Shi‘a Rijali Views of Muhammad ibn Muslim ibn Shihab al-Zuhri

Farahnaz Vahidnia
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, International Campus, Mashhad, Iran (corresponding author)

farahnaz-vahidnia@yahoo.com

Hasan Naqizadih

Faculty of Theology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

Gholamrida Raisian

Faculty of Theology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

ABSTRACT: Ibn Shihab al-Zuhri (d. 124 AH/741-2 CE) is a renowned hadith scholar in the Sunni tradition. His name appears in the chains of narration of over 2,200 hadith, including numerous hadith in Shi’a sources. Nonetheless, despite his presence in Shi’a books, Shi’a scholars have historically disagreed about whether or not to accept al-Zuhri as a reliable narrator on account of his connections with the Umayyad ruling powers. In this paper, the views of Shi’a biographers on al-Zuhri will be examined as well as the hadith attributed to him to offer a conclusive view on whether or not he should be accepted as a reliable narrator within the Shi’a tradition.
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Introduction

Although Ibn Shihab al-Zuhri is mentioned in the chains of narration of tens of Shi’a hadith, and over 2,200 hadith in general, Shi’a scholars disagree about his reliability, primarily because of his strong affiliations with the Umayyad ruling dynasty. Therefore, this paper will attempt to determine his reliability as a hadith narrator according to the criteria of Shi’a scholarship. It will compare the views for and against him (jarh and ta’dil) given by Shi’a biographical (rijali) scholars. Because Shaykh Tusi expresses considerable animosity towards him, and refers to him as an enemy (‘aduw), it will specifically examine how Tusi treats al-Zuhri’s narrations in his two influential hadith compilations, Tahdhib al-Ahkam and al-Istibsar. This investigation will aid in the validation of hadith narrated from him, and the conclusions derived can be used in future research on other hadith narrators who are found in both Shi’a and Sunni books.

A short biography of al-Zuhri

Muhammad ibn Shihab al-Zuhri was referred to as ‘al-Madani’ and ‘al-Qurayshi’ - meaning a member of the tribe of Quraysh from Medina. In fact, he is said to have been born in Mecca in either 50 AH/614 ce or 58 AH/622 ce, but to have studied at length in Medina, hence the appellation ‘al-Madani’; sources give his kunyah (tekonym) as Abu Bakr. His father was Muslim ibn ‘Ubayd Allah ibn Muslim ibn Shihab, and his mother was named ‘A’ishah. He is said to have been acquainted with Imam al-Sajjad (A) and Imam Muhammad al-Baqir. Part of the second generation of Medinah’s muhaddiths, he witnessed the transition from oral to written transmission of hadith which occurred during the reign of ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Aziz; regarding this, it is related that he said, ‘We had an aversion to recording knowledge until these amirs forced us to do it; then we were of the opinion that we should not withhold them [the written collections of hadith] from any of the Muslims.’ His career with the Umayyads began in 81 or 82 ah when he joined the court of ’Abd al-Malik ibn Marwan and was appointed as a judge by Yazid ibn ’Abd al-Malik (r. 101-105 AH/720-724 ce). Additionally, Hisham ibn ‘Abd al-Malik (r. 105-125 AH/724-743 ce) chose him as his son’s teacher. Frequently, al-Zuhri travelled from Syria to Mecca and Medina to perform the hajj; he also used to teach in Medina. He spent the last years of his life in the Hijaz.
Al-Zuhri is unarguably one of the most influential early *hadith* scholars, and his influence on Islamic historiography and even theology cannot be doubted. Material related from him is preserved in the *sihah* works, the *masanid*, and the works of *sirah* (Prophetic biography), and includes not only religious rulings and narrations but also historical information about the pre-Islamic era, the life of the Prophet, and the events after the time of the Prophet up until the caliphate of Mu‘awiyyah. He is particularly known for his book on *maghazi* as well as accounts of the life of the Prophet. Additionally, he wrote treatises on the Qur‘an such as *Tanzil al-Qur‘an bi-Makkah wa Madinah* (The Revelation of the Qur‘an in Mecca and Medina) and *al-Nasikh wa al-Mansukhi al-Qur‘an* (The Abrogating and the Abrogated in the Qur‘an). He had a number of famous teachers and students. As with other renowned Islamic scholars, there are a number of stories - which may or may not be apocryphal - about his intellectual prowess. For instance, it is said that he memorized the entire Qur‘an in eighty nights and memorized each *hadith* upon hearing. It is also said that, one day, his wife became so fed up with his dedication to his scholarship that she told him, ‘By God, your books cause more trouble than three co-wives.’ He passed away on 17 Ramadan 124 AH/741-2 CE in Syria.

**Views of Shi‘a biographical (rijali) scholars**

Al-Zuhri is one of those figures whom Shi‘a biographers, both early and modern, strongly diverge on, even with regards to whether he should be considered Sunni or Shi‘a. Shaykh Tusi, ‘Allamah al-Hilli, Tafrishi, Ha‘iri Mazandarani, and Ibn Dawud refer to him as an enemy of the Ahl al-Bayt (‘aduw). Similarly, Muhammad Baqir al-Majlisi says that al-Zuhri’s *hadith* are weak (*da‘if* because al-Zuhri is an enemy of Ahl al-Bayt; additionally, he identifies him as a Sunni. Another famous Shi‘a rijali scholar, al-Najashi, does not mention al-Zuhri at all in his rijal work. Since al-Najashi dedicated his work to narrators found in Shi‘a *hadith* as well as those who wrote books about the Shi‘a, the implication is that al-Najashi simply considered him a Sunni who was not of relevance to Shi‘a *hadith.*
In contrast, Bihbahani and Mirza Husayn Nuri consider al-Zuhri to have been Shi’a on the grounds that al-Zuhri relates hadith from Imam al-Sajjad confirming the imamate of the twelve Imams. Mirza Husayn Nuri also mentions some nudbah (lamentations) narrated from Imam al-Sajjad by al-Zuhri. Khansari’s view is that al-Zuhri was initially an enemy of Ahl al-Bayt; however, later in life, al-Zuhri became Shi’a through the guidance of Imam al-Sajjad. Al-Khu’i identifies al-Zuhri as someone who narrated from Imam al-Sajjad (A) and Imam al-Baqir (A) and writes that ‘although Ibn Shihab al-Zuhri is a Sunni scholar, from the story of how he [accidentally] killed someone and received guidance from Imam al-Sajjad (A), it is obvious that he loved Imam al-Sajjad (A) and honoured him.’ (This account will be discussed in a later section of this paper.) Similarly, Tustari quotes Tusi as saying that al-Zuhri was an enemy of Ahl al-Bayt, but then continues, ‘If Shaykh [Tusi] calls him a Sunni, this is correct; but calling him an enemy of Ahl al-Bayt is incorrect, because reports of his love for Imam al-Sajjad (A) are widespread.’

Along the same lines, when discussing Man La Yahduruhu al-Faqih (one of the primary books of Shi’a hadith), Muhammad Taqi Majlisi maintains that al-Zuhri was actually Shi’a and therefore al-Zuhri’s hadith are sahih. Most likely, al-Zuhri was considered to be an enemy of Ahl al-Bayt due to his close ties with the Umayyad caliphate. Those who accuse him of forging hadith cite a letter to al-Zuhri attributed to Imam al-Sajjad, as well as remarks attributed to Ya’qubi and Ibn Abi al-Hadid. Therefore, these items will be discussed next.

**The letter attributed to Imam al-Sajjad**

Some sources mention a letter from Imam al-Sajjad to al-Zuhri in which the Imam criticizes al-Zuhri for cooperating with the Umayyad caliphate. However, the oldest source that mentions it is Tuhaf al-Uqul by Abu Muhammad Harrani (d. 381 ah), and a chain of narration for it is not given. Furthermore, the content of the letter itself suggests that it was not actually written by Imam al-Sajjad to al-Zuhri, since the expressions in the letter indicate that the addressee was an elderly person. This point is discussed at length by Tuchayi who observes that the letter says:
You have been overburdened with the graces of God who has given you good physical health and a long age.

[...] You are not living in a permanent abode; you are in a temporary house that you will leave soon. How does a man stay after the departure of his peers?

[...] Supply yourself [with the necessary provisions]; the long journey is approaching you.

[...] You neglected to mention your old men and friends who passed away while you remained after them like a hornless sheep.

[...] If this world makes you in such a manner, while you are attaining such an old age, enjoying such deep-rooted knowledge and being so near to death, then how could a young person be saved while he is ignorant, fatuous in his views, and immature in his thought?

Since the letter is said to have been written at a time when al-Zuhri was still middle-aged, it can be concluded that the expressions used in the letter would not be appropriate for him; and, therefore, it is unlikely that the letter was really addressed to him.

Additionally, portions of the letter indicate that the addressee was a prominent intellectual figure in the Muslim community at the time. The letter says:

He put forward His argument against you when He charged you with the responsibility of His Book, made you understand His religion, [and] introduced you to the traditions of His Prophet Muhammad (S).

[...] The only difference between you and them is that you occupy a distinctive standing in people’s minds such that they have been pursuing your opinions and carrying out your orders. They deem lawful anything you deem lawful and deem unlawful anything you deem unlawful. You are not fit enough for that.
 [...] You have exposed them to misfortunes and tempted them by precluding them from their earnings because of what they have seen from you. Hence, they longed to attain the level of knowledge that you have obtained or obtaining through it what you have obtained. Therefore, they have drowned in a bottomless ocean and an immeasurable misfortune. May God give succour to you and us. He is the One whose help is sought.  

While al-Zuhri did in fact become a prominent religious scholar, he did not enjoy this position until later in life. Additionally, he did study under even more prominent figures in his time, and himself asserted that he became a faqih only after gaining knowledge from them. Moreover, ‘Abd al-Malik himself recommended that al-Zuhri gain knowledge, so it is unlikely that he, at the time, was the figure mentioned in the letter. Furthermore, many statements in praise of Imam al-Sajjad (A) are attributed to al-Zuhri. Some of the statements attributed to al-Zuhri are:

I have never met among the Ahl al-Bayt a person more meritorious than ‘Ali ibn al-Husayn.  

Sufyan ibn ‘Uyaynah relates, ‘I asked al-Zuhri, “Have you met ‘Ali ibn al-Husayn?” “Yes”, he replied, “I have met him. I have never met a person more meritorious than him. By Allah, I have never known him to have a friend in secret or an enemy in public.” I asked him, “Why is that?” He answered, “Because all those who loved him envied him because of their abundant knowledge of his outstanding merits, and all those who hated him took care of him because he took great care of them.”

According to al-Zuhri, after ‘Ali ibn al-Husayn’s departure, a sign of hard work which had affected his back skin and made it dry and hard was found. Later it was found that he had carried water for his weak and old neighbours during night all the time.

Further hadith of a similar nature have been mentioned in other sources.
Furthermore, in addition to praising al-Sajjad (A), al-Zuhri also used to relate hadith from him on various subjects (which will be discussed in the latter section of this paper). All of this suggests a close relationship between al-Zuhri and the Imam (A). Therefore, it seems unlikely that Imam al-Sajjad (A) would have sent him such a letter.

Lastly, it should be observed that after the event of ‘Ashura, Imam al-Sajjad (A) followed a policy of taqiyyah, and pursued more subtle methods of influencing the community. This letter does not seem to be in concordance with that policy.

Al-Zuhri according to Ya‘qubi and Ibn Abi al-Hadid

The Shi‘a historian al-Ya‘qubi (d. 897/8 ce) relates that the caliph ‘Abd al-Malik repaired Masjid al-Aqsa in Jerusalem to encourage Muslims to visit it instead of the Ka‘bah, and asked al-Zuhri to fabricate a tradition to that effect. Therefore, it is said that al-Zuhri fabricated a hadith from the Prophet saying, ‘It is not worth travelling except to [visit] the three mosques: Masjid al-Haram, Masjid al-Aqsa, and my mosque.’

However, there are some problems with the attribution of this narration to him. First, al-Fasawi (d. 277 ah), al-Hamadhani (known as Ibn al-Faqih, d. 365 ah), Khargushi (d. 406 ah), al-Hamawi (d. 626 ah), al-Jazari (d. 630 ah), al-Maqrizi (d. 845 ah), Ibn Kathir (d. 774 ah) and Salihi (d. 942 ah) all give other sources for this narration - that is, that it came from someone other than al-Zuhri. Secondly, the text itself is inconsistent. Third, since al-Zuhri was born in 50 or 58 ah, it would have been impossible for him to narrate directly from the Prophet. Fourth, the enmity between ‘Abd Allah ibn Zubayr and ‘Abd al-Malik transpired between 65 and 73 ah, and, at this time, al-Zuhri would only have been in his early twenties; at that age, he was not yet famous, and so there would have been no reason to ask him to fabricate a hadith. Finally, it does not make sense to argue that ‘Abd al-Malik was trying to keep people away from the hajj, particularly since the tabi‘un had a strong presence there.

Additionally, Ibn Abi al-Hadid relates in Sharh Nahj al-Balaghah that Muhammad ibn Shaybah said:
One day, Ibn Shihab al-Zuhri and ‘Urwah ibn al-Zubayr were cursing ‘Ali (A) in the mosque of Medina. News of this reached Imam al-Sajjad (A), who came to them and said, ‘O ‘Urwah, my father and yours - let God judge between them. God ruled in favour of my father, but - O Zuhri - if you were in Mecca, I would have revealed your rank.’

However, this story conflicts with another account wherein al-Walid ibn ‘Abd al-Malik thought that Qur’an 24:11 - ‘The one among the group who was the chief instigator will face a great torment’, referring to the scandal about ‘A’ishah, had been revealed to place blame on ‘Ali ibn Abi Talib (A). Upon hearing this, al-Zuhri told him, ‘This is not true. ‘Urwah said that ‘A’ishah said that this verse was revealed to place blame on ‘Abd Allah ibn Abi Sulul, the head of the hypocrites in Medina.’ This shows that al-Zuhri was not in such a weak position vis-a-vis the caliphate that he could not speak up, nor was he being blindly manipulated by the anti-‘Alid rhetoric. In another account, Ibn Athir al-Jazari writes:

After al-Zuhri related the hadith of Ghadir, ‘Ubayd Allah said, ‘I said to Ibn Shihab al-Zuhri, do not narrate this [hadith] in Syria, or your ears will be filled with insults against ‘Ali (A).’

He replied, ‘I swear to God, my knowledge of ‘Ali’s merits is so vast that if I reveal this knowledge, I would be killed.’

Other hadith attributed to al-Zuhri which are in favour of Imam ‘Ali and, in fact, also reflect a pro-Shi’a stance include:

Al-Zuhri narrates from Asma’ al-Ansari that on the night of Imam ‘Ali’s martyrdom, whenever any stone in Iliya [Jerusalem] was lifted at random, blood was found to be flowing under it.

Zuhri quotes from Salim that his father said, ‘The Messenger of God told me that on the Day of Resurrection, whoever has more love for the family of Muhammad (S) will have more light.’
Al-Zuhri narrates from ‘Urwah that ‘A’ishah said, ‘I saw my father staring copiously at ‘Ali’s face. I said to my father, “I see you are looking a lot at the face of ‘Ali?” He replied, “O my daughter, I heard the Messenger of Allah (S) say, ‘Looking at the face of ‘Ali (A) is worship (‘ibadah).’”’

A number of similar hadith have been mentioned in other sources as well.

Additionally, as mentioned above, it has been suggested that, by the end of his life, Ibn Shihab al-Zuhri was a Shi’a. For instance, a hadith is related from him regarding fasting while travelling or ill in which he gives the opinion of Ahl al-Bayt (A) - namely, that a person who is travelling or ill may not fast although this was not the mainstream opinion among Sunnis. Additionally, he narrates that Imam Baqir (A) said: ‘God almighty made a covenant with the Prophets regarding the Imamate of ‘Ali (A) and made a covenant with them that they would preach the imamate of ‘Ali ibn Abi Talib (A).’ Lastly, it is related from al-Zuhri that for the first seven years of Islam, the Prophet and ‘Ali ibn Abi Talib used to worship together. He also mentions that when Qur’an 15:94 (‘expound openly what you are commanded’) and Qur’an 13:7 (‘And the Unbelievers say: “Why is not a sign sent down to him from his Lord?” But thou art truly a warner, and to every people a guide’) were revealed, ‘Ali ibn Abi Talib was the only member of Bani Hashim to openly accept it; from that day onward, the Messenger of God announced that ‘Ali was his ‘brother’ and ‘minister’.

Shi’ā citations of al-Zuhri

In addition to hadith from al-Zuhri in praise of Imam ‘Ali (A), Imam al-Sajjad (A), Imam al-Husayn (A), and Fatimah al-Zahra (A), there are also hadith from al-Zuhri related from Imam al-Sajjad on a variety of subjects, including the types of fasting, rules relating to fasting, Imam Sajjad and the Holy Qur’an, the best deeds in the view of Allah, condemning covetousness and prejudice, the virtues of reciting the Qur’an, inviting people to Islam, the reward of fever, how to treat prisoners of war, and the most difficult time for the son of Adam. Jurists and scholars of hadith have referred to these hadith.
As mentioned previously, Shaykh Tusi adamantly rejected al-Zuhri as a reliable hadith transmitter. Nevertheless, he includes hadith transmitted through al-Zuhri in his compilations, and even gives these hadith due consideration in situations when two hadith apparently conflict. For instance, Tusi argues that two hadith about fasting during ‘Eid and certain days of the hajj - one of which is narrated by al-Zuhri from Imam al-Sajjad - do not actually conflict and can both be accepted.\cite{footnote90} Shaykh Tusi also cites a hadith from al-Zuhri saying that al-Sajjad (A) said that Allah ordered the people to fast on yawm al-shakk (the thirtieth day of the month of Sha‘ban) for its own sake and not as part of the fasting of the month of Ramadan.\cite{footnote90} This hadith is corroborated by a similar narration in al-Kafi, via a different chain of narration, wherein Imam al-Sadiq advises his companions to fast yawm al-shakk rather than inadvertently miss a day of fasting of the month of Ramadan.\cite{footnote91} Many of the narrations quoted from Ibn Shihab are mutabi (corroborated with the same wording) and shahid (corroborated with similar meaning). However, some of his ahadith are fard (single) - for example, two hadith which al-Kulayni himself includes from al-Zuhri in al-Kafi as well.\cite{footnote92}

**Conclusion**

It is undisputed that Ibn Shihab al-Zuhri travelled between the Hijaz and Syria and was in close contact with the Umayyads. Because of this, many Shi‘a biographers have been deeply suspicious of accepting al-Zuhri’s narrations. Nevertheless, al-Zuhri’s narrations are included in prominent Shi‘a works, including those of Shaykh Tusi, who himself criticized al-Zuhri. Three pieces of evidence are commonly cited to show that al-Zuhri held animosity towards Ahl al-Bayt - a letter attributed to Imam al-Sajjad, a statement by the historian Ya‘qubi, and a statement by Ibn Abi al-Hadid. However, the circumstances surrounding each case suggest that these allegations against al-Zuhri are not historically accurate. Because of al-Zuhri’s professed love for Imam al-Sajjad (A) and admiration for Imam ‘Ali (A) and Fatimah al-Zahra (A), it is instead more likely that he did maintain a strong relationship with Imam al-Sajjad and his successors, and was more akin to Shi‘a such as ‘Ali ibn Yaqtin who worked with the court of the caliph but nonetheless were on the side of the Imam. Thus, despite the dispute over al-Zuhri, he should be accepted as a reliable hadith transmitter within the Shi‘a school of thought.
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(1) al-Zuhri relates from ‘Ali ibn al-Husayn, ‘As regarding the prohibited fast, it is forbidden to fast on ‘Id al-Fitr, the day of ‘Id al-Adha, [and] three of the days of al-tashriq. The days of al-tashriq are the days of Mina, which are the eleventh, twelfth, and thirteenth after the day of al-Nahr.’

(2) Zurarah relates that he asked Imam Muhammad Baqir, ‘What shall we do with a person who has killed someone, by mistake, in the forbidden month?’ The Imam said, ‘Be strict with him regarding the blood money, and it is also obligatory on him to liberate a slave and fast for two months in repentance.’ Zurarah said, ‘Even if something is preventing him from fasting for two months?’ The Imam said, ‘What would that be?’ Zurarah said, ‘Such as ‘Id or ayyam al-tashriq.’ The Imam said, ‘He has to fast even in those days as part of his compulsory fasting.’

Shaykh Tusi resolves the conflict between the following two hadiths by saying, ‘The second hadith does not contradict the first hadith because fasting on ‘Id al-Fitr, ‘Id al-Adha, [or] three of the days of al-tashriq is forbidden for the person who starts to fast from these days on, but for the person who is obligated to fast for two months in a row it is necessary to fast during these days too.’ Both the hadith as well as the reconciliation are found in Muhammad ibn Hasan Tusi, al-Istibsar II (Tehran: Dar al-Kutub al-Islamiyyah, 970), 131.
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Al-Kulayni writes, ‘And with this chain from al-Munqari from ‘Abd al-Razzaq from Mu‘ammar from al-Zuhri from ‘Ali ibn al-Husayn (A), who said, ‘I saw the whole of goodness gathered in the severing of covetousness from what is in the hands of the people and from not having any hope in people regarding anything and in reverting his affair to Allah, Mighty and Majestic be He, in all affairs. Allah, Mighty and Majestic be He, will answer him for everything.’ He also includes this shahid hadith in the same chapter including ‘Ali ibn Ibrahim from his father and ‘Ali ibn Muhammad al-Qashani together, from al-Qasim ibn Muhammad from Sulayman ibn Dawud from Hafs ibn Ghiyath, who said that Abu ‘Abd Allah (A) said, ‘When anyone amongst you desires that he ask his Lord for a thing and He grant it, then he should despair of people, all of them, and there should be no hope for him save near Allah. So when Allah, Mighty and Majestic be He, knows of this in his heart, he will not ask of Allah a thing save that He will grant it.’ Both citations are in Muhammad ibn Ya‘qub al-Kulayni, al-Kafi II, 148.