml of 0.2 N HCl) using distillation method. Crude protein was determined using Kjeldahl method (Kjeltec 2300, Foss Tecator, Sweden). Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) was expressed as the ash free residue after extraction with boiling neutral solutions of sodium lauryl sulfate and EDTA. Four sheep (44±5 kg body weight) fitted with rumen fistulae were used. Bags (10 × 12 cm) were made of polyester cloth with a pore size of 52 µm. About 5 g DM of each sample was placed in each bag, then incubated (n=4) for each time (2, 4, 8, 16, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h). For zero time, bags were washed using cold tap water. The equation of $P=a+b(1-e^{-ct})$ was applied to determine degradation coefficients (a= quickly degradable fraction, b= slowly degradable fraction, c = fractional degradation rate constant). Both additives did not have any significant effect on crude protein content of the silages. These additives caused a significant ($P<0.05$) decrease in pH (UT= 4.07, F3= 3.95, F6= 3.57, A3= 3.96 and A4= 3.83; SEM= 0.037) and increase in NDF content (UT= 553, F3= 585, F6= 640, A3= 650 and A4= 607 g/kg DM; SEM= 9.174). NH₃-N concentration (mg/dl) was significantly decreased when formic acid was applied (UT= 9.10, F6= 8.29; SEM= 0.29). Slowly degradation fraction of DM of F6 (0.49±0.03) and A4 (0.51±0.02) was significantly increased compared with UT (0.46±0.03).

Variation in polyphenolic compounds in forages: amount and composition

Reynaud, A.¹, Cornu, A.¹, Fraisse, D.², Besle, J.M.¹, Farruggia, A.¹, Doreau, M.¹ and Graulet, B.¹, ¹INRA, UR1213 Herbivores, Centre de Clermont-Ferrand/Theix, F-63122 St-Genès-Champanelle, France, ²Laboratoire de Pharmacognosie, Faculté de Pharmacie, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France; areynaud@clermont.inra.fr

Polyphenolic compounds are present in significant amounts in forages and have a potential beneficial effect on human health after their transfer in milk. Little is known on the sources of variability of these compounds in cow's milk but the nature of the forage in the diet is highly probable. To assess this variability, the polyphenolic composition was determined in 8 permanent pastures (Arrhenatheretea and Festuco-Brometea classes), in 4 temporary pastures poorly diversified, both harvested in June 2007 in the same area, and in 4 maize silages. The comparison of chromatographic profiles obtained using liquid chromatography paired with photodiode-array (λ= 275nm) did not underline any statistical difference in the number of peaks having a typical phenolic compound spectrum (43±3.6) or in the sum of peak areas. However, peak pattern was very different between forages ($P<0.001$; Wilks' test) and can be related to their botanical composition. All forages taken together, a total of 107 peaks were separated among which some of them were identified. Sixteen peaks were common (such as p-coumaric acid, homorientin, apigenin) to the 3 different types of forage, 30 (such as ferulic acid) were specific of maize silage and 61 (including 3 isomers of chlorogenic acid, chicoric and rosmarinic acids, verbascoside, daidzein, genistein, biochanin A, formononetin, hesperidin, luteolin-7-o glucoside, schaftoside, rutoside and quercetin-3-glucuronide) were specific of permanent or temporary pastures, respectively. Among the 16 common peaks, 5 had a significantly ($P<0.05$) higher content in maize silage than in permanent pastures. In conclusion, even though the peak number and sum of areas were not different, the polyphenolic composition strongly and significantly varied between forages. These differences have to be confirmed now in the corresponding milks.