Fabrication of Fe/Al₂O₃ composite foam via combination of combustion synthesis and spark plasma sintering techniques
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ABSTRACT

Iron–alumina composite foam was prepared through combination of combustion synthesis (CS) and spark plasma sintering (SPS) techniques. Al, Fe₂O₃, and Fe powders were used as starting materials. Samples containing 5–20 wt.% (Al + Fe₂O₃) powders and balanced content Fe powders were sintered by SPS apparatus. The microstructure observation and phase identification of the synthesized samples were conducted by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). Applying pulsed electric current to the powder led to the formation of Al₂O₃ by occurrence of a highly exothermic reaction between Al and Fe₂O₃ according to: XFe + 2Al + Fe₂O₃ → Al₂O₃ + (X + 2) Fe. It was found that the time duration for obtaining the maximum combustion temperature was increased by increasing the X content. In addition, porosity increased with decreasing X content in the starting powder mixture at a constant sintering time. The porosity of Fe/Al₂O₃ composite products for X = 80, 85, 90 and 95 were measured 18%, 44%, 52% and 60%, respectively.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Metallic foams have received considerable attention as new structural materials due to their physical and mechanical properties such as high stiffness in conjunction with very low specific weight or high gas permeability combined with high thermal conductivity [1]. To obtain new physical and chemical properties or improve the integrative properties of metallic foams, ceramic particles were added into metallic foams to form composite foams [1–3]. In addition because of low densities and low pressure drops, metal foams offer excellent flow characteristics as catalyst structural supports. The Fe/Al₂O₃ system has recently received attention because of its potential magnetotransport properties and uses as surface coatings by granular films [4]. In addition, several researches have been carried out to investigate catalytic performance of Fe–Al₂O₃ system [5,6].

In order to fabricate Fe/Al₂O₃ system, various methods such as hot-pressing [7], arc-melting [4], and conventional powder metallurgy [8] have been employed. In these methods Fe and Al₂O₃ powders were used as starting materials. These processes could be characterized by relatively long processing time. On the other hand fabrication of Fe/Al₂O₃ system by reactive methods such as ball milling [9] and sol–gel [10] has been investigated by several researchers. These processes should be followed by a powder consolidation step to obtain bulk structural materials.

Recently, fabrication of dense Fe/Al₂O₃ composite via a newly developed method that is called spark plasma sintering has been investigated [11]. In this process, powder is placed in a die, uniaxially is pressed and a pulsed direct current is applied which heats up the powder due to joule effect [12]. In addition, sparks, made in gaps between powder particles by electrical discharge, cleans surfaces from oxides and cause to mass transfer and formation of necks [13,14]. This process is characterized by extremely higher heating rate, lower sintering temperature, faster mass transfer and short processing time.

Gurt Santanch et al. reduced Al₁.₈₆Fe₀.₁₄O₃ solid solution powders in H₂ atmosphere and the products were subsequently sintered by SPS apparatus [11].

The main aim of the present work is to produce metal matrix Fe/Al₂O₃ composite foam in one step via combination of two spark plasma sintering and combustion synthesis (CS) methods using Fe, Fe₂O₃ and Al powders. Combustion synthesis is a promising method for the fabrication of composites which is based on the occurrence of highly exothermic reactions that once ignited and propagate to the final product in the form of a combustion wave without requiring any other external energy [15]. Combination of SPS and CS techniques for producing a composite in one step could save energy and reduce processing time. It should be noted that in
SPS–CS whole the powders warms up by current passing and as a result a volume combustion synthesis occurs.

Several compounds have prepared by simultaneous SPS–CS with a preparatory mechanical activation [16]. It was reported that the addition of a mechanical treatment before CS improves the efficiency and productivity due to an increase in number of interfaces by a fracture-welding process [17]. But, simultaneous SPS–CS of Al + Fe₂O₃ seems possible without requiring any pre-mechanical treatments due to its extremely negative enthalpy.

Fe₂O₃ and Al powders react and form Al₂O₃, Fe and heat by a momentary ignition according to the following equation known as thermite reaction:

\[ 2\text{Al} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 = \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{Fe} \quad \Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = -853 \text{kJ/mol} \]

(1)

This reaction is highly exothermic and releases a great deal of energy in the form of heat. This heats the products up to a maximum temperature that is called adiabatic temperature which could reach to about 3000°C.

\[ T = T_0 + \sum \int_{T_0}^{T} C_{\text{products}} \, dT \]

(2)

Due to the high adiabatic temperature of this reaction, Fe powder was added to the thermite mixture as a diluent agent and matrix to prevent overheating and melting of component, by heat absorption.

\[ X\text{Fe} + 2\text{Al} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 = \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + (X + 2)\text{Fe} \]

(3)

The X parameter varies from 80% to 95% weight percent. Consequently, the temperature of the system will be determined by the value of X in the equation.

In this work, the effect of X parameter on the temperature profile and microstructure were investigated.

2. Experimental procedure

Fe (purity > 99.8%, average particle size: 50 μm), Al (purity > 99%, average particle size: 20 μm) and Fe₂O₃ powders (1% SiO₂ as impurity) were used as raw materials to fabricate Fe–Al₂O₃ composite foam. Fe₂O₃ and Al powders were evenly blended according to the stoichiometry of thermit reaction. Then iron powder was added to the mixture to prepare samples with 80, 85, 90 and 95 wt.% of Fe. The samples were filled in cylindrical ceramic dies with 5 mm in diameter. After placing the die inside the SPS apparatus, 1 bar of pressure was applied to the samples. The pressure was exerted to the samples during entire sintering time. Sintering was carried out by applying a pulsed DC current with 6 A/mm² current density for 80 s under air atmosphere. Current passing through the powder mixture, supplied needed energy to ignite the CS reaction between Fe₂O₃ and Al powders. Sintering was conducted in a constant current density to observe the effect of reaction on temperature. The temperature variations of die during the process were measured by a pyrometer focused on the surface of die. After sintering, the current was turned off and samples were cooled in air atmosphere.

The porosity of the sintered samples were measured by using image analyzer software. The microstructure of polished and fractured surface of the samples were observed and analyzed by using optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy equipped with an energy-dispersive spectrometry (Leo 1450VP) system. Samples were cleaned by ultrasonic cleaner before SEM micrography. The samples were analyzed to identify the phase constitution by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Cu Ka radiation.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the relationship between iron content and thermodynamically calculated adiabatic temperature (T_{ad}) without considering joule effect. Merzhanov [18] presented the empirical criterion T_{ad} ≥ 1800 K for combustion wave stability. According to the Merzhanov criterion, Fig. 1 implies that the combustion wave will not be stable in any of samples by ordinary ignition. Additionally, it is seen that the adiabatic temperature should decrease by increasing the Fe (X) content in the samples.

Fig. 2 shows variations of measured temperature by time on the outer wall of die for sample with 95 wt.% of Fe. Due to absorption of heat by die, measured temperature in Fig. 2 is lower than calculated adiabatic temperatures. The abrupt increase that is seen in temperature is due to the ignition of exothermic reaction after applying pulsed current. T/T_{\text{Max}} and time duration for obtaining maximum temperature for samples are presented in Table 1. It can be seen that the maximum temperature at the surface of die increases by decreasing the Fe (X) content, same as calculated results in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>T/T_{\text{Max}} and time duration for obtaining maximum temperature for different compositions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>95 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/T_{\text{Max}}</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (s)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 SPS apparatus was fabricated by research center of iron and steel, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad under the Grant No. 13833.
Additionally the time duration for obtaining the maximum temperature was increased by decreasing Fe (X) content from 95% to 80%.

Generally, increasing the concentration of the reactants increases the rate of reaction. In this study reactants (Al + Fe₂O₃) concentration increase by decreasing the Fe (X) content. In addition Li [19] has reported that propagation velocity decrease by increasing diluents content. Thus, it is expected that the reaction complete in a shorter time. On the other hand, higher contents of reactants, release higher amount of heat by exothermic reaction. Consequently the die heats up faster and maximum temperature obtains in shorter period of time for samples with lower content of Fe (X).

Microstructure and the porosity of produced samples are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. It is seen that the porosity increases from 18% to 60% in samples containing 95% and 80% of Fe

![Microstructure of samples in 50X](image)

**Fig. 3.** Microstructure of samples in 50X (a) X=95 (b) X=90 (c) X=85 (d) X=80.

![Porosity content](image)

**Fig. 4.** The effect of X (Fe) variations on the porosity of sintered samples.
respectively. CS reactions generally result to the formation of porous products. Pores in CS-reacted products have several sources. In this study most possible sources are: (1) existing pores in the reactants prior to combustion; (2) reduction in molar volume from reactants to product; and (3) thermal migration due to the high temperature gradient during combustion.

Existing pores in the reactants prior to combustion are similar in all samples due to equal compaction pressure. So, the first source of porosity could not be effective on variation of porosity. Reduction in molar volume from reactants to product highly affects the porosity. The molar volume of Fe$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$ and Fe are 30.4, 10.12.8 and 7.11 (cm$^3$/mol) respectively. There is about 50% reduction in molar volume from reactants to product. Thus, higher contents of reactants result in higher molar volume reduction.

To investigate the products of CS reaction, samples were studied by scanning electron microscopy equipped with an EDS. SEM micrograph of sample containing 80% of Fe is shown in Fig. 5a after SPS-CS. The light zones that are surrounded by dark area (pores) are iron particles, which was added to the initially mixtures. Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Al in the area between iron particles react by applying pulsed current and result in deposition of products (Fe and Al$_2$O$_3$) on the surface of these particles.

Fig. 6 shows SEM micrographs from fracture surface of specimen. It is seen that the surface of powder particles is deformed by melting due to exothermic reaction.

Light zones in Fig. 6b that are mentioned by arrows are thought to be Al$_2$O$_3$ that have been separated from melted surface of powders. By increasing the temperature over melting point of aluminum a liquid phase forms. The liquid phase is a rapid diffusion path between elements that facilitate compound formation. When the combustion temperature is reached, reaction occurs between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and liquid Aluminum. By the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$ liquid phase solidifies due to higher melting point of alumina. Arrow 1 mentions to a single particle and arrow 2 shows particles which have been agglomerated.

Fig. 7 shows a higher magnification from products of CS reaction that is deposited on the surface of initially Fe particles. EDS analyses of these zones showed that these particles consist of the main elements of composite i.e. Al, Fe and O.

The XRD patterns of crushed specimens are shown in Fig. 8. It is seen that only, main component of the composite, i.e. Fe is detected and other phases particularly Al$_2$O$_3$ are not revealed. This is due to low amount of very fine particles of other phases in comparison with the iron. Therefore, the samples were leached in hydrochloric acid and remained materials were analyzed again by XRD. As can be seen in Fig. 9 the phases determined by XRD are Al$_2$O$_3$, FeAl$_2$O$_4$ and SiO$_2$. SiO$_2$ was entered to the samples from Fe$_2$O$_3$, used in starting materials.

FeAl$_2$O$_4$ can be formed according to the following mechanism [20] during CS reaction:

$$3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + (1/2)\text{O}_2$$

Fig. 5. SEM micrograph of polished cross-section of sample containing 80% Fe at 200X.

Fig. 6. SEM micrographs from fracture surface of sample containing 80% Fe.

Fig. 7. SEM micrographs show the products of SHS reaction (2\text{Al} + 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{Fe}) that deposited on the surface of Fe particles.
\[ \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + (2/3)\text{Al} = 3\text{FeO} + (1/3)\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \]  
(5)

\[ \text{FeO} + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = \text{FeAl}_2\text{O}_4 \]  
(6)

In addition due to presence of oxygen in the atmosphere the following reaction [21] can occur:

\[ \text{Fe}(s) + (0.5)\text{O}_2 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = \text{FeAl}_2\text{O}_4 \]  
(7)

4. Conclusion

In the present work, Fe–Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) composite foam was fabricated in one step through combination of CS and SPS processes in less than 80 s. The CS reaction was ignited successfully by pulsed electric current passing through the mixture. Temperature profile, XRD, SEM and EDS results proved the occurrence of CS reaction and formation of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\). It was found that higher content of Al + Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) in the initial mixture increase the porosity percentages and combustion adiabatic temperature. In addition the time duration for obtaining the maximum temperature, was decreased by increasing the Al + Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) content. Porosity was measured 18%, 44%, 52% and 60% for samples containing 5, 10, 15, and 20 wt.% of Al + Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) respectively.
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