Comparison of Low Field Electron Transport in Zincblende and Wurtzite 6H-SiC Structures for High Gain Device Modeling
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Abstract: Temperature and doping dependencies of electron mobility in both wurtzite and zincblende 6H-SiC structures have been calculated using an Iterative technique. The following scattering mechanisms, i.e., impurity, polar optical phonon, acoustic phonon, piezoelectric and electron plasmon are included in the calculation. Ionized impurity scattering has been treated beyond the born approximation using the phase-shift analysis. It is found that the electron mobility decreases monotonically as the temperature increases from 100-600 K. The low temperature value of electron mobility increases significantly with increasing doping concentration. The iterative results are in fair agreement with other recent calculations obtained using the relaxation-time approximation and experimental methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Wide band gap 6H-SiC and related compounds with aluminium and indium currently have two main uses in commercial devices, providing bright LEDs emitting at ultraviolet-blue green wavelengths for CD-ROM and sensor applications and Heterojunction Field Effect Transistors (HFETs) which can sustain high current densities at elevated temperatures (Chin et al., 1994; Rode and Gaskill, 1995). It has been shown that 6H-SiC has large peak electron velocity and can be an important candidate for high frequency application.

A wide energy band gap leads to a low intrinsic carrier concentration which enables a more precise control of free carrier concentration over a wide range of carrier concentration over a wide range of temperatures and hence, the devices made of this kind of material will be operable at high temperatures with large breakdown voltage.

The development of 6H-SiC based transport devices is hampered by the nonavailability of detailed knowledge of the transport properties and transport parameter. Keeping in mind its huge technological prospect, we need a better understanding of these materials. The electron drift mobility is the most popular and important transport parameter used to characterize the microscopic quality of epitaxial layers. There has been very little research on the calculation of low field electron mobility in 6H-SiC (Moglikestue, 1993) have used the variational principle to calculate low field electron mobilities and compared their results with fairly old experimental data. They have tried to fit the experimental data with an underestimated compensation ratio. In old samples, low electron mobility was due to poor substrate and buffer quality and other growth related problems. The iterative technique has been used by Rode and Gaskill (1995) for low field electron mobility in GaAs for the dependence of mobility on electron concentration but not on temperature and ionized impurity scattering has been estimated within the born approximation which might be the reason for poor fitting at high electron concentrations.

This study presents the iterative calculation results of electron transport in bulk 6H-SiC for both the natural wurtzite and also the zincblende lattice phases. Most of the calculations have been carried out using a non-parabolic ellipsoidal valley model to describe transport in the conduction band. However, the simpler and less computationally intensive spherical parabolic band scheme has also been applied to test the validity of this approximation.

The iterative calculations take into account the electron-lattice interaction through polar optical phonon scattering, deformation potential acoustic phonon scattering (treated as an elastic process), piezoelectric and electron plasmon scattering. Impurity scattering due to ionized and neutral donors is also included with the latter found to be important at low temperature due to the relatively large donor binding energy which implies considerable carrier freeze-out already at liquid nitrogen temperature.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Simulation model: In principle, the iterative technique give exact numerical prediction of electron mobility in bulk semiconductors. To calculate mobility, we have to solve the Boltzmann equation to get the modified probability distribution function under the action of a steady electric field. Here, we have adopted the iterative technique for solving the Boltzmann transport equation. Under application of a uniform electric field, the Boltzmann equation can be written as:

\[
\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{h}E \cdot \nabla \phi}{f} = \int \left[ s f (1 - f) - s f (1 - f') \right] dk
\] (1)

where, \( f = f(k) \) and \( f = f(k') \) are the probability distribution functions and \( s = s(k, k') \) and \( s' = s(k', k) \) are the differential scattering rates. If the electric field is small, we can treat the change from the equilibrium distribution function as a perturbation which is first order in the electric field. The distribution in the presence of a sufficiently small field can be written quite generally as:

\[
f(k) = f_0(k) + g(k) \cos \theta
\] (2)

Where:

- \( f_0(k) \) = The equilibrium distribution function
- \( \theta \) = The angle between \( k \) and \( E \)
- \( g(k) \) = An isotropic function of \( k \) which is proportional to the magnitude of the electric field

In general, contributions to the differential scattering rates come from two types of scattering processes, elastic scattering \( s_{\text{el}} \) due to acoustic, impurity, plasmon and piezoelectric phonons and inelastic scattering \( s_{\text{inel}} \) due to polar optic phonons:

\[
s(k, k') = s_{\text{el}}(k, k') + s_{\text{inel}}(k, k')
\] (3)

The polar phonon energy is quite high (~92 meV) in case of GaN. Hence, this scattering process can not be treated within the framework of the Relaxation Time Approximation (RTA) because of the possibility of the significant energy exchange between the electron and the polar optic modes. In this case, \( s_{\text{inel}} \) represents transitions from the state characterized by \( k \) to \( k' \) either by emission \( [s_{\text{em}}(k, k')] \) or by absorption \( [s_{\text{abs}}(k, k')] \) of a phonon. The total elastic scattering rate will be the sum of all the different scattering rates which are considered as elastic processes, i.e., acoustic, piezoelectric, ionized impurity and electron-plasmon scattering. In the case of polar optic phonon scattering, we have to consider scattering-in rates by phonon emission and absorption as well as scattering-out rates by phonon absorption and emission. Using Boltzmann's equation and considering all differential scattering rates, the factor \( g(k) \) in the perturbed part of the distribution function \( f(k) \) can be given by:

\[
g(k) = \frac{-eE}{h} \frac{\partial f}{\partial k} + \sum \int g \cos \phi [s_{\text{inel}}(1 - f) + s_{\text{inel}} f] dk
\]

The first term in the denominator is simply the momentum relaxation rate for elastic scattering. It is interesting to note that if the initial distribution is chosen to be the equilibrium distribution, for which \( g(k) \) is equal zero, we get the relaxation time approximation result after the first iteration. We have found that convergence can normally be achieved after only a few iterations for small electric fields. Once \( g(k) \) has been evaluated to the required accuracy, it is possible to calculate quantities such as the drift mobility which is given by:

\[
\mu = \frac{\int k^2 \frac{g(k)}{E} dk}{\frac{3m}{d} \int k f(k) dk}
\] (4)

where, \( d \) is defined as:

\[
\frac{1}{d} = \frac{m V E}{\hbar^2}
\]

Electron scattering mechanisms

Impurity scattering: The standard technique for dealing with ionized impurity scattering in semiconductors is the Brod-Herring (BH) technique (Meyer and Bartoli, 1982) which is based on two inherent approximations. First is the first order born approximation and second is the single ion screening approximation. These approximations essentially lead to a poor fit to the experimental mobility data (Chattopadhyay and Queisser, 1981; Erginsoy, 1950).

Several attempts have been made to modify the BH technique phenomenologically (Schwartz, 1961). It has been shown that phase-shift analysis of electron-impurity scattering is the best way to overcome the Born approximation. Departure from the BH prediction of electron mobility is evident at higher electron concentrations. Meyer and Bartoli (1982) have provided an analytic treatment based on phase-shift analysis taking into account the multi-ion screening effect and finally been able to overcome both the approximations. All the previous techniques of impurity scattering by free electrons in semiconductors were based on the Thomas-Fermi (TF) approximation which assures that a
given impurity should be fully screened. The breakdown of the single-ion screening formalism becomes prominent in the strong screening regime where the screening length calculated through TF theory becomes much shorter than the average distance between the impurities and hence, neighboring potentials do not overlap significantly. This essentially leads to a physically unreasonable result. In the case of high compensation, the single-ion screening formalism becomes less relevant because in order to maintain the charge neutrality condition, it would be more difficult for a given number of electrons to screen all the ionized donors separately.

In the case of 6H-SiC, the compensation ratio is usually quite large and the ratio $N_p/n$ is also temperature dependent. Hence, the multi-ion screening correction is very essential in 6H-SiC. The effective potential of an ionized impurity scattering center is spherically symmetric in nature so, one can use phase-shift analysis to find the differential scattering rate $s(k, k')$ more accurately. The effective potential $V(r)$ due to an ionized impurity can be expressed as:

$$ V(r) = \frac{-Z_i e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_r k_R r} e^{-r/\lambda} $$

(6)

Where:
- $Z_i$ = The charge of the ionized impurity in units of e
- $\lambda$ = The screening length

The standard technique to find out the screening length is the TF approach which is based on single ion screening approximation. In TF, one can calculate the charge contribution $q_i$ to the screening of a single ionized donor by an electron of energy $E_i$ and is given by:

$$ q_i = \left( \frac{2ea^2}{\epsilon_r k_R E_i} \right) $$

(7)

In the case of multi-ion problem, the TF approach can be generalized to find out the effective charge contribution due to an electron to screen all ionized donors and can be given by:

$$ Q_i = \left( \frac{2e a^2 \lambda_i^2}{\epsilon_r k_R E_i} \right) $$

(8)

Total screening charge exactly neutralizes the ionized donors when $Q_i$ is summed over all electronic states:

$$ \sum_i -Q_i e f_i(E_i) = N_p^+ $$

(9)

For the sufficiently low energy electrons, $Q_i$ can be greater than the electronic charge which is physically unreasonable. One way to tackle (Chattopadhyya and Queisser, 1981), this problem is to introduce a factor $S_i$ such that:

$$ S_i(E_i) = \frac{E_i}{\xi} $$

(10)

Where:
$$ \xi = \frac{2N_p^+e^2\lambda_i}{\epsilon_r k_R} $$

$Q_i$ will be modified to $Q_i = Q_i S_i$ in Eq. 9. For the low energy electrons the contribution will be -e. Since, the total contribution to the screening by the low energy electrons has been effectively decreased, Eq 9 no longer holds.

However, if the screening length $\lambda$ is more than the average distance between the donors, it is not necessary to insist that each donor be fully screened, only it is required that overall charge neutrality should be preserved.

Electrons in the overlap region can provide screening to both the ionized donors. Here, we can define a factor $P$ which would be the fraction of the total charge which is contained within a sphere of radius $R$ surrounding the donor. Hence, Eq 9 will be modified as:

$$ \sum_i -Q_i^f f_i(E_i) = p N_p^+ $$

(11)

where, $Q_i^f = pQ_i S_i$. The screening charge requirement will be fulfilled by adjusting the screening length until Eq 11 is satisfied and is given by:

$$ \lambda_{\infty}^2 = \eta \lambda_0^2 $$

(12)

Where:
- $\lambda_{\infty}$ = Multi-ion screening length
- $\lambda_0$ = TF screening length

The differential scattering rate for ionized impurity can be given as:

$$ S_i(k, k') = \frac{8\pi^\frac{3}{2}h^2}{m^2\gamma^2} |f(X)|^2 \delta(E(k') - E(k)) $$

(13)

where, scattering amplitude $f(X)$ depends on the phase shift $\delta$, and Legendre polynomial $P_l$, and is given by:

$$ f(X) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^\infty (2l + 1)(e^{2ik} - 1)P_l(X) $$

(14)

It has already been mentioned that in n-type 6H-SiC the activation energy of the donors is quite large which
keeps a large number of donors neutral at low temperatures. Neutral impurity scattering has been dealt with previously using the Erginsoy (1950) expression which is based on electron scattering by a hydrogen atom and a scaling of the material parameters. It has been shown that an error as high as 45% results in the neutral impurity scattering cross section with this simple model. Meyer and Bartoli (1981) have given a phase shift analysis treatment based on the variation results of Schwartz (1961) to calculate the neutral impurity cross section which is applicable for a larger range of electron energy.

**Plasmon scattering:** Though, carrier mobility and various scattering phenomena in semiconductors have been studied extensively, there is hardly any study regarding the effect of electron-plasmon scattering on electron mobility except the excellent research by Fischetti (1991) in the case of Si. He has shown that the electron-plasmon interaction is important at doping densities above 10^{19} cm^{-3}. Plasmons are the collective excitations of the free electrons against the positive background charges and create long range electric fields (like LO polar optic phonons) which can scatter the electrons. In the case of electron-plasmon scattering, electrons can gain momentum from collective excitations but it may be returned to electrons if plasmons decay into single particle excitations which is called Landau damping. Electron mobility is affected indirectly by modifying the distribution function if Landau damping is the faster decay channel. When plasmons decay through collisions with phonons and impurities, it can directly affect the electron mobility. It has been shown (Bhakkar and Shur, 1997) that if decay due to collisional damping dominates over Landau damping, electron-plasmon scattering can reduce the electron mobility by 20% for electron concentrations >10^{17} cm^{-3}. The differential scattering rate for an electron of wave vector \( k \) and energy \( E \) to absorb or emit a plasmon of energy \( \hbar \omega \) is given by:

\[
S_{\text{p}}(k, k') = \Theta(E') \frac{\alpha k (1 + 2\alpha E')}{a} \left[ 1 - f_{\text{p}}(E') \right] \left[ N_{\text{p}}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \right] \times \left[ 1 - \frac{k^2 \phi(E') \lambda}{k_0(E)} \right] \frac{1}{q^2} \text{d}X
\]

where, upper and lower signs represent absorption and emission, respectively:

\[
\lambda = \left[ 1 + \Psi - (q/k)^2 / 2\Psi^{1/2} \right]
\]

\( q \) is the maximum value of the plasmon wave vector, above which plasma oscillation can not be sustained. The usual approximation is \( q = 1/\alpha \), \( \Theta(E') \) is the step function where, \( E = E_0 + \omega \), \( N_{\text{p}}(\omega) = 1/[\exp(\hbar \omega/k_B T) - 1] \) is the Bose occupation number of the plasmons. \( k \) is the plasmon wave vector given by:

\[
q^2 = k^2 (1 + \Psi - 2\Psi^{1/2} \lambda)
\]

and,

\[
\Psi = (k/k')^2 = (1 + \hbar \omega/E)(1 + \alpha E)/(1 + \alpha E)
\]

\[
\phi(E') = \tau(E')/(1 + 2\alpha E)
\]

where, \( \tau(E) \) is the total relaxation time. To evaluate the integral in Eq. 15 requires the solution of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation.

It has been shown (Meyer and Bartoli, 1982) that the energy dependence of \( \phi \) can be ignored for the entire electron concentration range of interest. The total plasmon momentum relaxation rate is given by:

\[
v_{\text{p}} = \int \frac{g(\alpha - \omega)}{\tau(E, \hbar \omega)} \text{d}(\hbar \omega)
\]

where,

\[
\alpha = \frac{\alpha_{10}}{1 - 3\alpha_{10}^2}
\]

is the plasma frequency corrected for the nonparabolicity effect and,

\[
\alpha_{10}^2 = \frac{n_e}{m^*}
\]

is the plasma frequency.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We have performed a series of low-field electron mobility calculations for both the natural wurtzite and the zincblende lattice phases of SiC. Low-field mobilities have been derived using iteration methods. Figure 1 shows reasonably good agreement between experimental and calculated mobility-temperature dependencies in both structures. For wurtzite structure the calculations have been performed for an electric field applied along the \( \Gamma-A \) (c-axis, c-SiC) and \( \Gamma-M \) (parallel to basal plane, h-SiC) directions of the Brillouin zone. The peak electron drift mobility in two directions are respectively, 1300 and 1100 cm^2/V/sec at room temperature. The reason for this difference can be explained as follows. The \( \Gamma \) valley effective mass is greater in the \( \Gamma-M \) direction than \( \Gamma-A \) which implies that an electric field applied perpendicular to the c-axis will be less efficient in heating the electron ensemble. It can be seen from the Fig. 1 that the electron
Fig. 1: Electron drift mobility of SiC in zincblende and wurtzite structures (both perpendicular to c-axis and parallel to c-axis) versus temperature. Donor concentration is approximately $10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$

Fig. 2: Calculated low-field electron drift mobility of zincblende and wurtzite SiC (c-SiC) as a functions of different donor concentrations at room temperature. Drift mobilities at room temperature that we find are 1300 and 1800 cm$^2$/V/sec for wurtzite and zincblende structures, respectively for an electric field equal to $10^4$ V/m and with a donor concentration of $10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$. The results plotted in Fig. 1 shows that the electron drift mobility of wurtzite GaN is lower than zincblende structure at all temperatures. This is largely due to the higher $\Gamma$ valley effective mass in the wurtzite phase. Figure 2 shows the calculated variation of the electron mobility as a function of the donor concentration for both SiC crystal structures at room temperature. The mobility does not vary monotonically between donor concentrations of $10^{20}$ and $10^{21}$ cm$^{-3}$ due to the dependence of electron scattering on donor concentration but shows a maximum near $10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ for zincblende and wurtzite. In Fig. 3, the electrons as a function of temperature using the screened shallow donor binding energy results of Wang et al. (1997) for different background electron concentrations. The temperature effects on the carrier screening are taken into account for this calculation. Figure 3 clearly shows that for uncompensated SiC at liquid nitrogen temperature, there is a large fraction of neutral donor impurities present. The temperature variation of the electron drift mobility in zincblende and wurtzite SiC (c-SiC) for different donor concentrations is shown in

Fig. 3: Electron concentration versus temperature for c-SiC with different donor doping densities

Fig. 4: Electron drift mobility versus temperature for zincblende and c-SiC with different donor doping densities
Fig. 4. It is evident from Fig. 4 that the curves approach each other at very high temperatures where the mobility is limited by longitudinal optical phonon scattering, whereas the mobility varies inversely with donor concentration at low temperature as we would expect from the foregoing discussion.

The decrease in mobility at low temperature is caused in part by neutral impurity scattering. For the lowest doping concentration considered in this calculation, $10^{23}$ cm$^{-3}$, we find that the neutral impurity scattering plays a large role at low temperature because of the significant carrier freeze-out evident from Fig. 3.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, we have quantitatively obtained temperature-dependent and electron concentration-dependent electron mobility in both zincblende and wurtzite SiC structures using an iterative technique. The theoretical values show good agreement with recently obtained experimental data.

It has been found that the low-field mobility is significantly higher for the wurtzite structure than zincblende due to the higher electron effective mass in the wurtzite crystal structure. Several scattering mechanisms have been included in the calculation including electron-plasmon scattering.

Ionized impurities have been treated beyond the Born approximation using a phase shift analysis. Screening of ionized impurities has been treated more realistically using a multi-ion screening formalism, which is more relevant in the case of highly compensated III-V semiconductors like GaN and SiC.
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