



Camera Pose Estimation in Soccer Scenes Based on Vanishing Points and its Application in Virtual Advertisement Insertion

Vahid Babae-Kashany *, Hamid Reza Pourreza **

* Machine Vision Research Laboratory, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran, v.babae@gmail.com

** Machine Vision Research Laboratory, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran, hpourreza@um.ac.ir

Abstract: Camera parameters estimation is an important issue in machine vision. This paper proposes a new method to find translation and rotation matrix of camera in sport scene on the basis of vanishing points for the virtual advertisement insertion. Vanishing point (VP) of parallel lines is the image of the point at infinity, which corresponds to the projection of the intersection of parallel lines at infinity. According to projective geometry constraint, camera rotation of the projection matrix is computed directly by two vanishing points, then pan and tilt of camera extracted from this matrix. Computer simulation and real data experiments are carried out to validate our method. Experimental results have shown that this method can meet the needs of the virtual advertisement insertion and be put into use in real application scenarios.

Keywords: Camera calibration, pose estimation, Rotation matrix, Soccer, vanishing points, virtual advertisement.

۱. Introduction

Soccer is the most popular sport in the world with tremendous amount of video programs produced every year. Automatically analysing soccer videos, such as finding some exciting events for summarizing, is a hot research area which will help professionals to analyse teams' tactics, strengths and weaknesses. Many other researchers have investigated in this field and their researches' topics involve sport event detection, automatic sport video retrieval, augmented reality, virtual advertisement and referee assistant.

In order to calibrate camera, some reference points should be extracted from the given video frame. If the coordinate systems are known, camera calibration is done by solving an equation, whereas the correspondence between reference points in the image coordinates system must be found if coordinates are known.

The aim of this paper is to find dynamic camera parameters when a frame of soccer video is given. We proposed a method which uses geometry of soccer model to find the rotation matrix instead of doing an exhaustive full search over parameter space to find all camera parameters and decompose it.

In recent years, some researchers used correspond between lines to camera calibration. Yu and Jiang [۱] proposed an offline method to find external and internal

parameters on the basis of frame grouping and Hough like search. Farin et.al. [۲], Battikh and Jabro [۳] used court model and Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi (KLT) tracker to release fully automatic method, the last one used a hardware accelerator to achieve real time video content insertion.

Kim and Hong [۴] also propose a calibration algorithm for soccer games based on a pan-tilt camera (without roll) but still the inter-frames transformation are estimated by identifying corresponding line between frames and using a non-linear approach to determine the homography matrix that minimizes the Euclidean distance between line pairs.

All these different methods for calculating the camera parameters and focusing area on soccer scenes have a similar characteristic, i.e., they require a number of corresponding points (at least ۴ points) to determine the homography matrix for an image. Since the main camera of soccer playfield is free in panning, tilting and zooming, it cannot be guaranteed that every image has sufficient corresponding points. But here we assume that there is a fix camera position and also find variable camera parameters (pan and tilt) without any correspondence.

The rest of paper is organized in four sections: Geometry of vanishing point, Camera calibration using vanishing points, Static Camera Parameters, Experiments and Results.

۲. Geometry of Vanishing Point

۲.۱ Camera Model and Vanishing Points

For the classic pinhole model, the basic formula of perspective projection is given by:

$$\lambda_m \cdot m = K \cdot [R \ T] \cdot M \quad (۱)$$

Where:

M denotes a ۳D point and m denotes the corresponding ۲D point on image. They are both expressed in homogeneous coordinate and λ_m is an arbitrary scale factor.



R is 3×3 rotation matrix that describes the rotational mapping from the world coordinate system into the camera coordinate system.

T is a 3×1 vector that describes the translational mapping from the world coordinate system into the camera coordinate system.

K is a 3×3 matrix describing the internal camera parameters,

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} f & s & u_0 \\ 0 & \beta \cdot f & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Where f is scale factor in image u - and v - axes, s is the parameter describing the skew of the two images axes, β is non-isotropic scaling and (u_0, v_0) are the coordinates of the principal point.

For the perspective projection, the images of parallel lines meet at a point, if they are not parallel to the image plane. This point is called vanishing point [9]. The line connecting the vanishing point with the optical center has the same direction with the corresponding line in 3D space [1]. That means all parallel lines in 3D space correspond to the same vanishing point in image space.

3.2 Geometry of Vanishing Point in Soccer Scene

As shown in Fig 1(a), the court model is made of two groups of parallel lines: vertical lines and horizontal lines. We assume that the origin of the world coordinate system coincides with point D and suppose l_1 and l_2 are Length and width of biggest rectangle respectively, and then the homogeneous coordinates of vertexes A, B, C and D are described as:





Fig 1. (a) Court model, (b) is perspective image in world coordinate system.

$$\begin{aligned} X_a &= (0, l_2, 0, 1)^T & X_b &= (l_1, l_2, 0, 1)^T \\ X_c &= (l_1, 0, 0, 1)^T & X_d &= (0, 0, 0, 1)^T \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The projective image of the court model is shown as in Fig 1 (b). v_1 and v_2 correspond to vanishing points of axes X and Y respectively. The perspective relationship between v_1, v_2 and the corresponding 3D points are [9]:

$$\lambda_1 \cdot v_1 = K[R \ T] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = K[r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3 \ T] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = K \cdot r_1 \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda_2 \cdot v_2 = K[R \ T] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = K[r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3 \ T] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = K \cdot r_2 \quad (5)$$

According to equations (4) and (5), the vanishing points are Independent from Length and width of rectangle.

Because the rotation matrix R is unitary and orthogonal [9], then we have

$$\begin{cases} r_i^T \cdot r_j = 0 \\ \|r_i\| = \|r_j\| = 1 \end{cases} \quad (i \neq j) \quad (6)$$

From (4) to (6), the following equations are satisfied:

$$\lambda_2 K^{-T} v_2^T \cdot \lambda_1 K^{-1} v_1 = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\|\lambda_1 \cdot K^{-1} \cdot v_1\| = \|\lambda_2 \cdot K^{-1} \cdot v_2\| = 1 \quad (8)$$

According to (6) and (7), the vectors $\lambda_1 \cdot K^{-1} \cdot v_1, \lambda_2 \cdot K^{-1} \cdot v_2$ are mutually orthogonal and have an equal modulus.

As already noted, the line that connects the vanishing




Fig 2. Geometric relationship between vanishing points in the camera coordinates system in sport scene.



point with the optical center, has the same direction with the corresponding line in \mathbb{V}^D space and as shown in Fig ۳, two vectors Ov_1 and Ov_2 are perpendicular. Thus, these two vectors that is created by two vanishing points, can build a parallel plane to soccer play-field.

۳. Camera Calibration Using Vanishing Points

۳.۱ Using Vanishing Point

Assume $P_{1\infty}$, $P_{2\infty}$ and $P_{3\infty}$ are three infinity point in direction of x, y and z axis of camera that created with a pair of orthogonal and parallel lines in the \mathbb{V}^D space, and the corresponding vanishing points are p_1 , p_2 and p_3 on the image plane. According to pinhole camera model, the relationship between the infinity points and their image projection is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 p_1 = K[R \ T]P_{1\infty} \\ \lambda_2 p_2 = K[R \ T]P_{2\infty} \\ \lambda_3 p_3 = K[R \ T]P_{3\infty} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

So from (۱), (۲) and (۳) and under the assumption of known zero skew, the equation (۹) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 u_1 & \lambda_2 u_2 & \lambda_3 u_3 \\ \lambda_1 v_2 & \lambda_2 v_2 & \lambda_3 v_3 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & \beta \cdot f & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R \quad (10)$$

Where, (u_1, v_1) is vanishing point of vertical line and (u_2, v_2) is vanishing point of horizontal lines, thus

$$R = \frac{1}{f\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \beta (u_1 - u_0) & \lambda_2 \beta (u_2 - u_0) & \lambda_3 \beta (u_3 - u_0) \\ \lambda_1 (v_1 - v_0) & \lambda_2 (v_2 - v_0) & \lambda_3 (v_3 - v_0) \\ f\beta \lambda_1 & f\beta \lambda_2 & f\beta \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

There are five unknown parameters and two vanishing points, so we must find some more equations in order to obtain the solution (we have focal length, non-isotropic scaling and principal point).

۳.۲ Obtaining Third Vanishing Point

According to relationship between vanishing vectors in \mathbb{V}^D space, we can find third vanishing point from cross product of two other vanishing vectors [۵].

The orthonormality of $R(11)$ can be used to provide the following equation from (۱۱):

$$\beta(u_1 - u_0)(u_2 - u_0) + (v_1 - v_0)(v_2 - v_0) + \beta = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\beta(u_1 - u_0)(u_3 - u_0) + (v_1 - v_0)(v_3 - v_0) + \beta = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$\beta(u_2 - u_0)(u_3 - u_0) + (v_2 - v_0)(v_3 - v_0) + \beta = 0 \quad (14)$$

Subtracting (۱۴) from (۱۲) and (۱۳) gives:

$$\begin{cases} \beta(u_1 - u_0)(u_2 - u_3) + (v_1 - v_0)(v_2 - v_3) = 0 \\ \beta(u_2 - u_0)(u_1 - u_3) + (v_2 - v_0)(v_1 - v_3) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

So, the third vanishing point is recovered.

۳.۳ Obtaining λ_i

In order to obtain a geometry interpretation of λ_i , row normality must be considered[۱]. This gives:

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_1^2(u_1 - u_0) + \lambda_2^2(u_2 - u_0) + \lambda_3^2(u_3 - u_0))/f = 0 \\ (\lambda_1^2(v_1 - v_0) + \lambda_2^2(v_2 - v_0) + \lambda_3^2(v_3 - v_0))/\beta \cdot f = 0 \\ \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = 1 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Subtracting third equation from two other one, gives:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1^2 = \frac{(v_0 - v_3)(u_2 - u_3) - (u_0 - u_3)(v_2 - v_3)}{(v_1 - v_3)(u_2 - u_3) - (u_1 - u_3)(v_2 - v_3)} \\ \lambda_2^2 = \frac{(v_1 - v_3)(u_0 - u_3) - (u_1 - u_3)(v_0 - v_3)}{(v_1 - v_3)(u_2 - u_3) - (u_1 - u_3)(v_2 - v_3)} \\ \lambda_3^2 = 1 - \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

So, the λ_i is recovered.

۳.۴ Recovery of the Rotation Matrix

Now, in order to calculate camera rotation on world coordinate system, we placed lambdas and third vanishing point from (۱۷) and (۱۵) to equation (۱۱). By decomposition of rotation matrix we can obtain rotation of camera, on camera coordinate system. Of course, this matrix actually describes a rotation of the world coordinate system (rather than the camera). But camera rotation matrix is equivalent to an inverse rotation of the world [۹].

۴. Static Camera Parameters

In soccer video some of prior knowledge can be used to simplify the process of camera calibration. First, the playfield is a plane, which reduce \mathbb{V}^{*4} camera matrix to \mathbb{V}^{*3} one. Second, the position of main camera in soccer playfield is almost fixed and we have considered situation which the focal length is also fixed. Here the homography between playfield model and its image is used to determine the \mathbb{V}^D position of main camera in world coordinate system. Third, according to FIFA's laws of the game, the lengths of lines within penalty area are known and it can be used to estimate homography matrix (Fig ۳).




Fig ۳. The point marked by dots can be used to estimate homography matrix.

In particular, let $[x, y]$ denote the \mathbb{V}^D position of a point on a video frame and its \mathbb{V}^D position on the playfield could be denoted as $[X, Y, Z]$. Under above assumptions, the Z values are a linear function of X and Y. Thus, the relationship between the image coordinates




Fig ۴. Three image that captured from simulator

$$r_1 = [39.6^\circ \quad -12.6^\circ], \quad f_1 = 1600; \quad r_2 = [37.8^\circ \quad -3.6^\circ], \quad f_2 = 800; \quad r_3 = [23.4^\circ \quad -16^\circ], \quad f_3 = 800$$

and the \mathbb{R}^3 coordinates can be formulated as $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ homography matrix [۱۰]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

Where $h_{33} = 1$, which is always true for model to image transformation.

۴.۱. Recovery of the Camera Position

Now the camera position is readily computed. The camera's \mathbb{R}^3 position t_{cw} in world coordinate system can be obtained by [۱۱]:

$$t_{cw} = -[r_1 \quad r_2 \quad r_3]^{-1} [t_x \quad t_y \quad t_z] \quad (19)$$

Where

$$[t_x \quad t_y \quad t_z] = \frac{2K^{-1}h_3}{\|K^{-1}h_1\| + \|K^{-1}h_2\|} \quad (20)$$

$$r_1 = \frac{K^{-1}h_1}{\|K^{-1}h_1\|}, \quad r_2 = \frac{K^{-1}h_2}{\|K^{-1}h_2\|} \quad (21)$$

and r_3 can be found by cross product of r_1 and r_2 [۱۰].

۴.۲. Recovery of the Focal length

The Equation 18 has eight degree of freedom but the real-world image formation process has only seven. These comprise one for focal length, three for camera rotation and three for camera position. The eighth degree can be attributed to non-isotropic scaling which refer to unequal scaling in x and y axis direction in video frame. To determine non-isotropic scaling from H, we first compensate the camera principal point (u_0, v_0) which we assume to be at the center of the image by multiplying a homography matrix to the left side [۱۲].

$$H' = \begin{bmatrix} h'_{11} & h'_{12} & h'_{13} \\ h'_{21} & h'_{22} & h'_{23} \\ h'_{31} & h'_{32} & h'_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -u_0 \\ 0 & 1 & -v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} H \quad (22)$$

hence

$$H' = \begin{bmatrix} fr_{11} & \beta fr_{12} & ft_x \\ fr_{21} & \beta fr_{22} & ft_y \\ r_{31} & \beta r_{32} & t_z \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

Since the rotation matrix is Orthonormal, f and β are calculated with the following formulas:

$$f = \sqrt{\frac{h'_{11}h'_{12} + h'_{21}h'_{22}}{h'_{31}h'_{32}}} \quad (24)$$

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{h'^2_{12} + h'^2_{22} + f^2 h'^2_{32}}{h'^2_{11} + h'^2_{21} + f^2 h'^2_{31}}} \quad (25)$$

۵. Experiments and Results

۵.۱. Simulations

The reliability and validity of our proposed approach has been tested by computer simulation data. The simulator camera parameter characterized by following properties: $s = 1$, $\beta = 1$, $(u_0, v_0) = (400, 300)$ and the image resolution is 800×600 . Our model parameters are $l_1 = 1.7$ cm and $l_2 = 1.7$ cm. In simulations, we fixed camera position in $T_c = [0.35, 0.96, 2.0, -1.44, 0]^T$ and then changed camera pan and camera tilt (roll=zero).

As shown in Fig ۴, we used three Images that are captured from computer simulator with various focal length and camera rotation angles. Then we found vanishing points and calculated rotation matrix and estimated pan and tilt of camera.

۵.۲. Finding Vanishing Points

The first step in camera calibration to recovery projection matrix is finding vanishing points. for this purpose the Hough transform is used. Considering that the angle of horizontal lines in the main stadium camera always is between zero and 30° degree and the angle of vertical lines between 90° to ninety degrees, Hough transform selects two lines from among vertical lines and two lines among horizontal lines. Then by these two categories of parallel lines, vanishing points were calculated.

۵.۳. Rotation Matrices

Finding the vanishing points, is the second step to recover the camera rotation matrix from (۱۱), when (u_v, v_v) and λ_i are obtained by (۱۵) and (۱۷). To estimate the camera pan, tilt and roll, we use inverse decomposition of rotation matrix.

۵.۴. Simulation Experiments




Fig 6. Relation between rotation error and roll estimation (we know roll must be zero) at different noise levels

On the court model, 10% of points of each line are dropped. Gaussian noise with mean μ and standard deviation ranging σ to ξ pixels is added to each projected image point. For each noise level, we performed 100 runs and the results shown are average.

Fig 6 gives relationship between camera rotation error and roll estimation. According to our experimental results, pan and tilt estimation error have direct relationship with roll estimation, as follow:

$$e_{pan} + e_{tilt} \leq \gamma \times roll \quad (26)$$

Where, γ is a threshold factor that set up to ξ (however it can be set at a lower number but we get best result with this value).

Fig 7 gives the standard deviations of camera rotation parameters. As shown in Fig 7, Noise effect on panning is greater than tilting. This is because of specific camera position in football stadium, and this results for that horizontal lines being smaller than vertical lines in projected image, so vanishing points which found by vertical lines have a higher sensitivity to noise rather than horizontal lines.

The results of external parameters are shown in Tab 1. Here the second row describes the setup of camera rotation vector in camera coordinate system. The averaged extrinsic parameters results of 100 runs at each noise level are shown from second to fifth row in table.



۵.۵. Real Data Experiments

We have tested the algorithm on ۵۰۰ fixed focal length frames that recorded from regular television broadcasts. In first step, we calculated camera static parameters using ten frames containing adequate feature points, and then used (۱۹), (۲۴) and (۲۵) to achieve camera position, focal length and non-isotropic scaling, respectively. In second step, we calculated camera pan, tilt and roll using vanishing points. As already noted, lines in real videos are not accurately obtainable and as shown in Fig ۷ court model cannot project to frame with this noisy parameters. Thus, by using (۲۶) error in pan and tilt estimation is reduced and virtual advertisement insert into frame.

۵.۶. Virtual Advertisement Insertion

The static and dynamic camera parameters of camera are obtained after the automatic camera calibration. These parameters can map physical point into an image point. The position of the virtual advertisements in the image can be computed if its position in the physical coordinates is known. The four vertexes of the advertisements in the image space can be computed after camera calibration, through which we can get the positions of the virtual advertisements. Then the whole advertisements image will undergo the same processing. After that, the advertisement images are inserted into the

stream of the background video (Fig ۷ (c)). For further research we can use this method to achieve High-accuracy virtual content insertion in long sequence of frames by a feature tracker.

Conclusions

This paper presents a method to extract static and dynamic camera parameters from soccer video by vanishing points. The whole computational process is linear, and avoided from complex image matching. The simple but powerful constraints of parallelism and orthogonality in images can be used to recover very precise rotation matrix without any points and lines correspondence. Computer simulation has been used for test the proposed approach, and achieves accuracy and reliable results. It can also be applied for other sports, such as tennis and volley ball.

References

[۱] X. Yu, N. Jiang, L-F. Cheong, H. W. Leong, and X. Yan, "Automatic camera calibration of broadcast tennis video with applications to ۳D virtual content insertion and ball detection and tracking," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. ۱۱۳, pp. ۶۴۳-۶۵۲, ۲۰۰۹.

[۲] D. Farin, S. Krabbe, P. H. N. de With, and W. Effelsberg, "Robust camera calibration for sport videos using court models," in *Storage and Retrieval Methods and Applications for Multimedia ۲۰۰۴*, San Jose, CA, USA, ۲۰۰۳, pp. ۸۰۰-۹۱.

[۳] T. Battikh and I. Jabri, "Camera calibration using court models for real-time augmenting soccer scenes," *Multimedia*




Fig ۷. Standard deviations of the camera rotation at different noise levels

Tab ۱. Result of rotation matrix, pan, tilt and roll at different noise level

Noise Level (Pixel)	The first Image	The second Image	The third Image
۰	$r = [39.59^\circ, -12.59^\circ, 0.00^\circ]$	$r = [37.80^\circ, -3.60^\circ, 0.00^\circ]$	$r = [23.401^\circ, -16.19^\circ, 0.00^\circ]$
۱	$r = [40.43^\circ, -12.71^\circ, -0.36^\circ]$	$r = [38.41^\circ, -3.46^\circ, 0.42^\circ]$	$r = [23.90^\circ, -16.26^\circ, -0.53^\circ]$
۲	$r = [40.63^\circ, -12.75^\circ, -0.44^\circ]$	$r = [38.76^\circ, -3.60^\circ, -0.53^\circ]$	$r = [23.86^\circ, -16.24^\circ, 0.52^\circ]$
۳	$r = [40.50^\circ, -12.77^\circ, 0.37^\circ]$	$r = [38.76^\circ, -3.67^\circ, -0.53^\circ]$	$r = [23.79^\circ, -16.61^\circ, -0.65^\circ]$
۴	$r = [43.08^\circ, -12.66^\circ, -1.75^\circ]$	$r = [38.75^\circ, -4.12^\circ, -0.42^\circ]$	$r = [23.57^\circ, -16.00^\circ, 0.70^\circ]$

Tools and Applications, pp. ۱-۱۵, ۲۰۱۰.

- [۴] H. Kim and K. Sang Hong, "Robust Image Mosaicing of Soccer Videos using Self-Calibration and Line Tracking," *Pattern Analysis & Applications*, vol. ۴, pp. ۶-۱۶, ۲۰۰۱.
- [۵] B. Caprile and V. Torre, "Using vanishing points for camera calibration," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. ۴, pp. ۱۲۷-۱۳۹, ۱۹۹۰.
- [۶] S. Jin, G. Hongbin, Q. Xiaolin, and Z. Jiping, "A new camera calibration based on vanishing point," in *Intelligent Control and Automation, ۲۰۰۸. WCICA ۲۰۰۸. ۷th World Congress on*, ۲۰۰۸, pp. ۲۳۷۱-۲۳۷۶.
- [۷] H. Bacakoglu and M. S. Kamel, "A three-step camera calibration method," *Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. ۴۶, pp. ۱۱۶۵-۱۱۷۲, ۱۹۹۷.
- [۸] B. W. He and Y. F. Li, "Camera calibration from vanishing points in a vision system," *Optics & Laser Technology*, vol. ۴۰, pp. ۵۵۵-۵۶۱, ۲۰۰۸.
- [۹] A. C. Gallagher, "Using Vanishing Points To Correct Camera Rotation In Images," in *Proceedings of the ۷nd Canadian conference on Computer and Robot Vision: IEEE Computer Society*, ۲۰۰۵.
- [۱۰] Z. Zhang, "A flexible new technique for camera calibration," *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. ۲۲, pp. ۱۳۳۰-۱۳۳۴, ۲۰۰۰.
- [۱۱] L. Dawei, H. Qingming, L. Yang, Z. Guangyu, and G. Wen, "Video۳Cartoon: A System for Converting Broadcast Soccer Video into ۳D Cartoon Animation," *Consumer Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. ۵۳, pp. ۱۱۳۸-۱۱۴۶, ۲۰۰۷.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig ۷. (a) Is a sample frame before noise reduction (pan= ۲۷,۲°, tilt= -۸,۵۱°, roll= ۰,۸۱°), (b) is the corrected version of (a) by using equation (۲۶) (pan= ۲۸,۰۲°, tilt= -۹,۱۱°, roll= ۰°), Camera static parameters : $T_{cw}=[۶۱۴۶, ۹۰۸۵, -۹۶,۰۴]$, $f=۱۸۰۱$, $B=۰,۹۲۲۶$. (c) is virtual advertisement frame.