High-resolution stratigraphy of the Changhsingian (Late Permian) successions of NW Iran and the Transcaucasus based on lithological features, conodonts and ammonoids
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Abstract. The Permian–Triassic boundary sections in northwestern Iran belong to the most complete successions, in which the largest mass extinction event in the history of the Earth can be studied. We investigated the Changhsingian stage in six sections in the area of Julfa (Aras Valley) for their lithology, conodonts and ammonoids. Revision of the biostratigraphy led to the separation of 10 conodont zones (from bottom to top Clarkina orientalis–C. subcarinata interval zone, C. subcarinata, C. changxingensis, C. bachmanni, C. nodosa, C. yini, C. abadehensis, C. hauschkei, Hindeodus praeparvus–H. changxingensis and Merrillina ultima–Stepanovites ?mostleri zones) and 8 ammonoid zones (from bottom to top Iranites transcaucasius–Phisonites triangulus, Phisonites nodosus, Shevrevites shevrevi, Paratrilobites trapezoidalis, P. waugenii, Stoyanovites dieneri, Abichites stoyanowi and Arasella minuta zones). The new ammonoid genera Stoyanovites and Arasella are described.

While time-equivalent sedimentary successions in other regions such as South China have been studied in great detail (e.g. Sun et al., 2012; Romano et al., 2013, for more literature), the Transcaucasian and NW Iranian sections are known from fewer studies. Although sections in this region have attracted scientists for a long time (Abich, 1878; Frech and Arthaber, 1900; Bonnet and Bonnet, 1947), detailed lithostratigraphical and biostratigraphical studies of the Changhsingian sections in the Transcaucasus and NW Iran have only rarely been carried out. An exception is the conodont succession in these outcrops, which has been studied in greater detail by Kozur et al. (1980), Sweet and Mei (1999a, b), Partoazar (2002), Kozur (2004, 2005, 2007), Henderson et al. (2008) and Shen and Mei (2010). In addition, several investigations focused on the stable isotopes of the NW Iranian sections, such as δ¹³C (Baud et al., 1989; Korte et al., 2004; Richoz, 2006; Korte and Kozur, 2010; Richoz et al., 2010), δ¹⁸O (Schobben et al., 2013) and a Ce anomaly (Kakuwa and Matsumoto, 2006). These studies demonstrate the high potential for a subdivision of the Changhsingian stage of sections in the Palaeotethys, comparable or even finer than in the more intensely studied sections in South China.

In the following we present a correlation of lithostratigraphical data and the distribution of conodonts and ammonoids. It will be shown that the Changhsingian stage cannot only be subdivided into a number of zones by means of conodonts, but also of ammonoids. These two biostratigraphical subdivisions are supported by lithological characteristics.
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Figure 1. (A) Geographical position of Permian–Triassic boundary sections in the Transcaucasus and in NW Iran (after Arakelyan et al., 1965); sections investigated in this study are highlighted. (B) Palaeogeographic position of the Julfa area (after Stampfli and Borel, 2002).

Figure 2. The Permian–Triassic boundary sections in the Ali Bushi Mountains, NW Iran.
2 Localities

Sedimentary rocks representing the transition from the Palaeozoic into the Mesozoic in uninterrupted succession are very well exposed in the regions of Transcaucasia in Armenia, Azerbaijan and NW Iran (Fig. 1). The outcrops of Late Permian to Early Triassic successions studied by the authors are located south of the Aras (Araxes) River, which coincides with the political boundary between Iran and the province of Nakhichevan (Azerbaijan). The exposures are located west or south of the two neighbouring towns Dzulfà (or Cufa, Nakhichevan province; Azerbaijan) and Julfa (or Jolfa, East Azerbaijan Province, Iran). We investigated and measured six fossil-rich pelagic Permian–Triassic (P–Tr) boundary sections in greater detail and recorded their petrography and carbonate microfacies as well as their condont and ammonoid content:

(1) Aras Valley (39.0154° N, 45.4345° E): this section was described for the first time by Leda et al. (2014); it is situated about 19 km NW of the towns of Dzulfà and Julfa in a dry small side valley west of the Aras (Araxes) River. The new section has a position approximately 2 km northwest of the Dorasam I section of Ruzhencov et al. (1965). A nearly complete Wuchiapingian and Changhsingian succession is exposed at this locality with a considerably good outcrop of the lower, shale-dominated part of the Changhsingian, a perfect outcrop of the Paratirolitès Limestone over an extension of 200 m and a rather good exposure of the “boundary clay” (renamed Aras Member here).

(2) P–Tr boundary beds crop out in several parallel sections over a range of about 1.5 km in the Ali Bashi Mountains (i.e. Kuh-e-Ali Bashi) 9 km west of Julfa (Fig. 1). The sedimentary and faunal succession and the thickness of the various rock units principally parallel the section in the Aras Valley. Four of the numerous sections were measured and sampled by us:

(a) Ali Bashi 1 section (38.9397° N, 45.5197° E) = Locality 1 of Teichert et al. (1973): it is the section described in detail by Teichert et al. (1973, p. 377). The section begins with red nodular marls and limestone beds of the upper part of the Wuchiapingian Julfa Formation (Vedioceras beds) and continues into the Early Triassic Elbah Formation. The entire Changhsingian succession is exposed in this section and allows for a detailed study.

(b) Ali Bashi 4 section (38.9416° N, 45.5158° E) = Locality 4 of Teichert et al. (1973): it is the section described in detail by Stepanov et al. (1969) and Ghaderi et al. (2013); Teichert et al. (1973, p. 380) gave a brief description of the lower part of the section (for a discussion of the correlation of the Ali Bashi 1 and Ali Bashi 4 sections, see Leda et al., 2014, and particularly Ghaden et al., 2013). It is the most complete of all the sections in the Ali Bashi Mountains (Fig. 3), beginning with the early Wuchiapingian Codonofusiella beds and ranging into the Elbah Formation. Unfortunately, the lower shaly part of the Ali Bashi Formation is largely covered by scree and hence accessible only by trenching.

(c) Ali Bashi N section (38.9456° N, 45.5137° E): the newly discovered section begins with poor outcrops in the lower part of the Ali Bashi Formation and ends in the Elbah Formation. The Paratirolitès Limestone is well-exposed over a distance of 200 m.

(d) Ali Bashi M section (38.9354° N, 45.5238° E) = Locality 1 with the sections I–IV of Kozur (2005): the outcrop in the main valley of the Ali Bashi Mountains shows rather poor outcrop conditions, but the complete Changhsingian interval can be measured.

(3) Zal: this section is situated 22 km SSW of Julfa and 2.2 km NNW of the village of Zal (38.7327° N, 45.5795° E). Columnar sections have been published by Korte et al. (2004), Kozur (2005, 2007) and Shen and Mei (2010). Lasemi et al. (2007) investigated the sedimentology of the Wuchiapingian succession. It is one of the best outcrops of the Permian–Triassic transitional beds in NW Iran and exposes the entire Late Permian and a large part of the Early Triassic succession.

3 Lithostratigraphy (Ghaderi, Leda, Schobben, Korn)

Three lithological units represent the Changhsingian stage in the Transcaucasia and NW Iran: from bottom to top, a so far unnamed shaly member (described as Zal Member here), the Paratirolitès Limestone (both together composing the Ali Bashi Formation), and the “boundary clay” (described here as the Aras Member and is the base of the mainly Triassic Elbah Formation). This lithological succession has already been outlined by a number of previous studies; Arakelyan et al. (1965) as well as Rostovtsev and Azaryan (1973) described the sections in Armenia and the Nakhichevan province of Azerbaijan (including the Dorasam sections), and Stepanov et al. (1969) as well as Teichert et al. (1973) described the Ali Bashi section.

In the following, we discuss the three rock units in terms of their lithological features in ascending order (Fig. 3).

1. Ali Bashi Formation – two members compose this formation: a lower shale-dominated and a so far unnamed member described here as the Zal Member, and an upper carbonate-dominated member, for which the name Paratirolitès Limestone has been coined.

(a) Zal Member (with the type locality in the Zal section 2.2 km NNW of the village of Zal) – the member has a thickness of 12.5 to 20 m in the vicinity of Julfa, i.e. 20 m in the Dorasam section according to Arakelyan et al. (1965), 13.5 m in the Aras Valley section, 18 m in the Ali Bashi 4 section according to Stepanov et al. (1969), 12.5 m in the Ali Bashi 1 section according to Teichert et al. (1973) as well as
Figure 3. Ali Bashi 4 section and columnar sections of the entire Changhsingian in Ali Bashi 4, Ali Bashi 1 and Ali Bashi M sections with their conodont zonation.
new studies, and 16 m in the Zal section. All the mentioned sections show a very similar rock succession, which is dominated by dark-grey shales at the base turning violet-reddish towards the top. Marly and nodular limestone beds are intercalated and occur usually in packages; they are grey at the base of the member and red to pink at the top. The member is only occasionally rich in macrofossils, of which ammonoids, nautiloids and small brachiopods are the most common.

In the sections in Armenia and the northern part of Nakhichevan, the member is extremely reduced in thickness (Arakelyan et al., 1965). The shales are nearly absent there with the result that the entire member has a thickness of only 2–5 m in the Armenian sections of Vedi, Zangakatun (i.e. Khanakhchi or Sovetashen in the literature), and Ogbin (Rostovtsev and Azaryan, 1973).

(b) Paratirolites Limestone Member – the Paratirolites Limestone has a similar lithological appearance in all studied sections; usually it shows thicknesses ranging between about 4 and 5 m (Aran Valley: 4.60 m, Ali Bashi: 4.50 m, Ali Bashi 4: 4.15 m, Ali Bashi 1: 4.15 m, Zal: 5.10 m). The unit is composed of 5 to 30 cm-thick, red nodular marly limestone beds with a CaCO₃ content ranging between 80 and 96 weight % (Fig. 4). It is typically developed in the Julfa area (Stepanov et al., 1969; Teichert et al., 1973), but similar sedimentary rocks occur in central Iran (e.g. Taraz, 1971; Taraz et al., 1981; Ledo et al., 2014).

The carbonate microfacies of the Paratirolites Limestone has been intensely described by Ledo et al. (2014); there it was shown that the unit is largely uniform but can be separated into two subunits because of microfacies characters:

(1) The base of the Paratirolites Limestone shows some rather compact limestone beds of 10–30 cm thickness; they are clearly separated by red shale and marly horizons (CaCO₃ down to 54 weight %). Distinct limestone beds can easily be correlated between neighbouring sections. The shale intercalations, which become much less prominent higher in the section, occasionally contain limestone nodules. In the middle of the Paratirolites Limestone (i.e. about 1.90 to 2.00 m below the top of the unit), a conspicuous limestone bed occurs in the Aras and Ali Bashi sections. This bed differs, in its much lighter colour and denser matrix, from the other beds of the Paratirolites Limestone. It works as a lithological index horizon in all studied sections and Julfa (Fig. 4). The clear separation between limestone beds and shale intercalations at the base of the Paratirolites Limestone diminishes towards the top of the member, but here an alternation of more compact limestone beds and horizons richer in clay can be recorded.

(2) The upper part (0.30 m thick in the Ali Bashi 1 section) of the Paratirolites Limestone shows evidence of stratigraphical condensation; it contains isolated nodules, which occasionally possess black ferruginous and manganese coatings and are preserved as hard-ground clasts (Leda et al., 2013).

A lithostratigraphical correlation of the sections of the Paratirolites Limestone in NW Iran can be performed by the use of limestone–clay alternations.

2. Elikah Formation – the majority of this formation belongs to the Triassic, and only the lowermost portion, described as “boundary clay” by Leda et al. (2014), is of Late Permian age. This will be described here as the Aras Member.

Aras Member (with the type locality in the Aras Valley section) – these beds represent the transition from the Permian into the Triassic; the shale-dominated member marks a drastic reduction of CaCO₃, down to 15–30 weight %. A detailed description of the occurrence in the Dorasham 2 section was provided by Zakharov (1992), and conodont faunas from the unit in the Ali Bash Mountains were described by Kozur (2004, 2005, 2007). The carbonate microfacies and the fossil inventory were outlined by Leda et al. (2014).

The member has a variable thickness in the investigated sections and ranges from 0.50 m in the Zal section to 3.00 m in the Aras Valley section. It is largely composed of dark red to brownish shales with occasionally occurring greenish-grey intervals and thin marly limestone intercalations towards the top of the unit. A 10-centimetre-thick grey nodular limestone bed occurs as a lenticular intercalation in the Zal section.

The Aras Member is poor in macrofossils. Leda et al. (2014) showed that concentrations of sponge spicules and ostracods occur occasionally in thin carbonate-enriched horizons in the middle and upper part of the member; further macrofossils are rare gastropods and bivalves. Zakharov (1992) investigated the unit, which is well-exposed in the Dorasham section, in greater detail.

4 Conodont stratigraphy (Ghaderi, Ashouri)

The conodont biostratigraphy of the Changhsingian deposits of north-western Iran has been published in several pioneering papers (e.g. Sweet in Teichert et al., 1973; Kozur, 1975, 1978). A more precise resolution followed thereafter by Kozur (2004, 2005) and Shen and Mei (2010). Kozur (2005) subdivided the Changhsingian successions of north-west and central Iran into 10 conodont biozones, in ascending order: the Clarkina hambastensis, C. subcarinata, C. bachmanni, C. nodosa, C. changyingensis–C. deflecta, C. zhangi, C. iranica, C. haucheki, C. meishanensis–Hindeodus praeparvus and Merrillina ultima–Stepanovites ?mostleri zonites (Fig. 5).

According to Kozur (2005), the C. hambastensis and C. subcarinata biozones were recognized in the shaly unit (here described as Zal Member) of the Ali Bash Formation. The Paratirolites Limestone includes the next six conodont biozones, and the upper two biozones (C. meishanensis–H. praeparvus and Merrillina ultima–Stepanovites ?mostleri) are situated within the boundary clay (here described as the Aras Member).

Later, Shen and Mei (2010) re-evaluated all collected and reported conodont materials by Teichert et al. (1973), ICRG
Figure 4. Columnar sections of the Paratrolites Limestone in the Aras Valley, Ali Bashi 4 and Ali Bashi 1 sections with their conodont and ammonoid zonation as well as the weight % of CaCO₃ (determined by the weight loss–acid digestion method) of the Ali Bashi 1 section.
Figure 5. The correlation of the conodont schemes by Kozur (2005, 2007), Shen and Mei (2010) and own results with the ammonoid stratigraphy by Shevyrev (1965) and own results.

(Iranian-Chinese Research Group) (1995), Yazdi and Shirani (2002) and Kozur (2004, 2005, 2007) based on the sample-population approach. They proposed eight biozones for the Changhsingian deposits of Iran, thus differing from Kozur’s zonation. Their conodont biozones in ascending order are as follows: the Clarkina wangi, C. subcarinata, C. changxingensis, C. bachmanni, C. nodosa, C. yini, C. abadehensis and C. hauschkei zones (Fig. 5).

In their subdivision, the C. wangi and C. subcarinata zones are located in the Zal Member and the other biozones are distinguishable in the Paratirolites Limestone and the boundary clay (Ara Member).

We studied four sections by bed-by-bed sampling: the Aras Valley section as well as the Ali Bashi section 4, 1 and M. Our investigations, which apply the sample-population taxonomic approach (Mei et al., 2004), led to the separation of 10 conodont biozones for the Changhsingian sections of the Julfa area (Fig. 5, Table 1). Characteristic conodont specimens are illustrated in Fig. 6.

The zones are in ascending order:

1. Clarkina orientalis–Clarkina subcarinata interval zone (equivalent to the C. wangi Zone) – the new C. orientalis–C. subcarinata interval zone is defined in the sections near Julfa by the last occurrence of C. orientalis until the first occurrence of C. subcarinata.

The equivalent strata with this interval in the Meishan section (Zhejiang, South China) are regarded as C. wangi Zone by Mei et al. (2004) based on the first appearance of C. wangi, the base marker of Changhsingian stage. Jin et al. (2001) proposed the Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the Wuchiapingian–Changhsingian stage boundary by the first appearance of C. wangi within the lineage from C. longicuspidata to C. wangi at Meishan section D above the flooding surface of the second parasequence in the Changxing Limestone.

This stage boundary was questioned by Kozur (2005), because he regarded C. hambastensis Kozur 2004 as the best index species for a definition of the Wuchiapingian–Changhsingian boundary. He therefore proposed the new C. hambastensis Zone based on the first appearance of C. hambastensis for the base of “Dorashamian” in the Hambast Mountains (Abadeh area, central Iran) instead of C. wangi, which was absent in his materials from Iran. However he reported the species C. hambastensis only from the Shahreza section and from sections V and VI of the Hambast Mountains, but not from the Julfa area.
Figure 6.
Kozur (2005) suggested that a correlation of the Wuchiaptingian–Changhsingian stage boundary of sections in Iran and South China is possible because *C. hambastensis* is also present in Changhsingian beds of the South Chinese intraplatform basins, where it was assigned to *C. wangi* by Jin et al. (2003). However, in the Iranian sections *C. hambastensis* appears somewhat earlier than true *C. wangi*, which is the marker for the base of the Changhsingian in China. Therefore, he proposed that this biozone is more complete in Iran, and the largest part of the *C. hambastensis* Zone is missing because of a gap in the Meishan section.

Henderson et al. (2008) confirmed the *C. wangi* Zone in the Zal section, but he did not provide any illustrations of the conodonts. The *C. wangi* Zone was also confirmed by Shen and Mei (2010) from sections in Iran, but again without any illustrations of the materials. In their paper (and in Nafi et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2008) *C. hambastensis* was regarded as a probable synonym of *C. wangi*. Shen and Mei (2010) indicated that *C. hambastensis* is less common in the population of *C. wangi* in South China. They wrote “if it can be established that *C. hambastensis* is more common in the sample-population of *C. wangi* in Iran than South China, *C. hambastensis* can be regarded as a subspecies or a geographical cline of *C. wangi*.”

Our new investigations indicate there are no *C. hambastensis* or *C. wangi* specimens in the four investigated sections of the Julfa area. In the Ali Bashi sections 1 and 4 and Aras Valley, the *C. orientalis* Zone (equal to *Vedioceras* Zone according to the classical ammonoid stratigraphy) is overlain by 1–2 m of dark shale (base of the Ali Bashi Formation) with some intercalations of marly limestone. These are barren of clarkinitids, but with many specimens of *H. typicalis*, *H. julfensis*, *Merrillina* sp. and some chondrichthyan teeth in all sections. The first carbonate rock unit above the mentioned shaly interval contains typical *C. subcarinata* specimens and a few other conodonts, but it is not possible to ascribe them to *C. wangi*.

We assume that there is no *C. wangi* or its probable synonym *C. hambastensis* in the sections of the Julfa area. At the same time, there are no traces of a sedimentary gap between the *C. orientalis* and *C. subcarinata* biozones. Hence, it would be possible to explain the lack of *C. wangi* with replacement by a hindeod fauna due to changes in sedimentary facies and environmental conditions.

---

**Table 1. Thickness (in metres) of the conodont zones in sections in the area of Julfa.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Ali Bashi section 1</th>
<th>Ali Bashi section 4</th>
<th>Ali Bashi section M</th>
<th>Aras Valley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>M. ultima</em>–<em>S. mystleri</em> Zone</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. praeparvus</em>–<em>H. changxingensis</em> Zone</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. hauschkei</em> Zone</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. abadeshirensis</em> Zone</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. yini</em> Zone</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. nodosa</em> Zone</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bachmanni</em> Zone</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. changxingensis</em> Zone</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. subcarinata</em> Zone</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. orientalis</em>–<em>C. subcarinata</em> interval zone</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All sections in the Julfa area show that there are six short intervals within the Changhsingian, in which the clarkinid conodont fauna is replaced or strongly influenced by a hindeodid fauna or *Merrillina* sp. The first of these replacements is situated above the uppermost part of the Wuichiapngian succession, where the *C. orientalis* Zone is replaced by *H. typicaris*, *H. julfensis* and *Merrillina* sp. in the *C. orientalis–C. subcarinata* interval zone (equal to *C. wangi* Zone).

Comparison of the replacement horizons with the $\delta^{13}C$ curve of the Ali Bashi section 1 (Schobben et al., 2013) demonstrates minor to major accordance between the rise of hindeodid/clarkinid ratio ($H/C$ ratio) and a negative excursion of the $^{13}C$ curve. Faunal changes in these intervals were regarded as a replacement of a warm-water fauna by cool water forms (Kozur, 2005, 2007; Korte and Kozur, 2011). However, a correlation of $H/C$ ratio and the $\delta^{18}O$ curve of the Ali Bashi section 1 (Schobben et al., 2013) cannot support this assumption.

According to Lai et al. (2001), the replacement of the *Clarkina* fauna by the *Hindeodus* fauna in P–Tr boundary deposits of the Meishan section is caused by the oxygen depletion in the basin, because clarkinids could not inhabit dysoxic–anoxic bottom waters. Lithology will support this idea, although at the moment we do not have enough measurements to state if anoxia or a change in the temperature from warm water to cool water in the *C. orientalis–C. subcarinata* interval zone caused the faunal replacement.

Lithological and palaeoenvironmental differences may explain the lack of *C. hambastensis* in the Julfa area and its appearance in the Shahreza and Hambast Mountain sections, which was reported by Kozur (2005). The lower shaly part of the Ali Bashi Formation in the Julfa area has carbonate equivalent intervals in the central Iran sections. Hence, there are no conspicuous changes in lithology and palaeoenvironmental conditions between the *Vediercas* Zone and the *C. hambastensis* Zone in the Wuichiapngian–Changhsingian boundary interval in central Iran, and thus *C. hambastensis* could be present.

2. *Clarkina subcarinata* Zone – the base of this zone is defined by the first occurrence of the nominal species *C. subcarinata*, which was first recognized by Sweet in Teichert et al. (1973). In north-western Iran and Meishan, this zone is succeeded by the *C. changxingensis* Zone. However, Kozur (2005) placed the *C. bachmanni* Zone directly on top of the *C. subcarinata* Zone in Iran. *C. subcarinata* gradually evolves into *C. changxingensis* by reduction of posterior denticles and the gradual development of a gap between the cusp and posterior denticles (Mei et al., 1998a, and Shen and Mei, 2010).

The second and third replacement instances of clarkinids by hindeodids occur in the lower and upper parts of the *C. subcarinata* Zone. These replacements are supported by the dominance of *H. typicaris* and *H. julfensis* over *C. subcarinata* communities.

3. *Clarkina changxingensis* Zone – the first occurrence of *C. changxingensis* marks the base of this zone. Wang and Wang in Zhao et al. (1981) first defined the *C. changxingensis* Zone in the Meishan section. Elements of *C. changxingensis* are differentiated from those of its probable predecessor, *C. subcarinata*, by the relatively more strongly reduced posterior denticles of many individuals and, thus, by a more distinct depression between the cusp and posterior denticles in the carina profile (Shen and Mei, 2010).

The numbers of *H. typicaris* and *H. julfensis* increased in the fourth *H/C* replacement in the upper part of the *C. changxingensis* Zone. The *C. bachmanni* Zone begins directly above this major replacement.

4. *Clarkina bachmanni* Zone – in the Meishan section, the *C. changxingensis* Zone is succeeded by the *C. yini* Zone (Mei et al., 1998b). Kozur (2005) established the *C. bachmanni* Zone based on the full range of the nominate species *C. bachmanni* for the sections in central and north-western Iran. Because of the absence of *C. bachmanni* in the equivalent interval of the Meishan section, Kozur (2005) interpreted a gap in this section. Later, *C. bachmanni*, which has a short and broad platform with posterior pointed protrusion of the carina, was considered as a transitional morphotype between the round and narrow morphotypes of *C. yini* by Chen et al. (2008) and as a geographical variant of *C. yini* by Shen and Mei (2010). Individuals of *C. bachmanni* are very common in the sections of the Julfa area, especially in the Ali Bashi Mountains.

5. *Clarkina nodosa* Zone – the base of the *C. nodosa* Zone, which was first defined by Kozur (2005) from Iranian sections, is recognizable by the first occurrence of the nominate species. This full range zone above the *C. bachmanni* Zone yielded numerous specimens with wrinkled upper platform surfaces and with nodes and broad ridges. These wrinkled specimens were first illustrated by Sweet in Teichert et al. (1973) and were later named *C. nodosa* by Kozur (2004). He interpreted the absence of the *C. nodosa* as well as the *C. bachmanni* zones in the Meishan section as an indication of a gap. Later, Shen and Mei (2010) referred to this biozone as sample 22–14 (i.e. upper part of Bed 22) in the Meishan section, which contains individuals whose platforms are only slightly wrinkled compared to those from Iran (unpublished data).

6. *Clarkina yini* Zone – this biozone is defined by the first occurrence of *C. yini*, which in the Meishan section follows the *C. changxingensis* Zone and is itself overlain by the *C. meishanensis* Zone (Mei et al., 1998b). The stratigraphical scheme is somewhat different in the sections in north-western Iran, where the *C. bachmanni* and *C. nodosa* zones are very well preserved between the *C. changxingensis* and *C. yini* zones. Kozur (2005, 2007) regarded representatives of the *C. yini* Zone as belonging to either his *C. changxingensis–C. deflecta* Zone below or the *C. zhongi* Zone above.
which directly follow the C. nodosa Zone. Although the boundary between his C. changxingensis–C. deflecta and C. zhangi zones is not well defined, the mentioned species range into the higher biozones.

Mei et al. (1998b) assigned C. zhangi to narrow morphotypes of C. yini based on the sample-population approach. C. changxingensis was based originally on the round morphotypes, and C. deflecta was based on squared morphotypes. Using this diagnosis and the sample-population approach, Shen and Mei (2010) explained why C. changxingensis and C. deflecta of Kozur (2005) range into the C. yini Zone. We confirm here the view of Shen and Mei and suggest that the C. changxingensis–C. deflecta and C. zhangi zones of Kozur (2004, 2005), which are the lower and upper equivalents of the C. yini Zone, should be combined to a unique biozone.

The fifth replacement of clarkinid by hindeodid fauna occurs at about 0.50 m above the base of C. yini Zone in Ali Bashi Locality 1 and 4 sections and continues upwards till 0.90 m from the zonal base. This interval is characterized by a major invasion of small H. typicalis and intense reduction of clarkinids. The H/C ratio is associated with some fluctuations in C. yini Zone and allow us to consider two major amplifications in H/C ratio: first enrichment at about 0.50 m above the base of C. yini Zone and the second one at 1.15 m distance from the base in section 1 and 1.18 m distance from the base in section 4. Both horizons are equivalent with two unusual negative excursions of \( ^{13} \text{C} \) in the Paratritolites Limestone.

7. Clarkina abadehensis Zone – the C. yini Zone is followed by the C. meishanensis Zone in the South Chinese sections. C. meishanensis has reduced posterior denticles and a wide gap between the usually reclined cusp and the first denticle in many individuals of the sample population in South China (Mei et al., 1998b). The morphological evolution of C. yini is different in the Iranian material where the cusp and posterior carina is reduced and sank into the platform through ontogeny. This different pattern has led Kozur (2004) to the definition of the two new species C. abadehensis and C. iranica. He used C. abadehensis for the wedge-like specimens with a deflected posterior carina and C. iranica for the slender to moderately wide specimens without deflected posterior end and with a symmetrical or slightly asymmetrical rounded or narrowly rounded posterior margin. The trim is always very wide in both species. Henderson et al. (2008) regarded C. iranica as a junior synonym of C. abadehensis, and because of the page priority of C. abadehensis, they accepted the name of C. abadehensis for this species. Later, Shen and Mei (2010) changed the name of the C. iranica Zone established by Kozur (2005) into the C. abadehensis Zone. C. abadehensis-like specimens are present in the lower part of the C. meishanensis Zone in the Xifanli section (Hubei, South China) (Lai and Zhang, 1999) but have not been confirmed subsequently.

8. Clarkina hauschkei Zone – the C. hauschkei Zone ends at the end-Permain mass extinction horizon. Kozur (2005) established the C. hauschkei Zone based on the nominate species C. hauschkei, which has a relatively flat platform, a narrowly rounded posterior end and a cusp that is separated from the widely spaced posterior denticles by a wider gap. These features are comparable with those of C. meishanensis elements, which occur in the upper part of the C. meishanensis Zone. Therefore, we suppose that the C. hauschkei Zone is equal to the upper part of the C. meishanensis Zone of Meishan. Shen and Mei (2010) stated that C. hauschkei probably represents a geographical variant of C. meishanensis. Both subspecies of C. meishanensis, C. meishanensis zhangi and C. meishanensis meishanensis are also present in the C. hauschkei Zone in our materials from north-western Iran. This co-occurrence may confirm the assumption by Shen and Mei (2010) that C. hauschkei is a geographical variant of C. meishanensis.

The sixth and final replacement of clarkinid by hindeodid in the Changhsingian begins in the upper C. hauschkei Zone and continues to the basal Triassic H. parvus Zone. H. typicalis, H. latidentatus, H. changxingensis, H. praeparvarus, H. eurypyge, H. inflatus, Merrillina ultima and Stepanovites ‘mostleri’ are the main hindeodid and ramiform elements and dominate the latest Permain clarkinid-based biozones.

9. Hindeodus praeparvarus–Hindeodus changxingensis Zone – Kozur (2005) defined the C. meishanensis–H. praeparvarus Zone in the boundary clay (lowermost Elikah Formation), with its lower boundary immediately at the mass extinction horizon. C. meishanensis is already present at the base of the C. hauschkei Zone, but rarely continues into the C. meishanensis–H. praeparvarus Zone (Kozur, 2005). Only a few specimens of C. meishanensis were found at the top of the extinction horizon in the four sections during the present study. Instead, H. praeparvarus and H. changxingensis, which appear in the lowest samples of the “boundary clay” for the first time, are very abundant. Thus, we name this interval the H. praeparvarus–H. changxingensis Zone. The appearance of H. changxingensis as a characteristic marker index fossil immediately above the extinction horizon has been reported previously from South China (Wang and Wang in Zhao et al., 1981; Mei et al., 1998b), Italy (Wang, 1995; Nicoll et al., 2002), Iran (Kozur, 2004, 2005), Pakistan (Perri and Farabegoli, 2003) and Tibet (Shen et al., 2006). In the Abadeh region, H. changxingensis occurs in the upper part of the “boundary clay” – i.e. the upper part of C. meishanensis–H. praeparvarus Zone according to the zonation of Kozur (2005). In the Zal section this species appears 30 cm above the extinction horizon (Kozur, 2005). In the Ali Bashi sections 1, 4 and M, H. changxingensis appears in the lower part of the “boundary clay”. We did not find specimens of H. changxingensis in the Aras Valley section, but H. praeparvarus is abundant there. H. praeparvarus has the
Figure 7.
identical first occurrence in all sections in north-western Iran; however its last occurrence appears to be heterochronous. *H. changxiangensis* is limited to the “boundary clay” in the Julfa area sections, but *H. praeparvus* ranges higher into the *H. parvus* Zone.

10. *Merrilina ultima*–*Stepanovites ?mostleri* Zone – This biozone contains elements of *Merrilina ultima* in association with *H. preparvus* and rare *C. zhejiangensis* and was established by Kozur (2005). *M. ultima*–*Stepanovites ?mostleri* Zone is considered to be correlated with the *C. zhejiangensis* Zone of Mei et al. (1998a, b) in the Meishan section (Kozur, 2005). The presence of this biozone and the *C. meishanensis*–*H. praeparvus* Zone, which was defined by Kozur (2005), was questioned by Shen and Mei (2010). However, these authors did not have any samples with the elements of this biozone in their collections. Our sample populations of this interval yield and confirm the presence of the *M. ultima*–*Stepanovites ?mostleri* Zone in all sections of the Julfa area. As Kozur (2005) explained, this biozone includes cold water elements dominated by *M. ultima*, *Stepanovites ?mostleri*, *H. praeparvus* and very rare *C. zhejiangensis*, but without its Triassic component, which can be separated by the first appearance of *H. parvus*.

The upper limits of these intervals are characterized by the first appearance of *H. parvus*, which indicates the administrative Permian–Triassic boundary. The first appearance of *H. parvus* is situated at a distance 1.32 m in the Ali Bashī Locality 1, 1.86 m in the Ali Bashī Locality 4, 2.75 m in the Aras Valley section, 1.18 m in the main valley section.

5 Ammonoid stratigraphy (Korn)

The frame for the subdivision of the Changhsingian deposits in the area of Julfa was outlined by Ruzhenev and Shevyrev (1965); they separated five units now regarded as representing the Changhsingian (of which the upper four of these were at that time placed into the Triassic), in ascending order the *Phisonites Zone*, *Tomphoriceras Zone*, *Dzhulfites Zone*, *Bernhardites Zone* and the *Paratiradites Zone*.

Stepanov et al. (1969) as well as Teichert et al. (1973) followed this scheme, and the latter authors replaced the genus names *Tomphoriceras* and *Bernhardites*, which originally refer to Triassic ammonoids, by *Iraniates* and *Shevyrevites*, respectively. Zakharov (1992) added another zone (“*Pleuronodoiceras occidentale Zone*”) at the top of the succession, which represents the shaly interval (“boundary clay” of Leda et al., 2014) between the Late Permian and Early Triassic carbonates.

Up to now, a subdivision of the *Paratiradites* Limestone has not been achieved. Bed-by-bed collections of more than 250 ammonoids from this interval during four field campaigns between 2010 and 2013 offer the opportunity to subdivide this rock unit by means of ammonoid species and genera (Figs. 4, 5). Subdivision of this rock unit is particularly interesting because it has a very different composition (dominated by members of the family Dzhulfitidae) of ammonoids from the occurrences in South China (in which the Dzhulfitidae are either rare or totally missing) (Zhao et al., 1978).

A total of eight biozones (in ascending order) of the Changhsingian interval may be used for regional correlation, of which the lower three represent the lower shaly portion (Zal Member) of the Ali Bashī Formation and the upper five the *Paratiradites* Limestone (characteristic ammonoid specimens are illustrated in Fig. 7):

1. *Iraniates transcaucasius–Phisonites triangulus* Zone – according to our collections, the zones separated by Shevyrev (1965) cannot be separated; *Iraniates transcaucasius* (Shevyrev, 1965) was even collected below *Phisonites triangulus* Shevyrev, 1965. The unit is 7.00 m thick in the Dorasham 2 section after Arakelyan et al. (1965) and 6.50 m thick in the Ali Bashī 4 section after Stepanov et al. (1969).

2. The lowermost part of the Ali Bashī Formation contains ammonoid faunas in low diversity, and the specimens are usually poorly preserved. *Iraniates transcaucasius* (Shevyrev, 1965) and *Phisonites triangulus* Shevyrev, 1965 occur, together with other smooth ceratitic ammonoids, at the base of the Ali Bashī Formation in the Aras Valley section.

Arakelyan et al. (1965) listed frequent specimens of *Xenodiscus* and *Xenaspis* from this interval in the Dorasham 2 section. A newly collected fragment of *Vedioceras* sp. in the shales at the base of the Ali Bashī Formation in the Ali Bashī 1 section demonstrates the change from *Vedioceras*-dominated faunas of the Wuchiapingian to the xenodiscid-dominated faunas of the Changhsingian in this interval.

2. *Dzhulfites nodosus* Zone – it is 7.50 m thick in the Dorasham 2 section after Arakelyan et al. (1965) and 4.60 m thick in the Ali Bashī 4 section after Stepanov et al. (1969). Shevyrev (1965) described the two species *D. nodosus* and *D. spinosus*, which they exclusively attributed to the
Dzhulfites beds. In our field work we found that D. spinosus occurs also in the following zone.

3. Shevryrevites shevrevi Zone – it is 5.50 m thick in the Dorasham 2 section after Arakelyan et al. (1965) and 6.10 m thick in the Ali Bashi 4 section after Stepanov et al. (1969). Shevryrevites has obviously only a very limited stratigraphical range and characterizes a thin interval of the Ali Bashi Formation below the Paratirolites Limestone. Dzhulfites occasionally occurs in this zone.

4. Paratirolites trapezoidalis Zone – this zone has a position at the base of the Paratirolites Limestone, where the taxonomic diversity of the ammonoid faunas is rather low. This interval contains paratirolitid ammonoids with unsubdivided or bifid prongs of the external lobe. Paratirolites trapezoidalis Shevryev, 1965 best characterizes this interval, of which the base is difficult to recognize because of the scarcity of fossils at the base of the Paratirolites Limestone.

5. Paratirolites waageni Zone – this interval is characterized by the main occurrence of the genus Paratirolites, of which also Paratirolites veditensis Shevryev, 1965 can be used as an index fossil besides the nominate species Paratirolites waageni (Stoyanov, 1910). Even without distinct species attribution, specimens of this zone are usually clearly assigned to this zone because of the strongly serrated external, adventive, and lateral lobes. This interval is, in the Aras Valley and Ali Bashi sections, often very fossiliferous and thus easily recognizable.

6. Stoyanowites dieneri Zone – the entry of paratirolitids with laterally compressed whorl sections, of which “Paratirolites dieneri Stoyanov, 1910” performs as the type species for the new genus Stoyanowites, characterizes the next biozone within the Paratirolites Limestone.

7. Abichites stoyanowi Zone – the upper portion of the Paratirolites Limestone is dominated by paratirolitid ammonoids with quadrate or slightly compressed whorl cross sections. Such forms usually belong to the genus Abichites, which possesses a suture line with unsubdivided or bifid prongs of the external lobe.

8. Arasella minuta Zone – at the top of the Paratirolites Limestone is a thin interval, about 30 cm thick, which is dominated by very small ammonoids with simple suture lines. Arasella minuta (Zakharov, 1983) is the most common of these and can be used for the definition of this zone.

9. (Pleuromodoceras occidentale Zone) – Zakharov (1992) attributed the lower 2 m of the “boundary clay” of the Dorasham II section to the Pleuromodoceras occidentale Zone, based on a finding of the nominate species in the basal 9 cm of the interval. In our study, we did not find ammonoids in the Aras Member and thus cannot confirm this record.

Systematic descriptions, characterization of new taxa:
- Order Ceratitida Hyatt, 1884
- Suborder Paracreolitina Shevryev, 1968
- Superfamily Xenodiscaceae Frech, 1902
- Family Dzhulfitidae Shevryev, 1965

Stoyanowites n. gen.

Derivation of name: after A.A. Stoyanow, who gave the first description of paratirolitid ammonoids from the Aras Valley.

Type species: Paratirolites Dieneri Stoyanow, 1910.

Diagnosis: genus of the Dzhulfitidae with laterally compressed whorl cross section. Ornament with small ventrolateral nodes and often also dorsolateral nodes. Suture line with short external lobe, which reaches only 60% of the depth of the adventive lobe.

Discussion: the specimens of the new genus are easily separable from the genera Dzhulfites, Paratirolites and Abichites by the laterally compressed whorl cross section with a ratio of whorl width/whorl height of less than 0.80 (usually more than 1.00 and reaching more than 2.00 in the other three genera).

Arasella n. gen.

Derivation of name: after the Aras Valley, the locality of the type material.

Type species: Sinoceltites minus Zakharov, 1983.

Diagnosis: genus of the Dzhulfitidae with small conch reaching 30 mm diameter. Conch widely umbilicate with circular whorl cross section. Ornament with sharp ribs. Suture line with short external lobe, which reaches only 50% of the depth of the adventive lobe. Adventive lobe and lateral lobe rounded and unserrated.

Discussion: Zakharov (1983) described the species as belonging to Sinoceltites, a genus belonging to the family Tapashanitidae and known from occurrences in South China. The species has a particular position in two respects: (1) it is obviously the stratigraphically youngest ammonoid to appear before the end-Permian mass extinction event in the NW Iranian sections, and (2) it has a morphology characterized by reduction of morphological characters such as the suture line and thus is difficult to interpret in terms of phylogeny. Two possible phylogenetic origins may be discussed:

(1) A xenodiscid origin – this would imply a ghost lineage ranging through the higher part of the Changhsingian. Evidence of a xenodiscid origin is lacking.
(2) A paratirolitid origin – this would imply a simplification of conch and suture morphology. Indeed, obvious phylogenetic relationships occur between the stratigraphically older Paratirolites towards the younger Abichites in the reduction of size, the change from trapezoidal towards quadrat whorl cross sections, the disappearance of strong nodes in the sculpture and the reduction of sutural notching. Araresa has some similarities with the stratigraphically younger species of Abichites and may thus be an advanced but morphologically simplified descendant.
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