

Dear Author,

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and **email** the annotated PDF.
- For fax submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title, article number, and your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/ corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections **within 48 hours**, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL: [http://dx.doi.org/\[DOI\]](http://dx.doi.org/[DOI]).

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information go to: <http://www.link.springer.com>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

ArticleTitle	$\phi(L)$ -Factorable Operators on $L^P(G)$ for a Locally Compact Abelian Group	
Article Sub-Title		
Article CopyRight	Iranian Mathematical Society (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
Journal Name	Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Gol
	Particle	
	Given Name	R. A. Kamyabi
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Department of Mathematics Ferdowsi University of Mashhad Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS)
	Address	P. O. Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	kamyabi@um.ac.ir
	URL	
	ORCID	http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0137-3653
Author	Family Name	Afrapoli
	Particle	
	Given Name	F. Roohi
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Mathematics
	Organization	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
	Address	Mashhad, Iran
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	fatemeroohiafrapoli@gmail.com
	URL	
	ORCID	
Author	Family Name	Esmaelzadeh
	Particle	
	Given Name	F.
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Mathematics
	Organization	Bojnourd Branch, Islamic Azad University
	Address	Bojnourd, Iran
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	esmaelzadeh@bojnourdiau.ac.ir

URL
ORCID

Schedule	Received	28 October 2019
	Revised	4 January 2021
	Accepted	19 January 2021

Abstract	Let G be a locally compact abelian group, ϕ be a topological isomorphism on G , and L be a uniform lattice in G . We provide a development of the $L^1(G/\phi(L))$ function-valued product on $L^p(G)$ called $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product, where $1 < p < \infty$. Among other things, we study $\phi(L)$ -factorable operators and we prove Riesz representation type Theorem for $L^p(G)$.
----------	---

Keywords (separated by '-')	$(\phi(L)$ - <i>Keyword</i> - <i>Keyword</i> - p)-bracket product - Locally compact abelian group - $\phi(L)$ -orthogonality - $\phi(L)$ -factorable - Riesz representation theorem
-----------------------------	--

Mathematics Subject Classification (separated by '-')	43A15 - 43A70
---	---------------

Footnote Information	Communicated by G. H. Esslamzadeh.
----------------------	------------------------------------



$\phi(L)$ -Factorable Operators on $L^p(G)$ for a Locally Compact Abelian Group

F. Roohi Afrapoli¹ · R. A. Kamyabi Gol² · F. Esmaeelzadeh³

Received: 28 October 2019 / Revised: 4 January 2021 / Accepted: 19 January 2021
© Iranian Mathematical Society 2021

Abstract

Let G be a locally compact abelian group, ϕ be a topological isomorphism on G , and L be a uniform lattice in G . We provide a development of the $L^1(G/\phi(L))$ function-valued product on $L^p(G)$ called $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product, where $1 < p < \infty$. Among other things, we study $\phi(L)$ -factorable operators and we prove Riesz representation type Theorem for $L^p(G)$.

Keywords $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product · Locally compact abelian group · $\phi(L)$ -orthogonality · $\phi(L)$ -factorable operator · Riesz representation theorem

Mathematics Subject Classification 43A15 · 43A70

1 Introduction

In this paper, we aim to study the $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product on a locally compact abelian group (LCA group, for short) G , via a topological isomorphism ϕ on G with respect to a uniform lattice L in G . The bracket product on space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has been studied by several authors, see for example [3] and the references therein. Ron and

Communicated by G. H. Esslamzadeh.

✉ R. A. Kamyabi Gol
kamyabi@um.ac.ir

F. Roohi Afrapoli
fatemeroohiafrapoli@gmail.com

F. Esmaeelzadeh
esmaeelzadeh@bojnourdiau.ac.ir

¹ Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

² Department of Mathematics Ferdowsi University of Mashhad Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), P. O. Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran

³ Department of Mathematics, Bojnourd Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bojnourd, Iran

15 Shen in [10] extended bracket products for the shift invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
 16 They defined the bracket product of $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by:

$$17 \quad [f, g](x) = \sum_{\alpha \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n} f(x + \alpha) \overline{g(x + \alpha)}.$$

18 Then, $[f, g]$ is an element of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and we have $\|[f, f]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$, for
 19 $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Cassaza and Lammers [1] improved the bracket product by employing a
 20 shift parameter. More precisely, they defined the so-called bracket product as a-inner
 21 product by:

$$22 \quad \langle f, g \rangle_a(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t - na) \overline{g(t - na)}; \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), a \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

23 They have shown that the relevant a-inner product has a Bessel's inequality, orthogonal
 24 sequence and Riesz Representation Theorem for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Kamyabi Gol and Raisi
 25 Tousi [7] extended this notion to a *LCA*-group with respect to a uniform lattice via a
 26 topological isomorphism ϕ on G . They defined the bracket product $[f, g]_\phi$, associated
 27 with ϕ through:

$$28 \quad [f, g]_\phi(\dot{x}) = \sum_{k \in L} f(x\phi(k^{-1})) \overline{g(x\phi(k^{-1}))}, \quad f, g \in L^2(G),$$

29 where L is a uniform lattice in G . It is easy to check that $[f, g]_\phi$ in $L^1(G/\phi(L))$.
 30 They also defined the norm $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ called ϕ -norm on $L^2(G)$ by $\|f\|_\phi = [f, f]_\phi^{1/2}$, ($f \in$
 31 $L^2(G)$). They studied the modulation and translation $[\cdot, \cdot]_\phi$ and the usual inner prod-
 32 uct of $L^2(G)$. Some of the basic properties of $[\cdot, \cdot]_\phi$ (such as, the Cauchy–Schwarz
 33 identity, the polarization identity, etc.) are also discussed in [7]. The main aim of
 34 this paper is to extend the bracket product notion to $L^p(G)$, for $1 < p < \infty$. In
 35 Sect. 2, we first investigate the elementary properties of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\phi,p}$. In particular, we
 36 prove Hölder inequality and Triangle inequality. We study the modulation and trans-
 37 lation for this bracket product operators which provide some facilities to accurately
 38 study this bracket product. Section 3 is devoted to the $\phi(L)$ -factorable operators and
 39 its consequences. We use this notion to provide the Riesz Representation Theorem for
 40 the pair $(L^p(G), L^1(G/\phi(L)))$.

41 2 Preliminary Results

42 Let G be a locally compact abelian group equipped with the Haar measure dx , and
 43 let $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ be a topological isomorphism. For $1 < p < \infty$, let L_ϕ be the left
 44 translation operator on $L^p(G)$ defined by $L_\phi f(x) = (f \circ \phi^{-1})(x)$ ($f \in L^p(G)$, $x \in$
 45 G). Note that by the uniqueness of Haar measure, there exists a positive number $\sigma(\phi)$,
 46 such that $\int_G L_\phi f(x) d(x) = \sigma(\phi) \int_G f(x) d(x)$ for all $f \in L^1(G)$. In this case, the

map σ is a homomorphism on the group of all isomorphisms on G ; see [6]. Let H be a closed subgroup of G with the Haar measure dh . Let G/H be the quotient group with Haar measure $d\dot{x}$. It is known that $dx, dh, d\dot{x}$ are related to each others under the following identity, which is known as Weil's type Formula:

$$\int_G f(x)dx = \int_{G/H} \int_H f(xh)dh d\dot{x}, f \in L^1(G). \tag{2.1}$$

This formula shows that for $f \in L^1(G)$, the integral $\int_H f(xh)dh$ exists almost everywhere in x and defines an integrable function on G/H , such that the integral formula holds. In fact, the formula (2.1) should be understood as a one-sided version of Foubini's Theorem for product spaces; see [3].

We recall that the Fourier transform $\widehat{\cdot} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{G})$, $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$, is defined by $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_G f(x)\overline{\xi(x)}dx$ for $\xi \in \widehat{G}$, the dual group of G . It is well known that if $f \in L^p(G)$ ($1 \leq p \leq 2$), then $\widehat{f} \in L^q(\widehat{G})$, where q and p are conjugate exponents, and $\|\widehat{f}\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$ (see [4]).

Throughout this article, we always assume that G is a second countable LCA group. In this case, we always have a uniform lattice in G ; see [9]. Suppose that L is a uniform lattice in G , and $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ is a topological isomorphism. It is well known that $G/\phi(L)$ is a LCA group and it is topologically isomorphic with G/L (for more details, see also [6]).

Let $f, g \in L^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$, and q be the conjugate exponent to p . Then, $fg^{p-1} \in L^1(G)$, and hence by Weil's formula, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G/\phi(L)} \sum_{k \in L} |fg^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))|d\dot{x} &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \sum_{\phi(k) \in \phi(L)} |fg^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))|d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_G |fg^{p-1}(x)| dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_G |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_G |g^{p-1}(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \|g^{p-1}\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for almost all $\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)$, the series $\sum_{k \in L} fg^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))$ converges.

Therefore, each function $g \in L^p(G)$ induces a bounded linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_g : L^p(G) &\rightarrow L^1(G/\phi(L)), \\ f &\mapsto \Gamma_g(f) = [f, g]_{\phi, p} \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\Gamma_g\| = \|g\|_p^{p-1}$, where $[f, g]_{\phi, p}(\dot{x}) = \sum_{k \in L} fg^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))$.

Note that $\Gamma_g(f) = [f, g]_{\phi, p}$ is $\phi(L)$ -periodic and we call $[f, g]_{\phi, p}$ the $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product of $f, g \in L^p(G)$.

Consequently, one may define the $(\phi(L), p)$ -norm as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \|\cdot\|_{\phi,p} : L^p(G) &\longrightarrow L^p(G/\phi(L)), \\ f &\mapsto \|f\|_{\phi,p} = (\Gamma_{|f|}(|f|))^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

which is an isometry, $\| \|f\|_{\phi,p} \|_p = \|f\|_p$. Indeed, by Weil's Formula for $f \in L^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \| \|f\|_{\phi,p} \|_p^p &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_{|f|}(|f|)(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} [|f|, |f|]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \sum_{\phi(k) \in \phi(L)} |f|^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \sum_{\phi(k) \in \phi(L)} |f|^p(x\phi(k^{-1}))d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_G |f|^p(x)dx \\ &= \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in the following two examples, we show that our definitions extend the previous ones mentioned earlier.

Example 2.1 Consider $G = \mathbb{R}$, $L = \mathbb{Z}$ in the above definition. Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then, $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $\phi(x) = ax$ is a topological isomorphism and the bounded linear map $\Gamma_g : L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^1([0, a])$, defines by $\Gamma_g(f)(x) = [f, g]_{\phi,p}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} fg^{p-1}(x - na)$ is the a-pointwise inner product of f and g introduced by Casazza and Lammers in [1] for $p = 2$. Moreover, if ϕ is the identity function on \mathbb{R} , $p = 2$, then the (ϕ, p) -bracket product is exactly one defined by Ron and Shen [10].

Example 2.2 Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \times Z_n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where Z_n is the finite abelian group $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ of residues module n and $L = \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \times \{1\} \times Z_n$ a uniform lattice in G . Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ real matrix and fix $l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Define $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ by $\phi(x, m, t, p) = (Ax, l + m, t, p)$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, t \in \mathbb{T}^n, p \in Z_n$. For $f, g \in L^p(G)$, the $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_g(f)(x) &= [f, g]_{\phi,p}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^n, q \in Z_n} fg^{p-1}((Ax, l + m, t, p) - \phi(k, n, 1, q)) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^n, q \in Z_n} fg^{p-1}(Ax - k, l + m - n, t - 1, p - q). \end{aligned}$$

109 In the following proposition, we explain some properties of Γ_g .

110 **Proposition 2.3** Let $f, g \in L^p(G)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the following
 111 properties hold:

- 112 (i) $\Gamma_g(f + h) = \Gamma_g(f) + \Gamma_g(h)$
- 113 (ii) $\Gamma_g(cf) = c\Gamma_g(f)$
- 114 (iii) $\Gamma_{cg}(f) = c^{p-1}\Gamma_g(f)$
- 115 (iv) $\Gamma_{cg}(cf) = c^p\Gamma_g(f)$.

116 **Proof** The proof is obvious. □

117 It is worth to note that the ϕ -norm satisfies the properties of norm. Indeed, for all
 118 $\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f, g \in L^p(G)$, the equality $\| \|f\|_{\phi,p} \|g\|_p = \|f\|_p$ implies
 119 that if $\|f\|_{\phi,p} = 0$, then $f = 0$ a.e.. Also $\Gamma_{|cf|}(|cf|) = |c|^p \Gamma_{|f|}(|f|)$, i.e.,
 120 $\|cf\|_{\phi,p} = |c| \|f\|_{\phi,p}$. For triangular inequality:

$$121 \quad \|f + g\|_{\phi,p} \leq \|f\|_{\phi,p} + \|g\|_{\phi,p},$$

122 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 123 \quad \|f + g\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) &= (\Gamma_{|f+g|}(|f + g|)(\dot{x}))^{1/p} \\
 124 \quad &= (| |f + g| |, |f + g|]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}))^{1/p} \\
 125 \quad &= \left(\sum_{k \in L} |f + g| |f + g|^{p-1} (x\phi(k^{-1})) \right)^{1/p} \\
 126 \quad &= \left(\sum_{k \in L} |f + g|^p (x\phi(k^{-1})) \right)^{1/p} \\
 127 \quad &= \|f + g\|_{l^p(L)} \\
 128 \quad &\leq \|f\|_{l^p(L)} + \|g\|_{l^p(L)} \\
 129 \quad &= \left(\sum_{k \in L} |f|^p (x\phi(k^{-1})) \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k \in L} |g|^p (x\phi(k^{-1})) \right)^{1/p} \\
 130 \quad &= (| |f| |, |f|]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}))^{1/p} + (| |g| |, |g|]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}))^{1/p} \\
 131 \quad &= (\Gamma_{|f|}(|f|)(\dot{x}))^{1/p} + (\Gamma_{|g|}(|g|)(\dot{x}))^{1/p} \\
 132 \quad &= \|f\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) + \|g\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

134 The following proposition demonstrates the duality property of $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket
 135 product.

136 **Proposition 2.4** For $f, g \in L^p(G)$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$137 \quad \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_g(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = \langle f, \overline{g^{p-1}} \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

139 **Proof** By Weil's Formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_g(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x} &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} [f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\
 &= \int_G (f \cdot g^{p-1})(x)dx \\
 &= \langle f, \overline{g^{p-1}} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

144 □

145 Note that if $p = 2$, then we get:

$$\int_{G/\phi(L)} [f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = \langle f, \bar{g} \rangle_{L^2(G)},$$

147 which has already appeared in [3].

148 For the Hölder inequality, we need the following Lemmas.

149 **Lemma 2.5** *Let $f, g \in L^p(G)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, where q is the conjugate exponent to*
 150 *p . Then:*

$$[f, g]_{\phi,p} = [g^{p-1}, f^{p-1}]_{\phi,q}.$$

152 **Proof** For any $\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) &= \sum_{k \in L} f g^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1})) \\
 &= \sum_{k \in L} \bar{g}^{p-1} f^{(p-1)(q-1)}(x\phi(k^{-1})) \\
 &= [g^{p-1}, f^{p-1}]_{\phi,q}(\dot{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

157 □

158 At this point, for $f \in L^p(G)$, we define the $\phi(L)$ -pointwise normalization of f as
 159 follows:

$$N_{\phi(L)}(f)(\dot{x}) = \begin{cases} |f(\dot{x})| / \|f\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) & \|f\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \|f\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

161 **Lemma 2.6** *With the above notations, and non-zeros $f, g \in L^p(G)$, ($1 < p, q < \infty$),*
 162 *we have:*

163 (i) $\Gamma_g(N_{\phi(L)}(f)) = \left(\frac{1}{\|f\|_{\phi,p}}\right)\Gamma_g(|f|)$,
 164 where $\|f\|_{\phi,p} \neq 0$.

165 (ii) $\Gamma_{N_{\phi(L)}(g)}(N_{\phi(L)}(f)) = \left(\frac{1}{\|f\|_{\phi,p}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\|g\|_{\phi,p}^{p-1}}\right) \Gamma_{|g|}(|f|),$
 166 for $\|f\|_{\phi,p} \neq 0, \|g\|_{\phi,p} \neq 0.$

167
 168 In particular, $\Gamma_{|g|}(|f|) = 0$ if and only if:

169
$$\Gamma_{N_{\phi(L)}(g)}(N_{\phi(L)}(f)) = 0.$$

170 (iii) For $f \neq 0$ a.e., we have:

171
$$\Gamma_{N_{\phi(L)}(f)}(N_{\phi(L)}(f)) = 1.$$

172 (iv) For $f \neq 0$, we have, $\|N_{\phi(L)}(f)\|_{L^p(G)}^p = |G/\phi(L)| < \infty$, ($|E|$ denotes the
 173 Haar measure of the Borel set $E \subseteq G$).

174
 175 (v) $N_{\phi(L)}(N_{\phi(L)}(f)) = N_{\phi(L)}(f).$

176 **Proof** Proof of (i) is clear. For (ii), we have:

177
$$\Gamma_{N_{\phi(L)}(g)}(N_{\phi(L)}(f))(\dot{x}) = [N_{\phi(L)}(f), N_{\phi(L)}(g)]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})$$

 178
$$= \left[\frac{|f|}{\|f\|_{\phi,p}}, \frac{|g|}{\|g\|_{\phi,p}} \right]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})$$

 179
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\|f\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\|g\|_{\phi,p}^{p-1}(\dot{x})}\right) [|f|, |g|]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})$$

 180
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\|f\|_{\phi,p}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\|g\|_{\phi,p}^{p-1}}\right) \Gamma_{|g|}(|f|)(\dot{x}).$$

181 Now, using (ii), the proofs of (iii) and (iv) are obvious. For (v):

182
$$N_{\phi(L)}(N_{\phi(L)}(f))(\dot{x}) = |N_{\phi(L)}(f)(x)| / \|N_{\phi(L)}(f)\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})$$

 183
$$= |N_{\phi(L)}(f)(\dot{x})|$$

 184
$$= |f(\dot{x})| / \|f\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})$$

 185
$$= N_{\phi(L)}(f)(\dot{x}).$$

186 □

187 **Proposition 2.7 (Hölder's inequality)** Let $f, g \in L^p(G)$ for $1 < p, q < \infty$ where q
 188 is the conjugate exponent to p . Then:

189
$$|[f, g]_{\phi,p}| \leq \|f\|_{\phi,p} \|g\|_{\phi,q}^{p-1} \tag{2.3}$$

 190

191 **Proof** Put $g^{p-1} = \psi$, then $\psi \in L^q(G)$. Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 192 \quad & \| \psi \|_{\phi, q}^q (\dot{x}) = \Gamma_{|\psi^{q-1}|} (|\psi^{q-1}|)(\dot{x}) \\
 193 \quad & = [|\psi^{q-1}|, |\psi^{q-1}|]_{\phi, p}(\dot{x}) \\
 194 \quad & = \sum_{k \in L} |\psi^{q-1}| \|\psi\| (x\phi(k^{-1})). \\
 195 \quad &
 \end{aligned}$$

196 If either $\|f\|_{\phi, p} = 0$ or $\|\psi\|_{\phi, q} = 0$, then the inequality holds trivially. The same
 197 holds when either $\|f\|_{\phi, p} = \infty$ or $\|\psi\|_{\phi, q} = \infty$, the result is trivial. Moreover, it is
 198 easy to see that if:

$$199 \quad |\Gamma_{|\psi|}(|f|)| \leq \|f\|_{\phi, p} \|\psi\|_{\phi, q}$$

200 holds for a particular f, ψ , then it also holds for all scalar multiples of f and ψ . It
 201 is, therefore, it would suffice to prove that (2.3) holds when $\|f\|_{\phi, p}(\dot{x}) = \|\psi\|_{\phi, q}$
 202 $(\dot{x}) = 1$, where 1 denotes the constant function of $G/\phi(L)$ onto \mathbb{C} . To this end, by [5,
 203 Lemma 6.1], we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 204 \quad & |f(x\phi(l^{-1}))| \|\psi(x\phi(l^{-1}))\| \leq 1/p |f^p(x\phi(l^{-1}))| + 1/q |\psi^q(x\phi(l^{-1}))|, \\
 205 \quad & |f\|\psi|(x\phi(l^{-1})) \leq 1/p |ff^{p-1}(x\phi(l^{-1}))| + 1/q |\psi^{q-1}\psi(x\phi(l^{-1}))|; \\
 206 \quad & \sum_{l \in L} |f\|\psi|(x\phi(l^{-1})) \leq 1/p \left(\sum_{l \in L} |f|^p(x\phi(l^{-1})) \right) \\
 207 \quad & + 1/q \left(\sum_{l \in L} |\psi^q(x\phi(l^{-1}))| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

208 Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
 209 \quad & \left| \sum_{l \in L} |f\|\psi|(x\phi(l^{-1})) \right| \leq 1/p [|f|^p]_{\phi, p}(\dot{x}) + 1/q [|\psi|^q]_{\phi, q}(\dot{x}) \\
 210 \quad & = 1/p \|f\|_{\phi, p}^p(\dot{x}) + 1/q \|\psi\|_{\phi, q}^q(\dot{x}) \\
 211 \quad & = \|f\|_{\phi, p}(\dot{x}) \|\psi\|_{\phi, q}(\dot{x}). \\
 212 \quad &
 \end{aligned}$$

213 Now, put $\psi = g^{p-1}$. We have:

$$214 \quad [|f|, |g|]_{\phi, p} \leq \|f\|_{\phi, p} \|g^{p-1}\|_{\phi, q}.$$

215 General case, if $\|f\|_{\phi, p} \neq 1$ and $\|g\|_{\phi, p} \neq 1$, then using Lemma 2.6, part (ii) can
 216 be written as:

$$217 \quad \frac{\Gamma_{|g|}(|f|)}{\|g\|_{\phi, p}} \left(\frac{|f|}{\|f\|_{\phi, p}} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\|f\|_{\phi, p}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\|g\|_{\phi, p}^{p-1}} \right) \Gamma_{|g|}(|f|).$$

218 Indeed, by Lemma 2.5, we have $\|g\|_{\phi,p} = \|g^{p-1}\|_{\phi,q}^{q/p}$. Hence:

219
$$\|g\|_{\phi,p}^{p-1} = \|g^{p-1}\|_{\phi,q}. \tag{2.4}$$

220

221 □

222 It is worthwhile to note that using (2.4), we have:

223
$$| [f, g]_{\phi,p} | \leq \|f\|_{\phi,p} \|g\|_{\phi,p}^{p-1}.$$

224 **Definition 2.8** For $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, we denote the modulation operator on $L^p(G)$ by M_γ ,
 225 which is defined by $M_\gamma f(x) = \gamma(x)f(x)$ for all $f \in L^p(G)$.

226 In the next proposition, some properties of the Fourier transform of the $(\phi(L), p)$ -
 227 bracket product are established.

228 **Proposition 2.9** Suppose $f, g \in L^p(G)$ and $\gamma \in \phi(L)^\perp (\cong \widehat{G/\phi(L)})$, where $\phi(L)^\perp$
 229 is the annihilator of $\phi(L)$ in \widehat{G} . Then:

- 230 (i) $\Gamma_g(M_\gamma f) = \Gamma_{M_{\gamma^{-1}}g}(f)$
 231 (ii) $(\Gamma_g(f))^\wedge(\gamma) = \langle f, \overline{M_{\gamma^{-1}}g^{p-1}} \rangle = \langle M_{\gamma^{-1}}f, \overline{g^{p-1}} \rangle$, and
 232 (iii) $(\Gamma_g(f))^\wedge(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = (\Gamma_{M_{\gamma_1^{-1}}g})^\wedge(\gamma_2) = \langle M_{\gamma_2^{-1}}f, \overline{M_{\gamma_1}g^{p-1}} \rangle$.

233 **Proof** The proof of (i) is clear. For (ii), since $\gamma(\phi(k^{-1})) = 1$ for all $k \in L$, we have:

234
$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_g(f))^\wedge(\gamma) &= \widehat{[f, g]_{\phi,p}(\gamma)} \\ &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} [f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})\gamma^{-1}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \sum_{\phi(k) \in \phi(L)} f g^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))\gamma^{-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \sum_{\phi(k) \in \phi(L)} f(x\phi(k^{-1}))M_{\gamma^{-1}}g^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_G f M_{\gamma^{-1}}g^{p-1}(x)dx \\ &= \langle f, \overline{M_{\gamma^{-1}}g^{p-1}} \rangle (x). \end{aligned}$$

235
236
237
238
239

240 Part (iii) is a direct consequence of (ii) and its proof. □

241 **Example 2.10** Let $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the modulation operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by
 242 $M_a f(x) = e^{2\pi i ax} f(x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider \mathbb{Z}^n as a uniform lattice

243 in \mathbb{R}^n . Then:

244
$$(\Gamma_g(f))^\wedge(\gamma) = \widehat{[f, g]_{\phi, p}}(\gamma)$$

245
$$= \int_{[0, a]^n} [f, g]_{\phi, p}(t) e^{-2\pi i \gamma t} dt$$

246
$$= \int_{[0, a]^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f g^{p-1}(t - al) e^{-2\pi i \gamma t} dt$$

247
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g^{p-1}(x) e^{-2\pi i \gamma(x)} dx$$

248
$$= \langle f, \overline{M_{\gamma^{-1}} g^{p-1}} \rangle.$$

250 **Corollary 2.11** *If $\Gamma_g(f) \in L^1(G/\phi(L))$ and $\widehat{\Gamma_g(f)} = 0$, then $\Gamma_g(f) = 0$ a.e. with*
 251 *respect to the Haar measure on $G/\phi(L)$.*

252 Now, we are going to consider translation operators for $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product.
 253 Note that, since G is LCA group, then the left and right translations coincide. For
 254 $y \in G$, the translation operator on $L^1(G/\phi(L))$ is defined by:

255
$$T_y \Gamma_g(f)(\dot{x}) = \Gamma_g(f)(y^{-1} \dot{x}),$$

257 One can easily check:

258
$$T_y \Gamma_g(f) = \Gamma_{T_y g}(T_y f). \tag{2.5}$$

260 Indeed:

261
$$T_y \Gamma_g f(\dot{x}) = T_y [f, g]_{\phi, p}(\dot{x})$$

262
$$= [f, g]_{\phi, p}(y^{-1} \dot{x})$$

263
$$= \sum_{k \in L} T_y f(x\phi(k^{-1})) T_y g^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))$$

264
$$= [T_y f, T_y g]_{\phi, p}(\dot{x})$$

265
$$= \Gamma_{T_y g}(T_y f)(\dot{x}).$$

266 In the next proposition, we have some properties concerning the translation operator
 267 T_y .

268 **Proposition 2.12** *Let $y \in G$ and T_y be the translation operator on $L^1(G/\phi(L))$.*
 269 *Then:*

- 270 (i) $\int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_g(T_y f)(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} = \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_{T_{y^{-1}g}}(f)(\dot{x}) d\dot{x},$
- 271 (ii) $\Gamma_g(T_y f) = T_y(\Gamma_{T_{y^{-1}g}}(f)),$
- 272 (iii) $\|T_y f\|_{\phi, p}^p = T_y \|f\|_{\phi, p}^p$ and
- 273 (iv) $(T_y(\Gamma_g(f)))^\wedge(\xi) = (\Gamma_g(f))^\wedge(\xi) \xi^{-1}(y), \quad f \text{ or } \xi \in \phi(L)^\perp.$

274 **Proof** For (i), let $\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)$. Then, by the Weil's Formula, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 275 \quad \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_g(T_y f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x} &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} [T_y f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\
 276 \quad &= \int_G T_y f \cdot g^{p-1}(x)dx \\
 277 \quad &= \int_G f(y^{-1}x)g^{p-1}(x)dx \\
 278 \quad &= \int_G f(x)g^{p-1}(yx)dx \\
 279 \quad &= \int_G f(x)T_{y^{-1}}g^{p-1}(x)dx \\
 280 \quad &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} [f, T_{y^{-1}}g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\
 281 \quad &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_{T_{y^{-1}}g}(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x}. \\
 282
 \end{aligned}$$

283 Part (ii) and (iii) are obvious by (2.5). For $\xi \in \phi(L)^\perp$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 284 \quad (T_y(\Gamma_g(f)))^\wedge(\xi) &= (T_y[f, g]_{\phi,p})^\wedge(\xi) \\
 285 \quad &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} T_y[f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})\xi^{-1}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\
 286 \quad &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} [f, g]_{\phi,p}(y^{-1}\dot{x})\xi^{-1}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\
 287 \quad &= \xi^{-1}(y) \int_{G/\phi(L)} [f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})\xi^{-1}(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\
 288 \quad &= \widehat{[f, g]}_{\phi,p}(\xi)\xi^{-1}(y^{-1}) \\
 289 \quad &= (\Gamma_g(f))^\wedge(\xi)\xi^{-1}(y^{-1}). \\
 290
 \end{aligned}$$

291 Therefore, part (iv) is proved. □

292 At this point, we denote the set of all $\phi(L)$ -periodic functions in $L^\infty(G)$ by $B_\infty(G)$,
 293 i.e., $B_\infty(G) = \{h \in L^\infty(G); h(x\phi(k)) = h(x), \text{ for all } k \in L\}$. It is easy to show
 294 that $B_\infty(G)$ is a closed subspace of $L^\infty(G)$. Moreover, $L^p(G)$ is a Banach $B_\infty(G)$ -
 295 module.

296 **Proposition 2.13** Let $f, g \in L^p(G)$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, and q is conjugate exponents of
 297 p . Then, for all $h \in B_\infty(G)$, we have:

- 298 (i) $\Gamma_g(fh) = h(\Gamma_g(f))$,
- 299 (ii) $\Gamma_{hg}(f) = h^{p-1}(\Gamma_g(f))$.

Author Proof

300 In particular, if $h(\dot{x}) \neq 0$ a.e., then $\Gamma_g(f) = 0$ if and only if $\Gamma_g(fh) = \Gamma_{h^{\frac{1}{p-1}}g}(f) =$
 301 0.

302 **Proof** For (i), let $h \in B_\infty(G)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 303 \quad \Gamma_g(fh)(\dot{x}) &= [fh, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) \\
 304 &= \sum_{k \in L} fhg^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1})) \\
 305 &= \sum_{k \in L} f(x\phi(k^{-1}))g^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))h(x\phi(k^{-1})) \\
 306 &= \sum_{k \in L} fg^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))h(\dot{x}) \\
 307 &= h[f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) \\
 308 &= h(\Gamma_g(f))(\dot{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

309 Also for proof of (ii), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 310 \quad \Gamma_{hg}(f)(\dot{x}) &= [f, hg]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) \\
 311 &= \sum_{k \in L} f(hg)^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1})) \\
 312 &= \sum_{k \in L} f(x\phi(k^{-1}))h^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))g^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1})) \\
 313 &= \sum_{k \in L} fg^{p-1}(x\phi(k^{-1}))h^{p-1}(\dot{x}) \\
 314 &= h^{p-1}[f, g]_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}) \\
 315 &= h^{p-1}(\Gamma_g(f))(\dot{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

316 \square

317 **Definition 2.14** Let $f \in L^p(G), g \in L^q(G)$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$.
 318 For $E \subseteq L^p(G)$, the $\phi(L)$ -orthogonal complement of E is defined as:

$$319 \quad E^{\perp\phi,p} = \{g \in L^q(G); \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f) = 0 \text{ a.e. for all } f \in L^p(G)\}.$$

320 In the next proposition, the relation between the $\phi(L)$ -orthogonal complement of E
 321 in $L^p(G)$ and its orthogonal complement in $L^q(G)$ is investigated.

322 **Proposition 2.15** For $E \subseteq L^p(G)$, we have $E^{\perp\phi,p} = \cap_{h \in B_\infty(G)} (hE)^{\perp\phi,p}$.

323 **Proof** Let $g \in E^{\perp\phi,p}$. Then, for $h \in B_\infty(G)$ and $f \in E$ by Propositions (2.13) and
 324 (2.4), we have:

$$325 \quad \langle hf, \overline{g^{p-1}} \rangle = \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(hf)(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = \int_{G/\phi(L)} h(\dot{x})\Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = 0;$$

326 hence, $g \in \cap_{h \in B_\infty(G)} (hE)^{\perp \phi, p}$. Now, for $g \in \cap_{h \in B_\infty(G)} (hE)^\perp$, $f \in E$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
 327 define $h_n = \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)$, when $|\Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)| \leq n$, and $h_n = 0$ otherwise. Then, $h_n \in$
 328 $B_\infty(G)$. Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 329 \quad 0 &= |\Gamma_{h_n g^{p-1}}(f)(\dot{x})| \\
 330 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h_n^{p-1}(\dot{x}) \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)(\dot{x})| d\dot{x} \\
 331 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h_n^{p-1}(\dot{x}) h_n(\dot{x})| d\dot{x} \\
 332 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h_n|^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x}. \\
 333
 \end{aligned}$$

334 Therefore, $|h_n|(\dot{x}) = 0$. Hence, $\Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f) = 0$ a.e., that is, $g \in E^{\perp \phi, p}$. □

335 **$\phi(L)$ -Factorable Operators**

336 Let G be an LCA group and E be a subgroup of G or $G/\phi(L)$, in which we suppose
 337 that L be a uniform lattice in G , and $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ is a topological isomorphism. In
 338 this section, $\phi(L)$ -factorable operators are defined and some of their properties are
 339 investigated. Moreover, the relation between $\phi(L)$ -factorable operators and $(\phi(L), p)$ -
 340 bracket product is shown. Finally, the Riesz Representation Theorem for $L^p(G)$ with
 341 the $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product is proven.

342 **Definition 3.1** An operator $U : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^r(E)$ that $1 \leq r, p \leq \infty$ is called $\phi(L)$ -
 343 factorable if $U(hf) = hU(f)$, for all $f \in L^p(G)$ and all $\phi(L)$ -periodic $h \in L^\infty(G)$,
 344 where E is a subgroup of G or $G/\phi(L)$.

345 In the following, some properties of the $\phi(L)$ -factorable operators are examine.

346 **Lemma 3.2** Let $U_1, U_2 : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/\phi(L))$ be two $\phi(L)$ -factorable operators.
 347 Then, $U_1 = U_2$ if and only if:

$$348 \quad \int_{G/\phi(L)} U_1(f)(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} = \int_{G/\phi(L)} U_2(f)(\dot{x}) d\dot{x},$$

349 for every $f \in L^p(G)$.

350 **Proof** The necessary part is obvious. For the converse, by [4, theorem 4.33], it is enough
 351 to show that $\widehat{U_1(f)} = \widehat{U_2(f)}$ for all $f \in L^p(G)$. Let $\xi \in (\widehat{G/\phi(L)}) = \phi(L)^\perp$ and

352 $f \in L^p(G)$, since ξ as a function in $L^\infty(G)$ is $\phi(L)$ -periodic, we obtain:

353
$$\widehat{U_1(f)}(\xi) = \int_{G/\phi(L)} U_1(f)(\dot{x})\xi(\dot{x})d\dot{x}$$

354
$$= \int_{G/\phi(L)} U_1(\xi f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x}$$

355
$$= \int_{G/\phi(L)} U_2(\xi f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x}$$

356
$$= \int_{G/\phi(L)} U_2(f)(\dot{x})\xi(\dot{x})d\dot{x}$$

357
$$= \widehat{U_2(f)}(\xi).$$

359 Hence, the Fourier coefficients for $U_1(f)$ and $U_2(f)$ are the same for all $f \in L^p(G)$
 360 and, therefore, $U_1 = U_2$. □

361 **Lemma 3.3** *Let $h \in B_\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^p(G)$ where $1 < p < \infty$. Then,*

362
$$\int_G |hf|^p(x)dx = \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^p \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x})d\dot{x}.$$

363 **Proof** Using Weil's Formula, we have:

364
$$\int_G |hf|^p(x)dx = \int_{G/\phi(L)} \sum_{\phi(k) \in \phi(L)} |h(x\phi(k^{-1}))|^p |f(x\phi(k^{-1}))|^p d\dot{x}$$

365
$$= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(x)|^p \sum_{\phi(k) \in \phi(L)} |f(x\phi(k^{-1}))|^p d\dot{x}$$

366
$$= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^p \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x})d\dot{x},$$

367

368 in which $h \in B_\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^p(G)$. □

369 Note that, if $h \in L^\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^p(G)$, then $|hf|^p \in L^1(G)$.

370 **Proposition 3.4** *Let U be a $\phi(L)$ -factorable linear operator from $L^p(G)$ to $L^p(G/\phi(L))$,
 371 $1 < p < \infty$. Then, U is bounded if and only if there is a constant $B > 0$ ($B = \|U\|$),
 372 so that for every $f \in L^p(G)$, we have:*

373
$$|U(f)(\dot{x})| \leq B \|f\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x}), \quad \text{for } a.e.\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L).$$

374 **Proof** Let $h \in B_\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^p(G)$. By Lemma 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 375 \quad \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^p |U(f)(\dot{x})|^p d\dot{x} &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |U(hf)(\dot{x})|^p d\dot{x} \\
 376 &= \|U(hf)\|_{L^p(G/\phi(L))}^p \\
 377 &\leq \|U\|^p \int_G |hf|^p(x) dx \\
 378 &= \|U\|^p \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^p \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

379 It follows immediately that $|U(f)(\dot{x})|^p \leq \|U\|^p \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x})$, a.e. for $\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)$.
 380 Conversely, let $f \in L^p(G)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 381 \quad \|U(f)\|_{\phi,p}^p &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |U(f)(\dot{x})|^p d\dot{x} \\
 382 &\leq \int_{G/\phi(L)} B^p \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\
 383 &= B^p \int_{G/\phi(L)} \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\
 384 &= B^p \|f\|_p^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

386 Therefore, the proof is completed. □

387 **Proposition 3.5** If $U : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) is a $\phi(L)$ -factorable linear
 388 operator, then U is bounded if and only if there is a constant $B > 0$ ($B = \|U\|$), so
 389 that for every $f \in L^p(G)$, we have:

$$390 \quad \|U(f)\|_{\phi,p} \leq B \|f\|_{\phi,p}.$$

391 **Proof** For $h \in B_\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^p(G)$, by Proposition 3.4, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 392 \quad \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^p \|U(f)(\dot{x})\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^p \Gamma_{|U(f)|} |U(f)|(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\
 393 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \|U(hf)\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\
 394 &= \|U(hf)\|_{L^p(G)}^p \\
 395 &\leq \|U\|^p \|hf\|_{L^p(G)}^p \\
 396 &= \|U\|^p \int_{G/\phi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^p \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

397 It follows that $\|U(f)\|_{L^p(G)}^p \leq \|U\|^p \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p$ a.e. with respect to $G/\phi(L)$. □

398 Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 are of the main theorems in this section which are Riesz repre-
 399 sentation type theorem for the $(\phi(L), p)$ -bracket product in $L^p(G)$.

400 **Theorem 3.6** An operator $U : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/\phi(L))$ is a bounded $\phi(L)$ -
 401 factorable if and only if there exists $g \in L^q(G)$, such that $U(f) = \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)$ for
 402 all $f \in L^p(G)$. Moreover, $\|U\| = \|g\|_q$.

403 **Proof** Let $U : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/\phi(L))$ (where for $1 < p < \infty$) be a bounded
 404 $\phi(L)$ -factorable operator. Define the linear functional $\Psi : L^p(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\Psi(f) =$
 405 $\int_{G/\phi(L)} U(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x}$. The isometric isomorphism property $(L^p(G))^* \cong L^q(G)$ for
 406 ($p \neq \infty$) implies that there exist $g \in L^q(G)$, such that $\Psi(f) = \int_G fg(x)dx$ for all
 407 $f \in L^p(G)$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 408 \int_{G/\phi(L)} U(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x} &= \Psi(f) \\ 409 &= \int_G fg(x)dx \\ 410 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x}. \end{aligned}$$

411 By (3.4), $U(f) = \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)$, for all $f \in L^p(G)$.
 412 Moreover, for any $f \in L^p(G)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 413 \|U(f)\|_{L^1(G/\phi(L))} &= \|\Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)\|_{L^1(G/\phi(L))} \\ 414 &\leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

415 Therefore, $\|U\| \leq \|g\|_q$. Now, letting $f = |g^{q-1}|$; hence:

$$\begin{aligned} 416 \|U(|g^{q-1}|)\|_{L^1} &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |U(|g^{q-1}|)(\dot{x})| d\dot{x} \\ 417 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |\Gamma_{|g^{q-1}|}(|g^{q-1}|)(\dot{x})| d\dot{x} \\ 418 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} |(|g^{q-1}|, |g^{q-1}|)_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})| d\dot{x} \\ 419 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} (|g|, |g|)_{\phi,q}(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\ 420 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \|g\|_{\phi,q}^q(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\ 421 &= \|g\|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

422 Thus:

$$423 \|g\|_q^q = \|U(|g^{q-1}|)\|_{L^1} \leq \|U\| \|g\|_q^{q-1},$$

424 i.e., $\|g\|_q \leq \|U\|$.

425 For the converse, according of $g \in L^q(G)$, U is bounded. For every $\phi(L)$ -periodic
 426 $h \in L^\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^p(G)$:

$$427 \quad U(hf) = \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(hf) = h(\Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)) = hU(f).$$

428 Therefore, the proof is complete. □

429 It is worth mentioning that Theorem 3.6 for $p = 2$ gives the Riesz representation
 430 theorem expressed in [5, theorem 5.25].

431 **Corollary 3.7** *Let $f, g \in L^p(G)$ ($1 < p < \infty$). Then, $\Gamma_g(f)$ is $\phi(L)$ -factorable.*

432 **Proof** The proof yields just using Proposition 2.13 and Theorem 3.6. □

433 We say $f \in L^p(G)$ is $\phi(L)$ -bounded if there exists $M > 0$, such that $\|f\|_{\phi,p} \leq M$.

434 **Theorem 3.8** *A linear operator $U : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G/\phi(L))$ ($1 < p < \infty$)
 435 is a bounded $\phi(L)$ -factorable if and only if there exists $\phi(L)$ -bounded $g \in$
 436 $L^q(G)$, such that $U(f) = \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)$ for all $f \in L^p(G)$. Moreover, $\|U\| =$
 437 $esssup_{\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)} \|g\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})$.*

438 **Proof** That is, U be a bounded $\phi(L)$ -factorable operator from $L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G/\phi(L))$.
 439 Since $G/\phi(L)$ is compact, $L^p(G/\phi(L)) \subseteq L^1(G/\phi(L))$, and so, by Theorem 3.6,
 440 there exists $g \in L^q(G)$, such that $U(f) = \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)$ for all $f \in L^p(G)$. Letting
 441 $f = g^{q-1}$ and using Proposition 3.4, we get:

$$442 \quad \begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{g^{p-1}}(g^{p-1})| &= \|g^{q-1}\|_{\phi,p}^p \\ 443 \quad &= |U(|g^{q-1}|)| \\ 444 \quad &\leq \|U\| \|g^{q-1}\|_{\phi,p}. \end{aligned}$$

446 Hence, $\|g^{q-1}\|_{\phi,p} \leq \|U\|$ or $\|g\|_{\phi,q} \leq \|U\|$. For the converse, let g be a $\phi(L)$ -
 447 bounded and $U(f) = \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(f)$ for some $\phi(L)$ -bounded, so $g \in L^q(G)$. Then, by
 448 Corollary 3.7, U is $\phi(L)$ -factorable. Now, by the assumption, g is $\phi(L)$ -bounded and
 449 by Theorem 3.6, we have:

$$450 \quad \begin{aligned} \|Uf\|_p^p &= \int_{G/\phi,p} |\Gamma_{g^{p-1}}(f)|^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\ 451 \quad &\leq \int_{(G/\phi(L))} \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p \|g\|_{\phi,q}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\ 452 \quad &\leq esssup_{\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)} \|g\|_{\phi,p}^p \int_{G/\phi(L)} \|f\|_{\phi,p}^p(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\ 453 \quad &= esssup_{\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)} \|g\|_{\phi,p}^p \|f\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

454 where $\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)$. Thus, $\|U\|$ is bounded.

455 Now, by letting $f = g^{q-1}$, we get $\|U\| = esssup_{\dot{x} \in G/\phi(L)} \|g\|_{\phi,p}(\dot{x})$. This com-
 456 pletes the proof. □

457 **Theorem 3.9** For $1 < p < \infty$, let $U : L^p(G) \longrightarrow L^q(G)$, (where $L^q(G)$ is dual of
 458 $L^p(G)$), be a bounded $\phi(L)$ -factorable operator and U^* be its adjoint. Then, U^* is
 459 $\phi(L)$ -factorable. Moreover, for $f \in L^p(G)$ and $g \in L^q(G)$, we have:

$$460 \quad \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(U(f)) = \Gamma_{U^*(g)}(f).$$

461 **Proof** For $f \in L^p(G)$, $g \in L^q(G)$, and $h \in B_{\infty(G)}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 462 \quad \left\langle U^*(hg), \overline{f^{p-1}} \right\rangle &= \left\langle hg, U(\overline{f^{p-1}}) \right\rangle \\ 463 &= \left\langle g, \overline{h}U(\overline{f^{p-1}}) \right\rangle \\ 464 &= \left\langle g, U(\overline{hf^{p-1}}) \right\rangle \\ 465 &= \left\langle U^*(g), \overline{hf^{p-1}} \right\rangle \\ 466 &= \left\langle hU^*(g), \overline{f^{p-1}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

468 Therefore, U^* is $\phi(L)$ -factorable. Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 469 \quad \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_{g^{q-1}}(U(f))(\dot{x})d\dot{x} &= \left\langle U(f), \overline{g^{q-1}} \right\rangle \\ 470 &= \left\langle f, U^*(\overline{g^{q-1}}) \right\rangle \\ 471 &= \int_{G/\phi(L)} \Gamma_{U^*(g)}(f)(\dot{x})d\dot{x}. \end{aligned}$$

473 Therefore, Lemma 3.2 completes the proof. □

474 **Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank Professor H.R. Ebrahimi Vishki for his helpful consult
 475 and also reading this paper and whose recommendations greatly improved this manuscript. We would also
 476 like to thank Doctor R. Raisi Tosi for her valuable suggestions. Also, the authors would like to thank the
 477 referee for their valuable comments and remarks.

478 References

- 479 1. Casazza, P.G., Lammers, M.C.: Bracket Products for Weyl–Heisenberg Frames. Advances in Gabor
 480 Analysis. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, pp. 71–98. Birkhauser, Boston (2003)
- 481 2. Detmar, A., Echterhoff, B.: Principles of Harmonic Analysis. Springer, New York (2009)
- 482 3. de Boor, C., DeVore, R.A., Ron, A.: The structure of nitely generated shift-invariant spaces in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
 483 J. Funct. Anal. **119**(1), 37–78 (1994)
- 484 4. Folland, G.B.: A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995)
- 485 5. Folland, G.B.: Real Analysis. Wiley, New York (1984)
- 486 6. Hewitt, E., Ross, K.A.: Abstract Harmonic Analysis, vol. 1. Springer, New York (1936)
- 487 7. Kamyabi Gol, R.A., Raisi, Tosi R.: Bracket products on locally compact Abelian groups. J. Sci. Islam.
 488 Repub. Iran **19**(2), 153–157 (2008)
- 489 8. Kamyabi Gol, R.A., Raisi, Tosi R.: ϕ -factorable operators and Weyl–Heisenberg frames on LCA
 490 groups. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **37**(1), 101–113 (2011)

- 491 9. Kutyniok G.: Time frequency analysis on locally compact groups. Ph.D. Thesis, Padeborn University
492 (2000)
- 493 10. Ron, A., Shen, Z.: Frames and stable bases for shift invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Can. J. Math.
494 1051–1094 (1995)

495 **Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps
496 and institutional affiliations.

uncorrected proof

Journal: 41980
Article: 537

Author Query Form

**Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below
and return this form along with your corrections**

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details required	Author's response
1.	Please provide the expanded author name.	