Synthesis, spectroscopy, DFT and crystal structure investigations of 3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethylisothiosemicarbazone and its Ni(II) and Mo(VI) complexes
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A B S T R A C T
Four new compounds, 3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethylisothiosemicarbazone (H2L), (3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethyl-isothiosemicarbazonato-N,N,O)-(1-methylimidazole)-nickel(II) (1), (3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethyl-isothiosemicarbazonato-N,N,O)-(pyridine)-nickel(II) (2) and cis-dixo-dimethylsulfoxide-(3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethyl-isothiosemicarbazonato-N,N,O)-molybdenum(VI) (3), have been synthesized and characterized by molar conductivity, FT-IR, UV–Vis, 1H NMR, elemental analysis and X-ray crystal structures. The crystal structure of H2L reveals that the NH2 and S–R moieties are in cis positions with respect to each other, and the molecule adopts the Z configuration. The ligand is bonded to the central metals as a binegatively tridentate agent. The Ni(II) atom adopts square planar geometry with this ligand, with the 1-methylimidazole and pyridine ligands occupying the remaining position in complexes 1 and 2 respectively. The Mo(VI) center in complex 3 is six coordinated by the ligand, two oxido groups and one DMSO molecule. The Density Functional Theory approach has been successfully used for the investigation of the ground state, frequency assignment and natural bond analysis.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There has been great interest in the research on thiosemicarbazones and transition metal complexes containing thiosemicarbazone since they have shown suitable applications in biological and analytical chemistry [1,2]. Also, a wide range of biological properties of isothiosemicarbazones and their metal complexes, such as antiviral [3], antimicrobial [4], antibacterial [5], cytotoxic [6] and antidiabetic [7] activities, have been mentioned. However, compared with thiosemicarbazones, the alkylated sulfur atom remains uncoordinated on complexation, and isothiosemicarbazones have shown different coordination modes. Recently, isothiosemicarbazones have attracted much consideration as template reaction precursors which cause an increase in the chelating sites for metal coordination [8]. A tridentate NNO isothiosemicarbazone donor ligand has the ability to participate in reactions in either the mono- or bi-negative form. These characteristics and properties make these compounds attractive for the preparation of a variety of new complexes [9–11].

Various properties of Ni(II) and Mo(VI) Schiff base complexes have been documented. It is well known that both of these metals have a big presence in coordination chemistry [12]. They have been shown to mimic biological sites and are used as catalysts in industrial processes. For the nickel(II) Schiff base complexes, different kinds of properties and applications, like anti-oxidation and polymerization of olefins, are demonstrated [13,14]. The molybdenum(VI) Schiff base complexes with a cis-MoO2 core have attracted attention as they can be excellent enzyme model systems for the active sites of molybdo-enzymes, such as xanthine oxidase, nitrogenase and sulfite oxidase [15,16].

Accordingly, isothiosemicarbazones would be very good candidates for the synthesis of nickel and molybdenum complexes with various structures and properties, and for extending research in the field of coordination chemistry of isothiosemicarbazone ligands. In this work, we describe the synthesis, spectroscopy, crystal structure and DFT studies of a new isothiosemicarbazone as a free ligand and its molybdenum(VI) and Ni(II) complexes.
2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and instrumentation

All chemicals for the syntheses were obtained from commercial sources. Carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen analyses were performed on a Thermo Finnigan Flash Elemental Analyzer 1112EA. The molar conductance values of the complexes (1.0 × 10⁻³ Ω M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ of DMF solutions) were measured by a Metrohm 710 Conductimeter. Infrared spectra of KBr pellets were obtained by a FT-IR 8400–SHIMADZU spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR spectra in DMSO-d₆ were recorded using a Bruker BRX 100 AVANCE spectrometer. The electronic spectra were carried out in MeOH by a SHIMADZU model 2550 UV–Vis spectrophotometer. Diffraction data were measured using a Bruker APEX II CCD diffractometer.

2.2. Preparation of 3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethylisothiosemicarbazone (H₂L)

An 10 mL ethanolic suspension of thiosemicarbazide (0.91 g, 10 mmol) and ethyl iodide (1.71 g, 11 mmol) was refluxed in water bath until a colorless solution was obtained. 3-Methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (1.52 g, 10 mmol) was added, and refluxing was continued for an extra hour. Finally, a 10 mL aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃·10H₂O (5.72 g, 20 mmol) was added to neutralize the hydrogen iodide produced, with constant stirring at room temperature. The neutralization reaction was controlled by pH paper. After cooling, a yellow precipitate was separated, washed several times with cold water and ethanol. Suitable crystals of H₂L were obtained by slow evaporation of its methanolic solution after one week. They were filtered off and dried in vacuo over silica gel.

Yield: 2.1 g (83%). M.p. 138 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₁₇H₁₃NO₃S (333.31): C, 61.47; H, 4.22; N, 15.81. Found: C, 61.51; H, 4.36; N, 16.09. FT-IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3290 (NH str.), 3122 (NH str.), 2917 (–CH₂, –CH₃), 1657 (C=O str.), 1551 (C=N str., amide I), 1462 (C─N str.), 1390 (C=N sym. str.), 1283 (C─N asym. str.), 1240 (–O─CH₂ str.), 1119 (C─O str.), 1066 (C─N str.), 895 (C─N str.).

2.3. Preparation of 3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethyl-isothiosemicarbazonato-N,N-(pyridine)-nickel(II) (I)

An ethanolic solution (3 mL) of H₂L (0.25 g, 1 mmol) was added dropwise to a 5 mL methanolic solution of MoO₂(acac)₂ (0.27 g, 1 mmol) and triethylamine (0.2 g, 2 mmol). The solution mixture was stirred for 2 h. The mixture left to stand overnight. An orange precipitate was separated and washed with cold ethanol. After complete drying, the precipitate was dissolved in DMF. The clear solution was placed in a refrigerator. Suitable crystals of I were obtained after three days. They were filtered off and dried in vacuo over silica gel.

Yield: 0.34 g (74%). M.p. 190 °C. Molar conductivity (1.0 × 10⁻³ M DMF): 220 cm¹ mol⁻¹. Anal. Calc. for C₁₇H₁₃MoNO₃S₂ (515.45): C, 34.14; H, 4.19; N, 9.19. Found: C, 34.04; H, 4.24; N, 9.17. IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1690 (C=O str.), 1591 (C─N str.), 1548 (C─N str.), 1379 (C─N str.), 1190 (C─N str.).

2.4. Preparations of (3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethylisothiosemicarbazonato-N,N,O)-(pyridine)-nickel(II) (II)

Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O (0.25 g, 1 mmol) and H₂L (0.25 g, 1 mmol) in 10 mL ethanol-pyridine (4:1) ratio were refluxed for 1 h to give a red solution. After cooling, a red crystalline product precipitated out. The resulting solid was recrystallised in methanol to obtain suitable dark-red single crystals of complex 2 for X-ray diffraction. They were filtered off and dried in vacuo over silica gel.

Yield: 0.21 g (52%). M.p. 176 °C. Molar conductivity (1.0 × 10⁻³ M DMF): 90 cm¹ mol⁻¹. Anal. Calc. for C₁₇H₁₃NiO₃S₂ (385.11): C, 49.35; H, 4.66; N, 14.40. Found: C, 48.84; H, 4.71; N, 14.32. IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3400 (NH str.), 3053–3123 (N─H str.), 2889–2920 (ν(C=O) str.), 1619 (C=O str.), 1552 (C─N str.).

2.5. cis-dioxo-dimethylsulfoxide-(3-methoxy-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethylisothiosemicarbazonato-N,N,O)-(pyridine)-nickel(II) (III)

3 mL of H₂L (0.25 g, 1 mmol) was added dropwise to a 5 mL methanolic solution of MoO₂(acac)₂ (0.27 g, 1 mmol) and triethylamine (0.2 g, 2 mmol). The solution mixture was stirred for 2 h. The mixture left to stand overnight. An orange precipitate was separated and washed with cold ethanol. After complete drying, the precipitate was dissolved in DMF. The clear solution was placed in a refrigerator. Suitable crystals of III were obtained after three days. They were filtered off and dried in vacuo over silica gel.

Yield: 0.34 g (74%). M.p. 190 °C. Molar conductivity (1.0 × 10⁻³ M DMF): 220 cm¹ mol⁻¹. Anal. Calc. for C₁₇H₁₃MoO₂S₂ (515.45): C, 34.14; H, 4.19; N, 9.19. IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1690 (C=O str.), 1591 (C─N str.), 1548 (C─N str.), 1379 (C─N str.), 1190 (C─N str.).

2.6. X-ray crystal structure determination

X-ray data for the compounds were collected at room temperature with a Bruker APEX II CCD area-detector diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Data collection, cell refinement, data reduction and absorption corrections were performed using multiscan methods with Bruker software [17]. The structures were solved by direct methods using SI2004 [18]. The N-based H atoms and H atoms of OH were found from the difference Fourier map.
and refined anisotropically by the full matrix least squares method on P² using SHELXL [19]. All the hydrogen (H) atoms were placed at calculated positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms. The data concerning collection and analysis are reported in Table 1.

3. Computational details

The geometry of the compounds was fully optimized with Density Functional Theory (DFT) using the Gaussian 98 program package [20]. All calculations were carried out with the B3LYP method using the 6-31G* (d,p) basis set for the H, C, N, O and S atoms and Lanl2dz [21,22] basis set for the metal centers in the gas phase. The lack of imaginary frequencies provided evidence for the full optimization of the structures. The Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis has been done with the NBO-code included in Gaussian98 [23].

4. Result and discussion

Four new compounds of H₂L₁, [Ni(L)(1-Me-imidazole)] (1), [Ni(L)(pyridine)] (2) and [cis-MoO₂(L)DMSO] (3) were synthesized. The efforts to achieve suitable crystals for structural analysis were successful. H₂L₁ is yellowish and the complexes are red in color. C, H and N analyses were consistent with the proposed structures. The compounds are stable in air and soluble in most common organic solvents, except for H₂O, n-hexane and diethyl ether. The molar conductivities of the complexes are 8–12 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ in DMF, which provides the neutral behavior of the complexes [8].

Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of H₂L₁ with the atom numbering. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level.

4.1. Molecular structures

ORTEP views of the compounds with the atom numbering are shown in Figs. 1–4, and related bond distances and inter-bond angles are collected in Table 2. Generally, isothiosemicarbazone organic compounds can be crystallized in Z or E configurations. In the Z isomer, the S–R moiety lies in the cis position with respect to the N1 atom, while in the E isomer, these moieties lie in trans positions with respect to each other. Consistent with the geometries of the isothiosemicarbazone ligands of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-methyl isothiosemicarbazon [24], 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-ethyl isothiosemicarbazon [25] and 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde S-allyl isothiosemicarbazon [26], H₂L₁ crystallizes as the Z isomer. The geometry of H₂L₁ is constructed

| Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for the compounds. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>H₂L₁</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C₁₁H₁₅N₃O₂S</td>
<td>C₁₁H₁₅NiN₂O₄S</td>
<td>C₁₁H₁₅NiN₂O₄S</td>
<td>C₁₁H₁₅MoN₂O₄S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>253.32</td>
<td>392.12</td>
<td>389.11</td>
<td>457.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>296(2)</td>
<td>296(2)</td>
<td>296(2)</td>
<td>296(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2(1)/n</td>
<td>P2(1)/c</td>
<td>P2(1)/c</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>7.5181(2)</td>
<td>9.2511(2)</td>
<td>12.126(5)</td>
<td>12.126(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>12.4704(3)</td>
<td>23.9052(4)</td>
<td>7.4583(3)</td>
<td>7.4583(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>13.2141(3)</td>
<td>8.9524(2)</td>
<td>19.0638(7)</td>
<td>19.0638(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>91.2370(10)</td>
<td>115.5460(10)</td>
<td>103.521(2)</td>
<td>103.521(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>90.00(10)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>1238.58(5)</td>
<td>1786.27(6)</td>
<td>1688.42(12)</td>
<td>1688.42(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated density (Mg/m³)</td>
<td>1.358</td>
<td>1.458</td>
<td>1.531</td>
<td>1.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f(000)</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm)</td>
<td>0.48 × 0.43 × 0.32</td>
<td>0.44 × 0.32 × 0.18</td>
<td>0.28 × 0.13 × 0.11</td>
<td>0.33 × 0.28 × 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting indices</td>
<td>–9 ≤ h ≤ 9, –16 ≤ k ≤ 16, –16 ≤ l ≤ 17</td>
<td>–12 ≤ h ≤ 12, –32 ≤ k ≤ 32, –12 ≤ l ≤ 17</td>
<td>–15 ≤ h ≤ 15, –9 ≤ k ≤ 9, –24 ≤ l ≤ 24</td>
<td>–10 ≤ h ≤ 10, –12 ≤ k ≤ 12, –15 ≤ l ≤ 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected/unique</td>
<td>25153/2974 (0.0220)</td>
<td>14448/4548 (0.0214)</td>
<td>81280/3698 (0.0283)</td>
<td>24655/3961 (0.0291)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R-index) Completeness (%)</td>
<td>(28) 99.9</td>
<td>(29.13) 99.6</td>
<td>(26.99) 100.0</td>
<td>(27) 98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>full-matrix least-squares on P²</td>
<td>full-matrix least-squares on P²</td>
<td>full-matrix least-squares on P²</td>
<td>full-matrix least-squares on P²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>2974/0/166</td>
<td>4548/2/217</td>
<td>3608/2 / 241</td>
<td>3961/1/221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit (GOF) on P²</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0503, wR₂ = 0.1405</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0215, wR₂ = 0.0597</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0319, wR₂ = 0.0920</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0197, wR₂ = 0.0538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0552, wR₂ = 0.1488</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0231, wR₂ = 0.0608</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0401, wR₂ = 0.1063</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0228, wR₂ = 0.0564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest difference in peak and hole (e Å⁻³)</td>
<td>0.916 and –0.490</td>
<td>0.298 and –0.152</td>
<td>0.635 and –0.343</td>
<td>0.273 and –0.365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by an intramolecular O1–H1/C1/C1/C1N1 hydrogen bond, with O1–N1 and H1/C1/C1/C1N1 bond lengths of 2.654(2) and 1.96(3) Å, respectively, and an O–H–N bond angle of 154(3)°. In addition, the intermolecular hydrogen bond of N3–H3B/C1/C1/C1N2, with the N3–N2 and H3–B/C1/C1/C1N2 bond distances of 3.095(2) and 2.29(3) Å and an N–H–O bond angle 174(3)°, can join two molecules to form a dimer. The C8–N3 (1.337(2) Å), C8–N2 (1.304(2) Å), N2–N1(1.388(2) Å), N1–C7(1.278(3) Å) and C6–C7(1.449(3) Å) bond distances have the normal values for double and single bond characteristics, which show that π electron delocalization exists through the H2L ligand.

After coordination, the N1–N2, C8–N2 and C7–N1 bond distances in the complexes increase, except for the bond length of C8–N2 in the Mo(VI) complex, which shows no significant change. The C8–N3 bond distance in the Mo(VI) complex increases, while in the Ni(II) complexes it decreases. Although the bond distances in the C7–N1–N2–C8–N3 fragment of the complexes show little diversity of values with respect to the free H2L ligand, these values still remain in the limits for classical double and single bonds. These are pieces of evidence that the π electron delocalization persists in the deprotonated coordinated ligand in the complexes [27].

On complex formation, the ligand accepts a conformational change from the Z isomer to the E isomer by rotation of the N3H2 and 5-ethyl fragments around the C8–N2 bond. Thereby, the coordination of the ligand through the deprotonated isothioamide nitrogen, azomethine nitrogen and deprotonated oxygen atoms is feasible. In the dibasic form, the ligand is coordinated to the central ions of Ni(II) and Mo(VI) as a tridentate ONN donor agent.

In complexes 1 and 2, the Ni atoms are located in a distorted square planar fashion, surrounded by the tridentate ONN ligand, with 1-methylimidazole and pyridine, respectively. The Ni1–O1, Ni1–N1, Ni1–N3 and Ni1–N4 bond distances are 1.827(1), 1.837(2), 1.840(1) and 1.916(2) Å for 1 and 1.834(2), 1.835(2), 1.843(2) and 1.911(2) Å in 2, respectively, which are well comparable with the bond distances found in (benzoylacetone-S-methylisothiosemicarbazonato-O,N1,N4)-pyridine-nickel(II) [28] and ammine-(benzoylacetone-S-methylisothiosemicarbazonato-N,N0,O)-nickel(II) iodide [29]. The five-membered chelate ring (Ni1–N3–C8–N2–N1) makes a dihedral angle of 3.44° and 2.53° with the adjacent six-membered chelate ring (N1–N1–C7–C6–C1–O1) in complexes 1 and 2 respectively, indicating the two chelating rings are approximately planar. The N1–N2–C8–N3 fragment is nearly planar, with a torsion angle of 3.6(2)° and 4.2(3)° in complexes 1 and 2, as is usually observed in similar Ni(II) complexes of isothiosemicarbazone ligands [30]. The deviations of the Ni(II) atoms in 1 and 2 from the mean plane of O1–N1–N3–N4 are only 0.004 and 0.005 Å respectively, which confirm the planarity of the complexes.

In the complex 3, the tridentate isothiosemicarbazone ligand is bonded to a cis-MoO2 moiety in a similar approach to the Ni(II) complexes, having a NNO donor set. The sixth position of the octahedral geometry is completed by the oxygen of DMSO, with the weak bond distance of 2.290(1) Å. Accordingly, the molybdenum has a distorted octahedral coordination with the bond angles varying from 70.67(6)° to 104.88(7)°. The two O1 and O2 atoms are cis to each other, with a bond angle of 104.88(7)°. This angle is the largest in the coordination sphere, which may be due to the repulsion between the two oxo atoms. The Mo=O4 and Mo=O5 bond...
4.2. Geometry optimization

The structures of the compounds were optimized using Density Functional Theory (DFT). Selected calculated bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) related to the title compounds are given in Table 2. The parameters resulted from the X-ray crystallography and calculations are analyzed with respect to each other. There is a good agreement between the solid structure and gas phase calculated values, in spite of the small differences between them. This discrepancy is due to the approximate basis set chosen for the calculation. The X-ray crystal diffraction was applied in the solid state, while the calculation was performed in the gas state [34]. The accuracy of the geometry optimization was verified using frequency calculations. No imaginary frequencies were seen, which indicates the minimum global energy of the structures was obtained. Thus, it is possible to use the optimized structures in the frequency and NBO calculations.

4.3. Electronic charge distribution and NBO analysis

The calculated atomic charges of participating atoms in the bonds are gathered in Table 3. The calculated formal charge values of +2 and +6 for Ni(II) and Mo(VI) are smaller than the defined values of +2 and +6 for Ni(II) and Mo(VI). This indicates that the donor atoms transmit electron density to the central metal atoms. According to Table 3, the calculated electron density on the donor atoms of oxygen and nitrogen atoms is less than expected, while the electron density computed on the central ions is more than expected. These observations again confirm electron transmission from the donor atoms towards the central ions [35].

The energy of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals and their orbital energy gap are calculated using the DFT method, and the pictorial illustrations of these frontier orbitals for the compounds are shown in Fig. 5. Positive and negative regions are shown by red and green colors, respectively. The HOMO and LUMO are known as the electron donor and electron acceptor. The energy gap between the HOMO and LUMO enhances the chemical activity of the molecule. The energy gap in the case of the title compounds \(H_2L_1, H_2L_2\), and \(H_2L_3\) are found to be 4.02, 3.71, and 3.35 eV, respectively. Since a lower energy gap implies more interaction and less stability, molecule 2 has a higher chemical activity than the other compounds.

The interaction between the HOMO and LUMO enhances the explanation of the first allowed excitation. The HOMO orbital of the complexes is mainly localized on the ligand group. The ligand has 96%, 96% and 99% roles in the HOMO of complexes 1, 2 and 3, while in the lowest unoccupied orbital, the ligand has 62%, 57% and 60% electron populations for complexes 1, 2 and 3. The LUMO is located on the nickel and molybdenum centers by 38%, 43% and 40% in complexes 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Accordingly, the transition state from the HOMO to the LUMO in the complexes can be predicted as an admixture of inter-ligand and LMCT charge transfer transitions [36].
4.4. Vibrational assignment

Selected experimental and computed vibrational frequencies and vibrational assignments of H$_2$L, 1, and 2 are given in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H$_2$L</th>
<th>Exp.$^a$</th>
<th>Scaled</th>
<th>Exp.$^a$</th>
<th>Scaled</th>
<th>Exp.$^a$</th>
<th>Scaled</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>$\nu$(MN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>$\nu$(O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623(w)</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>652(w)</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1464(s)</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>$\nu$(cis-MoO$_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>729(m)</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>736(m)</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>1503(s)</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>$\nu$(cis-MoO$_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748(h)</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>$\nu$(MN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>$\nu$(O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623(w)</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>652(w)</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1464(s)</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>$\nu$(cis-MoO$_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>729(m)</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>736(m)</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>1503(s)</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>$\nu$(cis-MoO$_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748(h)</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: $\nu$: very strong, s: strong, m: medium, w: weak, sy: symmetric, asy: asymmetric, $\tau$: stretching, $\delta$: bending, oopb: out of plane bending; ipb: in plane bending.

$^a$ Wavenumbers (cm$^{-1}$).

Generally, the calculated wavenumbers are more than the experimental wavenumbers. It should be considered that the calculation was performed for an isolated molecule in the gas phase, while the experimental values were obtained in the solid state. Therefore,
the calculated values are scaled by a suitable factor, so they can be compared to the experimental wavenumbers much better [37]. In the IR spectrum of H2L, medium absorption bands at 3132 and 748 cm⁻¹ are due to stretching and out-of-plane bending vibrations of OH. These bands appear at 3180 and 776 cm⁻¹ in the calculated spectrum, respectively. After complexation, the ν(OH) and δ_{OHH}(OH) bands disappear, indicating that the coordination takes place through the deprotonated oxygen atom [10]. The asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of NH₂ are disclosed at 3524 and 3481 cm⁻¹ in the calculations [8]. The omission of the stretching vibrations of NH₂ and the appearance of new sharp bands at 3469, 3453 and 3460 cm⁻¹ in the infra red spectra of 1, 2 and 3, respectively, reveal coordination of the N² atom to the metal center [6]. The DFT calculation gives this band at 3480, 3476 and 3484 cm⁻¹ for 1, 2 and 3, respectively. By the DFT calculations, it can be found that the in-plane-bending vibrations modes of the OH and NH₂ groups mix with the stretching vibration mode of the C=C bonds. This can cause a strong band at 1601 and 1602 cm⁻¹ in the experimental and calculated IR spectra of the ligand, respectively. Conversely, in the IR spectra of the complexes, the strong bands at 1581 1578 and 1583 cm⁻¹ in the experimental, and at 1585, 1583 and 1581 cm⁻¹ in the calculated spectra for 1, 2 and 3, respectively, are assignable to an admixture of the in-plane-bending vibration mode of the NH group with the stretching mode of the C=C bonds [38]. The intense band observed at 1643 cm⁻¹, corresponding to ν(C=O) + ν(C=C), is shifted by 46, 47 and 50 cm⁻¹ to lower frequencies for complexes 1, 2 and 3. The DFT calculation has also confirmed the coordination of the azomethine nitrogen atom by indicating 19, 18 and 9 cm⁻¹ displacements to lower frequencies for 1, 2 and 3, respectively. This indicates that the azomethine nitrogen atom is bonded to the metallic ions [7]. In the free ligand, the stretching vibration of the C=O bond at 1242 cm⁻¹ exhibits 9, 16 and 13 cm⁻¹ displacements to lower frequencies upon complexation, and appears at 1233, 1226 and 1229 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectra of complexes 1, 2 and 3, respectively [34]. These values are computed at 1264, 1247, 1246 and 1228 cm⁻¹ for H2L, 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The coordination of the oxygen atom was confirmed by the elimination of the ν(OH) band. For the molybdene-num(VI) complex, two strong bands at 950 and 933 cm⁻¹ are assignable to the symmetric and asymmetric vibrations of the cis-MoO₂ group [32], and the weak band at 902 cm⁻¹ demonstrates the coordination behavior of the DMSO molecule [39]. The theoretically scaled values indicate good agreement with the experimentally observed vibrations and disclose ν_{as}(cis-MoO₂), ν_{as}(cis-MoO₂), ν_{s}(S=O) at 954, 936 and 912 cm⁻¹, respectively.

4.5. UV–Vis spectra

The UV–Vis spectra of the compounds were recorded in methanol, and the detailed data are summarized in Sections 2.2–2.5. The electronic spectrum of H2L shows a π → π⁺ transition band at 220 nm (4.74). This band shows a blue shift and appears at 216 (4.78), 224 (4.83) and 218 nm (4.60) in the electronic spectra of 1, 2 and 3 respectively [6]. The n → π⁺ transitions of the azomethine and isothioamide fragments are disclosed at 306 (4.62) and 346 nm (4.35) in the spectrum of the free ligand. The spectra of the complexes exhibit only one n → π⁺ transitions peak, around 302 (4.36), 308 (4.49) and 300 nm (4.51) for 1, 2 and 3, respectively, which may be due to coordination of the azomethine fragment. The bands at 374 nm (4.23) for 1, 388 nm (4.43) for 2 and two bands at 382 (4.08) and 450 nm (3.84) for 3 can be assigned to N → M and O → M charge transfer transitions. For the square planar Ni(II) complexes, with a d⁸ electron configuration and 1A₁g ground state, three transitions are possible: 1A₁g → 1A₂g, 1A₁g → 1B₁g and 1A₁g → 1E. The bands at 560 (2.14) and 508 nm (2.36) in complex 1 and at 512 (2.28) and 566 nm (2.19) in complex 2 can be attributed to the 1A₁g → 1A₂g and 1A₁g → 1B₁g transitions, respectively [36]. The third d–d transition was probably covered by the tail of the LMCT charge-transfer absorptions [8]. The molybdenum(VI) complex, with the empty d⁰ orbitals, does not show any d–d transition bands.

4.6. ¹H NMR study

The ¹H NMR spectra of the compounds were recorded in DMSO. The ORTEP diagrams of the compounds can be applied for the assignment of the protons resonances. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of H2L, a singlet signal at 13 ppm is assigned to the OH proton. After coordination, the OH proton resonance disappears, indicating coordination takes place through the deprotonated hydroxyl group. A signal at 9.77 ppm is ascribed to the proton resonance of the NH₂ moiety. A new broad singlet band at about 4.2 ppm appears in the ¹H NMR spectra of the complexes, which is attributed to the N¹H proton. The appearance of this band, with the omission of the NH₂ signal with respect to the free ligand, confirms the coordination of the isothioamide nitrogen atom to the metal centers. The exchangeability of the proton resonances of OH, NH₂ and NH in the ¹H NMR spectra of the compounds has been determined by the addition of D₂O. In the ¹H NMR spectra of the complexes, the azomethine proton resonance shows a significant upfield shift with respect to the free H2L ligand, indicating the N¹ atom is coordinated to the central ions. The signals for the ethyl fragment appear in the same region for H2L and the complexes, which confirms that the sulfur atom does not partake in the complexation. The protons resonances of the aromatic ring and C¹H³ occur in the same region for H2L and the complexes. In addition, the C¹₂ and C¹³ proton signals at 3.5 ppm in 3 reveal the existence of DMSO as a ligand in the complex.

5. Conclusion

We have synthesized and characterized four new compounds of the isothiosemicarbazon family with the general formulae H2L, [Ni(L,1,1-Me-imidazolé)₂] (1), [Ni(L,pyridine)] (2) and [cis-MoO₂(1DMSO)₃] (3) by the prevailing spectral methods of FT-IR, UV–Vis and ¹H NMR. Their structural features were determined by X-ray crystallographic diffraction. From the structural study, it was concluded that the ligand crystallized as the Z isomer. The ligand coordinated to the metal centers in the similar approach of a tridentate ONN donor. The nickel(II) and molybdenum(VI) centers are assumed to have distorted square planar and octahedral geometries, respectively. The 1-methylimidazolé and pyridine ligands occupy the remaining positions of complexes 1 and 2. The Mo(VI) center is surrounded by L, two oxo oxygen atoms and one DMSO solvent. The bond distances in the isothioamide fragment are similar in the ligand and the complexes. This suggests that π electron delocalization persists in this fragment after complexation finally, some physicochemical properties, like structural parameters and frequency vibrations, obtained from calculations and experimental data were in accordance with each other.
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Appendix A. Supplementary data

CCDC 898793–898795 and 911676 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the compounds. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336 033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2013.02.078.
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